This is a reproduction of a library book that was digitized by Google as part of an ongoing effort to preserve the information in books and make it universally accessible.



http://books.google.com





HARVARD DEPOSITORY BRITTLE BOOK

יהוה

INSTITUTIO THEOLOGICA

ANDOVER PVNDATA MDCGCVII.





S. CLEMENT OF ROME.

THE TWO EPISTLES

TO THE

CORINTHIANS.

A REVISED TEXT
WITH INTRODUCTION AND NOTES.

BY

J. B. LIGHTFOOT, D.D.

HULSEAN PROFESSOR OF DIVINITY,
AND FELLOW OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.

London and Cambridge:
MACMILLAN AND CO.
1869.

[All Rights reserved.]

Cambridge:

PRINTED BY C. J. CLAY, M.A. AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

Res ? Sept. 1, 1871.

604.5 C62.2 1869l Pt.1

THIS Volume is the first part of a complete edition of the Apostolic Fathers. The second part is intended to include the Ignatian Epistles (genuine, interpolated, and spurious) together with the Epistle of Polycarp.

The preface and indices will be issued with the second part; and the present title-page, which is only temporary, will then be superseded by another.

The preface will give me an opportunity of stating my obligations to others; but I cannot delay the expression of my thanks to the authorities of the British Museum for allowing me free access to the Alexandrian MS, and to Mr A. A. Vansittart as well for collating the MS as for much valuable assistance in correcting the proof sheets of this edition.

J. B. LIGHTFOOT.

Trinity College, July 31, 1869.

THE EPISTLES

OF

S. CLEMENT OF ROME.

CLEM. I

Digitized by Google

THE EPISTLE OF CLEMENT

TO THE

CORINTHIANS.

I.

HE FIRST EPISTLE ascribed to S. Clement is addressed by the Church of Rome to the Church of Corinth. writer's name is not mentioned either in the address or in the body of the letter, there can be no reasonable doubt about the authorship. Not only have we very wide and very early testimony to the fact that Clement held the first place in the Roman Church about this time; but the direct proofs of his being the writer are numerous. temporary Hermas, the author of the Shepherd, represents himself as directed by the angelic messenger to deliver a copy of the book with which he is charged to Clement, that he may communicate it to foreign churches, 'for this function belongs to him' (Vis. ii. 4 πέμψει οὖν Κλήμης είς τὰς ἔξω πόλεις, ἐκείνω γὰρ ἐπιτέτραπται). Not long after the middle of the second century testimony is borne to the authorship from two inde-Dionysius, bishop of Corinth, writing to the Roman pendent quarters. Christians during the episcopate of Soter (c. A.D. 165—175) in reply to a letter received from them, says: 'This day, being the Lord's day, we kept as a holy-day; when we read your epistle, which we shall ever continue to read for our edification, as also the former epistle which you wrote to us by Clement' (ώς καὶ τὴν προτέραν ἡμιν διὰ Κλήμεντος γραφείσαν, Euseb. H. E. iv. 23). About the same time Hegesippus, a native of Palestine, who had visited both Rome and Corinth, alludes to the feuds which had disturbed the latter Church, and (as reported by Eusebius) mentions in connexion therewith 'some particulars about the letter of Clement to the Corinthians' (Euseb. H. E. iv. 22; comp. H. E. iii. 16). A few years later Irenæus writes thus: 'In the time of this

Clement (ἐπὶ τούτου τοῦ Κλήμεντος), no small dissension having arisen among the brethren in Corinth, the Church in Rome sent a very able (ίκανωτάτην) letter to the Corinthians, urging them to peace, etc.' (Hær. iii. 3. 3; comp. Euseb. H. E. v. 6). Again about the close of the century the writer's namesake, Clement of Alexandria, repeatedly quotes the letter; citing it most commonly as 'Clement in the Epistle to the Corinthians' (e.g. Strom. i. 7, p. 339; iv. 17, p. 609; vi. 8, p. 773), but in one passage as the 'Epistle of the Romans to the Corinthians' (Strom. v. 12, p. 693). Either designation is equally appropriate; for, though addressed in the name of the Roman Church, it would be written and forwarded by Clement. In the next generation again Origen more than once quotes it as the work of Clement (de Princ. ii. 6, 1. p. 82; Select. in Ezech. viii. 3, 111. p. 422; in Joann. vi. § 36, IV. p. 153). And Eusebius, while mentioning the Second Epistle as ascribed to Clement, states that he was universally recognised as the author of the First (τοῦ Κλήμεντος έν τἢ ὁμολογουμένη παρὰ πᾶσιν), which was written by him to the Corinthians 'in the person of the Roman Church' (ην έκ προσώπου της Ρωμαίων έκκλησίας τη Κορινθίων διετυπώσατο, H. E. iii, 38). In short it may fairly be said that very few writings of Classical or Christian antiquity are so well authenticated as this letter.

About its date some difference of opinion exists. The troubles mentioned in the opening chapter must refer to some persecution of the Roman Christians. The persecution of Trajan, to which Clement has been supposed by some recent critics to allude, is too late for the notices found elsewhere in the epistle (see the notes on §§ 5, 44); nor indeed is there any reason for thinking that the Roman Christians especially were sufferers during this reign. It must be added also that the only positive argument urged in favour of this very late date is unsound (see the note on § 55). We are therefore limited to the persecutions of Nero and Domitian. Those who maintain the earlier of these two epochs appeal to the fact that Clement, when referring to the temple services, uses the present tense, as though the temple were still standing and the services regularly performed: but parallel instances show that this mode of speaking was common long after the destruction of Jerusalem (see the notes on §§ 40, 41). On the other hand the notices in other passages of the epistle seem to require a greater lapse of time since the foundation of the Corinthian Church and the death of the chief Apostles (see §§ 5, 44, 47, with the notes); and the language in which the troubles of the Roman Church are described in the opening chapter accords better with the persecution of Domitian than with that of Nero

(see the notes, § 1). Again the manifest quotations from the New Testament, more especially from the Epistle to the Hebrews, are hardly reconcilable with a date so early as the time of Nero. Thus the balance of internal evidence points clearly to the later of the two persecutions. And this result is confirmed by the direct statement of Hegesippus, who according to Eusebius referred the dissensions of the Corinthian Christians, which prompted the letter, to the time of Domitian (Euseb. H. E. iii. 16 καὶ ὅτι γε κατὰ τὸν δηλούμενον τὰ τῆς Κορινθίων κεκίνητο στάσεως ἀξιόχρεως μάρτυς ὁ Ἡγήσιππος). As Hegesippus visited both churches in succession about half a century after the letter was written, the greatest weight must be assigned to his testimony. This date moreover is confirmed by the fact, that the most trustworthy accounts place the episcopate of Clement late in the century, making him third in the succession of Roman bishops. Thus the letter will have been written about the year 95.

A fuller discussion of the nature of the feuds, which prompted the Roman Church to address this letter to the Corinthians, will be found in the notes (§§ 1, 40—47, 54). It is sufficient to say here that they had led to the expulsion of some faithful and honoured presbyters. But besides these social dissensions, it would appear that the old difficulty about the resurrection, which had troubled the Corinthian Church in St Paul's day, was again revived. At all events Clement takes some pains to argue the matter with his readers, as though it were a question of dispute among them (see § 24 sq. with the notes). Beyond these two points the letter contains no strictly argumentative matter, but is chiefly hortatory and didactic.

The effect of this interposition of the Roman Church may be inferred from the fact that Hegesippus immediately after his mention of the letter sent to heal these dissensions adds; 'And the Church of Corinth remained in the right doctrine till the episcopate of Primus in Corinth' (Euseb. H. E. iv. 22), this being the date of his own visit. At all events we find the Corinthian Christians not long after the middle of the second century communicating with their Roman brethren in the most friendly and cordial manner; for Dionysius of Corinth, writing in the name of his Church, loudly praises the 'hereditary liberality' of the Romans by which all the brethren had profited (Euseb. H. E. iv. 23); and the fact, already mentioned on his authority, that they continued in his time to read the letter of Clement in their religious assemblies, shows that the remonstrances of the Roman brotherhood had been received by them in a right spirit.

2.

The following is an analysis of the letter:

'THE CHURCH OF ROME TO THE CHURCH OF CORINTH. Greeting in Christ Jesus.'

'We regret that domestic troubles have prevented our writing before: we deplore the feuds which have gained ground among you; for your present unhappy state reminds us by contrast of the past, when such breaches of brotherly love were unknown among you, and your exemplary concord and charity were known far and wide (§§ 1, 2). Now all is changed. Like Jeshurun of old, you have waxed fat and Envy is your ruling passion (§ 3). Envy, which led Cain to slay his brother; which sent Jacob into exile; which persecuted Joseph; which compelled Moses to flee; which drove Aaron and Miriam out of the camp; which threw Dathan and Abiram alive into the pit; which incited Saul against David (§ 4); which in these latest days, after inflicting countless sufferings on the Apostles Peter and Paul, brought them to a martyr's death (§ 5); which has caused numberless woes to women and girls, has separated wives from their husbands, has destroyed whole cities and nations (§ 6). We and you alike need this warning. Let us therefore repent, as men repented at the preaching of Noah, at the preaching of Jonah (§ 7). The Holy Spirit, speaking by the prophets, again and again calls to repentance (§ 8). Let us not turn a deaf ear to the summons; let us supplicate God's mercy; let us follow the example of Enoch who was translated, of Noah who was saved from the flood (§ 9), of Abraham whose faith was rewarded by repeated blessings and by the gift of a son (§ 10). Call to mind the example of Lot whose hospitality saved him from the fate of Sodom, when even his wife perished (§ 11); of Rahab whose faith and protection of the spies rescued her from the general destruction (§ 12). Pride and passion must be laid aside; mercy and gentleness cherished; for the promises in the Scriptures are reserved for the merciful and gentle (§§ 13, 14). We must not call down denunciations upon our heads, like the Israelites of old (§ 15): but rather take for our pattern the lowliness of Christ as portrayed by the Evangelical Prophet and by the Psalmist (§ 16); and copy also the humility of the ancient worthies, Elijah, Elisha, Ezekiel, Abraham, and Job; of Moses the most highly favoured and yet the meekest of men (§ 17); of David the man after God's heart, who nevertheless humbled himself in the dust (§ 18). Nay, let us have before our eyes the long-suffering of God himself, the

Lord of the Universe, whose mind can be read in His works (§ 19). Harmony prevails in heaven and earth and ocean; day and night succeed each other in regular order; the seasons follow in due course; all created things perform their functions peacefully (§ 20). Let us therefore act as becomes servants of this beneficent Master. He is near at hand, and will punish all unruliness and self-seeking. In all relations of life behave soberly. Instruct your wives in gentleness, and your children in humility (§ 21). For the Holy Spirit in the Scriptures commends the humble and simple-hearted, but condemns the stubborn and double-tongued. The Lord will come quickly (§§ 22, 23).

'All nature bears witness to the resurrection; the dawn of day; the growth of the seedling (§ 24); above all the wonderful bird of Arabia (§ 25). So too God Himself declares in the Scriptures (§ 26). He has sworn, and He can and will bring it to pass (§ 27).'

'Let us therefore cleanse our lives, since before Him is no concealment (§ 28). Let us approach Him in purity, and make our election sure (§ 29). As His children, we must avoid all lust, contention, selfwill, and pride (§ 30). Look at the example of the patriarchs, Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob (§ 31). See how the promise was granted to their faith, that in them all the nations of the earth should be blessed To their faith: but we must not therefore be slack in works. The Creator Himself rejoices in His works, and we are created in His All righteous men have been rich in good works (§ 33). we would win the reward, we must not be slothful but ever diligent, as the angels in heaven are diligent (§ 34). And how glorious is the hope held out to us! Well may we strive earnestly to attain this bright promise: well may we school ourselves to lay aside all bitterness and strife, which, as the Scriptures teach us, are hateful in God's sight (§ 35). Nor shall we be unaided in the struggle. Christ our High-Priest is mightier than the angels, and by Him we are ushered into the presence of God (§ 36).'

'Subordination of rank and distinction of office are the necessary conditions of life. Look at the manifold gradations of order in an army, at the diverse functions of the members in the human body (§ 37). We likewise are one body in Christ, and members in particular (§ 38). They are fools and mad, who thirst for power; men whom the Scriptures condemn in no measured terms (§ 39). Are not the ordinances of the Mosaic law—where the places, the seasons, the persons, are all prescribed—a sign that God will have all things done decently and in order (§§ 40, 41)? The Apostles were sent by Jesus Christ, as Jesus Christ was sent by the Father. They appointed presbyters in all

churches, as the prophet had foretold (§ 42). Herein they followed the precedent of Moses. You will remember how the murmuring against Aaron was quelled by the budding of Aaron's rod (§ 43). In like manner the Apostles, to avoid dissension, made provision for the regular succession of the ministry. Ye did wrongly therefore to thrust out presbyters who had been duly appointed according to this Apostolic order, and had discharged their office faithfully (§ 44). It is an untold thing, that God's servants should thus cast out God's messengers. was by the enemies of God that Daniel and the three children were persecuted of old (§ 45). There is one body and one Spirit. Whence then these dissensions (§ 46)? Did not the Apostle himself rebuke you for this same fault? And yet you had the excuse then, which you have not now, that they whom you constituted your leaders—Cephas and Paul and Apollos—were Apostles and Apostolic men (§ 47). Away with these feuds. Reconcile yourselves to God by humility and righteousness in Christ (§ 48). Love is all-powerful, love is beyond praise, love is acceptable to God. Seek love before all things, and ye shall be blessed indeed; for so the Scriptures declare (§§ 49, 50). Ask pardon for your offences, and do not harden your hearts like Pharaoh. like Pharaoh, ye will also perish (§ 51). God asks nothing from us, but contrition and prayer and praise (§ 52). Moses spent forty days and nights in prayer, entreating God that he himself might be blotted out and the people spared (§ 53). Let the same spirit be in you. Let those who are the causes of dissension sacrifice themselves and retire, that strife may cease (§ 54). Nay, have not heathen kings and rulers been ready to offer themselves up for the common weal? Even women have perilled their lives, like men, for the public good. So did Judith; so also did Esther (§ 55). Let us intercede for one another; let us admonish one another (§ 56). And you especially, who were the first to stir up this feud, be the first to repent. Remember the stern threats, which the Scriptures pronounce against the stubborn and impenitent (§ 57).'

[Here a leaf of the manuscript is torn out, but we are enabled from quotations in different authors to supply the lacuna, as follows:

'The end is near, when all things shall be burnt up by fire. So the Prophets and Apostles testify: so also the Sibyl has declared. Prepare for this great and terrible day. God is tempting you, as He tempted Abraham. But be not dismayed. He is a living God'.]

'Finally, may He grant all graces and blessings to them that call upon His name, through Jesus Christ our High Priest (§ 58).'

'Ephebus and Bito and Fortunatus are the bearers of this letter.

Despatch them speedily, that they may return with the glad tidings of your peace and concord.'

'The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ be with you and with all men (§ 59).'

3.

The Epistle to the Corinthians was widely known and highly esteemed at a very early date. POLYCARP, who wrote early in the second century, appears to have been acquainted with it, for his extant Epistle presents many striking coincidences of language (see the notes on Polyc. Phil. 1, 2, 4, 7, 9; the parallels are collected by Hefele Patr. Apost. p. xxvi.). It is less certain whether the passage in IGNATIUS Polyc. 5, εί τις δύναται εν άγνεία μένειν είς τιμήν τής σαρκός του Κυρίου, ἐν ἀκαυχησία μενέτω, is a reminiscence of a passage in Clement's Epistle (§ 38); though this is not improbable (see Hilgenfeld p. xxi). The language of the PSEUDO-IGNATIUS also, Ephes. 15 ουδεν λανθάνει τον Κύριον άλλά και τὰ κρυπτὰ ἡμῶν ἐγγὺς αὐτῷ ἐστιν, closely resembles a passage of Clement (§ 27). Many parallels to the Epistle of BARNABAS have also been produced (Hilgenfeld p. xix sq.), but these are unconvincing; and, even if they were so close as to suggest a historical connexion, it would still remain a question whether Clement was not indebted to the Epistle of Barnabas rather than conversely. The reputation of Clement as a letter writer among his contemporaries may be inferred from the passage in the Shepherd of HERMAS already quoted (p. 3).

The testimonies in the ages immediately following are more precise and definite, and come from the most diverse quarters. We have seen in what manner this epistle is mentioned and quoted by Hegesippus of Palestine, by Dionysius of Corinth, by Irenæus of Asia Minor and Gaul, and by Clement and Origen of Alexandria. To these witnesses we should probably add Tertullian of Carthage; for in one passage (de Resurr. carn. 12, 13) where he is speaking of the resurrection, he uses the same arguments as Clement (§§ 24, 25), appealing first to the succession of night and day, of winter and summer, and then to the marvellous resuscitation of the phœnix. Theophilus of Antioch also (ad Autol. i. 13) seems to have copied from the earlier part of this same passage (see the notes §§ 24, 25). In like manner a coincidence of expression with Clement's epistle (§ 43) in Justin Martyr (Dial. 56), where Moses is called δ μακάριος καὶ πίστος θεράπων Θεοῦ, suggests that it was known to this writer also; (see again the note on § 12). And

again the treatise of Cyprian, de Zelo et Livore, seems to betray the influence of the corresponding passage in Clement (§ 4 sq.).

Three false Clements also, who wrote during the second century, seem to have been acquainted with the genuine Epistle. The so-called Second Epistle to the Corinthians offers more than one parallel to this letter (see the notes on § 11 of the Second Epistle). The Epistles to Virgins also (see below, p. 14) seem to aim at reproducing the style of the true Clement by repeating his favourite words and expressions (see the parallels collected by Beelen, p. lx sq.). And lastly, the Epistle of Clement to James, prefixed to the Clementine Homilies, presents one coincidence at least with the genuine writing, which is probably not accidental (§ 1 ὁ τῆς δύσεως τὸ σκοτεινότερον μέρος κ.τ.λ.: see § 5 of the Epistle to the Corinthians with the note).

Early in the third century PETER of ALEXANDRIA (Routh's Rel. Sacr. III. p. 34) in his account of the Apostles Peter and Paul treads closely in the footsteps of Clement (§ 5). The testimony of Eusebius who wrote a few years later has been quoted already. Not long after him S. Basil quotes a passage from 'Clement's Epistle to the Corinthians,' which is not found in the MS but may have occurred in the lacuna (see the note at the end of § 57). His selection of examples also in his homily de Invidia (II. p. 91) may have been suggested by the parallel passage in Clement (§ 4 sq.). About the same time CYRIL OF JERU-SALEM refers to Clement by name as an authority for the story of the phoenix (Catech. xviii. 8). The writer of the Apostolic Constitutions too (v. 7), when describing this bird, though he does not mention his authority, obviously has the passage of Clement in his mind, as the coincidence of language shows. In the same way the descriptions of the phoenix in S. Ambrose (Hexaem. v. 23, I. p. 110; in Ps. cxviii. Expos. xix. § 13, 1. p. 1212; de Fide resurr. 59, 11. p. 1149) so closely resemble the account of Clement, that they must be derived from this father directly or indirectly. On the other hand, when EPIPHANIUS handles the same subject (Ancorat. 85, 11. p. 86), he presents no striking parallels, and his account of the marvellous bird would seem to be derived from some other source. It will be seen presently that, when he refers to the genuine epistle, he does so at second hand, and betrays no personal knowledge of it. A little later JEROME quotes this letter more than once (see below, p. 16). We are thus brought to the beginning of the fifth century. If the PSEUDO-JUSTIN (Quæst, et Resp. ad Orthod. 74) may be assigned to this age, we have another witness of about the same date; for he also alleges the authority of 'the blessed Clement in the Epistle to the Corinthians' (see the note after § 57).

About the close of the sixth century it is quoted by LEONTIUS and JOHN (Sacr. Rer. lib. 11. 5 in Mai's Script. Vet. Nov. Coll. VII. p. 84), and in the seventh by MAXIMUS the CONFESSOR (Sermon. 49). It is a wrong inference however (in Hilgenfeld p. xxv, and others), that a passage of Antiochus Palæstinensis (Hom. xliii. in Bibl. Vet. Patr. 1. p. 1097, Paris 1624) is founded on the language of Clement (§ 13), for the words of Antiochus are much nearer to the original LXX (I Sam. ii. 10) than to Clement's quotation. In the eighth century John of Damascus more than once quotes this epistle (see the notes on §§ 33, 57), and in the ninth Photius (Bibl. 126; comp. 113) mentions having read both Epistles to the Corinthians, and criticises them at some length (see the notes on §§ 2, 17, 20, 25, 36). In the eleventh century the genuine letter is cited by Nicon of Rhæthus (see §§ 14, 46), and in the twelfth by Antonius Melissa (see § 48).

But more important than the fact of its being quoted with respect by individual writers is the liturgical position which it held. this word rather than canonical, because there is no evidence to show that it was ever placed by any respectable writer in the same category or invested with the same authority as the canonical books of Scripture. The Church of Corinth to which it was addressed, soon after the middle of the second century, and probably earlier, read it from time to time in the congregation, as they also read another letter which they had just recently received from the same Church of Rome (see p. 3): nor is there any reason for supposing that they attached more weight to the one document than to the other. This use however seems soon to have extended beyond the Church of Corinth. In the fourth century Eusebius (H. E. iii. 16) speaks of it from personal knowledge (ἔγνωμεν) as 'read publicly in very many churches both in former times and in his own day' (èv πλείσταις εκκλησίαις επὶ τοῦ κοινοῦ δεδημοσιευμένην πάλαι τε καὶ καθ A generation or two later S. Jerome, speaking more cautiously and perhaps without any direct knowledge, says (Vir. ill. 15) that it is 'read publicly in some places (in nonnullis locis publice legitur).' At all events, when Photius wrote, the practice was a thing of the past; for he describes the letter as 'a notable epistle which among many was deemed worthy of reception so as even to be read in public' (ήτις παρά πολλοίς ἀποδοχής ήξιώθη ώς καὶ δημοσία ἀναγινώσκεσθαι. Bibl. 113).

For this purpose however, it was sometimes for convenience bound up with the books of the Canon. So we find it in the Alexandrian Ms of the Greek Bible. But the position which it there occupies separates it from the canonical Scriptures; for it comes after the Apo-

calypse, itself followed by the so-called Second Epistle of Clement and this Second Epistle by the spurious Psalms of Solomon; whereas its proper place, if regarded as strictly canonical, would have been with the Apostolic Epistles and before the Apocalypse. When moreover it is remembered that in this Ms even Christian hymns are appended to the Psalms of David in the Old Testament for ecclesiastical purposes, it will be seen that no canonical authority is implied by the fact that the Epistles of Clement are added to the sacred volume. other hand it must be remarked, that in the enumeration of the books of the New Testament in this Ms these two epistles are comprised, while the Psalms of Solomon are excluded (see below, p. 22). There is no evidence that Dionysius of Corinth who first mentions the public reading of the genuine epistle, or Clement of Alexandria who quotes it so often, regarded it as canonical. The language of the former is against any such supposition; and the latter cites so freely from all writings, Heathen as well as Christian, that the mere fact of his quoting it frequently implies nothing. He cites the 'Apostle Clement,' as he cites the 'Apostle Barnabas,' one of whose interpretations he nevertheless criticises and condemns with a freedom which he would not have allowed himself in dealing with writings regarded by him as strictly canonical (see the notes on Barnab. § 10). It is remarkable too that Eusebius, while he calls Clement's epistle 'great and marvellous,' and (as quoted above, p. 11) speaks of its being publicly read in very many churches, yet in the two passages where he discusses the Canon of Scripture and distinguishes the acknowledged from the disputed and spurious books (H. E. iii. 3, and iii. 24, 25) does not allude to it; though elsewhere (H. E. vi. 13) he names it with several others among the ἀντιλεγόμενα quoted by Clement of Alexandria. We may infer from this silence that its claims to a place in the New Testament were not very seriously entertained in his day (see Westcott History of the Canon pp. 371, 373, 2nd ed.). The same remark applies to the canon of Athanasius (Epist. Fest. 39, 1. p. 767) who, after giving a list of the veritable Scriptures, at the close expressly excludes the Doctrine of the Apostles ascribed to our Clement and the Shepherd of Hermas, but does not mention the Epistles of Clement; and to other later lists (e.g. Bibl. Bodl. Barocc. 206; see Westcott Canon p. 500). logue in the Canons attached to the eighth book of the Apostolic Constitutions, which probably dates from the sixth century, is an exception; for there the Two Epistles of Clement are included together with the Apostolic Constitutions themselves (Κλήμεντος ἐπιστολαὶ δύο καὶ αἱ διαταγαὶ ὑμῖν τοῖς ἐπισκόποις δι' ἐμοῦ Κλήμεντος ἐν ὀκτώ βιβλίοις προσπεφωrημέναι); but this manifest forgery never carried any authority. It is however commented upon (c. A.D. 1165) by Alexius Aristenus de Can. Apost. 85 (Beveridge Synodicon I. p. 53, Oxon. 1672) and (c. A.D. 1335) by Matthæus Blastaris Syntagma B. 11 (ib. 11. ii. p 56), of whom the former accepts and the latter rejects the Epistles of Clement as Scripture (see Credner's Gesch des N. T. Kanon, ed. Volkmar pp. 252, 254).

Early in the ninth century Nicephorus of Constantinople († A.D. 828) includes the two Epistles of Clement, not among the disputed books, among which he places the Epistle of Barnabas, but among the apocryphal with the Itinerary of Peter, the Gospel of Thomas, etc. (Westcott Canon p. 503). Altogether a perusal of these lists leaves the impression that these two Epistles of Clement had not the same quasicanonical place which was given to the Shepherd of Hermas in the West, and to the Epistle of Barnabas in Alexandria and some Eastern Churches. In the Latin Church they were necessarily unknown, except to the learned few, if (as seems to have been the case) they were never translated. Their absence from the numerous Latin lists of canonical and apocryphal books confirms this opinion. Thus, if they had been generally known in the West, they could hardly have failed to be included in the very miscellaneous and comprehensive list of apocryphal works condemned in the Gelasian decree. The two Epistles of Clement mentioned in the Liber Pontificalis are probably not our Epistles to the Corinthians (as Cotelier and others suppose), but the two spurious Epistles to James (see below, p. 19).

X

4

The works ascribed to Clement of Rome fall into four groups; (1) The Apostolic Constitutions, etc.; (2) The Liturgy; (3) The Homilies, Recognitions, and other works professing to give a narrative of St Peter's preaching; (4) The Letters. The most complete collection of the Clementine works, genuine and spurious, will be found in Migne's Patrologia Graca, Tom. 1, 11.

With the first three groups we are not concerned here: but a short account of the *Letters* will not be out of place, since the notices and references to them are sometimes perplexing. The extant letters, which bear the name of this father, are nine in number.

1. The First Epistle to the Corinthians, a genuine work, to which this introduction refers and of which the text is given below. I cannot



find any indications that it was ever translated into Latin before the seventeenth century; and, if so, it must have been a sealed book to the Western Church¹. This supposition is consistent with the facts already brought forward; for no direct quotation from it is found in any Latin father who was unacquainted with Greek. When the Church of Rome ceased to be Greek and became Latin, it was cut off perforce from its earliest literature. The one genuine writing of the only illustrious representative of the early Roman Church was thus forgotten by his spiritual descendants, and its place supplied by forgeries written in Latin or translated from spurious Greek originals. In the same way the genuine Epistles of Ignatius were supplanted first by spurious and interpolated Greek letters, and ultimately by a wretched and transparent Latin forgery, containing a correspondence with the Virgin, by which chiefly or solely this father was known in the Western Church for some generations.

- 2. The Second Epistle to the Corinthians, a spurious but very early work, perhaps written as soon as the middle of the second century. It is printed below, and its date and character will be discussed in the introduction. I need only say here that it early obtained a place after the genuine Epistle (though not without being questioned), as appears from the notice of Eusebius (H. E. iii. 38) and from its position in the Alexandrian Ms.
- ¹ A quotation or rather a paraphrastic abridgment of Clement's account of the institution of the ministry (§ 44) is given by one Joannes (6th cent.?) a Roman deacon with the heading In Epistola Sancti Clementis ad Corinthios (Spicil. Solesm. 1. p. 293). Pitra, the learned editor, (pp. lvii, 293) suggests that this John must have got the quotation from a Latin translation of the epistle by Paulinus of Nola, adding 'A Paulino Nolano conditam fuisse Clementinam versionem tam Paulinus ipse (Epist. xlvi) quam Gennadius (Catal. xlviii) diserte testatur.' I do not understand the reference to Gennadius, who says nothing which could be construed into such a statement. The reference in the passage of Paulinus' own letter addressed to Rufinus (Epist. xlvi. § 2, p. 275) is obscure. He says that he has no opportunity of getting a more thorough knowledge of Greek, as Rufinus urges him; that, if he saw more of Rufinus, he might learn from him; and that in his

translation of S. Clement he had guessed at the sense where he could not understand the words. His commentator Rosweyd supposes him to allude to the Recognitions, which Rufinus himself afterwards translated, not being satisfied with his friend's attempt. It seems to me more probable that Paulinus had rendered only an extract or extracts from some Clementine writing for a special purpose; for he calls Greek an 'ignotus sermo' to himself, and with this little knowledge he would hardly have attempted a long translation. Among the extracts so translated may have been this very passage, which is quoted by Joannes in illustration of the narrative in Numbers xvii. But we do not even know whether the Clement meant by Paulinus is the Alexandrian or the Roman, and all speculation must therefore be vague. At all events the loose quotation of a single very prominent passage is not sufficient evidence of the existence of a Latin version.

These two epistles generally went together and had the widest circulation in the Greek Church to very late times.

The Two Epistles on Virginity, extant only in Syriac. were first published, as an appendix to his Greek Testament, by J. J. Wetstein (Lugd. Bat. 1752), who maintained their genuineness. have found champions also in their two latest editors, Villecourt (Paris 1853) whose preface and translation are reprinted with the text in Migne's Patrologia 1. p. 350 sq., and Beelen (Louvain 1856) whose edition is in all respects the most complete: and other Roman Catholic divines have in like manner held them to be genuine. The lame arguments urged in many cases by their impugners have given to their advocates almost the appearance of a victory; but weighty objections against them still remain, unanswered and unanswerable. To say nothing of the style, which differs from that of the true Clement, the manner and frequency of the quotations from the New Testament, and the picture presented of the life and development of the Church, do not accord with the genuine epistle and point to a later age. these reasons the Epistles to Virgins can hardly have been written before the middle of the second century. At the same time they bear the stamp of high antiquity, and in the opinion of some competent writers (e.g. Westcott Canon p. 162, Hefele in Wetzer u. Welte's Kirchen-Lexicon II. p. 586) cannot be placed much later than this date. As they seem to have emanated from Syria, and the Syrian Church changed less rapidly than the Greek or the Western, it is perhaps safer to relax the limits of the possible date to the beginning of the third century.

The MS which contains them is now in the Library of the Seminary of the Remonstrants at Amsterdam (no. 184) and is fully described by Beelen. It forms the second volume of a copy of the Syriac New Testament, bears the date 1781 (i. e. A.D. 1470), and was brought to Europe from Aleppo in the last century. It is written in Syriac and Carshunic, and includes other books of the New Testament besides those which have a place in the Peshito Canon. After the books comprised in this Canon, of which the Epistle to the Hebrews stands last, the scribe has added a doxology and a long account of himself and the circumstances under which the MS was written. Then follow in the same handwriting 2 Peter, 2, 3 John, and Jude, from the Philoxenian version; and immediately after these in succession 'The First Epistle of the blessed Clement, the disciple of Peter the Apostle,' and 'The Second Epistle of the same Clement.' Thus the two Epistles on Virginity hold the same position in this late

Syrian copy which is held by the two Epistles to the Corinthians in the ancient Greek Ms. This is possibly due to a mistake. A Syrian transcriber, finding the 'Two Epistles of Clement' mentioned at the end of some list of canonical books, might suppose that the two letters with which alone he was acquainted were meant, and thus assign to them this quasi-canonical position in his Ms.

Though the fact has been questioned, there can be no reasonable doubt that these two epistles were known to Epiphanius and accepted by him as genuine. Arguing against those heretics who received the Itinerary of Peter as a genuine writing of Clement (Hær. xxx. 15, p. 139), he urges that 'Clement himself refutes them on all points from the encyclical letters which he wrote and which are read in the holy churches (ἀφ' ὧν ἔγραψεν ἐπιστολῶν ἐγκυκλίων τῶν έν ταις άγίαις ἐκκλησίαις ἀναγινωσκομένων); for his faith and discourse have a different stamp from the spurious matter fathered upon his name by these persons in the Itinerary. He himself teaches virginity, and they do not admit it; he himself praises Elias and David and Samson and all the prophets, whom these men abominate.' This is an exact description in all respects of the Epistles to Virgins; while on the other hand the letters to the Corinthians (not to mention that they could not properly be called 'encyclical') contain no special praise of virginity (for the passages § 38 ο άγνος κ.τ.λ. and § 48 ήτω άγνὸς κ.τ.λ. are not exceptions) but speak of the duties of married life (§ 1, 21), and make no mention at all of Samson. Indeed it appears highly probable that Epiphanius had no acquaintance with the Epistles to the Corinthians. He once alludes to the genuine letter, but not as though he himself had seen it. 'Clement,' he writes (Har. xxvii. 6, p. 107), 'in one of his epistles says, 'Αναχωρώ, ἄπειμι, ἐνσταθήτω (l. εὐσταθείτω) ὁ λαὸς τοῦ Θεοῦ, giving this advice to certain persons: for I have found this noted down in certain memoranda (ηυρομεν γαρ εν τισιν ύπομνηματισμοῖς τοῦτο εγκείμενον).' This is doubtless meant for a passage in the genuine epistle (§ 54). But the quotation is loose, and the reference vague. Moreover Epiphanius states that he got it at second hand: for I suppose that by ὑπομνηματισμοὶ he must mean some common place book which had fallen into his hands.

To Jerome also these epistles were known. He must be referring to them when he writes (adv. Jovin. i. 12, 11. p. 257), 'Ad hos (i.e. eunuchos) et Clemens successor Apostoli Petri, cujus Paulus Apostolus meminit, scribit epistolas, omnemque fere sermonem suum de virginitatis puritate contexit.' On the other hand it is strange that in his Catalogue of Christian writers (§ 15) he mentions only the two

Epistles to the Corinthians. Here indeed, as in other parts of this treatise, he copies Eusebius implicitly; but as he proffers his own opinion ('quæ mihi videtur') of the resemblance between the First Epistle of Clement and the Epistle to the Hebrews (though even this opinion exactly coincides with the statement of Eusebius), and as moreover in several other passages he quotes from the genuine letter (in Is. lii. 13, IV. p. 612; ad Ephes. ii. 2, VII. p. 571; ad Ephes. iv. I, VII. p. 606), it is most probable that he had himself read it. The quotations, if they had stood alone, he might possibly have borrowed from earlier commentators.

Epiphanius was intimately connected with Syria and Palestine, and I erome spent some time there. Both these fathers therefore would have means of acquainting themselves with books circulated in these churches. As regards the latter, we must suppose that he first became acquainted with the Epistles to Virgins in the not very long interval between the publication of the Catalogue and of the work against Iovinianus: and, as this interval was spent at Bethlehem, the supposition is reasonable. The alternative is, that in writing against Iovinianus he for polemical purposes assumed the genuineness of these Clementine letters, which he had silently ignored a year or two before. Besides the references in Epiphanius and Jerome, the 'First Epistle on Virginity' is quoted also by Timotheus of Alexandria (A.D. 535) in his work against the Council of Chalcedon, of which parts are preserved in a Syriac translation (Cureton Corp. Ign. pp. 212, 244, 354). But it would appear that these epistles were not known or not commonly known westward of these regions. Even Eusebius betrays no knowledge of them. The fact which Epiphanius mentions, that they were read in the churches, is noteworthy, if true. In this case the reading would probably be confined to a few congregations in Syria and Palestine. But it is possible that he carelessly repeats a notice which he had read elsewhere and which in his original authority referred not to these, but to the two Epistles to the Corinthians. The existing Syriac text is doubtless a translation from a Greek original, as the phenomena of the letters themselves suggest (see Beelen p. lxiii), and as the references in these fathers seem to require. The writing or writings of Clement mentioned in Ebed-Jesu's Catalogue (Assemani Bibl. Orient. III. p. 13) may be these epistles, but the allusion is more probably to the Apostolic Constitutions.

5. The Epistle to James the Lord's brother, giving an account of S. Clement's appointment by S. Peter as his successor in the see of Rome, and containing also the Apostle's directions relating to the CLEM.

functions of church-officers and the general administration of the Church. Whether this letter was originally prefixed to the Homilies • or to the Recognitions or to some other work of the Petro-Clementine cycle different from either, is still a moot question. Under any circumstances its date can hardly be earlier than the middle of the second century or much later than the beginning of the third. In the original Greek it is now found prefixed to the Homilies in the MSS, and may be read conveniently in the editions of this work (e.g. Dressel or Lagarde). About the end of the fourth century it was translated into Latin by Rufinus. In the preface to the Recognitions, which he afterwards translated, he mentions this fact, and excuses himself from again reproducing it partly on this ground. Not unnaturally his translation of the one came to be attached to his translation of the other: and the letter is often found in the MSS prefixed or affixed to the larger work. In the earliest known Ms of the Recognitions (Vercell. I. clviii), belonging to the sixth or seventh century, the letter follows the main work. Notwithstanding its questionable doctrine, this epistle is quoted as genuine by the synod of Vaison (Concilium Vasense; see Mansi Conc. vi. p. 454) held A.D. 442, and is cited occasionally by popes and synods from this time onward.

Besides many important questions relating to the early history of Christianity which are connected with this letter, it is interesting also as having been made the starting point of the most momentous and gigantic of mediæval forgeries, the Isidorian Decretals. In its first form, as left by Rufinus, the Latin ends 'sub eo titulo quem ipse (i.e. Petrus) præcepit affigi, id est Clementis Itinerarium Prædicationis Petri¹; sed et nunc jam exponere quæ præcepit incipiam,' in accordance with the Greek. But when incorporated in the false Decretals, where it stands at the head of the pontifical letters, it is extended to more than twice its original length by some additional instructions of S. Peter for which the words 'exponere quæ præcepit incipiam' furnish the occasion, and ends 'regni ejus mereamur esse consortes.' In this longer form it may be read conveniently in Mansi Concilia I. p. 91 (Flor. 1759),

As this title is sometimes read 'Clementis Itinerarium non Prædicationis Petri' (so Cotelier Patr. Ap. I. p. 620), and as arguments respecting the letter have been built upon this fact (e.g. Uhlhorn Homil. u. Recogn. p. 82, Hilgenfeld Nov. Test. extr. Can. Rec. IV. p. 53), I may say that of some 30 Mss which I have examined, only one (Brussels 5220, 10th

cent.) has the negative; that it is absent in the oldest of all (*Vercelli* 1. clviii); and that it must therefore be regarded as a mere interpolation, whether by accident or from design. In the Brussels MS the epistle occurs as one of the Decretal letters; but even in such copies I have not elsewhere found the negative.

or in Migne's Patrol. Græc. 1. p. 463, where all the Decretal letters bearing the name of Clement are printed.

6. A Second Epistle to James, relating to the administration of the eucharist, to church furniture, etc. The date of this forgery is uncertain, but it is evidently much later than the former. It would form a very obvious sequel to the earlier letter which spoke of ecclesiastical officers, and was doubtless suggested by it. As no Greek original is known to exist, and it appears to have been written in Latin, its date must at all events be after Rufinus' translation of the First Letter to James, i.e. not before the beginning of the fifth century.

This letter is generally found in company with the preceding, and sometimes the two are attached to copies of the Recognitions, but this only occurs in comparatively late MSS. Like the First Epistle to James, this also was incorporated in the false Decretals, forming the second in the series of pontifical letters; and for this purpose it appears to have been interpolated and enlarged in a similar manner. In its shorter form it begins 'Clemens Jacobo carissimo,' and ends 'damnationem accipiet (or acquiret)': in its longer form the opening generally runs 'Clemens Romanæ ecclesiæ præsul,' and the ending is 'reverentissime frater [Amen].' The two forms will be found in Mansi Conc. I. pp. 126, 158.

When attached to the Recognitions, the two letters to James have almost universally the shorter form, as might be expected. Among a large number of Mss of the Recognitions which I have examined, I have only found one exception, *Turin* D. 111. 17 (cod. cc, Passini), where they are so attached in the longer form, though probably other examples exist.

The MSS of these two epistles, both separate from and attached to the Recognitions, are very numerous; and in the Latin Church after the age of S. Jerome, when the 'Two Epistles of Clement' are mentioned, we may generally assume that the reference is to these. Such, I can hardly doubt, is the case in the 'Liber Pontificalis,' where in the

¹ The sources of these false Decretals are investigated by Knust de Fontibus et Consilio Pseudoisid. Coll., Göttingen 1832. For the literature of the subject generally see Migne's Patrol. Lat. CXXX. p. xxiv. Rosshirt Zu den Kirchenr. Quellen etc. p. 39. Rosshirt himself (p. 47) states that the two letters to James were translated from the Greek by Rufinus. This is a mistake. In some Mss indeed the 2nd Epistle is stated to have been trans.

lated by him, but then the same statement is likewise made of one or more of the remaining three included in the false Decretals. It must therefore be regarded either as a device of the forger aiming at verisimilitude, or as an error of some transcriber carrying on the statement from the 1st Epistle to those following. Internal probability and external evidence alike are unfavourable to the supposition that Rufinus translated the second letter.

notice of Clement it is said, 'Hic fecit duas epistolas quæ canonicæ (al. catholicæ) nominantur' (Migne Patrol. Lat. CXXVII. p. 1079, CXXVIII. p. 1405)1. Indeed the writer, or a later interpolator, shortly afterwards mentions Clement's letter to James relating to his appointment to the Roman see: and there is no reason for supposing that he intended to distinguish this from the two letters already mentioned (as Cotelier and others think). Moreover the letters to James are distinctly named in another similar and apparently not independent notice in the Lives of the Roman pontiffs ascribed to Luitprand (Migne Patrol. Lat. CXXIX. p. 1153), 'Hic scripsit duas epistolas Jacobo Hierosolymorum episcopo, quæ catholicæ nominantur.' Anastasius Bibliothecarius indeed (c. A. D. 872) refers to the genuine Epistle to the Corinthians, but he must not be taken as representing the Latin Church: for he does not speak from personal knowledge, but translates, or rather mistranslates, a assage of Georgius Syncellus. The words of Georgius are τούτου ἐπιστολή μία γνησία Κορινθίοις φέρεται ώς από της 'Ρωμαίων εκκλησίας γραφείσα, στάσεως εν Κορίνθω συμβάσης τότε, ώς μαρτυρεί Ἡγήσιππος, ήτις καὶ ἐκκλησιάζεται (Chronogr. 1. p. 651, ed. Dind.). Anastasius writes 'Huius epistola fertur ad Corinthios missa, quam tota recipit, ut Egesippus testatur, ecclesia' (Hist. Eccl. p. 17, Paris 1649), where the testimony of Hegesippus is transferred to the wrong point. So little was known of the genuine epistle even by the ablest mediæval writers of the Latin Church, that in the thirteenth century S. Thomas Aguinas speaks of some Antenicene writers having attributed the Epistle to the Hebrews to Clement the pope, because 'ipse scripsit Atheniensibus quasi per omnia secundum stilum istum' (prol. ad Hebr.), and the error in the name is repeated by Nicolas of Lyra († 1340) de Libr. Bibl. Can. (see the passages in Credner's Einl. in das N. T. pp. 511, 512).

The false Decretals made their appearance in the east of France, and the date of the forgery may be fixed within narrow limits (A.D. 829 to A.D. 847)². The writer enlarged the two existing Latin letters (5 and 6) in the manner already described, and raised the whole number to five by forging three additional letters.

¹ If the reading 'canonicæ' be correct (and it is much less likely to have been substituted for 'catholicæ' than the converse) this is decisive; for the two letters to James are strictly 'canonicæ' in the technical sense, i.e. they contain ecclesiastical canons and directions. But even 'catholicæ' is more appropriate to these than to the Epistles to the Corinthians, for they are addressed to the 'bishop of

bishops' and are of Church-wide application, whereas the Corinthian letters deal with the internal feuds of a single community.

² Milman's Latin Christianity, II. p. 303 sq. The history of the appearance and reception of these false Decretals is given fully by Gfrörer Gesch. der Ost- u. Westfränk. Carolinger, I. p. 71 sq.

These three Clementine forgeries of the ninth century are:

- 7. A letter addressed 'omnibus coepiscopis presbyteris diaconis ac reliquis clericis et cunctis principibus majoribus minoribusve, etc.'
- 8. Another beginning 'Clemens Romanæ urbis episcopus carissimis fratribus Julio et Juliano ac reliquis consodalibus nostris gentibus que quæ circa vos sunt.'
- 9. A third 'Dilectissimis fratribus et condiscipulis Hierosolymis cum carissimo fratre Jacobo coepiscopo habitantibus Clemens episcopus.'

These three letters require no comment.

If the above account be correct, it follows that the 'two letters of Clement' would be differently understood in different branches of the Church. To the Greek they would suggest the two Epistles to the Corinthians; to the Latin the two addressed to James; and to the Syrian probably the two in praise of virginity. It is stated likewise by Abulbarcatus (as represented by Assemani, Bibl. Orient. III. p. 14), that the Coptic Church also received two epistles of Clement. These might have been either those to the Corinthians or those to Virgins. great estimation in which the former were held at Alexandria, as appears from the extant Ms and the quotations of the Alexandrian fathers, would promote their circulation among the native Egyptian Christians. On the other hand the high value which was attached to celibacy in Egypt would make the Epistles on Virginity very acceptable to this Church. It will be seen presently that both sets of epistles were known to and quoted by Timotheus the patriarch of Alexandria († 535).

But the above list of nine letters probably does not comprise all which at one time or other were circulated in the name of Clement. At the beginning of the seventh century Maximus the Confessor, who (as we have seen) quotes the genuine epistle, speaking of the omissions of Eusebius, complains that he has mentioned only two epistles of this apostolic father (prol. ad Dionys. Areop. οὖτε Πανταίνου τοὺς πόνους ἀνέγραψεν, οὖτε τοῦ 'Ρωμαίου Κλήμεντος πλην δύο καὶ μόνων ἐπιστολών, i.e. no other works besides his epistles, and only two of these). And about the same time in the Sacr. Rer. Lib. II of Leontius and John (Mai, Script. Vet. Nov. Coll. VII. p. 84) the writers, after quoting a passage from the genuine First Epistle to the Corinthians, give another quotation headed 'From the ninth Epistle of Saint Clement' (τοῦ ἀγίου Κλήμεντος $\vec{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \theta' \vec{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta} s$, where Hilgenfeld's conjecture of $\theta \epsilon \iota a s$ for θ' is improbable). As not more than five of the extant epistles, including the two addressed to Virgins, can ever have existed in Greek, we must assume several lost Clementine letters. The difficulty however might





be overcome in another way, by reading e for Θ (5th for 9th) and supposing the quotation to be taken from the lost end of our Second Epistle. Again Timotheus of Alexandria, who before has quoted 'the First Epistle on Virginity,' immediately afterwards cites the opening of our Second Epistle to the Corinthians as 'Of the same Clement from the beginning of the Third Epistle' (Cureton Corp. Ign. pp. 212, 244, This shows that the Epistles were differently arranged in different collections. It is not improbable that some of the fragments, which are printed below after the text of the two Epistles to the Corinthians, belonged to these lost letters. Their homiletic tone, if not in harmony with a genuine letter, is quite in character with a forgery. The Epistle of Clement, to which Dionysius Barsalibi alludes as written against those who reject matrimony (so he is reported by Assemani, Bibl. Orient. 11. p. 158), may have been one of these; but as the First Epistle to James urges very strongly the importance of early marriages (§ 7), I am disposed to think that he referred to this. This opinion is confirmed by the language of Epiphanius quoted above, p. 16.

5.

Of the Two Epistles to the Corinthians, the one genuine and the other spurious, only one MS exists or is known to have existed since the revival of learning. From this therefore all the printed texts are derived. In the Alexandrian MS (A) of the Greek Bible these two Epistles stand (fol. 159 a) at the close of the New Testament and immediately after the Apocalypse. The title of the First is mutilated, so that it begins ... c kopindioyc a. It ends towards the bottom of fol. 168 a. col. 1; and below is written

κλημεντος προς κο Είνθιος το επίστο λη

A.

The Second commences fol. 168 a. col. 2, without any heading. As the end leaves of the MS are wanting, this Second Epistle is only a fragment and terminates abruptly in the middle of a sentence (fol. 169 b). Both epistles are included in the table of contents prefixed by the scribe to the MS (see Baber's Codex Alexandrinus I. tab. IV), where the list of books under the heading H KAINH ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗ ends thus:

 αποκαλγψί[cιωα]νηογ

 κ[λΗ]μεντος[επιστο]λη α

 [κλημ]εντος[πιστολη] β

 [ομ]ογβιβλια[.....]

 ψαλμ[ο]ισολομ[ω]ντος

 ϊΗ

As the edges of the leaves are worn in many places and the vellum is in other parts very fragile, words or parts of words have occasionally disappeared. Moreover the use of galls by the first editor, Patrick Young, has rendered some passages wholly or in part illegible. addition to this, a leaf is wanting towards the close of the First Epistle, between fol. 167 and fol. 168 (i. e. between § 57 and § 58). The hiatus is detected by the numerals in ancient Arabic characters at the tops of the pages, where 132 (fol. 167) is followed immediately by 134 (fol. 168). My attention was first called to this fact respecting the Arabic numerals by Mr H. Bradshaw of the Cambridge University Library; and it has since been noticed by Tischendorf (p. xv). The first editor, Patrick Young, had said 'Desideratur hic in exemplari antiquo folium integrum.' Bp. Jacobson accounts for this statement by remarking 'Forte codicem conferre contigit priusquam a bibliopego Anglico præscissus fuerat et in corio compactus,' which was perhaps the case. It is strange however that the Arabic numerals, which set the question at rest, should have been so long overlooked. The lacuna accounts for the fact that a few quotations from Clement's Epistle to the Corinthians, which occur in ancient writers, are not found in the existing text.

The Alexandrian MS was presented to Charles I by Cyril Lucar, patriarch first of Alexandria and then of Constantinople, and brought to England in the year 1628. It was transferred from the King's Library and placed in the British Museum, where it now is, in 1753. The Epistles of Clement are written in the same hand with the rest of the MS, and the whole may be assigned to about the middle of the 5th century. More detailed accounts of the MS, as a whole, will be found in the well known introductions to the New Testament (e.g. Tregelles Horne's Introduction to the N. T. p. 152 sq., or Scrivener Introduction to the Criticism of the N. T. p. 79).

The Epistles of Clement are transcribed with tolerable but not strict accuracy, and the lacunæ supplied for the most part with felicity, by

the first editor, Patricius Junius (Patrick Young), A.D. 1633. But an editio princeps necessarily left much to be done. Collations were accordingly made by Mill and Grabe; and Wotton, in preparing his edition (A.D. 1718), not only employed these collations, but also examined the Ms itself. Lastly, Dr Jacobson (1st ed. 1838) recollated it throughout and corrected many inaccuracies which had run through previous editions. Hitherto however, while facsimiles had been made of the text of the New Testament in this MS by Woide (1786) and subsequently of the Old by Baber (1816-1821), nothing of the kind had been done for the Epistles of Clement, though here the But in the year 1856 Sir F. Madden, the keeper of the Ms is unique. MSS at the British Museum, owing to a memorial from the Divinity Professors and others of Oxford and Cambridge and by permission of the Trustees of the Museum, published a photograph of this portion of the Ms. Hilgenfeld, the latest editor of these epistles (1866), seems to have been unaware of the existence of this photograph, though it had appeared ten years before; but in a foreigner this ignorance was very excusable. Where the MS has not been injured by time or by the application of galls, the photograph is all that could be desired; but passages which have suffered in this way may often be read accurately in the Ms itself, though wholly illegible in the photograph. For this reason Tischendorf's reproduction of these epistles, published in his Appendix Codicum Celeberrimorum Sinaitici, Vaticani, Alexandrini (Lips. 1867), was not superfluous, but supplied fresh materials for a more accurate text. Before I was aware that Tischendorf was engaged upon this facsimile, I had with a view to this edition procured a new and thorough collation of the text of these epistles through the kindness of Mr A. A. Vansittart, who at my request undertook the work; and we found that notwithstanding the labours of previous editors the gleanings were still a sufficient reward for the trouble. On the appearance of Tischendorf's facsimile, I compared it with Mr Vansittart's collation, and found that they agreed in the great majority of instances where there was a divergence from previous editors (e.g. in the reading τίς ἀρκετὸς ἐξειπεῖν § 49, where the printed texts have hitherto read τίς ἀρκεῖ ὡς δεῖ εἰπεῖν). In some readings however they differed: and in such cases I have myself inspected the MS (repeating the inspection at three different times, where the writing was much defaced), in order to get the result as accurate as possible. There still remain however a few passages where the MS is so injured that it is impossible to determine the reading with certainty. Tischendorf's text contains several errors, which however are for the most part corrected in the preface. A few

still remain, of which the most important is διακονιαν (§ 35), where the ms has διανοιαν, as even the photograph shows.

On the whole the MS appears to give a good text. The shortcomings of the scribe are generally such that they can be easily corrected; for they arise from petty carelessness and ignorance, and not from perverse ingenuity. Thus there are errors of the ordinary type arising from repetition or omission, where the same letters recur, e.g. § 2. αμαμνησικακοι, § 11 ετερογνωμοσ[?], § 12 υποτοτοέγοσ, § 17 δομενου, § 19 ταπεινοφρονον, § 25 τελευτηκοτοσ, § 32 ημερασ, § 35 μον, αδελφουσσου, § 48 διακριακρισει, § 50 μακακαριοι, ii § 9 αιωνιον (for αινοναιωνιον), ii § 11 ασουκ (for ασουσουκ): there is the usual substitution of wrong caseendings, arising mostly from confusion with the context, e. g. § 3 τησ, § 16 ελθοντοσ, § 19 αλλασ, § 32 του, § 43 κεκοσμημενω, § 44 μεμαρτυρημενοισ, ii § 1 εχοντεσ, ii § 6 αιχμαλωσια; there is now and then a transposition, e.g. § 4 ζηλοσ and διαζηλοσ, § 39 σητον[?] τροποσ for σητοστροπον; there are also several paltry blunders of omission or miswriting or substitution, which cannot be classed under any of these heads, e.g. § 2 εδεδετο, πεποιηθησεωσ, § 3 δοθη, απεγαλακτισεν, § 8 διελεχθωμεν, § 10 πιστισ, § 15 αναστησομεν, § 16 εψεται, § 20 κρυματα, § 21 εγκαυχωμενοιεν, § 23 εξαιχνησ, § 25 μονογενησ, § 29 αριθον, § 30 αγνουσ, εδεηθη, § 33 εγγοισ, § 34 λιτουργουν, § 35 καταλιλιασ, φιλοξενιαν, § 38 τμμελειτω, § 41 συνειδησιν, καταξιωθημεν, § 44 μετοξυ, μεταγαγετε, § 45 επιτασθαι, στυητοι, § 51 οι, § 56 ουκοψεται, § 59 ανεπεμψατε, ii § 7 θι, ii § 9 πουντες: there is lastly the common phenomenon of debased and ungrammatical forms, e.g. § 1 ασφαλην, § 14 ασεβην, § 15 κατηρουντο [?], § 18 πλυνιεισ, § 26 (comp. ii. § 8) σαρκαν, §§ 1, 29 επιεικην, § 40 υπερτατω, § 42 καθεστανον, § 59 επιποθητην, ii § 1 ελπιδαν, ii § 12 δηλοσ, with several others, though in some cases they may be attributed to the author rather than the scribe. In the instances which I have given the correct text is generally obvious. But one or two deeper corruptions remain, where emendation is more difficult; e. g. § 2 συνειδησεωσ, § 6 δαηαιδεσκαιδιρκαι, § 45 επαφροι.

This ms also exhibits the usual interchanges of like-sounding vowels and diphthongs; of o and ω, as § 48 εξομολογησωμαι, § 54 τοπωσ, ii § 4 αυτων, and on the other hand, § 25 βασταζον, § 45 ειπομεν, ii § 6 οιομεθα; of η and ι, as § 1 αιφνηδιουσ, καθικουσαν, § 4 ηυλησθησαν, § 8 προστηθεισ, § 39 μυκτιρηζουσιν, § 47 προσκλησεισ, ii § 10 ηληκην; of ε and αι, as § 14 αιπερομενον (for επαιρομενον), § 6 οσταιων, § 10 οραιων, §§ 21, 52 ναιουσ, ναιον, §§ 25, 26, ορναιον, ορναιου, § 39 επεσεν (for ἔπαισεν), § 4 παιδιον, παιδιω, (for πεδίον, πεδίω), § 2, 9, 18, 22, ii § 3 ελαιοσ, ελαιουσ, etc. (for ἔλεος, ἐλέους, etc.); and lastly, of ι and ει, e.g. § 26 το μεγαλιον τησ επαγγε-

λειασ, § 27 ποιησειν for ποιησιν, § 40 λειτουργειασ but § 41 λιτουργιασ and § 44 λιτουργειασ, § 2 ειλεικρινεισ but § 32 ιλικριν[ωσ] and ii. § 9 ιλικρινουσ, § 14 στασισ for στασεισ but §§ 6, 44, ερεισ for ερισ. In all such cases I have substituted the ordinary classical spelling: but when we call to mind that half a century later the heretic Marcus (Iren. Hær. I. 15. I, Hippol. Ref. vi. 49) founds a theory on the fact that σιγη contains five letters (CEUTH) and Χριστὸς eight (χρειστος), and that about this very time the Roman biographer confuses Χριστὸς and Χρηστὸς (Suet. Claud. 25), we cannot feel at all sure that Clement might not in this respect have allowed himself the same latitude in spelling which we find in our scribe.

The difficulty of filling in the lacunæ, where the Ms is worn or defaced, is not the least which an editor of these epistles encounters. In supplying the missing words and letters, I have in each case named the critic who (so far as I could discover) first suggested the reading which I have adopted as the best. Where no other name is mentioned, the first editor, Patrick Young, is to be understood. I think it will be allowed that Mr Vansittart has correctly divined the opening of § 58, of which editors had hitherto despaired.

In establishing the text we are occasionally assisted by the quotations in the fathers. The references to these will be given in their respective places. The citations of Clement of Alexandria are especially valuable, from their number, their length, and their early date: and we are more than once enabled by their means to correct errors in the Ms. Whether other Mss may not yet be discovered, it is impossible to say. Tischendorf (p. xv) mentions an eager chase after a palimpsest reported to be at Ferrara, which turned out after all to be a copy of the legendary life of Clement. The unwary may be deceived by seeing 'Clementis Epistolæ duæ' entered in the catalogues of Mss in some of the great libraries of Europe. These are the two Latin Epistles to James.

It should be added in conclusion, that a record is preserved of a

MS of these epistles of a different character from our extant MS. In the copy which Photius used (Bibl. 126) the two Epistles of Clement were bound up in a small volume (βιβλιδάριον) with the Epistle of Polycarp to the Philippians.

6.

The Literature connected with the Epistle.

EDITIONS.

- *1633 Oxon. Clementis ad Corinthios Epistola Prior; PATRICIUS JUNIUS (P. Young). The 'editio princeps'. After the 1st Epistle is added Fragmentum Epistola Secunda ex eodem MS, but it is not named on the title page.
 - 1637 Oxon. A second edition of the same.
 - 1654 Helmest. Clementis ad Corinthios Epistola Prior; J. J. MADER: taken from Young's edition. Some introductory matter is prefixed, and the 2nd Epistle is added as in Young.
 - J. Fell (the name however is not given). The 2nd Epistle is wanting.
 - 1677 Oxon. A 2nd edition of the same. Clementis ad Corinthios Epistola II is added, but not named on the title page. The name of the editor is still suppressed.
- *1672 Paris. SS. Patrum qui temporibus Apostolicis floruerunt etc.

 Opera etc.; J. B. Cotelerius (Cotelier).
 - 1698 Antverp. The same: 'recensuit J. CLERICUS' (Leclerc).
 - 1724 Amstelæd. Another edition of Cotelier by Leclerc. The notes of W. Burton and J. Davies are here printed with others, some of them for the first time.
 - 1687 Londini. S. Clementis Epistolæ duæ ad Corinthios etc.; P. COLOMESIUS (Colomiès).
 - 1695 Londini. The same; 'editio novissima, prioribus longe auctior'.
- 1699 Lipsiæ. Bibliotheca Patrum Apostolicorum Græco-Latina; L. T. ITTIGIUS.
- *1718 Cantabr. Sancti Clementis Romani ad Corinthios Epistolæ duæ;
 H. WOTTON. See above, p. 24. This edition contains notes by J. Bois, Canon of Ely, not before edited.
 - 1721 Paris. Epistolæ Romanorum Pontificum etc.; P. Coustant.
 - 1796 Gotting. The same, re-edited by C. T. G. Schoenemann.

- 1742 Basil. Epistolæ Sanctorum Patrum Apostolicorum etc.; J. L. FREY.
- 1746 Londini. SS. Patrum Apostolicorum etc. Opera Genuina etc.; R. Russel.
- 1765 Venet. Bibliotheca Veterum Patrum etc. (I. p. 3 sq.); A. GAL-LANDIUS. The editor has availed himself of a treatise by A. Birr, Animadversiones in B. Clementis Epistolas, Basil. 1744.
- 1839 Tubing. Patrum Apostolicorum Opera; C. J. HEFELE. The 4th ed. appeared in 1855.
- *1840 Oxon. S. Clementis Romani, S. Ignatii, S. Polycarpi, Patrum Apostolicorum, quæ supersunt; Gul. Jacobson. See above, p. 24. The 4th edition appeared in 1863.
 - 1857 Lipsiæ. Patrum Apostolicorum Opera; A. R. M. Dressel.

 The so called 2nd edition (1863) is a mere reissue, with the addition of a collation of the Sinaitic text of Barnabas and Hermas.
- *1866 Lipsiæ. Clementis Romani Epistulæ etc.; A. HILGENFELD. It forms the first part of the Novum Testamentum extra Canonem Receptum.

To these editions should perhaps be added such translations as those by Wake (revised by Chevallier, Cambr. 1833) into English, and by Wocher (Tübing. 1830) into German.

The above list is not intended to be exhaustive; but I have not (except from ignorance) omitted any edition which has contributed in any degree to the criticism or exegesis of the epistle. Mere reproductions have been omitted. Viewed by this standard, the list will appear too large rather than too meagre. The most important works are those marked with an asterisk. Further details about editions and translations will be found in Fabricius *Bibl. Grac.* IV. p. 829 sq. (ed. Harles), and Jacobson's *Patres Apostolici* p. lxiv sq.

Monographs, Articles, etc.

- 1848 Clemens I Papst; Hefele in Wetzer u. Welte's Kirchen-Lexicon (II. p. 580 sq.).
- 1851 Clément de Rome; KAYSER in the Revue de Théologie etc. II. p. 85 sq. Strasbourg.
- 1854 Disq. Crit. et Hist. de Clementis Romani Priore ad Corinthios Epistola; E. EKKER. Traj. ad Rhen.

- 1854, 5, Der Erste Brief des Clemens Romanus an die Corinther; E. GUNDERT. In the Zeitschrift f. lutherische Theologie u. Kirche (XIV. p. 638 sq., XV. p. 29 sq., p. 450 sq.).
- 1854 Clemens von Rom; G. Uhlhorn. In Herzog's Real-Encyklopädie (11. p. 720 sq.).
- 1855 De Clementis Romani Epistola ad Corinthios Priore Disquisitio; R. A. LIPSIUS. Lipsiæ.
- 1856 Ueber Clemens von Rom und die nächste Folgezeit; G. VOLKMAR. In the Theologische Jahrbücher, v. p. 287. Tübing.
- 1863 Zur Kritik des Clemens von Rom; J. C. M. LAURENT. In the Zeitschrift f. lutherische Theologie u. Kirche (XXIV. p. 416).
- 1862 Historische Analekten aus dem ersten Briefe des Clemens Rom. an die Corinther; Knödel. In Theologische Studien u. Kritiken (1862, Hft. 1. p. 764 sq.).

Of these the most important is the monograph of Lipsius. The work of A. Kestner, Die Agape oder der geheime Weltbund der Christen von Klemens in Rom unter Domitians Regierung gestiftet (Jena, 1819), has been justly described as a romance.

GENERAL WORKS, illustrating the epistle.

(i) Apostolic Fathers:

Die Apostolischen Väter; A. HILGENFELD (1853).

The Apostolical Fathers; J. Donaldson. Being the first volume of A Critical History of Christian Literature and Doctrine (1864).

Other works are mentioned by Donaldson, p. 89.

(ii) Patristic Literature:

Cave, Dupin, Fabricius, Grabe, Lumper, Möhler, Tillemont, and others.

(iii) Church Histories:

Mosheim, Neander, Gieseler, Baur, Schaff, de Pressensé, and others.

(iv) Miscellaneous:

Entwicklungsgeschichte der Lehre von der Person Christi;
J. A. DORNER.

Histoire de la Théologie Chrétienne au Siètle Apostolique; E. REUSS (2nd ed. 1860).

The Credibility of the Gospel History; N. LARDNER.

Zur Geschichte des Kanons; K. A. CREDNER (1847).

A General Survey of the History of the Canon of the New Testament; B. F. WESTCOTT (2nd ed. 1866).

Geschichte des Neutestamentlichen Kanon; C. A. CREDNER. Edited by G. VOLKMAR.

Geschichte des Volkes Israel (Band VII); H. EWALD.

Die Anfänge der Christlichen Kirche etc.; R. ROTHE.

Die Clementinen etc.; A. SCHLIEMANN.

Das Nachapostolische Zeitalter etc.; A. Schwegler.

Die Enstehung der Altkatholischen Kirche; A. RITSCHL (2nd ed. 1857).

Das Apostolische u. das Nachapostolische Zeitalter etc.; G. V. LECHLER (2nd ed. 1857).

Hippolytus and his Age; C. C. J. Bunsen (2nd ed. 1854).

This last list might be considerably increased; but I have confined it to the works which are either most important in themselves or bear most directly on this epistle. To these should be added the more important editions of the other Clementine letters, and works relating to the pseudo-Clementine literature generally.

ΠΡΟΟ ΚΟΡΙΝΘΙΟΥΟ Α.

[Η 'ΕΚΚΛΗ] CIA τοῦ Θεοῦ ἡ παροικοῦσα [Ρώμην] τῆ ἐκκλησία τοῦ Θεοῦ τῆ πα[ροικού]ση Κόρινθον, κλη-

Throughout this Epistle the brackets [] mark the portions which have perished or are illegible in the MS and have been supplied by conjecture: see above, p. 26.

TIPOC KOPINBIOYC &. For the title of this epistle in the MS see above p. 22.

'THE CHURCH OF ROME to the CHURCH OF CORINTH, elect and consecrate: greeting in Christ Jesus.'

On the form of the address, as connected with the question of the authorship, see the introduction, p. 3. The writer's name is suppressed here, as it seems also to have been suppressed in another letter of the Church of Rome to the Church of Corinth written more than half a century later during the episcopate of Soter; see Dionys. Corinth. in Euseb. H. E. iv. 23.

1. παροικοῦσα] 'sojourning in.' The distinction between πάροικος a temporary and κάτοικος a permanent resident appears from Philo Sacr. Ab. et Cain. § 10 (I. p. 170) ὁ γὰρ τοῖς ἐγκυκλίοις μόνοις ἐπανέχων παροικεῖ σοφία οὐ κατοικεῖ, de Conf. ling. § 17 (I. p. 416) κατώκησαν ώς ἐν πατρίδι, οὐχ ώς ἐπὶ ξένης παρώκησαν, Greg. Naz. Orat. τὶν ἄνω πόλιν (διαιρήσει); τίς παροικίαν καὶ κατοικίαν; Orat. vii (I. p. 200) ἐκ τῆς παροικίας εἰς τὴν κατοικίαν μετασκευαζόμενοι: comp. Gen. xxxvi. 44

(xxxvii. I) κατώκει δὲ Ἰακώβ ἐν τῆ γῆ οδ παρώκησεν ὁ πατήρ αὐτοῦ ἐν γἢ Χαναάν, Heb. xi. 9, Luke xxiv. 18. Thus πάροικος, παροικείν, παροικία, are said of the captivities of Egypt (Acts vii. 6 from LXX, xiii. 17) and of Babylon (Theoph. ad Aut. iii. 25, 28). See especially the uses of παροικείν, κατοικείν, in reference to the migrations of Israel, in Judith v. 7—10. Of these captivities the present earthly condition of the Christian people is the antitype (Heb. iv. 1). Their fatherland is heaven, and they dwell in the world as aliens, ξένοι, παρεπίδημοι, πάροικοι, 1 Pet. i. 17, ii. 11: comp. Heb. xi. 13. So too Clem. Rom. ii. § 5 катаλείψαντες τὴν παροικίαν τοῦ κόσμου τούτου, Ερ. ad Diogn. 5 πατρίδας οἰκοῦσιν **ιδίας άλλ' ώς πάροικοι· μετέχουσι πάντων** ώς πολίται καὶ πάνθ ὑπομένουσιν ώς ξένοι πασα ξένη πατρίς έστιν αὐτων καὶ πᾶσα πατρὶς ξένη, where the writer is describing the Christians. Compare also the parable in Hermas Vis. I. I. In the prologue to Ecclesiasticus οἱ ἐν τῆ παροικία are the Jews of the dispersion, so that παροικία is alτοις, ήγι[ασμέν]οις έν θελήματι Θεοῦ διὰ τοῦ [Κυρίου ήμ]ῶν Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ. [χάρις ύ]μιν καὶ εἰρήνη ἀπὸ παντο[κράτο]ρος Θεοῦ διὰ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ πληθυνθείη.

Ι. [Διὰ τὰς] αἰφνιδίους καὶ ἐπαλλήλους [γενομ]ένας

4 διά τάs] Wotton. δι' Pearson (Vind. Ign. i. 3). αlφνιδίουs] αιφνηδίουσ Α. γενομέναs] Pearson (l.c.).

most equivalent to διασπορά; and, as the latter word is transferred to the Christian people, the spiritual Israel (1 Pet. i. I παρεπιδήμοις διασπορᾶς), so is the former. Hence the form of address here, which appears also Polyc. Phil. τη έκκλησία του Θεου τη παροικούση Φιλίππους, Mart. Polyc. ή παροικοῦσα Σμύρναν κ.τ.λ., Dionys. Corinth. in Euseb. H. E. iv. 23 - γ παροικούση Γορτύναν, Epist. Gall. in Euseb. Η.Ε. ν. Ι οἱ ἐν Βιέννη καὶ Λουγδούνω τῆς Γαλλίας παροικούντες δούλοι Χριστού. From this the substantive mapoiría came to be used in a concrete sense, 'the body of aliens,' for the Christian brotherhood in a town or district. The earliest instances which I have observed are Mart. Polyc. inscr. πάσαις ταῖς κατὰ πάντα τόπον τῆς ἁγίας καὶ καθολικής ἐκκλησίας παροικίαις, Dionys. Corinth. [?] in Euseb. H. E. iv. 23 αμα ταις λοιπαις κατά Κρήτην παροικίαις. Iren. in Euseb. H. E. v. 24 εἰρήνευον τοις από των παροικιών έν αίς έτηρειτο, Apollon. in Euseb. H.E. v. 18 ή ίδία παροικία αὐτὸν ὅθεν ἢν οὐκ ἐδέξατο: whence parochia, parish. It seems not strictly correct to say that mapoiκία was equivalent to the later term διοίκησις; for παροικία, though it is sometimes a synonyme for διοίκησις (e.g. Conc. Ancyr. Can. 18), appears to have been used much more generally. The explanation often given of mapoiκία, as though it denoted the aggregate of Christian communities in the neighbourhood of a large town, receives no countenance from the earliest usage of πάροικος, etc.; for the preposition is not local but temporal, and denotes not *proximity* but *transito-riness*. For the accusative after παροικεῖν see the note on Polyc. *Phil.* inscr.

 κλητοῖς κ.τ.λ.] taken from the salutation in 1 Cor. i. 1, 2, ήγιασμένοις έν Χρίστω Ἰησοῦ, κλητοῖς άγίοις. Clement not unnaturally echoes the language of S. Paul's Epistle to the Corinthians, even where he does not directly quote it. Similarly the Epistle of Ignatius to the Ephesians presents parallels to S. Paul's Epistle to the same church, especially in the opening salutation. The same relation again exists between Polycarp's Epistle to the Philippians and the corresponding letter of S. Paul. For the meaning of ήγιασμένοις, 'consecrated to be God's people,' see the notes on rois áyious Phil. i. 1.

2. χάρις κ.τ.λ.] χάρις ὑμῖν καὶ εἰρήνη is the common salutation in S. Paul, excepting the Pastoral Epistles. With the addition of πληθυνθείη however it occurs only in the two Epistles of S. Peter, from whom probably Clement derived the form, as the First Epistle is frequently quoted in this letter.

παντοκράτορος] The LXX rendering of The LXX in the expression 'the Lord of Hosts' (see Stanley, Fewish Church II. p. 87), apparently not a classical word. In the New Testament it occurs once only out of the Apocalypse, 2 Cor. vi. 18, where S. Paul is quoting from the LXX. Comp. §§ 2, 32, and Polyc. Phil. inscr. (with the note).

I. 'We should have written sooner,

5 ήμιν συμφοράς και [περι]πτώσεις, άδελφοί, βράδιον [νομ]ίζομεν έπιστροφήν πεποιη[κέν]αι περι των έπιζη-τουμένων [πα]ρ' ύμιν πραγμάτων, άγαπητοί, [τ]ης τε άλλοτρίας και ξένης τοις έκλεκτοις του Θεού, μιαρας

5 βράδιον] βραδειον Α. 6 νομίζομεν] Young (notes, but δυσοίζομεν text).
8 ξένηε] Young (marg.). ξενοισ Α.

but our own troubles have hindered us. We are grieved to hear that one or two headstrong ring-leaders have fanned the flame of discord among you. This was not your wont in former days. Your firm faith, your sober piety, your large hospitality, your sound knowledge, were the admiration of all. Authority was duly respected by you. Your young men were modest; your wives were quiet and orderly.'

4. Διὰ τὰς αἰφνιδίους κ.τ.λ.] This language accurately describes the persecution which the Roman Christians endured under Domitian. Their treatment by this emperor was capricious, and the attacks upon them were repeated. While the persecution of Nero was one fierce and wholesale onslaught in which the passions of the multitude were enlisted on the emperor's side, Domitian on the other hand made use of legal forms and arraigned the Christians from time to time on various paltry charges: see the accounts in Euseb. H. E. iii. 17 sq., Chron. an. 95 (with the authorities given by Eusebius), and comp. Dion Cass. lxvii. 14, Suet. Domit. 12, 15. So Mart. Ign. I speaks of oi πολλοὶ ἐπὶ Δομετιανοῦ διωγμοί (though this refers especially to Antioch). In one of these attacks the writer's namesake, Flavius Clemens, a kinsman of the emperor, fell a victim: see Philippians, p. 22. Thus the notice here accords with external testimony which places the Corinthian feuds to which this letter refers in the reign of Domitian: see introduction p. 4. Volckmar (Theol. Jahrb. 1856, p. 286 sq.), who assigns a much later date to this epistle, is obliged to refer the notice here to the sufferings of the Christians under Trajan; but there is no evidence that this persecution extended to Rome. (On this theory see again the note § 55.) Our epistle therefore was probably written towards the close of Domitian's reign or on the accession of Nerva (A.D. 96). Other notices of time in the body of the letter agree with this result: see esp. §§ 5, 44, 47.

ἐπαλλήλουs] 'successive, repeated,' a comparatively late but common word, e.g. Plut. Pomp. 25 κινδύνοις ἐπαλλήλοις καὶ πολέμοις: see Lobeck Paral. p. 471. It is restored indeed by Hermann in Soph. Ant. 57, but this restoration is very doubtful, and the word there must have the sense 'reciprocal.' For ἐπαλλήλους γενομένας comp. Alciphr. Ερ. 1. 23 χιών πυκνή καὶ ἐπάλληλος φερομένη. Otherwise we might read ἐπαλλήλως, which occurs Ερίει. Gall. § 14 in Euseb. H. E. v. 1.

6. νομίζομεν] The whole passage will mean 'Owing to the sudden and repeated calamities and reverses which have befallen us, we consider we have been somewhat slow to pay attention to the questions of dispute among you.' Other restorations proposed for νομίζομεν are δυσοίζομεν, οἰκτίζομεν, but these are less natural. It would appear that the Roman Christians had not been directly con-

CLEM.

V 9

3

καὶ ἀνοσὶου στάσεως, ἢν ὀλίγα πρόσωπα προπετή καὶ αὐθάδη ὑπάρχοντα εἰς τοσοῦτον ἀπονοίας ἐξέκαυσαν, ἄστε τὸ σεμνὸν καὶ περιβόητον καὶ πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις ἀξιαγάπητον ὄνομα ὑμῶν μεγάλως βλασφημηθῆναι. τίς γὰρ παρεπιδημήσας πρὸς ὑμᾶς τὴν πανάρετον καὶ βε- 5 βαίαν ὑμῶν πίστιν οὐκ ἐδοκίμασεν; τήν τε σώφρονα καὶ ἐπιεικῆ ἐν Χριστῷ εὐσέβειαν οὐκ ἐθαύμασεν; καὶ τὸ μεγαλοπρεπὲς τῆς φιλοξενίας ὑμῶν ἦθος οὐκ ἐκή-ρυξεν; καὶ τὴν τελείαν καὶ ἀσφαλῆ γνῶσιν οὐκ ἐμα-

6 ὐμῶν πίστιν] Α. πίστιν ὑμῶν Clem. Al. 610. 7 ἐπιεικήν ἐν] Clem. Al. επιεικηνν Α. 9 ἀσφαλή] Clem. Al. ασφαλην Α. 10 ἀπροσωπολήμπτως] Α. ἀπροσωπολήπτως Clem. Al. (edd.). ἐποιεῖτε] Clem. Al. εποιειται Α.

sulted by the Church of Corinth, but having heard of the feuds by common report (§ 47 αὖτη ἡ ἀκοὴ) wrote this letter unsolicited.

- 8. ξένης] doubtless the right reading: comp. Clem. Hom. vi. 14 ώς ἀληθείας ἀλλοτρίαν οὖσαν καὶ ξένην. No sense can be made of ξένοις. The doubling of epithets (ἀλλοτρίας καὶ ξένης) is after Clement's manner, especially in this opening chapter, e.g. μιαρᾶς καὶ ἀνοσίου, προπετῆ καὶ αὐθάδη, πανάρετον καὶ βεβαίαν, etc.
- 1. πρόσωπα] not simply 'persons' but 'ringleaders:' comp. § 47, and see the note on Ign. Magn. 6. The authors of these feuds are again mentioned as few in number, § 47 δι' εν η δύο πρόσωπα στασιάζειν πρὸς τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους.
- 2. εἰs τοσοῦτον κ.τ.λ.] 'have kindled to such a pitch of recklessness:' comp. § 46 εἰs τοσαύτην ἀπόνοιαν ἐρχόμεθα. Editors have taken offence at the expression, but its awkwardness is no sufficient reason for altering the text; comp. § 45 εἰs τοσοῦτο ἐξήρισαν θυμοῦ. Otherwise ὑπὸ ἀπονοίαs might be read. In ἀπόνοια shamelessness rather than folly is the prominent idea, so that the ἀπονενσημένος is de-

scribed by Theophrastus (Char. xiii) as one wholly devoid of self-respect.

- 3. τὸ σεμνὸν κ.τ.λ.] So § 47 τὸ σεμνὸν τῆς περιβοήτου φιλαδελφίας: comp. Ign. Ερh. 8 ἐκκλησίας τῆς διαβοήτου τοῖς αἰῶσιν.
- 4. τίς γὰρ κ.τ.λ.] The whole passage as far as ἐπορεύεσθε is quoted by Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 17 (p. 610) ναὶ μὴν ἐν τῆ πρὸς Κορινθίους ἐπιστολῆ ὁ ἀπόστολος Κλήμης καὶ αὐτὸς ἡμῖν τύπον τινὰ τοῦ γνωστικοῦ ὑπογράφων λέγει, Τίς γὰρ κ.τ.λ.
- 5. πανάρετον] not found either in LXX or New Testament, but a favourite word with Clement: see §§ 2, 45, 57, with the note on the last passage. He delights in such compounds, e.g. παμμεγεθής, πανάγιος, παμπληθής, παντεπόπτης.
- 7. ἐπιεικῆ] 'forbearing.' This yielding temper, this deference to the feelings of others, was the quality especially needed at such a time: see § 54. For ἐπιείκεια comp. §§ 13, 56, and see Philippians iv. 5.
- 8. τὸ μεγαλοπρεπὲς κ.τ.λ.] For the reproof lurking under this allusion to their past hospitality, see the note on ἀφιλοξενίαν § 35.
 - 11. τοις νομίμοις] 'by the ordinan-

το κάρισεν; ἀπροσωπολήμπτως γὰρ πάντα ἐποιεῖτε, καὶ τοῖς νομίμοις τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐπορεύεσθε, ὑποτασσόμενοι τοῖς ἡγουμένοις ὑμῶν καὶ τιμὴν τὴν καθήκουσαν ἀπονέμοντες τοῖς παρ' ὑμῖν πρεσβυτέροις· νέοις τε μέτρια καὶ σεμνὰ νοεῖν ἐπετρέπετε· γυναιξίν τε ἐν ἀμώμω καὶ σεμνῆ το καὶ ἀγνῆ συνειδήσει πάντα ἐπιτελεῖν παρηγγέλλετε, στεργούσας καθηκόντως τοὺς ἄνδρας ἐαυτῶν· ἔν τε τῷ κανόνι τῆς ὑποταγῆς ὑπαρχούσας τὰ κατὰ τὸν οἶκον σεμνῶς οἰκουρεῖν ἐδιδάσκετε, πάνυ σωφρονούσας.

II τοῖς νομίμοις] τοισνομοισ A. ἐν τοῖς νομίμοις Clem. Al., which is approved by Wotton and others. I have adopted νομίμοις from Clem. Al.; but ἐν is not wanted (see the explanatory note) and was probably his own insertion. ἐπορεύεσθε] Clem. Al. πορεύεσθαι A.

12 καθήκουσαν] καθικουσαν A.

18 οίκουρείν] Bois. οικουργειν Α.

τες': 50 § 3 ἐν τοῖς νομίμοις τῶν προσταγμάτων αὐτοῦ πορεύεσθαι, § 40 τοῖς νομίμοις τοῦ δεσπότου ἀκολουθοῦντες, Hermas Vis. i. 3 ἐὰν τηρήσωσιν τὰ νύμιμα τοῦ Θεοῦ. The phrase τοῖς νομίμοις πορεύεσθαι occurs LXX Lev. xviii. 3, xx. 23, and ἐν τοῖς νομίμοις πορεύεσθαι Jer. xxvi (xxxiii). 4, Ezek. v. 7, xx. 18. For the dative, denoting the rule or standard, see Galatians v. 16, 25, vi. 16.

12. τοις ήγουμένοις i.e. the officers of the Church, as § 21 τοὺς προηγουμένους ήμῶν: comp. Heb. xiii. 7 μνημονεύετε των ήγουμένων ύμων οίτινες έλάλησαν ύμιν τὸν λόγον τοῦ Θεοῦ, and again xiii. 17, 24; Hermas Vis. ii. 2, iii. 9 οί προηγούμενοι της εκκλησίας. Similarly οἱ προϊστάμενοι ὑμῶν I Thess. v. 12. The reference therefore is not to civil officers, as some take it; and the πρεσβυτέροις in the next clause refers to age, not to office, as the following véois shows. Similarly § 21, where, as here, προηγούμενοι, πρεσβύτεροι, νέοι, γυναίκες, occur in succession.

14. ἐπετρέπετε] 'ye enjoined,' as e.g. in Plat. Legg. p. 784 C, Xen. Anab. yi. 5. 11 (see Kühner's note).

γυναιξίν τε κ.τ.λ.] See Polyc. Phil. 4 ἔπειτα καὶ τὰς γυναῖκας κ.τ.λ., where Polycarp follows Clement's language here and in § 21.

16. στεργούσας] should probably be taken with the foregoing clause, and I have altered the punctuation accordingly. For the change from the dative (γυναιξίν) to the accusative (στεργούσας) comp. Mark vi. 39 ἐπ- έταξεν αὐτοῖς ἀνακλιθῆναι πάντας, Acts xv. 22 ἔδοξεν τοῖς ἀποστόλοις κ.τ.λ. ἐκλεξαμένους ἄνδρας ἐξ αὐτῶν πέμψαι, and see Jelf's Gram. §§ 675, 676.

ἔν τε τῷ κανόνι κ.τ.λ.] i.e. 'not overstepping the line, not transgressing the limits, of obedience:' see § 41 μὴ παρεκβαίνων τὸν ὡρισμένον τῆς λειτουργίας αὐτοῦ κανόνα, and § 7. On the metaphor of κανών, 'a measuring line,' see Galatians vi. 16.

18. οἰκουρεῖν] 'to mind the hause,' as Philo de Spec. Leg. 31 (II. p. 327) θηλείαις (ἐφαρμόζει) οἰκουρία, de Execr. 4 (II. p. 431) γυναῖκας σώφρονας οἰκούρους καὶ φιλάνδρους: comp. Tit. ii. 5 σώφρονας, ἀγνάς, οἰκουρούς, ἀγαθάς, ὑποτασσομένας τοῖς ἰδίοις ἀνδράσιν, and the illustrative passages in Wetstein. In the passage last quoted the best

II. Πάντες τε έταπεινοφρονεῖτε, μηδὲν ἀλαζονευόμενοι, ὑποτασσόμενοι μᾶλλον ἢ ὑποτάσ[σ]οντες, ἤΔιοη Διδόητες ἢ λαμβά[η]οητες, τοῖς ἐφοδίοις τοῦ Θεοῦ

MS authority is certainly in favour of οἰκουργούς, which A reads there, as here. But it is very doubtful whether such a word exists.

II. 'Submission and contentment were the rule of your lives. The teaching of God was in your breasts; the passion of Christ before your eyes. Peace and good-will reigned among you. Spiritual graces and incessant prayers distinguished you. You loved the brethren; you bore no malice to any; you loathed faction; you rejoiced in doing good. The ordinances of God were graven on your hearts.'

2. ὑποτασσόμενοι κ.τ.λ.] See Ephes. v. 21, Phil. ii. 3, Rom. xii. 10, 16, and 1 Pet. v. 5 (v. l.).

3. ἦδιον κ.τ.λ.] Doubtless a reference to our Lord's words recorded Acts ΧΧ. 35, μακάριόν έστιν μᾶλλον διδόναι ἡ λαμβάνειν; see below, § 13, where the context of the passage is It was no new commandment however, though instinct with a new meaning. Maxims similarly expressed had been uttered by the two opposite schools of philosophy, starting from different principles and speaking with different motives. For the Epicureans see Plut. Mor. p. 778 C Ἐπίκουρος τοῦ εὖ πάσχειν τὸ εὖ ποιείν ου μόνον κάλλιον άλλα και ήδιον eivai onos, and for the Stoics, Seneca Epist. lxxxi. § 17 'Errat si quis beneficium accipit libentius quam reddit' (both quoted by Wetstein on Acts

τοις εφοδίοις κ.τ.λ.] i.e. 'the provision which God has supplied for the journey of life.' Similarly Seneca Epist. lxvii. § 3 'Quia quantulum-cumque haberem, tamen plus jam

mihi superesset viatici quam viæ,' Epictet. Diss. iii. 21. 9 ἔχοντάς τι έφόδιον τοιούτον είς του βίου, Plut. Mor. p. 160 B ώς μὴ μόνον τοῦ ζῆν άλλα και του αποθνήσκειν την τροφην εφόδιον οίσαν; comp. Dionys. Corinth. in Euseb. H. E. iv. 23 ἐκκλησίαις πολλαίς ταίς κατά πάσαν πόλιν έφόδια πέμπειν. It is the same sentiment as I Tim. vi. 8, έχοντες διατροφάς καὶ σκεπάσματα τούτοις ἀρκεσθησόμεθα. The idea of spiritual sustenance seems to be out of place here, though ἐφόδια not unfrequently has this sense. If this meaning were taken, it would be necessary to punctuate with some editors, τοις έφοδίοις του Θεού άρκούμενοι καὶ προσέχοντες; but such a combination of words is awkward, nor indeed is ἀρκεῖσθαι τοῖς ἐφοδίοις τοῦ Geoû itself natural with the meaning thus assigned to it. For this reason the words τοις έφ. του Θ. ἀρκ. must be connected with the preceding clauses, so that the new idea is introduced by καὶ προσέχοντες.

4. τοὺς λύγους] For the accusative after προσέχοντες compare e.g. Exod. xxxiv. 11 πρόσεχε σὺ πάντα ὅσα ἐγω ἐντέλλομαί σοι, Is. i. 10 προσέχετε νόμον Θεοῦ, Neh. ix. 34 οὐ προσέσχον τὰς ἐντολάς (v.l.) σου καὶ τὰ μαρτύριά σου.

5. ἐνεστερνισμένοι] 'ye took them to heart,' i.e. τοὺς λόγους, which is the accusative to ἐνεστερνισμένοι as well as to προσέχοντες; so § 12 εἰσδεξαμένη αὐτοὺς ἔκρυψεν. For ἐνστερνίζεσθαι compare the passages quoted by the previous editors, Clem. Alex. Pæd. I. 6 (p. 123) τὸν σωτῆρα ἐνστερνίσασθαι, Euseb. Mart. Pal. 8 μείζονα τοῦ σώματος τὸν λογισμὸν ἐνεστερνισμένη, ib. 11 μνήμας αὐτῶν (τῶν γραφῶν) ἐνεστέρ-

αρ[κ]ούμενοι καὶ προσέχοντες τοὺς λόγους αὐτοῦ ἐπι-5 μελῶς ἐνεστερνισμένοι ἦτε τοῖς σπλάγχνοις, καὶ τὰ παθήματα αὐτοῦ ἦν πρὸ ὀφθαλμῶν ὑμῶν. Οὕτως εἰ-

5 ένεστερνισμένοι] Bois. εστερνισμενοι A.

νιστο, Apost. Const. procem. ἐνεστερνισμένοι τὸν φόβον αὐτοῦ, ib. v. 14 ἐνστερνισάμενος αὐτοῦ. There seems to be no such word as στερνίζεσθαι, and therefore ἐνεστερνισμένοι must be read. If ἐστερνισμένοι could stand, Cotelier's explanation would probably be correct, 'Clementi ἐστερνισμένοι sunt, qui Latinis pectorosi, homines lati capacisque pectoris (2 Cor. vi. 11),' as the analogy of σπλαγχνίζεσθαι suggests; and later critics seem to be wrong in making it equivalent to ἐνεστερνισμένοι, which owes its transitive sense to the preposition.

τὰ παθήματα αὐτοῦ] i.e. τοῦ Θεοῦ, for there is no other word to which αὖτοῦ can be referred. Compare Gal. iii. Ι οίς κατ' ὀφθαλμούς 'Ιησοῦς Χριστὸς προεγράφη ἐσταυρωμένος, of which Clement's expression is perhaps a reminiscence. The early Christian writers occasionally used language so strong in expressing their belief of our Lord's divinity, as almost to verge on patripassianism; so Ign. Ephes. Ι ἀναζωπυρήσαντες έν αξματι Θεοῦ, Ign. Rom. 6 ἐπιτρέψατέ μοι μιμητην είναι του πάθους του Θεού μου, Melito (Routh Rel. Sacr. I. p. 122) ό Θεὸς πέπουθεν ὑπὸ δεξιᾶς Ἰσραηλίτιdos. The nearest parallel in the New Testament is Acts xx. 28, την ἐκκλησίαν τού Θεοῦ ἣν περιεποιήσατο διὰ τοῦ αίματος του ιδίου; but even if του Θεοῦ be the correct reading (as possibly it is), the form of expression is far less strong than in these patristic references. In this passage of Clement it has been proposed to read μαθήματα for παθήματα; and the confusion of μαθητής, παθητής, in Ign.

Polyc. 7, and μαθήματα, παθήματα, in Ign. Smyrn. 5, shows that the interchange would be easy. But (1) The parallels above quoted prove that no alteration is needed, since τὰ παθήματα αὐτοῦ would be a natural expression to a writer of this age; (2) The reading μαθήματα would destroy the propriety of the expressions in the parallel clauses as read in the MS. ένεστερνισμένοι referring to τούς λόγους and πρὸ ὀΦθαλμῶν to τὰ παθήματα, 'the words in your hearts, the sufferings before your eyes'; (3) While τὰ παθήματα is a common expression in the New Testament, being used especially to denote the sufferings of Christ, the word μάθημα does not once occur either there or in the Apostolic fathers; and in the only passage in the LXX where it is found (Jer. xiii. 21), there is a v.l. μαθητάς (for μαθήματα) which approaches more nearly to the original Hebrew; (4) Though τὰ μαθήματα τοῦ Θεοῦ might stand, still αὶ διδαχαὶ τοῦ Θεοῦ (or some similar expression) would be more It is urged indeed that, as Photius (Bibl. 126) complains of Clement's language in this epistle οτι αρχιερέα και προστάτην τον Κύριον ήμων Ίησουν Χριστον έξονομάζων οὐδέ τας θεοπρεπείς και ύψηλοτέρας άφηκε περὶ αὐτοῦ φωνάς, he cannot have had τὰ παθήματα αὐτοῦ in his text. But, as the declaration of Christ's divinity lurks under the reference of the preposition autou, it might very easily have escaped the notice of Photius who in the course of this single embassy read as large a number of books as would have sufficed many a

ρήνη βαθεῖα καὶ λιπαρὰ ἐδέδοτο πᾶσιν καὶ ἀκόρεστος πόθος εἰς ἀγαθοποιΐαν, καὶ πλήρης πνεύματος ἀγίου ἔκχυσις ἐπὶ πάντας ἐγίνετο· μεστοί τε ὀσίας βουλῆς ἐν ἀγαθῆ προθυμία μετ' εὐσεβοῦς πεποιθήσεως ἐξετείνατε τὰς χεῖρας ὑμῶν πρὸς τὸν παντοκράτορα Θεόν, 5 ἰκετείοντες αὐτὸν †ίλεως † γενέσθαι, εἴτι ἄκοντες ἡμάρτετε. ἀγῶν ἦν ὑμῖν ἡμέρας τε καὶ νυκτὸς ὑπὲρ πάσης

I λιπαρὰ ἐδέδοτο] λειπαραεδεδετο A. 4 πεποιθήσεως] πεποιηθησεωσ A. 8 ἐλέους] ελαιουσ A. Q εἰλικρινεῖς] ειλεικρινεισ A. 10 ακέραιοι] ακερεοι A.

man not ill-informed for a life-time. It must be remembered too that our MS is some centuries older than Photius, and therefore carries more authority. On the other hand Caius (or rather Hippolytus) early in the third century in the Little Labyrinth (Euseb. H. E. v. 28; see Routh Rel. Sacr. II. p. 129) mentions Clement with Justin, Miltiades, and Tatian, besides 'several others', among those έν οίς θεολογείται ὁ Χριστός. Routh (p. 145) supposes Clement of Rome to be meant (as also does Bunsen, Hippol. I. p. 440), because the author of the Little Labyrinth refers distinctly to works written 'before the time of Victor' who became bishop about A.D. 185 or 190, and indeed the whole argument turns on this point. To this it may be added that Hippolytus afterwards (p. 131) uses an expression resembling the language of the Roman Clement here, ό εὖσπλαγχνος Θεὸς καὶ Κύριος ἡμῶν 'Ιησοῦς Χριστὸς οὐκ ἐβούλετο...ἀπόλεσθαι μάρτυρα τῶν ἰδίων παθῶν, and that Clement of Alexandria (who is the alternative) can only have died a very few years (ten or at most twenty) before the passage was written. On the other side it may be urged that the order of the names, Ίουστίνου καὶ Μιλτιάδου καὶ Τατιανοῦ καί Κλήμεντος και έτερων πλειόνων, points to the Alexandrian Clement; but this is not conclusive, since in the very next sentence the chronological order of Melito and Irenæus, is inverted, τὰ γὰρ Εἰρηναίου τε καὶ Μελίτωνος και των λοιπών τίς άγνοεί βιβλία; The question therefore must remain undecided; though the reasons in favour of the Roman Clement seem to preponderate. As it is very improbable that so early a writer as Hippolytus should have recognised as genuine any other writings ascribed to Clement of Rome, his judgment must have been founded upon this epistle.

2. ἀγαθοποιῖαν] 'beneficence,' again just below and §§ 33, 34: comp. I Pet. iv. 19, Test. xii. Patr. Jos. 18. The allied words occur several times in S. Peter: ἀγαθοποιεῖν I Pet. ii. 15, 20, iii. 6, 17; ἀγαθοποιεῖν I Pet. ii. 14. While καλοποιῖα regards the abstract character of the action, ἀγαθοποιῖα looks to its results and more especially to its effect on others.

6. †Îλεως† γενέσθαι] The adverb λλέως is recognised by Hesychius, but no instances are given in the lexicons. As it appears only to occur in the expression λλέως γίνεσθαι (as a v.l. in 2 Macc. ii. 22, vii. 37, x. 26), it is probably a grammatical mistake of the later language, the true construction being forgotten and the word

της αδελφότητος, είς τὸ σώζεσθαι μετ' ἐλέους καὶ †συνειδήσεως † τὸν ἀριθμὸν τῶν ἐκλεκτῶν αὐτοῦ· εἰλι-10 κρινεῖς καὶ ἀκέραιοι ἦτε καὶ ἀμνησίκακοι εἰς ἀλλήλους· πᾶσα στάσις καὶ πᾶν σχίσμα βδελυκτὸν ὑμῖν· ἐπὶ τοῖς παραπτώμασιν τοῖς πλησίον ἐπενθεῖτε· τὰ ὑστερήματα αὐτῶν ἴδια ἐκρίνετε· ἀμεταμέλητοι ἦτε ἐπὶ πάση ἀγαθοποιΐα, ἕτοιμοι εἰς πῶν ἔργον ἀγαθόν· τῆ παναρέτω

10 αμνησίκακοι] αμαμνησικακοι A. So I read the Ms with Tisch., but previous editors give it αναμνησικακοι.

14 ξτοιμοι] αιτοιμοι A.

being erroneously treated as an adverb (iλέως instead of ίλεως). In this passage it may be due to the transcriber and not to Clement himself. At all events our MS (A) in the three passages of 2 Maccabees has iλέως, where B has a proper grammatical construction ίλεω γενομένου, ίλεω γενέσθαι, ίλεω γενόμενου.

7. ἀγών ἦν κ.τ.λ.] Comp. Col. ii. I. ήμέρας τε καὶ νυκτός Hilgenfeld calls attention to the fact that the writer elsewhere has the same order 'day and night' §§ 20, 24, and argues thence 'scriptorem non e Judæis, qui noctem anteponunt, sed e gentilibus, Romanis quidem, ortum esse.' This argument is more specious than sound. Thus in the Apocalypse the order is always 'day and night,' iv. 8, vii. 15, xii. 10, xiv. 11, xx. 10; in S, Paul always 'night and day,' I Thess. ii. 9, iii. 10, 2 Thess. iii. 8, I Tim. v. 5, 2 Tim. i. 3; while by S. Luke either order is used indifferently in both the Gospel (ii. 37, xviii. 7) and the Acts (ix. 24, xx. 31, xxvi. 7).

8. ἀδελφότητος] a word peculiar to S. Peter in the New Testament; 1 Pet. ii. 17, v. 9.

9. †συνειδήσεως†] If the reading be correct, it must mean 'with the consent of God,' but this is hardly possible. I hazard the conjecture εὐδοκήσεως (εγδοκησεως for cyneiλη-

ceωc', which is less violent than συναινέσεως and other emendations. This conjecture struck me before I was aware that Davis had suggested συνευδοκήσεως, of which word I cannot find any instance. The clause would then mean 'Of His mercy and good pleasure: comp. § 9 ίκέται γενομένοι τοῦ έλέους καὶ τῆς χρηστότητος αὐτοῦ. The Lexicons supply a few instances of the form evdóknous (e.g. Diod. xv. 6, Dion. Hal. iii. 13), which also occurs below § 40 (see the note). In the N. T. the allied word εὐδοκία is generally said of God; Matt. xi. 26 (Luke x. 21), Eph. i. 5, 9, Phil. ii. 13.

τον ἀριθμον κ.τ.λ.] Comp. Apost. Const. viii. 22 τον ἀριθμον τῶν ἐκλεκτῶν σου διαφυλάττων. So too in our Burial Service, 'Shortly to accomplish the number of thine elect.'

είλικρινεῖς καὶ ἀκέραιοι] For είλικρινεῖς, see Philippians i. 10; for ἀκέραιοι Philippians ii. 15.

10. ἀμνησίκακοι] Test. xii Patr. Zab. 8 ἀμνησίκακοι γίνεσθε, Clem. Alex. Strom. vii. 14 (p. 883) ἀμνησίκακον εἶναι διδάσκει, Hermas Mand. ix. αὐτὸς ἀμνησίκακός ἐστι: comp. Strom. ii. 18 (p. 398) δι' ἀμνησικακίας.

12. τοις πλησίον] a brachylogy for τοις τῶν πλησίον. Jacobson quotes Eur. Hec. 996 μηδ' ἔρα τῶν πλησίον.

13. ἀμεταμέλητοι κ.τ.λ.] i.e. 'When . you had done good, you did not wish

καὶ σεβασμίφ πολιτεία κεκοσμημένοι πάντα ἐν τῷ φόβφ αὐτοῦ ἐπετελεῖτε· τὰ προστάγματα καὶ τὰ δικαιώματα τοῦ Κυρίου ἐπὶ τὰ πλάτη τῆς καρδίας ἡμῶν ἐγέγραπτο.

III. Πᾶσα δόξα καὶ πλατυσμὸς ἐδόθη ὑμῖν, καὶ ἐπετελέσθη τὸ γεγραμμένον ἔφαιεν καὶ ἔπιεν καὶ 5 ἐπλατήνθη καὶ ἐπαχήνθη καὶ ἀπελάκτισεν ὁ ἠιαπημένος. Ἐκ τούτου ζῆλος καὶ φθόνος καὶ ἔρις καὶ στάσις, διωγμὸς καὶ ἀκαταστασία, πόλεμος καὶ αἰχμαλωσία.

2 ἐπετελεἶτε] επετελειται Α. 4 ἐδόθη] δοθη Α. 6 ἀπελάκτισεν] Deut. xxxii. 15. απεγαλακτισεν Α.

it undone: when there was an opportunity of doing good, you seized it.' The latter clause ἔτοιμοι κ.τ.λ. is from Titus iii. 1 πρὸς πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθὸν ἐτοίμους εἶναι: comp. 2 Cor. ix. 8, and see below § 34 with the note.

1. πολιτεία] 'the graces of your heavenly citizenship:' see Phil. i. 27, Ephes. ii. 12, 19. For πολιτεία, πολιτεύεσθαι, see §§ 3, 6, 21, 44, 51, 54.

2. αὐτοῦ] i.e. τοῦ Θεοῦ, understood from τἢ παναρέτφ καὶ σεβασμίφ πολιτεία; comp. § 54 τὴν ἀμεταμέλητον πολιτείαν τοῦ Θεοῦ.

τὰ προστάγματα] The two words occur together frequently in the LXX: see esp. Mal. iv. 4, and comp. 1 Sam. xxx. 25, Ezek. xi. 20, xviii. 9, xx. 11, etc.

3. ἐπὶ τὰ πλάτη κ.τ.λ.] taken from the LXX of Prov. vii. 3, ἐπίγραψον δὲ ἐπὶ τὸ πλάτος τῆς καρδίας σου, where πλάτος corresponds to the Hebrew Πὶς 'a tablet.' The phrase is repeated in the LXX Prov. xxii. 20, and in some copies also in Prov. iii. 3; but as there is nothing corresponding in the Hebrew of either passage, these are probably interpolations from Prov. vii. 3. Wotton's statement that πλάτος occurs in this sense 'passim' in the LXX is erroneous. From this LXX reading the expression τὸ πλάτος

τῆς καρδίας is not uncommon in the Christian fathers (e.g. Iren. I. præf. 3, and other passages quoted by Wotton), and τὰ πλάτη was doubtless written by Clement here. But it seems not improbable that the expression arose from a very early corruption of the LXX text (a confusion of πλάτος and πλακός), since πλάξ is the natural equivalent of \overline{n} and is frequently used elsewhere in the LXX to translate it. S. Paul's metaphor in 2 Cor. iii. 3 is derived from the original of Prov. vii. 3.

III. 'But, like Jeshurun of old, you waxed wanton with plenty. Hence strife and faction and open war. Hence the ignoble, the young, the foolish, have risen against the highly-esteemed, the old, the wise. Peace and righteousness are banished. The law of God, the life after Christ, are disregarded. You have fostered jealousy, whereby death entered into the world.'

4. πλατυσμός] 'enlargement, room to move in,' i.e. freedom and plenty, opposed to θλίψις, στενοχωρία, ἀνάγκη; as 2 Sam. xxii. 20 προέφθασάν με ήμέραι θλίψεώς μου καὶ ἐγένετο Κύριος ἐπιστήριγμά μου καὶ ἐξέιγαγέ με εἰς πλατυσμὸν καὶ ἐξείλετό με, Ps. Cxvii. 5 ἐκ θλίψεως ἐπεκαλεσάμην τὸν

οὕτως ἐπηγέρθησαν οἱ ἄτιμοι ἐπὶ τοὰς ἐντίμογς, οἱ ἄδοξοι το ἐπὶ τοὺς ἐνδόξους, οἱ ἄφρονες ἐπὶ τοὺς φρονίμους, οἱ κέοι ἐπὶ τοὰς πρες Βιτέρογς. διὰ τοῦτο πόρρω ἄπεςτιν ἡ Δικαιος ἡνη καὶ εἰρήνη, ἐν τῷ ἀπολείπειν ἕκαστον τὸν φόβον τοῦ Θεοῦ καὶ ἐν τῆ πίστει αὐτοῦ ἀμβλυωπῆσαι μηδὲ ἐν τοῖς νομίμοις τῶν προσταγμάτων αὐτοῦ πορεύ-15 εσθαι μηδὲ πολιτεύεσθαι κατὰ τὸ καθῆκον τῷ Χριστῷ, ἀλλὰ ἕκαστον βαδίζειν κατὰ τὰς ἐπιθυμίας αὐτοῦ τὰς

12 ἀπολείπειν] απολειπί Α. 13 πίστει] πιστι Α. 16 τὰς πονηράς] τησπονηρασ Α.

Κύριον καὶ ἐπήκουσέ μου εἰς πλατυσμόν: comp. Ps. xvii. 20, cxviii. 45, Ecclus. xlvii. 12. See also the opposition of ἐν εὐρυχώρφ and στενοχωρεῖσθαι, Hermas Mand. V. 1.

- 5. ἔφαγεν κ.τ.λ.] A very free quotation from the LXX of Deut. xxxii. 14, 15, καὶ αἶμα σταφυλῆς ἔπιεν (v.l. ἔπιον) οἶνον καὶ ἔφαγεν Ἰαχώβ καὶ ἐνεπλήσθη καὶ ἀπελάκτισεν ὁ ἢγαπημένος, ἐλιπάνθη, ἐπαχύνθη, ἐπλατύνθη. It diverges still more from the original Hebrew. Justin Dial. 20 (p. 237 B) quotes the same passage, but his quotation has no special resemblances to that of Clement.
- 7. ζῆλος κ.τ.λ.] The words occur in an ascending scale: first the inward sentiment of division (ζῆλος developing into φθύνος); next, the outward demonstration of this (ἔρις developing into στάσις); lastly, the direct conflict and its results (διωγμός, ἀκαταστασία, πόλεμος, αλχμαλωσία).

ξήλος καὶ φθόνος] These words occur together also below, §§ 4, 5: comp. Gal. v. 20, 21, Test. xii. Patr. Sym. 4 ἀπὸ παντος ζήλου καὶ φθόνου. For the distinction between them see Trench N. T. Syn. ser. 1 § xxvi, and Galatians l. c. Zήλος is 'rivalry, ambition,' the desire of equalling or excelling another. It does not ne-

cessarily involve the wish to deprive him of his advantages, which is implied in φθόνος; but, if unduly cherished, it will lead to this; § 4 διὰ ζῆλος Δαυείδ φθόνον ἔσχεν, Plat. Μεnex. p. 242 Α πρῶτον μὲν ζῆλος ἀπὸ ζήλου δὲ φθόνος, Æsch. Agam. 939 ὁ δ' ἀφθόνητός γ' οὐκ ἐπίζηλος πέλει, Arist. Rhet. ii. 4 ὑφ' ὧν ζηλοῦσθαι βούλονται καὶ μὴ φθονεῖσθαι.

- 8. ἀκαταστασία] 'tumult'; Comp. Luke xxi. 9 πολέμους καὶ ἀκαταστασίας, 2 Cor. xii. 20 ἔρις, ζῆλος...ἀκαταστασίαι, James iii. 16 ὅπου γὰρ ζῆλος καὶ ἐρίθεια, ἐκεῖ ἀκαταστασία κ.τ.λ.
- οἱ ἄτιμοι κ.τ.λ.] Is. iii. 5 προσκόψει τὸ παιδίον πρὸς τὸν πρεσβύτην, ὁ ἄτιμος πρὸς τὸν ἔντιμον.
- 11. πόρρω ἄπεστιν κ.τ.λ.] Is. lix. 14 καὶ ἡ δικαιοσύνη μακρὰν ἀφέστηκεν.
- 13. ἀμβλυωπῆσαι] 'grown dimsighted'. The Atticists condemned ἀμβλυωπεῖν and preferred ἀμβλυώττειν; Thom. Mag. p. 39. The word and the form ἀμβλυωπεῖν are as old as Hippocrates, Progn. I. p. 38 (ed. Foes.). In the LXX it occurs I Kings xiv. 4 (displaced and found between xii. 24 and xii. 25 in B). But in most places where it occurs there is a v. l. ἀμβλυώττειν. Comp. a Gnostic writer in Hippol. Ref. v. 16 (p. 133 ad fin.).
 - 15. τὸ καθῆκον τῷ Χριστῷ] The ex-

πονηράς, ζηλον άδικον και ἀσεβη ἀνειληφότας, δι οῦ και θάνατος εἰς ήλθεν εἰς τον κύς μον.

IV. Γέγραπται γάρ ούτως καὶ ἐζέκετο μεθ ἡμέρας, ἤκεζκεκ Κάϊκ ἀπό τῶκ καρπῶκ τῆς ζῆς θγείακ τῷ Θεῷ, καὶ Ἄβελ ἤκεζκεκ καὶ αὐτός ἀπο τῶκ πρωτοτόκωκ τῶκ προ-5 βάτωκ καὶ ἀπό τῶκ ετεάτωκ αὐτῶκ. καὶ ἐπεῖδεκ ὁ Θεὸς ἐπὶ Ἄβελ καὶ ἐπὶ τοῖς δώροις αὐτοῦ, ἐπὶ δὲ Κάϊκ καὶ ἐπὶ ταῖς θγείαις αὐτοῦ οὐ προςέςχεκ. καὶ ἐλγπήθη Κάϊκ λίακ

6 ἐπείδεν] επιδε Α.

pression has a close parallel in Phil.
i. 27 ἀξίως τοῦ εὐαγγελίου τοῦ Χριστοῦ πολιτεύεσθε, from which perhaps it is taken. The emendations suggested (Χριστιανῷ οτ ἐν Χριστῷ for Χριστῷ) are therefore unnecessary.

ζήλου κ.τ.λ.] Comp. § 45 ἄδικου
 ζήλου ἀνειληφότωυ.

2. καὶ βάνατος κ.τ.λ.] From Wisd. ii. 24 φθόνφ δὲ διαβόλου θάνατος εἰσῆλθεν εἰς τὸν κόσμον; comp. Rom. v. 12. The following passage of Theophilus connects the quotation from the book of Wisdom with Clement's application of it: αd Autol. ii. 29 (p. 39) ὁ Σατανᾶς ... ἐψ' ῷ οὐκ ἵσχυσεν θανατῶσαι αὐτοὺς φθονῷ φερόμενος, ἡνίκα ἑώρα τὸν ᾿Αβελ εὐαρεστοῦντα τῷ Θεῷ, ἐνεργήσας εἰς τὸν ἀδελφὸν αὐτοῦ τὸν καλούμενον Κάϊν ἐποίησεν ἀποκτείναι τὸν ἀδελφὸν αὐτοῦ τὸν καλούμενον καινοῦ τὸν ᾿Αβελ, καὶ οὕτως ἀρχὴ θανάτου ἐγένετο εἰς τόνδε τὸν κόσμον κ.τ.λ.

IV. 'Said I not truly that death came into the world through jealousy? It was jealousy which prompted the first murder and slew a brother by a brother's hand; jealousy which drove Jacob into exile, which sold Joseph as a bondslave, which compelled Moses to flee before his fellow-countryman and before Pharaoh, which excluded Aaron and Miriam from the camp, which swal-

lowed up Dathan and Abiram alive, which exposed David to the malice not only of foreigners but even of the Israelite king.'

The idea of jealousy bringing death into the world had a prominent place in the teaching of the Ophites as reported by Iren.i. 30. 9, 'Ita ut et dum fratrem suum Abel occideret, primus zelum et mortem ostenderet': and Irenæus himself also speaks of the (ηλος of Cain, iii. 23. 4, iv. 18. 3 (see the last passage especially). Mill supposes that the idea was borrowed from Clement. As regards the Ophites however it is more probable that they derived it from a current interpretation of the name Káiv: comp. Clem. Hom. iii. 42 τον μέν πρώτον καλέσας Κάϊν, ο έρμηνεύεται ζήλος, ος καὶ ζηλώσας ἀνείλεν τὸν ἀδελφὸν αὐτοῦ "Aβελ. In a previous passage (iii. 25) this Pseudo-Clement calls Cain auφοτερίζον δνομα, because διχή έχει της έρμηνείας την έκδοχήν, έρμηνεύεται γαρ καὶ κτήσις (קנה) καὶ ζήλος (קנה) κ.τ.λ. The interpretation kthous is adopted by Philo *de Cherub*. 15 (I. p. 148), *de* Sacr. Ab. et Ca. 1 (I. p. 163), quod Det. pot. ins. 10 (1. p. 197), etc., and by Josephus Ant. I. 2. I,

3. καὶ ἐγένετο κ.τ.λ.] Gen. iv. 3—8, quoted almost word for word from the LXX. The divergences from the

καὶ τηνέπετεν τῷ προτώπῳ αἦτοῦ. καὶ εἶπεν ὁ Θεός πρός
10 Κάϊν, ἵνα τί περίλγπος ἐΓένογ; καὶ ἵνα τί τηνέπετεν τὸ
πρότωπόν τογ; οἦκ ἐἀν ὀρθῶς προτενέγκης ὀρθῶς Δὲ κιὰ
Διέλης, ἤκαρτες; ἡτήχατον πρός τε ἡ ἀποττροφὴ αἦτοῦ,
καὶ τὰ ἄρΖεις αἦτοῦ. καὶ εἶπεν Κάϊν πρός Ἄβελ τὸν ἀδελφὸν αἦτοῦ διέλθωμεν εἰς τὸ πεδίον. καὶ ἐγένετο ἐν τῷ
15 εἶναι αἦτοὰς ἐν τῷ πεδίφ ἀνέςτη Κάϊν ἐπὶ Ἄβελ τὸν ἀδελφὸν αἦτοῦ καὶ ἀπέκτεινεν αἦτόν. Ἡρᾶτε, ἀδελφοὶ, ζῆλος

14 πεδίον] παιδιον Α.

15 πεδίψ] παιδιω Α.

Hebrew text are very considerable.

9. τῷ προσώπῳ] The case is difficult to account for, except as a very early transcriber's error; for the form of the Hebrew is the same here as in the following verse, where it is translated συνέπεσεν τὸ πρόσωπον, and the dative though intelligible is awkward.

II. οὖκ ἐὰν ὀρθῶς κ.τ.λ.] The meaning of the original is obscure, but the LXX translation which Clement here follows must be wrong. The words מיטיב לפתח stand for תיטיב לפתח ('doest good, at the door'), which the translators appear to have understood 'doest right to open'; unless indeed they read ווח for המתח, as seems more probable (for in the older characters the resemblance of 3 and b is very close). At all events it would seem that they intended διέλης to refer to apportioning the offerings (comp. Lev. i. 12, where it represents and is used of dividing the victim): and they might have understood the offence of Cain to consist in reserving to himself the best and giving God the worst: see Philo Quæst, in Gen. I. § 62-64 (I. p. 43 sq. Aucher), de Agric. 29 (1. p. 319), and de Sacr. Ab. et Ca. 13, 20 sq., (I. p. 171 sq., 176 sq.), in illustration of this sense. The Christian fathers however frequently give it a directly moral bearing, explaining δρθώς μη' διέλης to refer either to the obliquity of Cain's moral sense or to his unfairness in his relations with his brother, e.g. Iren. iii. 23.4 'Quod non recte divisisset eam quae erga fratrem erat communionem,' iv. 18. 3 'Quoniam cum zelo et malitia quæerat adversus fratrem divisionem habebat in corde, etc.', Origen Sel. in Gen. (II. p. 30) οὐ διείλεν ὀρθώς της θείας νομοθεσίας κατεφρόνησεν κ.τ.λ.

12. ἡσύχασον] corresponds to the Hebrew ΥΙ 'lying,' which the LXX have treated as an imperative 'lie still'; comp. Job xi. 19. Much stress is laid on ἡσύχασον by Philo de Sobr. 10 (I. p. 400), and by early Christian expositors, e. g. Clem. Hom. iii. 25, Iren. ll. cc.

14. διέλθωμεν εἰς τὸ πεδίον] wanting in the Hebrew and Targum of Onkelos, but found in the LXX, the Samaritan and Syriac versions, and the later Targums. Origen's comment is interesting: Sel. in Genes. (II. p. 39) ἐν τῷ Ἑβραϊκῷ τὸ λεχθὲν ὑπὸ τοῦ Κάϊν πρὸς τὸν Ἅδελ οὐ γέγραπται καὶ οἰ περὶ ᾿Ακύλαν ἔδειξαν ὅτι ἐν τῷ ἀποκρύφφ φασὶν οἱ Ἑβραῖοι κεῖσθαι τοῦτο ἐνταῦθα κατὰ τὴν τῶν ἐβδομήκοντα ἐκδοχήν. These or similar words are plainly wanted for the sense, and can

καὶ φθόνος ἀδελφοκτονίαν κατειργάσατο. διὰ ζῆλος ὁ πατηρ ἡμῶν Ἰακὼβ ἀπέδρα ἀπὸ προσώπου ἸΗσαῦ τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ αὐτοῦ. ζῆλος ἐποίησεν Ἰωσηφ μέχρι θανάτου διωχθηναι καὶ μέχρι δουλείας εἰσελθεῖν. ζῆλος φυγεῖν ἠνάγκασεν Μωϋσην ἀπὸ προσώπου Φαραὼ βασι- 5 λέως Αἰγύπτου ἐν τῷ ἀκοῦσαι αὐτὸν ἀπὸ τοῦ ὁμοφύλου τίς ce κατέςτης κριτὴν ἢ Δικαςτὴν ἐφ' ἡμῶν; μὴ ἀνελεῖν με τὸ θέλεις, ὅν τρόπον ἀνεῖλες ἐχθὲς τὸν Αἰγήπτιον; διὰ ζῆλος ᾿Ααρὼν καὶ Μαριὰμ ἔξω τῆς παρεμβολῆς ηὐλίσθησαν. ζῆλος Δαθὰν καὶ ᾿Αβειρὼν ζῶντας κατή- 10

9 διά ξήλος] ξηλοσ (without δια) A. 10 ηὐλίσθησαν] ηυλησθησαν A. ξήλος] διαξηλοσ (add. δια) A. 12 Δαυείδ] δαδ A. I have followed the best mss of the N. T. for the orthography of the word. 15 ὑποδειγμάτων] υποδιγματων A. 17 γενναῖα] γεννεα A. 18 οἰ κάλλιστοι] οτ οἰ κράτιστοι. So

only have been omitted accidentally. The Masoretes reckon this one of the twenty-eight passages where there is a lacuna in the text: see Fabric. Cod. Apocr. V.T. I. p. 104 sq. Philo enlarges on the allegorical meaning of τὸ πεδίον.

διὰ ζῆλος] On the two declensions of ζῆλος see Winer § ix. p. 78, A. Buttmann p. 20. Clement (or his transcriber) uses the masculine and the neuter forms indifferently.

2. ὁ πατὴρ ἡμῶν] So § 31 ὁ πατὴρ ἡμῶν 'Αβραάμ. From these passages it has been inferred that the writer was a Jewish Christian. The inference however is not safe; since Clement, like S. Paul (Gal. iii. 7, 9, 29, Rom. iv. 11, 18, ix. 6—8) or Justin (Dial. 134), might refer to spiritual rather than actual parentage; comp. I Pet. iii. 6 Σάρρα... γε ἐγενήθητε τέκνα. So too Theophilus of Antioch (quoted by Jacobson), though himself a Gentile, speaks of Abraham (ad Autol. iii. 28, comp. iii. 24) and David (iii. 25) as 'our forefather.'

To these references add ib. iii. 20 of Έβραῖοι, οἱ καὶ προπάτορες ἡμῶν, ἀφ' ὧν καὶ τὰς ἱερὰς βίβλους ἔχομεν κ.τ.λ.

7. τίς σε κ.τ.λ.] From the LXX of Exod. ii. 14, which follows the Hebrew closely, inserting however χθές (or ἐχθές). Clement has κριτὴν ἢ for ἄρχοντα καί, perhaps from confusion with Luke xii. 14. The LXX is quoted more exactly in Acts vii. 27. The life of Moses supplies Clement with a twofold illustration of his point; for he incurred not only the envy of the king (ἀπὸ προσώπου Φαραώ), but also of his fellow-countrymen (ἐν τῷ ἀκοῦσαι αὐτὸν κ.τ.λ.), as in the parallel case of David below.

'Ααρών κ.τ.λ.] The Mosaic record mentions only the exclusion of Miriam from the camp, Num. xii. 14,
 In this instance and in the next (Dathan and Abiram) the jealous persons are themselves the sufferers.

11. τὸν θεράποντα κ.τ.λ.] The expression is used of Moses several times, e. g. Exod. iv. 10, xiv. 31, Num.

γαγεν εἰς ἄδου, διὰ τὸ στασιάσαι αὐτοὺς πρὸς τὸν θεράποντα τοῦ Θεοῦ Μωϋσῆν. διὰ ζῆλος Δαυείδ φθόνον ἔσχεν οὐ μόνον ὑπὸ τῶν ἀλλοφύλων, ἀλλὰ καὶ ὑπὸ Caοὺλ βασιλέως Ἰσραὴλ ἐδιώχθη.

15 V. 'Αλλ' ίνα τῶν ἀρχαίων ὑποδειγμάτων παυσώμεθα, ἔλθωμεν ἐπὶ τοὺς ἔγγιστα γενομένους ἀθλητάς λάβωμεν της γενεᾶς ἡμῶν τὰ γενναῖα ὑποδείγματα. Διὰ ζῆλον καὶ φθόνο[ν οἱ κάλλι]στοὶ καὶ δικαιότατοι στύλ[οι ἐδιώ]χθησαν καὶ ἕως θανάτο[υ ἦλθον]. Λάβω-20 μεν πρὸ ὀφθαλμῶ[ν ἡμῶν] τοὺς ἀγαθοὺς ἀποστόλου[ς.

I would supply the lacuna on account of the space. Birr had suggested $\ell\rho$ or $\mu\ell\gamma$ or $\kappa\rho\delta\tau$ or $\kappa\rho\delta\tau$ or $\kappa\rho\delta\tau$ or $\kappa\rho\delta\tau$ or $\kappa\rho\delta\tau$ or the space, while on the other hand Young's reading $\ell\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma$ as $\pi\ell\sigma\tau$ takes up too much room.

19 $\eta\lambda\theta\sigma$ Wotton (notes).

xii. 7, 8, Josh. viii. 31, 33: comp. below §§ 43, 51, 53, Barnab. § 14, Just. Mart. Dial. 56 (p. 274 D), Theoph. ad Autol. iii. 9, 18, etc. 'Ο θεράπων τοῦ Θεοῦ was a recognised title of Moses, as ὁ φίλος τοῦ Θεοῦ was of Abraham.

13. ὑπὸ τῶν ἀλλοφύλων] The Philistines, I Sam. xxi. 11, xxix. 4 sq.

14. ὑπὸ Σαούλ] I Sam. xviii. 9 And Saul eyed (ὑποβλεπόμενος LXX, A) David from that day and forward.'

V. 'Again, take examples from our own generation. Look at the lives of the chief Apostles. See how Peter and Paul suffered from jealousy; how through many wanderings, through diverse and incessant persecutions, they bore testimony to Christ; how at last they sealed their testimony with their blood, and departed to their rest and to their glory.'

16. ξγγιστα] 'very near,' as compared with the examples already quoted. The expression must be qualified and explained by the men-

tion of ή γενεὰ ήμῶν just below. It has been shown that the close of Domitian's reign is pointed out both by tradition and by internal evidence as the date of this epistle (see the introd. p. 2 with the references there given to the notes). The language here coincides with this result. It could hardly be used to describe events which had happened within the last year or two, as must have been the case if the letter were written at the end of Nero's reign. And on the other hand ή γενεὰ ήμῶν would be wholly out of place, if it dated from the time of Hadrian, some 50 years after the death of the two Apostles.

ἀθλητάς] See the note on Ign. Polyc. 1.

19. στύλοι] See the note on Galatians ii. 9, where it is used of S. Peter and other Apostles.

20. ἀγαθούs] Editors and critics have indulged in much licence of conjecture, suggesting ἀγίους, πρώτους, θείους, etc., in place of ἀγαθούς. This

'Ο Πέτρ]ος διὰ ζηλον ἄδικον οὐχ ἕ[να οὐ]δὲ δύο ἀλλὰ

1 'O Πέτρος] Jacobson. Πέτρος Young; but this is hardly sufficient for the space.

2 ὑπἡνεγκεν] Young read ὑπέμεωνεν; but Mill and others professed to see the H, and Wotton accordingly says 'Proculdubio legendum est

has led to the statement made in Volkmar's edition of Credner's Gesch. des N. T. Kanon, p. 51 that the MS reads \hat{a} ovs (a supposed contraction for $\pi p \dot{\omega} r \sigma v s$). Nothing can be farther from the truth. The word $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta v \dot{v} s$ is distinctly legible in full in the MS and must be retained. Such an epithet may be most naturally explained on the supposition that Clement is speaking in affectionate remembrance of those whom he had known personally. Otherwise the epithet seems to be somewhat out of place.

1. Πέτρος It will be noticed that the name is supplied by conjecture, only the last two letters being legible. Of its correctness however no doubt is or can well be entertained. Indeed a passage in Peter of Alexandria (de Pænit. 9, see Routh's Rel. Sacr. IV. p. 34), where the two Apostles are mentioned in conjunction, was probably founded on Clement's account here, for it closely resembles his language. This juxtaposition of S. Peter and S. Paul, where the Roman Church is concerned, occurs not unfrequently. The language of Ignatius, Rom. 4, seems to imply that they had both preached in Rome; and half a century later Dionysius of Corinth (Euseb. H. E. ii. 25) states explicitly that they went to Italy and suffered martyrdom there κατά τον αὐτον και-This is affirmed also a generation later by Tertullian who mentions the different manners of their deaths (Scorp. 15, de Præscr. 36); and soon after Caius (Hippolytus?), himself a Roman Christian, mentions the sites of their graves in the immediate neighbourhood of Rome (Euseb. H.E.

ii. 25); see also Lactant. de Mort. Pers. 2, Euseb. Dem. Ev. iii. 3, p. 116. The existing Acta Petri et Pauli (Act. Apost. Apocr. p. 1, ed. Tischendorf) are occupied with the preaching and death of the two Apostles at Rome; and this appears to have been the subject also of a very early work bearing the same name, on which see Hilgenfeld Nov. Test. extr. Can. Rec. IV. p. 68.

But not only was this juxtaposition of the two Apostles appropriate as coming from the Roman Church; it would also appeal powerfully to the Corinthians. The latter community, no less than the former, traced its spiritual pedigree to the combined teaching of both Apostles; and accordingly Dionysius (l. c.), writing from Corinth to the Romans, dwells with emphasis on this bond of union between the two Churches: comp. I Cor. i. 12, iii. 22.

2. μαρτυρήσας 'having borne his testimony? The word μάρτυς was very early applied especially, though not solely, to one who sealed his testimony with his blood. It is so applied in the Acts (xxii. 20) to S. Stephen, and in the Revelation (ii. 13) to Antipas. Our Lord himself is styled the faithful and true µáprvs (Rev. i. 5, iii. 14), and His μαρτυρία before Pontius Pilate is especially emphasized (1 Tim. vi. 13). Ignatius speaks of his desire to attain to the rank of a disciple διὰ τοῦ μαρτυρίου (Ephes. 1), where martyrdom is plainly meant. Doubtless the Neronian persecution had done much to promote this sense, aided perhaps by its frequent occurrence in the Revela-

πλείονας υπ[ήνεγκεν] πόνους, καὶ ούτω μαρτυ[ρήσας]

υπήρεγκευ. According to Jacobson 'Hodie nihil nisi γπ restat'. On the other hand Tischendorf sees part of an H. I could discern traces of a letter, but these might belong equally well to an ε or an H.

tion. After the middle of the second century at all events μάρτυς, μαρτι- $\rho \in \hat{\nu}$, were used absolutely to signify martyrdom; Martyr. Polyc. 19 sq., Melito in Euseb. H. E. iv. 26, Dionys. Corinth. ib. ii. 25, Hegesippus ib. ii. 23, iv. 22, Epist. Gall. ib. v. 1, 2, Anon. adv. Cataphr. ib. v. 16, Iren. Har. 1. 28. 1, iii. 3. 3, 4, iii. 12. 10, iii. 18. 5, etc. Still even at this late date they continued to be used simultaneously of other testimony borne to the Gospel, short of death: e.g. by Hegesippus, Euseb. H.E. iii. 20, 32, by Apollonius ib. v. 18 (several times), and in a document quoted by Serapion ib. v. 19. A passage in the Epistle of the Churches of Gaul (A.D. 177) illustrates the usage, as vet not definitely fixed but tending to fixity, at this epoch: οὐχ ἄπαξ οὐδὲ δὶς ἀλλά πολλάκις μαρτυρήσαντες καὶ ἐκ θηρίων αὖθις ἀναληφθέντες...οὖτ' αὖτοὶ μάρτυρας έαυτούς άνεκήρυττον οὖτε μην ήμιν επετρεπον τούτω τώ ονόματι προσαγορεύειν αὐτούς ἀλλ' εἶποτέ τις ἡμῶν δι' έπιστολής ή διὰ λόγου μάρτυρας αὐτοὺς προσείπεν, επέπλησσον πικρώς ήδεως γὰρ παρεχώρουν τὴν τῆς μαρτυρίας προσηγορίαν τῷ Χριστῷ τῷ πιστῷ καὶ άληθινώ μάρτυρι...καὶ ἐπεμιμνήσκοντο τών έξεληλυθότων ήδη μαρτύρων καὶ έλεγον έκεινοι ήδη μάρτυρες οὓς έν τῆ όμολογία Χριστός ήξίωσεν άναληφθηναι, έπισφραγισάμενος αὐτων διὰ τῆς έξόδου τὴν μαρτυρίαν ήμεις δε όμόλογοι μέτριοι καὶ ταπειvol (Euseb. H.E. v. 2). The distinction between μάρτυς and ὁμόλογος, which the humility of these sufferers suggested, became afterwards the settled usage of the Church; but that it was not so at the close of the

second century appears from the Alexandrian Clement's comments on Heracleon's account of δμολογία in Strom. iv. 9, p. 596; and even half a century later the two titles are not kept apart in Cyprian's language. The Decian persecution however would seem to have been instrumental in fixing this distinction.

Thus the mere use of $\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ in. this early age does not in itself necessarily imply the martyrdoms of the two Apostles; but on the other hand we need not hesitate (with Merivale, Hist. of the Romans VI. p. 282, note 2) to accept the passage of Clement as testimony to this fact. For (1) Clement evidently selects extreme cases of men who ξως θανάτου $\partial \lambda \theta_{0\nu}$; (2) The emphatic position of μαρτυρήσας points to the more definite meaning; (3) The expression is the same as that in which Hegesippus describes the final testimony, the martyrdom, of James (Euseb. H.E. ii. 23 καὶ οὖτως ἐμαρτύρησεν) and of Symeon (Euseb. H. E. iii. 32 kal ουτω μαρτυρεί); (4) Dionysius of Corinth couples the two Apostles together, as they are coupled here, saying έμαρτύρησαν κατά τὸν αὐτὸν καιρόν (Euseb. H. E. ii. 25), where martyrdom is plainly meant and where probably he was writing with Clement's language in his mind. The early patristic allusions to the martyrdoms of the two Apostles have been already quoted (p. 46). It should be added that S. Peter's martyrdom is clearly implied in John xxi. 18, and that S. Paul's is the almost inevitable consequence of his position as described by himself in 2 Tim. iv. 6 sq.

επορεύθη εἰς τὸν ὀφειλ[όμενον] τόπον τῆς δόξης. Διὰ ζῆλον [καὶ ὁ] Παῦλος ὑπομονῆς βραβεῖον [ὑπέδει]ξεν, ἐπτάκις δεσμὰ φορέσας, [φυγα]δευθείς, λιθασθείς, κήρυξ

- 2 καὶ ὁ] Jacobson. It was previously read ὁ, but more is wanted to fill the space. βραβεῖον] βραβιον Α. ὑπέδειξεν] So I would restore the reading for reasons given in the note below. Young printed ἀπεσχεν, but Mill formerly and Jacobson recently read the Ms γ....εΝ. Accordingly Wotton and most later editors have written ὑπεσχεν. As regards the γ my own observation entirely agrees with Tischendorf's, who says 'post βραβιον membrana abscissa neque litteræ quæ sequebatur vestigium superest'. Indeed (if I am right) there can hardly have been any such trace since the Ms was bound, so that Jacobson was certainly mistaken and Mill probably so; but I have so far regarded this statement, as to offer a conjecture which respects the γ. On the other hand the Ξ at the beginning of the next line is clearly legible even in the photograph, though it has not been discerned by previous editors.
- 1. τὸν ὀφειλόμενον τόπον] The expression is copied by Polycarp (Phil. 9), where speaking of S. Paul and the other Apostles he says, εἰς τὸν ὀφειλόμενον αὐτοῖς τόπον εἰσὶ παρὰ τῷ Κυρίῳ. So Acts i. 25 τὸν τόπον τὸν ἔδιον (comp. Ign. Magn. 5), Barnab. 19 τὸν ὡρισμένον τόπον, and below § 44 τοῦ ἱδρυμένου αὐτοῖς τόπου. An elder in Irenæus (probably Papias) discourses at length on the different abodes prepared for the faithful according to their deserving, Hær. V. 36. I sq.

2. βραβεῖον] S. Paul's own word, I Cor. ix. 24, Phil. iii. 14. See also Mart. Polyc. 17 βραβεῖον ἀναντίρρητον ἀπενηνεγμένον, Tatian ad Græc. 33 ἀκρασίας βραβεῖον ἀπηνέγκατο: and comp. Orac. Sib. ii. 45, 149.

ὑπέδειξεν] 'pointed out the way to, taught by his example'; comp. § 6 ὑπόδειγμα κάλλιστον ἐγένοντο ἐν ἡμῖν. The idea of ὑπέδειξεν is carried out by ὑπογραμμός below; for the two words occur naturally together, as in Lucian Rhet. præc. 9 ὑποδεικνὺς τὰ Δημοσθένους ἵχνη...παραδείγματα παρατιθεὶς τῶν λόγων οὐ ῥάδια μιμεῖσθαι... καὶ τὸν χρόνον πάμπολυν ὑπογράψει τῆς ὁδοιπορίας: So ὑποδεικνύειν ἐλπίδας and ὑπογράφειν ἐλπίδας are converti-

ble phrases, *Polyb.* ii. 70. 7, v. 36. I. The only possible alternative reading which occurs to me (retaining the ξ which is legible in the MS) is $\epsilon \kappa \dot{\eta} \rho \nu \xi \epsilon \nu$, but the following $\kappa \dot{\eta} \rho \nu \xi \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\rho} \nu \epsilon \nu \rho \nu s$ seems to exclude this.

3. έπτάκις In 2 Cor. xi. 23 S. Paul speaks of himself as έν φυλακαίς περισσοτέρως; but the imprisonment at Philippi is the only one recorded in the Acts before the date of the Second Epistle to the Corinthians. Clement therefore must have derived his more precise information from some other source. Zeller (Theol. Jahrb. 1848, p. 530) suggests that the writer of this letter added the captivities at Cæsarea and at Rome to the five punishments which S. Paul mentions in 2 Cor. xi. 24. But the πεντάkis there has no reference to imprisonments, which are mentioned separately in the words already quoted. I should not have thought it necessary to call attention to this very obvious inadvertence, if the statement had not been copied with approval or without disapproval by several other writers.

φυγαδευθείs] We read of S. Paul's flight from Damascus (Acts ix. 25, 2 Cor. xi. 33), from Jerusalem (Acts

γ[ενό]μενος έν τε τῆ ἀνατολῆ καὶ ἐ[ν τῆ] δύσει, τὸ γεν-5 ναῖον τῆς πίστεως αὐτοῦ κλέος ἔλαβεν, δικαιοσύνην διδάξας ὅλον τὸν κόσμον καὶ ἐπὶ τὸ τέρμα τῆς δύσεως

Tisch. says 'Z quum paullo minus appareat, possit erasum credi'. The letter is certainly faint, but I see no traces of erasure.

3 φυγαδευθείs] Young reads παιδευθείs, Cotelier $\dot{\rho}$ αβδευθείs. Wotton says, 'Neuter ad fidem Msti codicis qui exhibet $\dot{\phi}$ cum majore parte $\tau o \dot{v}$ γ spatioque duarum literarum, δευθείs. Restituo igitur φυγαδευθείs'. Jacobson's statement is 'Cod. Ms usque adhuc $\dot{\phi}$ exhibet', but he apparently does not see any part of the γ. Tisch. can read nothing after φορεσασ, and this was my own case. The photograph, if I mistake not, shows that there is no room for any letter on the existing parchment after the final σ of $\dot{\phi}$ ορεσασ. Probably however $\dot{\phi}$ υγαδευθείs is the right reading; see below.

5 πίστεως] πισταιωσ A. 6 έπί] The word is distinctly legible in the MS, and therefore the conjecture ὑπό (see below) is inadmissible.

ix. 30), from Antioch of Pisidia (xiii. 50), from Iconium (xiv. 6), from Thessalonica (xvii. 10), from Berœa (xvii. 14), and perhaps from Corinth (xx. 3). Some of these incidents would be described by φυγαδευθείς, but it is perhaps too strong a word to apply to all. On φυγαδεύειν, which though found even in Attic writers was regarded by purists as questionable, see Lobeck Phryn. p. 385. The alternative reading ραβδευθείς (comp. 2 Cor. xi. 25) is objectionable, because the form ραβδίζειν alone is used in the LXX and O. T. (and perhaps elsewhere, in this sense).

3. λιθασθείς] At Lystra (Acts xiv. 19). An attempt was made also to stone him at Iconium, but he escaped in time (xiv. 5). Hence he says (2 Cor. xi. 25) ἄπαξ ελιθάσθην. See Paley Hor. Paul. iv. § 9.

κήρυξ] S. Paul so styles himself 2 Tim. i. 11. Epictetus too calls his i deal philosopher κήρυξ τῶν θεῶν, Diss. i ii. 21. 13, iii. 22. 69.

4. τὸ γενναῖον κ.τ.λ.] 'the noble renown which he had won by his faith;' i.e. his faith in his divine mission to preach to the Gentiles: see Credner's Gesch. des N. T. Kanon (1860) p. 52.

6. όλον τὸν κόσμον κ.τ.λ.] In the spurious letter of Clement to James prefixed to the Homilies it is said of S. Peter ὁ τῆς δύσεως τὸ σκοτεινότερον τοῦ κόσμου μέρος ώς πάντων ίκανώτερος φωτίσαι κελευσθείς...τὸν έσόμενον άγαθὸν ὅλφ τῷ κόσμφ μηνύσας βασιλέα, μεχρίς ένταῦθα τῆς 'Ρώμης γενόμενος...αὐτὸς τοῦ νῦν βίου βιαίως τὸ ζῆν μετήλλαξεν (§1, p. 6 Lagarde). This passage is, I think, plainly founded on the true Clement's account of S. Paul here; and thus it accords with the whole plan of this Judaic writer in transferring the achievements of S. Paul to S. Peter whom he makes the Apostle of the Gentiles: see Galatians p. 315.

τὸ τέρμα τῆς δύσεως] 'the extreme west.' In the Epistle to the Romans (xv. 24) S. Paul had stated his intention of visiting Spain. From the language of Clement here it appears that this intention was fulfilled. Two generations later (c. A.D. 170) an anonymous writer mentions his having gone thither; 'Sed et profectionem Pauli ab urbe ad Spaniam proficiscentis, Fragm. Murat. (pp. 19, 40, ed. Tregelles, Oxon. 1867; or Westcott Hist. of Canon, p. 479).

CLEM.

έλθών καὶ μαρτυρήσας ἐπὶ τῶν ἡγουμένων, οὕτως ἀπηλλάγη τοῦ κόσμου καὶ εἰς τὸν ἄγιον τόπον ἐπορεύθη, ὑπομονῆς γενόμενος μέγιστος ὑπογραμμός.

VI. Τούτοις τοῖς ἀνδράσιν ὁσίως πολιτευσαμένοις

η διωχθείσαι] διωχθισαι Α. 8 νεάνιδες παιδίσκαι] Wordsworth (see below). δαη | αιδεσκαιδιρκαι Α. The MS is cre:

For the expression τὸ τέρμα τῆς δύ ωs pointing to the western extrem of Spain, the pillars of Hercul comp. Strab. ii. 1 (p. 67) πέρατα δὲ της (της οἰκουμένης) τίθησι πρὸς δύ μέν τὰς Ἡρακλείους στήλας, ii. 4 106) μεχρὶ τῶν ἄκρων τῆς 'Ιβηρίας ἅι δυσμικώτερά έστι, iii. I (p. 137) το (τὸ ἱερὸν ἀκρωτήριον) ἐστι τὸ δυτικώ τον οὐ τῆς Εὐρώπης μόνον ἀλλὰ καὶ 🤈 οίκουμένης απάσης σημείον περατοῦ γάρ ύπὸ τῶν δυείν ἢπείρων ἡ οἰκουμ πρὸς δύσιν, τοῖς τε τῆς Εὐρώπης ἄκρ καὶ τοῖς πρώτοις τῆς Λιβύης, iii. 5 169) έπειδή κατά τὸν πορθμὸν έγένο τὸν κατὰ τὴν Κάλπην, νομίσαντας τ μονας είναι της οικουμένης...τά ἄκ ib. (p. 170) ζητείν ἐπὶ τῶν κυρίως γομένων στηλών τούς της οἰκουμι ορους (these references are correc from Credner's Kanon p. 53), a see Strabo's whole account of western boundaries of the world: of this coast of Spain. Simila Vell. Paterc. I. 2 'In ultimo His niæ tractu, in extremo nostri o termino.' It is not improbable a that this western journey of S. F included a visit to Gaul (2 Tim 10: see Galatians p. 31). But for patriotic belief of some English, ters (see Ussher Brit. Eccl. An. 1, Stillingfleet Orig. Brit. c. 1), v have included Britain in the A stle's travels, there is neither evide nor probability; comp. Haddan and Stubbs Counc. and Eccles. Doc. 1. p. 22 sq. This journey westward supposes that S. Paul was liberated

limit towards the west' (Baur, Schenkel); (2) 'to the sunset of his labours' (Reuss); (3) 'to the boundary between the east and west' (Schrader,



5 συνηθροίσθη πολύ πληθος έκλεκτων, οίτινες πολλαῖς αἰκίαις καὶ βασάνοις, διὰ ζηλος παθόντες, ὑπόδειγμα κάλλιστον ἐγένοντο ἐν ἡμῖν. Διὰ ζηλος διωχθεῖσαι γυναῖκες, νεάνιδες, παιδίσκαι, αἰκίσματα δεινὰ καὶ ἀνόσια

but the 3rd letter seems certainly to be H, and not N as all previous editors (and even Tischendorf) represent it. The second Δ begins a new line, and another letter may possibly have stood after the H, as the page is worn; but this is not probable.

Hilgenfeld); (4) to the goal or centre of the west' (Matthies); (5) before $(\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\nu})$ for $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$) the supreme power of the west' (Wieseler, Schaff). Such attempts are a strong testimony to the plain inference which follows from the passage simply interpreted.

I. ἐπὶ τῶν ἡγουμένων] ' before rulers': comp. § 37 τοις ήγουμένοις ήμων...του βασιλέως και των ήγουμένων, § 51 οί ήγούμενοι Αίγύπτου, § 55 πολλοί βασι-The names of λείς καὶ ἡγούμενοι. Nero and Helius (Dion Cass. lxiii. 12), of Tigellinus and Sabinus (the prætorian prefects A. D. 67), etc., have been suggested. In the absence of information it is waste of time to speculate. Clement's language does not imply that the Apostle's μαρτυρία ἐπὶ τῶν ἡγουμένων took place in the extreme west (as Hilgenfeld argues), for there is nothing to show that $\epsilon n i$ τὸ τέρμα κ.τ.λ. and μαρτυρήσας έπὶ των ήγουμένων are intended to be synchronous. Indeed the clause καὶ ἐπὶ τὸ τέρμα της δύσεως έλθων seems to be explanatory of the preceding δικαιοσύνην διδάξας δλοντονκόσμον, and the passage should be punctuated accordingly.

3. ὑπογραμμός] 'a copy, an example,' as for instance a pencil-drawing to be traced over in ink or an outline to be filled in and coloured. The word occurs again §§ 16, 33; comp. 2 Macc. ii. 28, 29, 1 Pet. ii. 21, Polyc. Phil. 8, Clem. Hom. iv. 16. The classical word is ὑπογραφή. For an explanation of the metaphorsee Aristot. Gen. An. ii. 6 (I. p. 743) καὶ γὰρ οἱ γραφεῖς

ύπογράψαντες ταις γραμμαις οὖτως ἐναλείφουσι τοις χρώμασι τὸ ζῶον. The sister art of sculpture supplies a similar metaphor in ὑποτύπωσις, the first rough model, I Tim. i. 16, 2 Tim. i. 13.

VI. 'But besides these signal instances, many less distinguished saints have fallen victims to jealousy and set us a like example of forbearance. Even feeble women have borne extreme tortures without flinching. Jealousy has separated husbands and wives: it has overthrown cities, and uprooted nations.'

5. $\pi o \lambda \dot{v} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta o s$] The reference must be chiefly, though not solely, to the sufferers in the Neronian persecution, since they are represented as contemporaries of the two Apostles. Thus $\dot{\epsilon} v \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\iota} v$ will mean 'among us Roman Christians', and the $a l \kappa \dot{\iota} u \kappa a l \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma a v o \iota$ are the tortures described by Tacitus Ann. xv. 44. The Roman historian's expression 'multitudo ingens' is the exact counterpart to Clement's $\pi o \lambda \dot{v} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta o s$.

πολλαιs alkiais κ.τ.λ.] 'by or amid many sufferings.' Previous editors have substituted the accusative, πολλαι alkias; but, as the dative is frequently used to denote the means, and even the accessories, the circumstances (see Madvig Gr. Synt. § 39 sq.), I have not felt justified in altering the reading. In this case δια ζήλος παθόντες will be used absolutely, and πολλαι alkiais κ.τ.λ. will explain ὑπόδειγμα ἐγένοντο.

8. νεάνιδες, παιδίσκαι] The first word

παθοῦσαι, ἐπὶ τὸν τῆς πίστεως βέβαιον δρόμον κατήντη[σαν] καὶ ἔλαβον γέρας γενναῖον αἱ ἀσθενεῖς τῷ σώματι.
ζῆλος ἀπηλλοτρίωσεν γαμετὰς ἀνδρῶν καὶ ἤλλοίωσεν
τὸ ρηθὲν ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς ἡμῶν ᾿λδάμ, τοςτ[ο] κὴ κοτοςκ ἐκ τῶν ὀστέων μ[ογ] καὶ càpī ἐκ τῆς capκός μογ. 5
ζῆλος καὶ ἔρις πόλεις μεγάλας κατέστρεψεν καὶ ἔθνη μεγάλα ἐξερίζωσεν.

5 δστέων] οσταιων Α. 6 έρις] ερεισ Α. 12 εὐκλεῆ] ευκλαιη Α.

in the MS is ΔαΗΔΙΔΕC, not ΔαΝΔΙΔΕC as represented by all previous collators (including Tischendorf). This indicates some carelessness in the scribe at this point, and is an additional reason for discrediting the reading Δαναίδες και Δίρκαι, which yields no tolerable meaning. I have therefore adopted the acute emendation of Wordsworth (on Theocritus xxvi. I) γυναίκες, νεάνιδες, παιδίora, as highly probable and giving an excellent sense; 'Women, tender maidens, even slave-girls': comp. August. Serm. cxliii (v. p. 692 sq.) 'Non solum viri sed etiam mulieres et pueri et puellæ martyres vicerunt,' Leo Serm. lxxiv (I. p. 294) 'Non solum viri sed etiam fæminæ nec tantum impubes pueri sed etiam teneræ virgines usque ad effusionem sui sanguinis decertarunt'; quoted by Wordsworth (l.c.). For the meaning of παιδίσκη in Hellenistic Greek seethe notes Galatians iv. 22. Under any circumstances the reading of the MS can hardly be retained. Besides the awkwardness of expression, the Danaids and Dirce would be no parallel to the Christian martyrs. Clement of Alexandria indeed (Strom. iv. 19, p. 618) mentions the daughters of Danaus with several other examples of womanly bravery among the heathens, and in the earlier part of the same

chapter he has quoted the passage of his Roman namesake (§ 55) relating to Esther and Judith; but this does not meet the difficulty. It has been suggested again, that these may have been actual names of Christian women martyred at Rome: but the names are perhaps improbable in themselves, and the plurals cannot well be explained. It has been thought again that female martyrs were made to personate these mythical characters, as a scenic spectacle, and punished in this guise; but, though the legend of Dirce was not ill adapted to such a purpose, the story of the Danaids would be unmanageable; and even were it otherwise, there is no evidence of such a practice; while moreover the expression in itself is harsh and unnatural.

1. κατήντησαν κ.τ.λ.] The verb καταντᾶν signifies to arrive at a destination, and the corresponding substantive κατάντημα is 'a destination, a goal,' Ps. xix. 6: comp. Schol. on Arist. Ran. 1026 (993) έλαῖαι στιχηδὸν ἴστανται, οὖσαι κατάντημα τοῦ δρόμου. Here ὁβέβαιος δρόμος 'the sure course,' i.e. the point in the stadium where the victory is secured, is almost equivalent to 'the goal.' For καταντᾶν ἐπί comp. 2 Sam. iii. 29, Polyb. x. 37. 3, xiv 1. 9.

4. τοῦτο νῦν κ.τ.λ.] From the LXX

VII. Ταῦτα, ἀγαπητοί, οὐ μόνον ὑμᾶς νουθετοῦντες ἐπιστέλλομεν, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐαυτοὺς †ὑπομνήσκον[τες]†

10 ἐν γὰρ τῷ αὐτῷ ἔσμεν σκάμμα[τι], καὶ ὁ αὐτὸς ἡμῖν
ἀγῶν ἐπίκει[ται]. Διὸ ἀπολείπωμεν τὰς κενὰς κ[αί]
ματαίας φροντίδας, καὶ ἔλθω[μεν] ἐπὶ τὸν εὐκλεῆ καὶ

of Gen. ii. 23, which corresponds with the Hebrew.

6. ζῆλος καὶ ἔρις] The two words occur together, Rom. xiii. 13, 2 Cor. xii. 20, Gal. v. 20: see above, § 3.

πόλεις μεγάλας κ.τ.λ.] See Ecclus. xxviii. 14 πόλεις όχυρας καθείλε καὶ

ολκίας μεγιστάνων κατέστρεψε.

7. ἐξερίζωσεν] For the form see Tischendorf Nov. Test. I. p. lvi (ed. 7), A. Buttmann Gramm. p. 28 sq. Most editors needlessly alter the MS reading to ἐξερρίζωσεν. Compare μεγαλορήμονα § 15, φυλλοροεί § 23 and ii. § 11.

VII. 'While instructing you, we would remind ourselves also. We are all entered in the same lists; we must all run on the straight path; obeying the will of God and respecting the blood of Christ. Examples of penitence in all ages are before our eyes. Noah preached repentance to his generation: Jonah to the men of Nineveh. All whosoever listened to them were saved.'

9. ὑπομνήσκουτες] Comp. Orph. Hymn. lxxvii. 6 (p. 345, Herm.) φιλάγρυπνος ὑπομνήσκουσά τε πάντα (a reference given by Hefele). So also μνήσκομαι in Anacr. ap. Athen. xi. p. 463 A μνήσκεται εὐφροσύνης (which editors perhaps unnecessarily alter into μήσεται οτ μνήσεται). But as our scribe blunders elsewhere in adding and omitting letters under similar circumstances (see above p. 25), we cannot feel sure about the reading.

10. σκάμματι] 'lists.' The σκάμμα is the ground marked out by digging a trench or (as Krause supposes) by

lowering the level for the arena of a contest: see Boeckh Corp. Inscr. no 2758, with the references in Krause Hellen. I p. 105 sq., and for its metaphorical use Polyb. xl. 5. 5 οὐδὲ ἐπὶ τοῦ σκάμματος ὧν τὸ δὴ λεγόμενον, Epict. Diss. iv. 8. 26 είς τοσοῦτο σκάμμα προεκαλείτο πάντα όντιναοῦν. A large number of examples of this metaphor in Christian writers is given by Suicer s. v. This word and many others referring to the games, as agonotheta, epistates, brabium, etc., are adopted by the Latins (see esp. the long metaphor in Tertull. ad Mart. § 3), just as conversely military terms are naturalised from Latin into Greek: see Ign. Polyc. 6 with the notes. In the phrase ὑπὲρ τὰ ἐσκαμμένα πηδαν, αλλεσθαι (e.g. Plat. Crat. p. 413 A, Lucian Gall. 6; see below on κανών), 'to do more than is required or expected, τὰ ἐσκαμμένα is the trench cut at the end of the leap beyond the point which it is supposed the greatest athlete will reach (Pind. Nem. V. 36 μακρά δη αὐτόθεν ἄλμαθ ὑποσκάπτοι τις έχω γονάτων έλαφρον δρμάν). Krause indeed (Hellen. I. p. 393) interprets τὰ ἐσκαμμένα of the line marking the leap of the preceding combatant, but this explanation does not account for the metaphorical use.

11. ἐπίκειται] 'awaits'; as Ign. Rom. 6 ὁ τοκετός μοι ἐπίκειται: comp. Heb. xii. Ι τὸν προκείμενον ἡμῖν ἀγῶνα, Clem. Rom. ii. § 7 ἐν χερσὶν ὁ ἀγῶν.

κένας καὶ μάταιας] 'empty and futile,' the former epithet pointing to the quality, the latter to the aim or efσεμνον τ[ης τελειώ]σεως ημών κανόνα. [γινώσκω]μεν τί καλον καὶ τί τερπνον [καὶ εὐπρο]σδεκτον ἐνώπιον τοῦ ποι[ήσαντ]ος ήμας. [ἀτενίσ]ωμεν εἰς τὸ αἶμα τοῦ Χριστοῦ [καὶ ἴδ]ωμεν ώς ἔστιν τίμιον τῷ Θεῷ [καὶ

1 της τελειώσεως So Tischendorf, prolegom. p. xviii. τελειώσεως Mill. See below. γυώσκωμεν] So I would supply the lacuna; βλέπωμεν is read by previous editors, but would hardly fill the space.

2 καὶ εὐπροσδεκτόν] See below. καὶ τί προσδεκτόν Tisch.

5 καὶ πατρί] See below. πατρί Bleek (in Dressel). An upright

fect of the action. The combination is not uncommon; e.g. LXX Is. XXX. 7, Hos. xii. 1, Job XX. 18; comp. Theoph. ad Aut. iii. 3, Plut. Vit. Artax. 15, Mor. p. 1117 A.

1. κανόνα This is probably a continuation of the metaphor in σκάμμα: comp. Pollux iii. 151 τὸ δὲ μέτρον τοῦ πηδήματος κανών, ὁ δὲ ὅρος τὰ έσκαμμένα. δθεν έπὶ τῶν τὸν δρον ὑπερπηδώντων οἱ παροιμιαζόμενοι λέγουσι πηδαν ύπερ τα έσκαμμένα. See § 41 (with the note). Thus κανών will be the measure of the leap or the race assigned to the athlete. this reason I had conjectured ἀθλήσεως to fill up the lacuna, before Hilgenfeld's edition appeared; and was glad to find that the same word had occurred independently to him. He refers to Martyr. Ign. 5 τοῦ στεφάνου τῆς ἀθλήσεως (comp. ib. § 4). This would add another to Clement's many coincidences with the diction of the Epistle to the Hebrews; see x. 32 πολλήν ἄθλησιν ὑπεμείνατε παθη-But I have been obliged reluctantly to fall back upon τῆs τελειώσεως as better fitted to the space: comp. § 6 τον της πίστεως βέβαιον δρόμον. The other conjectures τ[ης άγίας κλή]σεως, τ[ης κλήσ]εως, are respectively too long and too short for the room.

τί καλόν κ.τ.λ.] From Ps. cxxxii. 1 ίδου δή τί καλον ή τί τερπνόν κ.τ.λ.

2. εὐπρόσδεκτον ἐνώπιον | So ἀπόδεκτον ἐνώπιον, Ι Tim. ii. 3 τοῦτο καλὸν καὶ ἀπόδεκτον ένώπιον τοῦ σωτήρος ήμων Θεοῦ, of which Clement's language here seems to be a reminiscence: comp. I Tim. v. 4, where καλόν καὶ is interpolated in the common texts from the earlier passage. The choice of reading here lies between τί πρόσδεκτον and ευπρόσδεκτον. If τί πρόσδεκτον is slightly better fitted to the space, on the other hand εὐπρόσδεκτος is a much more common word in the N.T. and occurs three times besides. in Clement, § 35 and twice in § 40. The simple πρόσδεκτος however appears in the LXX, Prov. xi. 20, xvi. 15. Wisd. ix. 12; comp. Mart. Polyc. 14.

τίμιον τῷ Θεῷ] Compare I Pet.
 19 τιμίῳ αἴματι ὡς ἀμνοῦ ἀμώμου καὶ ἀσπίλου Χριστοῦ.

rather than πατρί alone for two reasons; (1) If πατρί were contracted πρι, as is most usual in the Ms, the letters would not be sufficient to fill the space; (2) We find ὁ Θεὸς καὶ πατήρ frequently in the Apostolic writings followed by τοῦ Κυρίου, etc. (e.g. Rom. xv. 6, 2 Cor. i. 3, etc., 1 Pet. i. 3, Rev. i. 6), whereas ὁ Θεὸς πατήρ is never so found. In fact with any genitive following, the alternative seems to be ὁ Θεὸς καὶ πατήρ or Θεὸς πατήρ. On the other hand ὁ Θεὸς πατήρ occurs once only in the N. T. (Col. iii. 17, with a v.l.), and

5 πατρ] αὐτοῦ, ὅτι διὰ τὴν ἡμετέραν [σωτ]ηρίαν ἐκχυθὲν πάντὶ τῷ κό[σμ]ῳ μετανοίας χάριν ὑπήνεγκεν. [ἀνέλθ]- ωμεν εἰς τὰς γενεὰς πάσας [καί] καταμάθωμεν ὅτι ἐν γενεᾳ [κα] γενεᾳ μετανοίας τόπον ἔδω[κ]εν ὁ δεσπότης τοῖς βουλομένοις ἐπιστραφῆναι ἐπ' αὐτόν. Νῶε ἐκήρυ-

stroke (probably 1) and a portion of the preceding letter (which might be p) are visible in the Ms. Thus Young's reading $(al\mu a)$, which is followed by most editors, cannot stand.

6 $d\nu\ell\lambda\theta\omega\mu\epsilon\nu$ Wotton.

there it is used absolutely.

6. ὑπήνεγκεν] 'offered.' So it is generally taken, but this sense is unsupported; for Xen. Hell. iv. 7. 2, Soph. El. 834, are not parallels. Perhaps 'won (rescued) for the whole world.'

8. γενεά καὶ γενεά] 'each successive generation.' A Hebraism preserved in the LXX, Esth. ix. 27, Ps. xlviii. 11, lxxxix. 1, xc. 1, etc.: comp. Luke i. 50 γενεάς καὶ γενεάς (vv. ll.).

τόπον] The same expression διδόναι τόπον μετανοίας occurs also in Wisd. xii. 10; comp. Heb. xii. 17 μετανοίας τόπον οὐχ εὖρεν, Tatian. ad Græc. 15 οὐκ ἔχει μετανρίας τόπον. The emendation τύπον therefore is not needed.

δεσπότης Very rarely applied to the Father in the New Testament (Luke ii. 29, Acts iv. 24, Rev. vi. 10, and one or two doubtful passages), but occurring in this one epistle nearly twenty times. The idea of subjection to God is thus very prominent in Clement, while the idea of sonship, on which the Apostolic writers dwell so emphatically, is kept in the background: see Lipsius p. 69. This fact is perhaps due in part to the subject of the epistle, which required Clement to emphasize the duty of submission; but it must be ascribed in some degree to the spirit of the writer himself.

9. Νῶε ἐκήρυξεν κ.τ.λ.] The Mosaic narrative says nothing about Noah as a preacher of repentance.

The nearest approach to this conception in the Canonical Scriptures is 2 Pet. ii. 5, where he is called δικαιοσύνης κήρυξ. The preaching of Noah however is one of the more prominent ideas in the Sibylline Oracles; see especially i. 128 sq. Νῶε δέμας θάρσυνον έὸν λαοῖσί τε πᾶσι κήρυξον μετάνοι αν κ.τ.λ. This passage, though forming part of a comparatively late poem, was doubtless founded on the earliest (pre-Christian) Sibylline (iii. 97-828 of the existing collection) which is mutilated at the beginning and takes up the narrative of the world's history at a later point than the deluge. Indeed this earliest Sibyl(if the closing passage of the book still belongs to the same poem) connects herself with the deluge by claiming to be a daughter-in-law of Noah (iii. 826). As these Oracles were known to and quoted by Clement in another part of this epistle (see the note after § 57), it seems probable that he, perhaps unconsciously, derived this conception of Noah from them. To this same source may probably be traced the curious identification in Theophilus ad Autol. iii. 19 Νῶε καταγγέλλων τοῖς τότε ἀνθρώποις μέλλειν κατακλυσμόν έσεσθαι προεφήτευσεν αὐτοις λέγων Δευτε καλει υμας ο Θεός είς μετάνοιαν διὸ οἰκείως Δευκαλίων έ- $\kappa\lambda\eta\theta\eta$; for Theophilus has elsewhere preserved a long fragment from the

5

ξεν μετάνοιαν, καὶ οἱ ὑπακούσαντες ἐσώθησαν. Ἰωνᾶς Νινευΐταις καταστροφὴν ἐκήρυξεν, οἱ δὲ μετανοήσαντες ἐπὶ τοῖς άμαρτήμασιν αὐτῶν ἐξιλάσαντο τὸν Θεὸν ἱκετεύσαντες καὶ ἔλαβον σωτηρίαν, καίπερ ἀλλότριοι τοῦ Θεοῦ ὄντες.

VIII. Οι λειτουργοί της χάριτος του Θεου διά

6 λειτουργοί] λιτουργοι Α.

lost opening of the earliest Sibylline (ad Autol. ii. 36), and this very passage incorporates several fragments of hexameters, e.g. Δεῦτε καλεῖ ...Θεὸς εἰς μετάνοιαν. As Josephus also quotes the Sibyllines, he too in his account of Noah (Ant. I. 3. I ἐπειθεν έπὶ τὸ κρείττον αὐτοὺς τὴν διάνοιαν καὶ τας πράξεις μεταφέρειν, quoted by Hilgenfeld here) may have been influenced by them. For the Mohammedan legends of Noah, as a preacher of repentance, see Fabricius Cod. Pseud. Vet. Test. I. p. 262. To the passages there collected from apocryphal and other sources respecting Noah's preaching add this from the Apocalypse of Paul § 50 (quoted also by Hilgenfeld) έγω είμι Νωε...και οὐκ έπανσάμην τοῖς ἀνθρώποις κηρύσσειν Μετανοείτε, ίδου γαρ κατακλυσμός έρχεται (p. 68, ed. Tisch.). A passage cited by Georg. Syncell. (Chron. p. 47 ed. Dind.) from Enoch, but not found in the extant book, seems to have formed part of Noah's preaching of repentance: see Dillmann's Henoch pp. xxxviii, lxi. See also below § 9, with the note on παλιγγενεσία.

2. καταστροφήν] 'overthrow, ruin,' comp. Jonah iii. 4 καὶ Νινευή καταστραφήσεται.

4. ἀλλότριοι τ. Θ.] 'aliens from God' i.e. 'Gentiles': comp. Ephes. ii. 12 ἀπηλλοτριωμένοι τῆς πολιτείας τοῦ Ἰσραήλ...καὶ ἄθεοι ἐν τῷ κόσμφ. Both ἀλλότριοι and ἀλλόφυλοι are

thus used, as opposed to the covenant-people.

VIII. 'God's ministers through the Spirit preached repentance. The Almighty Himself invites all men to repent. Again and again in the Scriptures He bids us wash away our sins and be clean; He proclaims repentance and promises forgiveness.'

6. oi λειτουργοί] i.e. the prophets; though they are not so called in the LXX or New Testament.

9. ζῶ γὰρ ἐγώ κ.τ.λ.] Loosely quoted from Ezek. xxxiii. 11 ζῶ ἐγώ, τάδε λέγει Κύριος, οὐ βούλομαι τὸν θάνατον τοῦ ἀσεβοῦς ὡς ἀποστρέψαι τὸν ἀσεβῆ ἀπὸ τῆς ὁδοῦ αὐτοῦ καὶ ζῆν αὐτόν. ἀποστροφῆ ἀποστρέψατε ἀπὸ τῆς ὁδοῦ ὑμῶν καὶ ἴνα τὶ ἀποθνήσκετε, οἰκος Ἰσραήλ; κ.τ.λ.

11. μετανοήσατε κ.τ.λ.] It is usual to treat these words as a loose quotation from Ezek. xviii. 30 sq. οἶκος Ἰσραήλ, λέγει Κύριος, ἐπιστράφητε καὶ ἀποστρέψατε ἐκ πασῶν τῶν ἀσεβειῶν ὑμῶν...καὶ ἵνα τί ἀποθνήσκετε, οἶκος Ἰσραήλ; διότι οὐ θέλω τὸν θάνατον τοῦ ἀποθνήσκοντος. If taken from the Canonical Book of Ezekiel, the words are probably a confusion of this passage with the context of the other (Ezek. xxxiii. 11), as given in the preceding note. See however what follows.

12. ἐὰν ὦσιν κ.τ.λ.] This passage is generally considered to be made up

πνεύματος άγίου περὶ μετανοίας ἐλάλησαν, καὶ αὐτὸς δὲ ὁ δεσπότης τῶν ἀπάντων περὶ μετανοίας ἐλάλησεν μετὰ ὅρκου. Ζῶ τὰρ ἐτώ, λέτει Κήριος, οỷ Βοήλομαι τὸν το θάνατον τος ἀμαρτωλος, ὡς τὴν μετάνοιαν. προστιθεὶς καὶ γνώμην ἀγαθήν. Μετανοής ατε, οἶκος Ἰςραήλ, ἀπὸ τῆς ἀνομίας ἡμῶν. ἐξηον τοῖς γίοῖς τος λαος μος. Ἐὰν ὦς ιν

10 προστιθείς] προστηθεισ Α.

of Ps. ciii. 10, 11 οὐ κατὰ τὰς ἁμαρτίας ήμων εποίησεν ήμιν ουδε κατά τάς άνομίας ήμων ανταπέδωκεν ήμιν ότι κατά τὸ ῧψος τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀπο τῆς γῆς ἐκραταίωσε Κύριος τὸ έλεος αὐτοῦ, and Jer. iii. 19, 22 καὶ εἶπα, Πατέρα καλέσετέ με καὶ ἀπ' ἐμοῦ οὐκ ἀποστραφήσεσθε... έπιστράφητε υίοι έπιστρέφοντες και lάσομαι τὰ συντρίμματα ύμῶν, together with Is. i. 18 έαν ώσιν αι άμαρτίαι κ.τ.λ. Such fusions are not uncommon in early Christian writers and occur many times in Clement himself. But several objections lie against this solution here; (1) No satisfactory account is thus rendered of the words έὰν ὦσιν πυρρότεραι κόκκου καὶ μελανώτεραι σάκκου κ.τ.λ.; for the passage of Isaiah, from which they are supposed to be loosely quoted, is given as an independent quotation immediately afterwards. (2) The expression $\pi \rho o \sigma$ τιθείς καὶ γνώμην ἀγαθήν seems to imply that, even if not a continuation of the same passage, they were at all events taken from the same prophet as the words quoted just before. (3) This inference is borne out by the language used just below in introducing the passage from Isaiah, καὶ ἐν έτέρω τόπω, implying that the previous words might be regarded as a single (4) A great portion of quotation. the quotation is found in two different passages of Clement of Alexandria, and in one of these the words are attributed to Ezekiel: Quis div.

salv. 39 (p. 957) οὐ βούλομαι τὸν θάνατον τοῦ άμαρτωλοῦ άλλὰ τὴν μετάνοιαν καν ώσιν αι άμαρτίαι ύμων ώς φοινικούν ἔριον, ώς χιόνα λευκανώ, κάν μελάντερον τοῦ σκότους, ώς ἔριον λευκὸν έκνίψας ποιήσω, and Pædag. i. 10 (p. 151) φησὶ γὰρ διὰ Ἰεζεκιήλ 'Εὰν έπιστραφήτε έξ όλης της καρδίας καὶ είπητε, Πάτερ, ἀκούσομαι ύμῶν ὡς λαοῦ άγίου. Thus it seems to follow either (1) That in the recension of the Canonical Ezekiel used by the two Clements the passage xxxiii. 11 was followed by a long interpolation containing substantially the words here quoted by Clement of Rome; or (2) That he is here citing some apocryphal writing ascribed to Ezekiel, which was a patchwork of passages borrowed from the Canonical prophets. The latter supposition is favoured by the language of Josephus (Ant. x. 5. 1), οὐ μόνον οὖτος (Ἰερεμίας) προεθέσπισε ταῦτα τοῖς ὅχλοις ἀλλὰ καὶ ὁ προφήτης Ἰεζεκίηλος πρώτος περί τούτων δύο βιβλία γράψας κατέ- $\lambda_i \pi \epsilon \nu$. This statement however may be explained by a bipartite division of the Canonical Ezekiel, such as some modern critics have made; and as Josephus in his account of the Canon (c. Apion, i. 8) and elsewhere appears not to recognise this second Ezekiel, this solution is perhaps more probable. Or again his text may be corrupt, β'(=δύο) having been merely a repetition of the first letter of β_{i-} αἱ ἀμαρτίαι ἡμῶν ἀπὸ τῆς ΓΑς ἔως τοῦ οἰρανοῦ, καὶ ἐἀν ἀςιν πγρρότεραι κόκκος καὶ μελανώτεραι ςάκκος, καὶ ἐπι
ςτραφητε πρός με ἐξ ὅλης της καρδίας καὶ εἴπητε, Πάτερ, ἐπακούςομαι ἡμῶν ὡς λαοῦ ἀρίος. καὶ ἐν ἐτέρφ τόπφ λέγει οὕτως. Λούςαςθε καὶ καθαροὶ γενέςθε ἀφέλεςθε τὰς 5 πονηρίας ἀπὸ τῶν ψυχῶν ἡμῶν ἀπέναντι τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν μος. παίςαςθε ἀπὸ τῶν πονηριῶν ἡμῶν, μάθετε καλὸν ποιεῖν, ἐκζητήςατε κρίςιν, ῥύςαςθε ἀδικούμενον, κρίνατε ὀρφανῶ καὶ δικαιώςατε χήρα, καὶ δεῆτε καὶ [Δ]ιελεγχθῶμεν, λέγει καὶ ἐἀν ὧςιν [άὶ] ἀμαρτίαι ἡμῶν ὡς φοινικοῦν, [ὡς] το χιόνα λεγκανῶ· ἐἀν δὲ ὧςιν ὡς κόκκινον, ὡς ἔριον λεγ-

4 λαοῦ ἀγίου] Clem. Al. 152. λαωαγιω Α. γενέσθε] γενεσθαι Α. ἀφέλεσθε] αφελεσθαι Α.

5 λούσασθε] λουσασθαι Α. 7 παύσασθε] παυσασθαι Α.

βλία. See also the remarks of Ewald Gesch. des V. Isr. IV. p. 19. Apocryphal writings of Ezekiel are mentioned in the Stichometry of Nicephorus (see Westcott Canon, p. 504), and from the connexion (Βαρούχ, 'Αββακούμ, 'Εζεκιήλ, καὶ Δανιήλ, ψευδεπίγραφα) it may be conjectured that they were interpolations of or additions to the genuine Ezekiel, like the Greek portions of Daniel. This hypothesis will explain the form of the quotations here. At all events it appears that some apocryphal writings attributed to Ezekiel existed, for Tertullian (de Carn. Christ. 23: comp. Clem. Alex. Strom. vii. 16, p. 800) and others quote as from Ezekiel words not found in the Canonical book: see the passages collected in Fabric. Cod. Pseud. Vet. Test. p. 1117. Hilgenfeld points out that one of these, 'In quacunque hora ingemuirit peccator salvus erit', is closely allied to Clement's quotation here. This apocryphal or interpolated Ezekiel must have been known to Justin Martyr also, for he quotes a

sentence, ἐν οἶς ἃν ὑμᾶς καταλάβω, ἐν τούτοις καὶ κρινώ (Dial. 47, p. 267), which we know from other sources to have belonged to this false Ezekiel (see Fabric. l.c. p. 1118); though Justin himself from lapse of memory ascribes it to our Lord, perhaps confusing it in his mind with Joh. v. 30. (On the other hand see Westcott Introd. to Gosp. p. 426). So too apocryphal passages of other prophets, as Jeremiah (Justin. Dial. 72, p. 298) and Zephaniah (Clem. Alex. Strom. v. 11, p. 692), are quoted by the early fathers. The passage of Jeremiah quoted by Justin must have been an interpolation, such as I suppose was the case with Clement's citation from Ezekiel; for he writes αῦτη ή περικοπή ή έκ τῶν λόγων τοῦ Ιερεμίου έτι έστιν έγγεγραμμένη έν τισιν ἀντιγράφοις τῶν ἐν συναγωγαῖς 'Ιουδαίων, πρὸ γὰρ ὀλίγου χρόνου ταῦτα έξέκοψαν κ.τ.λ. On the apocryphal quotations in Clement see below §§ 13, 17, 23, 29, 46, (notes).

2. μελανώτεραι] The comparative μελανώτερος occurs Strabo xvi. 4 § 12

κανώ. καὶ ἐἀν θέλητε καὶ εἰτακογτητέ μογ, τὰ ἀγαθά τῆς Γῆς φάγεςθε· ἐἀν Δὲ μὴ θέλητε μηΔὲ εἰτακογτητέ μογ, μάχαιρα ἡμῶς κατέδεται· τὸ γὰρ ςτόμα Κγρίογ ἐλάληςεν το ταῦτα. Πάντας οὖν τοὺς ἀγαπητοὺς αὐτοῦ βουλόμενος μετανοίας μετασχεῖν, ἐστήριξεν τῷ παντοκρατορικῷ βουλήματι αὐτοῦ.

ΙΧ. Διὸ ὑπακούσωμεν τῆ μεγαλοπρεπεῖ καὶ ἐνδόξω βουλήσει αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἱκέται γενομένοι τοῦ ἐλέους καὶ τῆς
 χρηστότητος αὐτοῦ προσπέσωμεν καὶ ἐπιστρέψωμεν ἐπὶ τοὺς οἰκτιρμοὺς αὐτοῦ, ἀπολιπόντες τὴν ματαιοπονίαν τήν τε ἔριν καὶ τὸ εἰς θάνατον ἄγον ζῆλος. ᾿Ατενίσωμεν

8 βύσασθε] ρυσασθαι A. 9 διελεγχθώμεν] .ιελεχθωμεν A. 13 φάγεσθε] φαγεσθαι A. θέλητε] θεληται A. 19 έλέουs] ελαιουσ A. 21 ολκτιρμούs] οικτειρμουσ A.

(p. 772), but I cannot verify Jacobson's further statement 'hanc formam habes sæpius in LXX'. It is derived from the late form $\mu\epsilon\lambda\alpha\nu\delta s = \mu\epsilon\lambda\alpha s$, on which see Lobeck *Paral*. p. 139. Another late form of the superlative is $\mu\epsilon\lambda\alpha\nu\nu\delta\tau\alpha\tau\sigma s$.

σάκκου] Comp. Rev. vi. 12 καὶ ὁ τῆλιος ἐγένετο μέλας ὡς σάκκος τρίχινος, Is. l. 3 ἐνδύσω τὸν οὐρανὸν σκότος καὶ ὡς σάκκον θήσω τὸ περιβόλαιον αὐτοῦ. It was a black haircloth. Thus Hilgenfeld's emendation λάκκου is superfluous, besides being out of place, for the comparison is between garment and garment. The σκότους of the existing text of Clem. Alex. may at once be rejected.

ἐν ἐτέρφ τόπφ] Is. i. 16—20.
 The quotation is almost word for word from the LXX.

9. δικαιώσατε χήρα] 'give redress to the widow,' preserving the same construction as in κρίνατε ὀρφανφ. The LXX however has the accusative χήραν in the second clause.

10. λέγει] sc. ὁ Κύριος, which words occur in the LXX of Isaiah in accord-

ance with the Hebrew.

16. παντοκρατορικφ Apparently the earliest instance of this word.

IX. 'Let us therefore obey His gracious summons. Let us contemplate the bright examples of obedience in past ages: Enoch who was translated and saw not death: Noah through whom a remnant was saved in the ark.'

21. ματαιοπονίαν The word occurs in Classical writers, e.g. Plut. Mor. 119 E, Lucian Dial. Mort. x. 8 (I. p. 369); comp. Theoph. ad Autol. ii. 7, 12, iii. 1. Polycarp, Phil. 2, apparently remembering this passage has ἀπολιπόντες την κένην ματαιολογίαν καὶ τὴν τῶν πολλῶν πλάνην. But this does not justify a change of reading here; for ματαιοπονίαν is more appropriate, and a transcriber's error is more likely in the MSS of Polycarp (all derived from one very late source) than in our copy of Clement: nor is it impossible that Polycarp's memory deceived him. Ματαιολογία occurs I Tim. i. 6.

22. ἀτενίσωμεν κ.τ.λ.] Clement of

είς τοὺς τελείως λειτουργήσαντας τῆ μεγαλοπρεπεῖ δόξη αὐτοῦ. λάβωμεν 'Ενώχ, ὁς ἐν ὑπακοῆ δίκαιος εὐρεθεὶς μετετέθη, καὶ οὐχ εὐρέθη αὐτοῦ θάνατος. Νῶε πιστὸς εὐρεθεὶς διὰ τῆς λειτουργίας αὐτοῦ παλιγγενεσίαν κόσμω ἐκήρυξεν, καὶ διέσωσεν δι' αὐτοῦ ὁ δεσπότης τὰ εἰσελ-5 θόντα ἐν ὁμονοία ζῶα εἰς τὴν κιβωτόν.

Χ. 'Αβραάμ, ο φίλος προσαγορευθείς, πιστός εύ-

 \mathbf{I} λειτουργήσαντας] λιτουργησαντασ \mathbf{A} . 4 λειτουργίας] λιτουργιασ \mathbf{A} . 7 πιστός] πιστις \mathbf{A} .

Alexandria Strom iv. 16 (p. 610) after giving an earlier passage from this epistle (see above § 1) adds εἶτ' ἐμφανέστερον Ἀτενίσωμεν κ.τ.λ. down to 'Ραὰβ ἡ πόρνη (§ 12), but contents himself with a brief abridgement, and does not quote in full, so that he gives but little aid in determining the text.

- 1. $τ\hat{\eta}$ μεγαλοπρεπεί δόξη] The same expression occurs in 2 Pet. i. 17. The word μεγαλοπρεπ $\hat{\eta}$ s is frequent in Clement, §§ 1, 19, 45, 58, and just above. It is only found this once in the N.T.
- 2. 'Ενώχ | Clement is here copying Heb. xi. 5 'Ενώχ μετετέθη τοῦ μὴ ἰδεῖν θάνατον καὶ οὐχ ηὖρίσκετο (comp. Gen. v. 24); though the words are displaced, as often happens when the memory is trusted. In the sequence of his first three instances also, Enoch, Noah, Abraham—he follows the writer of that Epistle. See also the language in Ecclus. xliv. 16, 17, to which Clement's expressions bear some resemblance.

δίκαιος] The book of Enoch is quoted as Ένωχ ὁ δίκαιος in Test. xii Patr. Levi 10, Juda 18, Dan 5, Benj. 9. Thus it seems to have been a recognised epithet of this patriarch, and perhaps formed part of the title of the apocryphal book bearing his name. It was probably the epithet applied to him also in the opening

of the extant book, i. 2, in the original.
4. aὐτοῦ] i.e. Noah himself. For this reflexive use of aὐτοῦ see A. Buttmann p. 98 sq. Comp. also §§ 12, 14, 30.

παλιγγενεσίαν] i.e. 'a second birth. a renewal,' of the world after the flood; as Orac. Sib. i. 195 (comp. vii. II) καὶ δεύτερος ἔσσεται αἰών, words put into the mouth of Noah himself. See Philo Vit. Moys. ii. 12 (ii. p. 144) παλιγγενεσίας έγένοντο ήγεμόνες και δευτέρας άρχηγέται περιόδου, where also it is used of the world renovated after the flood. Somewhat similar is the use in Matt. xix. 28; where it describes the 'new heaven and new earth.' The Stoics also employed this term to designate the renewed universe after their great periodic conflagrations; see Philo de Mund. incorr. 14 (II. p. 501) οἱ τὰς έκπυρώσεις καὶ τὰς παλιγγενεσίας εἰσηγούμενοι τοῦ κόσμου, Marc. Anton. xi. Ι την περιοδικην παλιγγενεσίαν των ολων (with Gataker's note). Christian uses see Suicer s.v. Any direct reference to the baptismal water (λουτρον παλιγγενεσίας, Tit. iii. 5), as typified by the flood (comp. 1 Pet. iii. 21), seems out of place here; but παλιγγενεσία appears to allude indirectly to the renewal of the Corinthian Church by repentance. the next note.

6. ἐν ὁμονοία] An indirect reference

ρέθη ἐν τῷ αὐτὸν ὑπήκοον γενέσθαι τοῖς ῥήμασιν τοῦ Θεοῦ. οὖτος δι ὑπακοῆς ἐξῆλθεν ἐκ τῆς γῆς αὐτοῦ καὶ το ἐκ τῆς συγγενείας αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐκ τοῦ οἴκου τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτοῦ, ὅπως γῆν ὀλίγην καὶ συγγένειαν ἀσθενῆ καὶ οἶκον μικρὸν καταλιπών κληρονομήση τὰς ἐπαγγελίας τοῦ Θεοῦ. λέγει γὰρ αὐτῷ· Ἄπελθε ἐκ τῆς Γῆς coy καὶ ἐκ τῆς суγγενείας coy καὶ ἐκ τῆς σῆς κατρός coy κἰς τὴν

10 συγγενείας] συγγενιασ Α.

12 έπαγγελίας] επαγγελειασ Α.

to the feuds at Corinth. Even the dumb animals set an example of concord: see below § 20 τὰ ἐλάχιστα τῶν ζώων τὰς συνελεύσεις αὐτῶν ἐν ὁμονοία καὶ εἰρήνη ποιοῦνται. The word ὁμόνοια is of frequent occurrence in Clement.

X. 'Abraham by obedience left his home and kindred, that he might inherit the promises of God. Not once or twice only was a blessing pronounced upon him for his faith. He was promised a race countless as the stars or the sand in multitude, and in his old age a son was granted to him.'

7. δ φίλος] From Is. xli. 8 'Abraham my friend' (LXX ον ήγάπησα): comp. 2 Chron. xx. 7. See also James ii. 23 καὶ φίλος Θεοῦ ἐκλήθη, and below § 17 φίλος προσηγορεύθη τοῦ Θεοῦ. In the short paraphrase of the Alexandrian Clement this chapter relating to Abraham is abridged thus, 'Aβραάμ ος δια πίστιν και φιλοξενίαν φίλος Θεοῦ πατήρ δὲ τοῦ Ἰσαὰκ προσηγορεύθη; and it has therefore been suggested to read θγ φιλος for ο φιλος. But no alteration is needed. Abraham is here called 'the friend' absolutely, as among the Arabs at the present day he is often styled 'El-Khalil' simply: see d'Herbelot s.v. Abraham, and Stanley's Jewish Church I. p. 13. So too Clem. Hom. xviii. 13 ούτως δύναται...οὐδὲ Ἐνών ο εὐαρεστήσας μη εἰδέναι οὖτε Νῶε ὁ δίκαιος μὴ ἐπίστασθαι οὖτε ᾿Αβραὰμ ὁ φίλος μη συνιέναι, which has other resemblances with this passage of the genuine Clement; Clem. Recogn. i. 32 'Abraham pro amicitiis quibus erat ei familiaritas cum Deo.' It is an indication how familiar this title of Abraham had become in the Apostolic age, that Philo once inadvertently quotes Gen. xviii. 17 'Αβραάμ τοῦ φίλου μου for τοῦ παιδός μου and argues from the expression, de Sobr. II (I. p. 401), though elsewhere he gives the same text correctly de Leg. All. iii. 8 (1. p. 93), Quæst. in Gen. iv. 21 (p. 261 Aucher). At a much earlier date one Molon (Joseph. c. Ap. ii. 14, 33) who wrote against the Jews and is quoted by Alexander Polyhistor (Euseb. Prap. Ev. ix. 19, p.420) interpreted the name Abraham as πατρὸς φίλον, apparently reading אברהם as if it were אברחם. And in the Book of Jubilees c. 19 (Dillmann in Ewald's Jahrb. III. p. 15) it is said of this patriarch that 'he was written down on the heavenly tablets as a friend of the Lord.' Later Rabbinical illustrations of this title will be found in Wetstein on James ii. 23, and especially in Beer Leben Abraham's, notes 427, 431, 950.

13. $\tilde{a}\pi\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon$ κ.τ.λ.] From LXX Gen.

ΓΑΝ ΗΝ ΑΝ COI Δείξω, καὶ ποιηςω ce εἰς ἔθνος μέγα καὶ εỷλογήςω ce καὶ μεγαλγνῶ τὸ ὅνομά coy, καὶ ἔςμ εỷλογημένος καὶ εỷλογήςω τοὺς εỷλογογντάς ce καὶ καταράςομαι
τοὺς καταρωμένους ce, καὶ εỷλογηθήςονται ἔν coi πάςαι αἱ
φυλαὶ της γης. καὶ πάλιν ἐν τῷ διαχωρισθηναι αὐτὸν 5
ἀπὸ λῶτ εἶπεν αὐτῷ ὁ Θεός ᾿Αναβλέψας τοῖς ὀφθαλμοῖς coy, ὅιδε ἀπὸ τοῦ τόπου, οῦ νῆν cỳ εἶ, πρὸς βορράν καὶ λίβα
καὶ ἀνατολὰς καὶ θάλας can ὅτι πάς an την γην, ην cỳ ὑράς,
coì δώςω αὐτην καὶ τῷ επέρματί coy ἔως αἰῶνος καὶ
ποιήςω τὸ επέρμα coy ὡς την ἄμμον της γης εἰ δύναταί το
τις ἐξαριθμης αὶ πάλιν λέγει ᾿Εξήγαγεν ὁ Θεὸς τὸν ᾿Αβραὰμ καὶ εἶπεν αὐτῷ ἀνάβλεψον εἰς τὸν οὐρανὸν καὶ ἀρίθμης ον τοὺς ἀςτέρας, εἰ δυνής ἐξαριθμης αι αὐτούς οῦτως

18 δρέων] οραιων Α. give it κριθησησ.

21 κριθειση: A, as I read it; but Tisch. and Jacobs.
22 θείου] θιου Α.

xii. I—3 with slight but unimportant variations. In omitting καὶ δεῦρο after τοῦ πατρός σου Clement agrees with A and the Hebrew against B which inserts the words. He also reads εὐλογηθήσονται with A against B (ἐνευλογηθήσονται) but εὐλογημένος with B against A (εὐλογητός).

5. ἐν τῷ διαχωρισθῆναι] The expression is taken from Gen. xiii. 14 μετὰ τὸ διαχωρισθῆναι τὸν Λώτ ἀπ' αὐτοῦ.

6. ἀναβλέψας κ.τ.λ.] From LXX Gen. xiii. 14—16, almost word for word.

12. ἐξήγαγεν] From LXX Gen. xv.
5, 6, with unimportant variations.

16. φιλοξενίαν] i.e. his entertaining the angels: comp. Heb. xiii. 2. Similarly of Lot just below, § 11, and of Rahab, § 12. The stress laid on this virtue seems to point to a failing in the Corinthian Church. See also the

note on ἀφιλοξενίαν below, § 35.

18. πρὸς ἔν κ.τ.λ.] Gen. xxii. 2 ἐφ² ἔν τῶν ὀρέων ὧν ἄν σοι εἴπω.

XI. 'Lot's faith and good deeds saved him from the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah; while his own wife perished and remains a monument to all ages of the punishment with which God visits the disobedient and wavering.'

21. κριθείσης διὰ πυρός] Comp. Is. lxvi. 16 ἐν τῷ πυρὶ Κυρίου κριθήσεται πᾶσα ἡ γῆ. The emendation καυθείσης for κριθείσης is unnecessary as well as weak.

22. ποιήσας] A nominative absolute; see Winer § xxviii. p. 194, A. Buttmann p. 251 sq.

23. έτεροκλινεῖς] 'swerving aside', especially in a bad sense; Epictet. Diss. iii. 12. 7 έτεροκλινῶς ἔχω πρὸς ἡδονήν. See below, § 47 τοὺς έτεροκλινεῖς ὑπάρχοντας ἀφ' ἡμῶν. So έτερο-

- 15 ἔςται τὸ ςπέρμα ςογ· ἐπίςτεγςεν Δὲ ᾿ΑΒραὰμ τῷ Θεῷ, καὶ ἐλογίςθη αἰτῷ εἰς Δικαιος ἡνην. Διὰ πίστιν καὶ φιλοξενίαν ἐδόθη αὐτῷ υἱὸς ἐν γῆρᾳ, καὶ δι᾽ ὑπακοῆς προσήνεγκεν αὐτὸν θυσίαν τῷ Θεῷ πρὸς ἐν τῶν ὀρέων ὧν ἔδειξεν αὐτῷ.
- 20 ΧΙ. Διὰ φιλοξενίαν καὶ εὐσέβειαν λῶτ ἐσώθη ἐκ Cοδόμων, τῆς περιχώρου πάσης κριθείσης διὰ πυρὸς καὶ θείου· πρόδηλον ποιήσας ὁ δεσπότης, ὅτι τοὺς ἐλπίζοντας ἐπ' αὐτὸν οὐκ ἐγκαταλείπει, τοὺς δὲ ἐτεροκλινεῖς ὑπάρχοντας εἰς κόλασιν καὶ αἰκισμὸν τίθησιν· συνεξελ-25 θούσης γὰρ αὐτῷ τῆς γυναικός, ἐτερογνώμονος ὑπαρχούσης καὶ οὐκ ἐν ὁμονοία, εἰς τοῦτο σημεῖον ἐτέθη ώστε γενέσθαι αὐτὴν στήλην άλὸς ἕως τῆς ἡμέρας ταύτης, εἰς τὸ γνωστὸν εἶναι πᾶσιν ὅτι οἱ δίψυχοι καὶ οἱ διστάζον-

25 έτερογνώμονοs] A is read ετερογνωμοσ by Tisch. and Jacobs., ετερογνωμου by Vansittart. The last letter appeared to me like c with possibly γ superposed.

κλινία Clem. Hom. Ep. ad Jac. 15, said of the ship of the Church heeling over, when not properly trimmed.

25. έτερογνώμονος] The word has two senses, either (1) 'dissentient, otherwise-minded', Cyril. Alex. in Es. xlviii (11. p. 642), lii (11. p. 736) δλοτρόπως έτερογνώμονας παρ' έκείνους; οτ (2) 'wavering, double-minded', Cyril. Alex. Cord. Cat. in Ps. I. p. 225 διψύχου τε καὶ έτερογνώμονος. As it seems to be defined here by οὖκ ἐν ὁμονοία, the first meaning must be adopted; though Lot's wife was also έτερογνώ- $\mu\omega\nu$ in the other sense, and as such is classed among οἱ δίψυχοι καὶ διστάζοντες below. In εν δμονοία there is again an allusion to the feuds at Corinth; see above § 9.

26. εἰς τοῦτο κ.τ.λ.] Here ὧστε is dependent not on εἰς τοῦτο, but on σημεῖον ἐτέθη; and εἰς τοῦτο 'to this end' stands independently, being

afterwards explained by els to your otor elvai k.t.l.

27. ἔως τῆς ἡμ. ταύτης A pillar of salt identified with Lot's wife is mentioned as standing in Wisdom x. 7, aπιστούσης ψυχής μνημείον έστηκυία στήλη άλός, and in Joseph. Ant. i. 11.4 who says that he himself had seen it. So too Irenæus (Hær. iv. 31. 3) speaks of it as 'statua salis semper manens', which he makes a type of the Church. Cyril of Jerusalem also, Catech. xix. 8 (p. 309), describes Lot's wife as ἐστηλιτευμένη δι' alώνος. The region abounds in such pillars of salt (see Robinson's Biblical Researches, etc. II. p. 108 sq.). Mediæval and even modern travellers have delighted to identify one or other of these with Lot's wife.

28. οἱ δίψυχοι] The word occurs only twice, James i. 8, iv. 8, in the New Testament. Both the word and the

τες περί της τοῦ Θεοῦ δυνάμεως είς κρίμα καὶ είς σημείωσιν πάσαις ταῖς γενεαῖς γίνονται.

ΧΙΙ. Διὰ πίστιν καὶ φιλοξενίαν ἐσώθη 'Ραὰβ ἡ πόρνη· ἐκπεμφθέντων γὰρ ὑπὸ 'Ιησοῦ τοῦ τοῦ Ναιὴ κατασκοπῶν εἰς τὴν 'Ιεριχώ, ἔγνω ὁ βασιλεὺς τῆς γῆς 5 ὅτι ἤκασιν κατασκοπεῦσαι τὴν χώραν αὐτῶν, καὶ ἐξέπεμψεν ἄνδρας τοὺς συλλημψομένους αὐτούς, ὅπως συλλημφθέντες θανατωθῶσιν. ἡ οὖν φιλόξενος 'Ραὰβ εἰσδεξαμένη αὐτοὺς ἔκρυψεν εἰς τὸ ὑπερῷον ὑπὸ τὴν λινοκαλάμην. ἐπισταθέντων δὲ τῶν παρὰ τοῦ βασι- 10 λέως καὶ λεγόντων· [ἰλο΄ς, εἰς β] θον οἱ καταςκοποὶ τῆς

1 σημείωσιν] σημιωσιν Α. 4 ἐκπεμφθέντων] εκπεφθεντων Α. 7 συλλημψομένους] συλληψομενουσ Α, though just below it has συλλημφθεντεσ. For the omission of μ compare εκπεφθεντων above. 11 $l\delta o \dot{\iota}$, $\epsilon l \sigma \ddot{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$] See below. 12 $\gamma \ddot{\eta} s$, $\sigma \dot{\iota}$ ο $\ddot{\upsilon} \nu$] See below. Tisch. prints $\epsilon \kappa ...$ as though the 2nd letter

warning are very frequent in Clement's younger contemporary Hermas, Vis. ii. 2, iii. 2, 3, 4, 7, 10, 11, iv. 1, 2, Sim. viii. 7, etc., but especially Mand. ix, x. See below § 23 with the note (comp. Clem. Rom. ii. § 11).

XII. 'Rahab also was saved by her faith and her hospitality. She believed in the might of the Lord God, and she rescued the spies; therefore she and her family were spared. She was gifted too with a prophetic spirit, for the scarlet thread typified the saving power of Christ's blood'.

3. 'Paάβ] This account is taken from the book of Joshua; but Clement gives it in his own words, even when recording the conversational parts. The instance of Rahab was doubtless suggested by Heb. xi. 31, James ii. 25; for both these epistles were known to S. Clement and are quoted elsewhere. His expression διὰ πίστιν καὶ φιλοξενίαν connects the

two aspects, to which the two Apostolic writers severally direct attention, the $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ of the one, the $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a$ of the other; comp. §§ 31, 33, 34, 49, (notes). See also the note on the $\phi \iota \lambda o$ - $\xi \epsilon \nu i a$ of Abraham § 10.

4. τοῦ τοῦ Ναυή] In the LXX Num. xxxii. 12, Deut. xxxii. 44, Josh. vi. 6, etc., he is called Ἰησοῦς ὁ τοῦ Ναυή, and the same expression is adopted here, though in the genitive it sounds somewhat awkwardly.

6. αὐτῶν] not αὐτῶν, as most editors print it; comp. § 9 and see the note on *Philippians* iii. 21.

7. τοὺς συλλημψομένους] i.e. οἱ συλλημψονται. For this construction see Winer § xviii. p. 121 and the notes Galatians i. 7.

10. λινοκαλάμην] 'flax-stalks' laid on the flat roof of the house to dry; see Josh. ii. 6. So Joseph. (Ant. v. 1. 2) explains it, λίνου γὰρ ἀγκαλίδας ἐπὶ τοῦ τέγους ἔψυχε. The word ὑπερῷον does not occur in the original narrative, which describes the men's lurking

were legible; but nothing more than ει can be discerned, and the ι might as well be the upright stroke of N as of K.

18 καὶ δ] The article can be read in the MS, though omitted by editors.

22 τὸ τέγος] τοτοεγος A. See below. For the next word A reads σου, not ου as sometimes stated.

place as on the house-top (ἐπὶ τοῦ δώματος). But Clement would not necessarily be familiar with Eastern customs and might easily substitute a wrong expression.

11. ἰδού κ.τ.λ.] The lacunæ are generally supplied [ἄνδρες πρός σε ἦλ]θου οἱ κατασκόποὶ τῆς [γῆς ἡμῶν] ἐξάγαγε αὐτούς, after Young; but ἄνδρες οἱ κατασκοποὶ can hardly stand, and the whole sentence reads awkwardly. I have therefore suggested another mode of filling in the missing portions.

15. δδδν κ.τ.λ.] If this mode of supplying the lacuna be adopted (after Young), Clement must have made a slip of memory, as he has done already in ὑπερῷον; for in the original narrative Rahab shows the opposite route not to the king's messengers but to the spies. His accuracy is saved by reading [οὐκ] ὑποδεικνύουσα αὐτοῖς ἐ[κείνους] with Cotelier; but this is so much more awkward than Young's reading, that

I have preferred not to adopt it.

18. δ φόβος κ.τ.λ.] does not occur in the LXX here, but is common elsewhere; e.g. Gen. ix. 2, Deut. ii. 25, xi. 25. These passages illustrate not only the combination of φόβος and τρόμος, but the repetition of the article before the latter. Cotelier observes that Clement seems to have had in his copy of the LXX (Josh. ii. 9) the words καὶ κατέπτησσον πάντες οἱ κατοικοῦντες την γην ἀφ' ὑμῶν which are wanting in all the best MSS, though supplied in the Complutensian edition and represented in the original Hebrew. The existing text of the LXX has only ἐπιπέπτωκε γὰρ ὁ φόβος ύμῶν ἐφ' ἡμᾶς.

22. $\tau \epsilon \gamma o s$] The text of the MS here makes it difficult to decide whether we should read $\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma o s$ or $\tau \epsilon \gamma o s$. The former occurs in the LXX only once, Epist. Jer. 8; the latter not at all in the LXX, but in Aquila Num. xxv. 8. In these passages they are used for 'lupanar'; and $\tau \epsilon \gamma o s$ especially has

CLEM.

τογ, καὶ Διαςωθής ονται ὅς οι Γάρ ἐἀν εἡρεθῶς ιν ἔξω της οἰκίας, ἀπολογηται. καὶ προσέθεντο αὐτῆ δοῦναι σημεῖον, ὅπως κρεμάση ἐκ τοῦ οἴκου αὐτῆς κόκκινον, πρόδηλον ποιοῦντες ὅτι διὰ τοῦ αἴματος τοῦ Κυρίου λύτρωσις ἔσται πᾶσιν τοῖς πιστεύουσιν καὶ ἐλπίζουσιν ἐπὶ τὸν 5 Θεόν. ὑρᾶτε, ἀγαπητοί, οὐ μόνον πίστις ἀλλὰ προφητεία ἐν τῆ γυναικὶ γέγονεν.

XIII. Ταπεινοφρονήσωμεν οὖν, ἀδελφοί, ἀποθέμενοι πᾶσαν ἀλαζονείαν καὶ τύφος καὶ ἀφροσύνην καὶ

9 άλαζονείαν] αλαζονιαν Α.

frequently this bad sense elsewhere (e.g. Orac. Sibyll. iii. 186, v. 387). But the word is perhaps not intended to bear the meaning here.

2. προσέθεντο κ.τ.λ.] 'They went on to give her a sign'. The word is used in imitation of the LXX diction, where it very frequently renders ηρι and thus reproduces the Hebraism to add to do'.

3. πρόδηλον κ.τ.λ.] So Justin Dial. 111 (p. 338) τὸ σύμβολον τοῦ κοκκίνου σπαρτίου... τὸ σύμβολον τοῦ αίματος τοῦ Χριστοῦ ἐδήλου, δι' οῦ οἱ πάλαι πόρνοι καὶ ἄδικοι ἐκ πάντων τῶν ἐθνῶν σώζονται κ.τ.λ., perhaps getting the idea from this passage. Irenæus (iv. 20. 12) copies Justin, 'Raab fornicaria conservata est cum universa domo sua, fide signi coccini etc.' See also Origen In Jes. Hom. iii § 5 (11. p. 405), vi § 4 (11. p. 411), In Matth. Comm. Ser. 125 (III. p. 919). From this time forward it becomes a common type with the fathers. Barnabas (§ 7) similarly explains the scarlet wool of the scapegoat (see the note there). Compare also Heb. ix. 19, which may have suggested this application to Clement.

6. ἀλλὰ προφητεία] So Origen in Jes. Hom. iii. § 4 (11. p. 403) 'Sed et

ista meretrix quæ eos suscepit ex meretrice efficitur jam propheta etc.'

XIII. 'Let us therefore be humble, and lay aside anger and pride. The Holy Spirit condemns all self-exaltation. Let us call to mind the words in which the Lord Jesus commends a gentle and forgiving spirit. The promise of grace is held out to patient forbearance'.

8. ἀποθέμενοι κ.τ.λ.] Comp. Heb. xii. 1 ὅγκον ἀποθέμενοι πάντα, James i. 21, 1 Pet. ii. 1.

9. τύφος] A neuter form like ελεος, ζηλος, πλοῦτος, etc., for which see Winer § ix. p. 78 and Jacobson's note on ζηλος above § 4. For an example of τύφος Jacobson here quotes Conc. Ephes. Can. 8 (Routh Script. Eccl. Opusc. p. 395). As the vis long in the older writers but short in the more recent (e. g. Greg. Naz. pp. 490 v. 44, 880 v. 45, ed. Caillau), I have accentuated it according to this later usage; see L. Dindorf in Steph. Thes. s. v. and compare the analogy of στῦλος, στύλος, Galatians ii. 9.

II. μὴ καυχάσθω κ.τ.λ.] This passage is taken from I Sam. ii. 10, or from Jer. ix. 23, 24, or from both combined. The editors have overlooked the first of these passages, quoting only the second, though in several points Cle-

10 όργάς, καὶ ποιήσωμεν τὸ γεγραμμένον λέγει γὰρ τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον Μή καγχάςθω ὁ ςοφὸς ἐν τῷ ςοφἱς ἀζτοῦ, μηδὲ ὁ ἰςχγρὸς ἐν τῷ ἰςχή ἀζτο[ʔ], μηδὲ ὁ πλογςιος ἐν τῷ πλογτῷ ἀζτοῦ, ἀλλ ἢ ὁ καγχώμενος ἐν Κγρίῷ καγχάςθω, τοῦ ἐκΖητεῖν αἰτὸν καὶ ποιεῖν κρίμα καὶ δικαιος ν[ην] μάλιστα 15 μεμνημένοι τῶν λόγων τοῦ Κυρίου Ἰησοῦ, οὺς ἐλάλησεν διδάσκω[ν] ἐπιείκειαν καὶ μακροθυμίαν [οὕ]τως γὰρ εἶπεν Ἐλεὰτε ἵνα ἐλεηθ[Ĥ]τε, ἀφίετε ἵνα ἀφεθῷ ἡμῖν ὡ[c] ποιεῖτε, οὅτω ποιηθήςεται ἡμίν]. ὡς δίδοτε, οὅτως δοθήςεται

16 ἐπιείκειαν] επιεικιαν Α.

ment's language more closely resembles the first. The latter part in I Sam. ii. 10 runs ἀλλ' (ἀλλ' ἡ A) ἐν τούτω καυγάσθω ὁ καυγώμενος συνιείν καὶ γινώσκειν τὸν Κύριον καὶ ποιείν κρίμα καὶ δικαιοσύνην έν μέσφ της γης; while the corresponding passage in Jeremiah diverges still more from Clement's quotation. On the other hand S. Paul quotes twice (I Cor. i. 31 καθώς γέγραπται, 2 Cor. x. 17) ο καυχώμενος έν Κυρίφ καυχάσθω. The resemblance of Clement's language to S. Paul may be explained in two ways; either (1) S. Paul does not quote literally but gives the sense of one or other passage (1 Sam. ii. 10 or Jer. ix. 23 sq.); and Clement, writing after wards, unconsciously combines and confuses S. Paul's quotation with the original text; or (2) A recension of the text of Jeremiah (or Samuel) was in circulation in the first century which contained the exact words of καυχώμενος έν Κυρίφ καυχάσθω. former is the more probable hypothesis. Iren. iv. 17. 3 quotes Jer. ix. 24 as it stands in our texts. neither passage does the Hebrew aid in solving the difficulty. In I Sam. ii. 10 it is much shorter than and quite different from the LXX. Lucifer pro

Athan. ii. 2 (Galland. Bibl. Vet. Patr. VI. p. 180), as Cotelier remarks, seems to have read ex(nreîr with Clement, for he has 'inquirere,' but the coincidence may be accidental. On the other hand Antioch. Palæst. Hom. xliii (Bibl. Vet. Patr. p. 1097, Paris 1624) quotes directly from 1 Sam. ii. 10, and betrays no connexion with Clement's language (see above p. 11).

15. μεμνημένοι κ.τ.λ.] Comp. Acts xx. 35 μνημονεύειν τῶν λόγων τοῦ Κυρίου Ἰησοῦ, ὅτι εἶπεν κ.τ.λ. See above § 2 ηδιον λαμβάνοντες κ.τ.λ. (with the note), where Clement's language reflects the context of this quotation.

17. έλεᾶτε κ.τ.λ. The same saying which is recorded in Matt. vii. 1, 2, Luke vi. 36-38, to which should be added Matt. v. 7 μακάριοι οἱ έλεήμονες οτι αὐτοὶ έλεηθήσονται, Vi. 14 έὰν γὰρ άφητε τοις άνθρώποις κ.τ.λ., Luke vi. 31 καθώς θέλετε ίνα ποιώσιν κ.τ.λ. As Clement's quotations are often very loose, we need not go beyond the Canonical Gospels for the source of this passage. The resemblance to the original is much closer here. than it is for instance in his account of Rahab above § 12. The hypothesis therefore that Clement derived the saying from oral tradition or from

[ἡμῖν] ὡς κρίνετε, οὅτως κριθής ε[ται ἡμῖν ὡς χρ] ης τε ἡεςθε, οὅτως χρη[ςτε γθή] ς εται ἡμῖν ω μέτρω με [τρεῖτε], ἐν αἤτῷ μετρηθής εται ἡμῖν. [Ταύτη τ] ἢ ἐντολῆ καὶ τοῖς παραγγέλ [μασιν] τούτοις στηρίξωμεν ἐαυ[τοὺς εἰ]ς τὸ πορεύεσθαι ὑπηκόους [ἡμᾶ]ς τοῖς ἀγιοπρεπέσι λόγοις αὐ[τοῦ, τ]α-5 πεινοφρονοῦντες. [φησ] ὶν γὰρ ὁ ἄγιος λόγος Ἐπὶ τίνα [ἐπι] Βλέψω, ἀλλ ἢ ἐπὶ τὸν πραἡν καὶ [ἡς] ἡχιον καὶ τρέμοντά μος τὰ λόγια;

ΧΙΥ. [Δί]καιον οὖν καὶ ὅσιον, ἄνδρες ἀδελφοί, ὑπη-κόους ἡμᾶς μᾶλλον γενέσθαι τῷ Θεῷ ἢ τοῖς ἐν ἀλαζονεία 10

1 κρίνετε] κρίνεται Α. χρηστεύεσθε] χρηστεύεσθαι Α. 2 αὐτῷ] αυτη Α. 4 ἐαυτοὺς εἰς] So Tisch. and Vansittart. This is better adapted to the space than

some lost Gospel, is not needed. Polycarp indeed (Phil. 2) in much the same words quotes our Lord as saying ἀφίετε καὶ ἀφεθήσεται ὑμῖν, ἐλεεῖτε ἴνα ἐλεηθήτε, but it can hardly be doubted from his manner of introducing the quotation (μνημονεύοντες ὧν εἶπεν ὁ Κύριος διδάσκων) that he had this passage of Clement in his mind and does not quote independently.

On the form ¿λεᾶν (for ¿λεεῖν) see Winer § xv p. 97 sq., A. Buttmann p. 50: comp. Clem. Hom. xviii. 6. Previous editors needlessly read ¿-λεεῖτε here.

- 1. ως χρηστεύεσθε] The corresponding words in S. Luke (vi. 36) are γίνεσθε οἰκτίρμονες. In Justin Dial. 96 and Αροί. i. 15 they are quoted γίνεσθε δε χρηστοὶ καὶ οἰκτίρμονες, and in Clem. Hom. iii. 57 γίνεσθε ἀγαθοὶ καὶ οἰκτίρμονες. The verb χρηστεύεσθαι occurs I Cor. xiii. 4.
- 2. ὧ μέτρφ κ.τ.λ.] Quoted also indirectly Clem. Hom. xviii. 16 ὧ μέτρφ ἐμέτρησαν, μετρηθη αὐτοις τῷ ἴσφ. See Mark iv. 24 besides the passages already quoted from the other Evangelists.
 - 5. άγιοπρεπέσι] Compare Polyc.

- Phil. 1. This is apparently the earliest passage in which the word occurs. Suicer gives it a place 'quia a lexicographis omissa', but does not quote either of these passages in the Apostolic fathers.
- 6. ἐπὶ τίνα κ.τ.λ.] A quotation from the LXX of Is. lxvi. 2 with slight and unimportant variations. For a distinction between πραῦς and ἡσύχιος see Bengel on 1 Pet. iii. 4 (where both words occur).
- XIV. 'We ought to obey God rather than man. If we follow men, we shall plunge ourselves into strife and peril; if we follow God, we shall be gentle and loving. The Scriptures teach us, that the guileless and meek shall inherit the earth; but that the proud and insolent shall be blotted out'.
- 9. Δίκαιον κ.τ.λ.] This passage as far as καλῶς ἔχοντος is quoted in Nicon the Monk, in an extract given by Cotelier from the Paris MSS Reg. 2418, 2423, 2424. He strings together with this passage quotations from §§ 15, 46, of this epistle, and § 3 of the second. See the several references.
 - 11. μυσεροῦ] The form μυσερὸς

καὶ ἀκαταστασία μυσεροῦ ζήλους ἀρχηγοῖς ἐξακολουθεῖν. βλάβην γὰρ οὐ τὴν τυχοῦσαν, μᾶλλον δὲ κίνδυνον ὑποίσομεν μέγαν, ἐὰν ριψοκινδύνως ἐπιδῶμεν ἑαυτοὺς τοῖς θελήμασιν τῶν ἀνθρώπων, οἴτινες ἐξακοντίτοῦς τοῦς ἐξοιν καὶ στάσεις εἰς τὸ ἀπαλλοτριῶσαι ἡμᾶς τοῦ καλῶς ἔχοντος. χρηστευσώμεθα αὐτοῖς κατὰ τὴν εὐσπλαγχνίαν καὶ γλυκύτητα τοῦ ποιήσαντος ἡμᾶς. γέγραπται γάρ · Χρηςτοὶ ἔςονται οἰκήτορες Γῆς, ἄκακοι δὲ ἡπολειφθήςονται ἐπ' ἀγτῆς οἱ δὲ παρανομογντές εξο-20 λεθρεγθήςονται ἀπ' ἀγτῆς καὶ πάλιν λέγει · Εἰδον ἀςεβῆ

έαυτοὺς πρός (Jacobs.). 10 ἀλαζονεία] αλαζονεία Α. 15 ξριν] Α. αΙρέσεις Nicon. στάσεις] στασισ Α. είς τό] Α. τοῦ Nicon. 20 είδον ἀσεβῆ] ιδονασεβην Α.

occurs again below § 30; and in both places the editors have altered it to μυσαρός. This is not necessary: see Lobeck Pathol. p. 276. In Lev. xviii. 23 it is so written in A; and similarly in Mark i. 42 ἐκαθερίσθη is read in the best MSS: see Tischendorf on Acts x. 15 and prol. p. 1 (ed. 7), Winer § v. p. 56.

ἀρχηγοῖς] Comp. § 51 ἀρχηγοὶ τῆς στάσεως.

13. ριψοκινδύνως] 'in a foolhardy spirit': Appian Civ. i. 103. It does not occur in the LXX or New Testament.

14. ἐξακοντίζουσω] here appears to mean, 'launch out'. Generally, when it occurs metaphorically, λόγους or γλώσσας would be understood, if not expressed.

16. αὐτοῖs] 'towards them', the leaders of the schism; comp. 2 Thess. iii. 15 μὴ ὡς ἐχθρὸν ἡγεῖσθε κ.τ.λ. This must be done 'in imitation of the compassion of the Creator himself' (κατὰ τὴν εὐσπλαγχνίαν κ.τ.λ.); comp. Matt. v. 45. Others substitute αὐτοῖs = ἀλλήλοις, but this is not so good. Moreover, as the contracted form αὐτοῦ etc., for ἐαυτοῦ etc., seems never to

occur in the New Testament, it is a question whether Clement would have used it: see the note on αὐτῶν § 12.

18. χρηστοὶ κ.τ.λ.] From Prov. ii. 21, 22. The first part of the quotation χρηστοὶ...ἐν αὐτῆ is found in A with a very slight variation (and partially in ℵ), but B omits the words; the second runs in all the best MSS of the LXX, ὁδοὶ [δὲ] ἀσεβῶν ἐκ γῆς ὁλοῦνται, οἱ δὲ παράνομοι ἐξωσθήσονται ἀπ' αὐτῆς. In quoting the latter part Clement seems to be confusing it with Ps. xxxvii. 39 οἱ δὲ παράνομοι ἐξολοθρευθήσονται ἐπὶ τὸ αὐτὸ, which occurs in the context of his next quotation.

19. εξολεθρευθήσονται] On the varying forms ολεθρεύειν and ολοθρεύειν see Tischendorf Nov. Test. p. xlix. Our MS for the most part writes the word with an ε.

20. εἶδον ἀσεβῆ κ.τ.λ.] From the LXX of Ps. xxxvii. 36—38 with unimportant variations. The LXX has καὶ εξήτησα αὐτὸν καὶ οὐχ εὐρέθη ὁ τόπος αὐτοῦ. In the Hebrew there is nothing corresponding to ὁ τόπος αὐτοῦ. Without hinting that he is quoting from a previous writer, Cle-

Υπεργψογμενον καὶ ἐπαιρόμενον ὡς τὰς κέδρογς τοΫ Λιβάνογ, καὶ παρθλθον καὶ ἰδογ ογκ ἦν, καὶ ἐξεζήτηςα τὸν τόπον αγτογ καὶ ογχ εγρον. Φγλαςςε ἀκακίαν καὶ ἴδε εγθήτητα, ὅτι ἐςτὶν ἐνκατάλειμμα ἀνθρώπω εἰρηνικῶ.

XV. Τοίνυν κολληθώμεν τοῖς μετ' εὐσεβείας εἰρη- 5 νεύουσιν, καὶ μὴ τοῖς μεθ' ὑποκρίσεως βουλομένοις εἰρή- νην. λέγει γάρ που· Οἦτος ὁ λαὸς τοῖς χείλες ίν με τιμῷ, Η Δὲ καρΔία αἤτῶν πόρρω ἄπεςτιν ἀπ' ἐμοῆ. καὶ πάλιν· Τῷ ςτόματι αἤτῶν εἤλογοῆς αν, τῷ Δὲ καρΔία αἤτῶν κατηρῶντο. [κ]αὶ πάλιν λέγει· Ἡγάπης αν αἤτὸν τῷ ςτόματι αἤτῶν 10

1 ἐπαιρόμενον] αιπερομένον Α. 4 ἐνκατάλειμμα] ενκαταλιμμα Α. 5 κολληθώμεν] Α. ἀκολουθήσωμεν Nicon. 8 ἀπεστιν] Α. ἀπέχει Nicon. See below. 9 κατηρώντο] Tisch. says of the Ms reading 'κατηρουντο certum est,'

ment of Alexandria, Strom. iv. 6 (p. 577), strings together these same six quotations, beginning with Ps. xxxvii. 36 sq. and ending with Ps. xii. 4 sq. (παρρησιάσομαι έν αὐτῷ). In comparing the two, we observe of the Alexandrian Clement, that (1) In his first passage he restores the text of the LXX, and quotes καὶ ἐζήτησα αὐτὸν κ.τ.λ.; (2) For the most part he follows Clement of Rome, e.g. in the remarkable omission noted below (on alaka γενηθήτω κ.τ.λ.); (3) He inserts between the quotations an explanatory word or sentence of his own; (4) He ends this string of quotations with the very words of the Roman Clement, ταπεινοφρονούντων γάρ...τὸ ποίμνιον αὖτοῦ, without any indication that he is citing from another.

4. ἐνκατάλειμμα] 'a remnant,' i. e. a family or a memorial of some kind, as in ver. 39 τὰ ἐγκαταλείμματα τῶν ἀσεβῶν ἐξολοθρεύσεται: comp. Ps. xxxiv. 16 τοῦ ἐξολοθρεῦσαι ἐκ γῆς τὸ μνημόσυνον αὐτῶν, quoted by Clement below § 22.

XV. 'Let us then attach ourselves to the guileless and peaceful; but

avoid hypocrites who make a show of peace. Against such the denunciations of Scripture are frequent and severe; against the idle profession of God's service—against the deceitful and proud lips.'

 Οὖτος ὁ λαός | From Is. xxix. 13, which is quoted also Matt. xv. 8, Mark vii. 6. Clement follows the Evangelists rather than the original text. For the opening words of the original, έγγίζει μοι ὁ λαὸς οὖτος έν τῷ στόματι αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐν τοῖς χείλεσιν αὖτῶν τιμῶσίν με, they give the sentence in a compressed form obros o λαὸς (ὁ λαὸς οὖτος Matt.) τοῖς χείλεσίν με τιμậ as here. Both Evangelists have ἀπέχει with the LXX, where Clement has aπεστιν. Clem. Alex. follows our Clement, modifying the form however to suit his context. In Clem. Rom. ii. § 3 it is quoted exactly as here, except that ὁ λαὸς οὖτος stands for οὖτος ὁ λαός. Justin quotes the LXX, Dial. 78 (p. 305).

τῷ στόματι κ.τ.λ.] From LXX Ps.
 lxii. 4, with unimportant variations.

9. εὐλογοῦσαν] for εὐλόγουν. See Sturz *Dial. Mac.* p. 58, and the refe-

καὶ τΗ Γλώς τη αγ[τ]ῶν ἐψεγταντο αγτόν, Η Δε καρδία αγτών ογκ εγθεῖα μετ' αγτογ, ογδε ἐπιστώθηταν ἐν τῷ διαθήκη αγτογ. "Αλαλα Γενηθήτω τὰ χείλη τὰ δόλια. Έξολεθρεγται Κγριος πάντα τὰ χείλη τὰ δόλια, Γλώς ταν μεγαλορήμονα, 15 τογς εἰπόντας, την Γλώς ταν ήμων μεγαλγνωμέν, τὰ χείλη ήμων παρ' ήμιν ἐςτιν τίς ἡμών κγριός ἐςτιν; ἀπό τῆς ταλαιπωρίας τῶν πτωχῶν καὶ ἀπό τογ στεναγμογ τῶν πενήτων νῆν ἀναςτής ομαι, λέγει Κγριος θής ομαι ἐν σωτηρία παρρης ιάς ομαι ἐν αγτῷ.

but I looked several times and could not distinguish it. On such forms as κατηρουντο see Tisch. Νου. Τεst. prol. p. lvii (ed. 7).

13 ξξολεθρεύσαι Κύριος πάντα τὰ χείλη τὰ δόλια] om. A. See below.

18 ἀναστήσομαι] αναστησομεν Α.

rences in Winer § xiii. p. 89. In the LXX here NB have εὐλογοῦσαν. Clem. Alex. (edd.) quotes εὐλογοῦσι.

10. ηγάπησανκ.τ.λ.] From Ps. lxxviii. 36, 37, almost word for word. Ἐπιστώθησαν is here a translation of 130N3, 'were stedfast.' Though ηγάπησαν is read by the principal MSS (NB) of the LXX, the original reading was probably ηπάτησαν, as this corresponds with the Hebrew.

13. ἄλαλα κ.τ.λ.] The words ἄλαλα γενηθήτω τὰ χείλη τὰ δόλια are taken from the LXX, Ps. xxxi. 19. Those which follow are from the LXX Ps. xii. 3-6 έξολοθρεύσαι Κύριος πάντα τὰ χείλη τὰ δόλια [καὶ] γλώσσαν μεγαλορήμονα τοὺς εἰπόντας κ.τ.λ. Since in the quotation of Clement, as it stands in the MS, γλώσσαν μεγαλορήμονα has no government, it seems clear that the transcriber's eye has passed from one 7à γείλη τὰ δόλια to the other and omitted the introductory words of the second quotation. I have therefore inserted the words έξολεθρεύσαι Κύριος πάντα τὰ χείλη τὰ δόλια. Wotton and others detected the omission but made the insertion in the form [$\kappa a \lambda$ 'E\xi. K. π . τ. χ. τὰ δόλια καὶ]. This does not explain the scribe's error. The kal

before γλώσσαν μεγαλορήμονα, though found in AB, is marked as to be erased in N and is omitted in many MSS in Holmes and Parsons; and in our Clement's text of the LXX it must have been wanting. The Hebrew omits the conjunction in the corresponding place. The existing omission in the text of the Roman Clement seems to be as old as the end of the second century, for his Alexandrian namesake (see the note on είδον ἀσεβη κ.τ.λ. above) gives the passage, ἄλαλα γενηθήτω πάντα τὰ χείλη τὰ δόλια καὶ γλώσσαν μεγαλορήμονα κ.τ.λ., inserting a καὶ before γλώσσαν, though quoting it in the main as it is quoted here. Or we have the alternative of supposing that a transcriber of the Alexandrian Clement has independently made a similar omission to the transcriber of the Roman. For the form μεγαλορήμονα see the note on εξερίζωσεν § 6.

16. παρ' ἡμῖν] 'in our power, our own.' It represents the Hebrew אחנו. The dative is correctly read also by Clem. Alex. and some MSS of the LXX: but NAB have παρ' ἡμῶν.

18. ἀναστήσομαι] The reading of the MS αναστησομεν has arisen from αναστησομε (see p. 25), whence ανα-

XVI. Ταπεινοφρονούντων γάρ ἐστιν ὁ Χριστός, οὐκ ἐπαιρομένων ἐπὶ τὸ ποίμνιον αὐτοῦ. τὸ σκῆπτρον τῆς μεγαλωσύνης τοῦ Θεοῦ, ὁ Κύριος ἡμῶν Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦς, οὐκ ἦλθεν ἐν κόμπω ἀλαζονείας οὐδὲ ὑπερηφανίας, καίπερ δυνάμενος, ἀλλὰ ταπεινοφρονῶν, καθώς τὸ 5 πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον περὶ αὐτοῦ ἐλάλησεν φησὶν γάρ Κήριε, τἰς ἐπίςτεγςεν τῷ ἀκοῷ ἡκῶν; καὶ ὁ Βραχίων Κγρίογ τίνι ἀπεκλλήφθη; ἀνηγείλαμεν ἐναντίον αὐτοῦ, ὡς παιδίον, ὡς ῥίζα ἐν τῷ διψώς τὸ τὸ κὰςτιν εἶδος ἀγτῷ, οὐδὲ δόξα

4 dhajorelas] ahajorao A.

8 ανηγγείλαμεν] ανηγγιλαμεν Α.

στησομε : comp. αιχμαλωσιά (αἰχμαλωσία for αιχμαλωσια (αἰχμαλωσία) in ii § 6. So too § 41 συνειδησιν (συνειδησί) for συνειδησι = συνειδήσει.

θήσομαι κ.τ.λ.] 'I will place him in safety, I will deal boldly by him.' The Hebrew of the last clause is wholly different from the LXX. For σωτηρία Clem. Alex. and the LXX have σωτηρίω.

XVI. Christ is the friend of the lowly: He Himself is our great pattern of humility. This is the leading feature in the portrait which the evangelic prophet has drawn of the lamb led to the slaughter. This too is declared by the lips of the Psalmist. If then He our Lord was so lowly, what ought we His servants to be?"

2. οὐκ ἐπαιρομένων κ.τ.λ.] Comp.
1 Pet. v. 3, Acts xx. 29. The word
ποίμνιον occurs again §§ 44, 54, 57.

τὸ σκῆπτρον κ.τ.λ.] The expression is apparently suggested by Heb. i. 8, where Ps. xlv. 6 ράβδος εὐθύτητος ἡ ράβδος τῆς βασιλείας σου is applied to our Lord. Fell refers to the application of the same text made by Justin Dial. 63 (pp. 286 sq.) to show ὅτι καὶ προσκυνητός ἐστι καὶ Θεὸς καὶ Χριστός. Jerome in Isai. lii. 13 (IV. p. 612) quotes this passage of Clement, 'Scep-

trum Dei, Dominus Jesus Christus, non venit in jactantia superbiæ, quum possit omnia, sed in humilitate.' This application of our Lord's example bears a resemblance to Phil. ii. 6 sq. and may be an echo of it.

4. ἀλαζονείας κ.τ.λ.] The adjectives ἀλαζὸν and ὑπερήφανος occur together, Rom. i. 30, 2 Tim. iii. 2. The one refers to the expression, the other to the thought: see the distinction in Trench N. T. Syn. § xxix. 1st ser.

 Κύριε κ.τ.λ.] A Messianic application is made of this 53rd chapter of Isaiah by S. Matthew viii. 17 (ver. 4), by S. Mark xv. 28 (ver. 12), by S. Luke xxii. 37 (ver. 12), by S. John i. 29 (ver. 4, 7), xii. 38 (ver. 1), by Philip Acts viii. 32 sq. (ver. 7, 8), by S. Paul Rom. x. 16 (ver. 1), and by S. Peter 1 Pet. ii. 23 sq. (ver. 5, 9). Barnabas also (§ 5) applies ver. 5, 7, to our Lord; and Justin both in the Apology and in the Dialogue interprets this chapter so frequently: see esp. Apol. 1. 50, 51 (p. 85 sq.), Dial. 13 (p. 230 sq.), in both which passages it is quoted in full. For early Jewish Messianic interpretations of this chapter see Hengstenberg Christol. II p. 310 sq. (Eng. trans.), Schöttgen Hor. Hebr. II. p. 138 sq.

10 καὶ εἴΔοΜεΝ αὐτόΝ, καὶ οὐκ εἴχεΝ εἴΔος οὐΔὲ κάλλος, ἀλλὰ τὸ εἴΔος αὐτοῦ ἄτιΜοΝ, ἐκλεῖποΝ παρὰ τὸ εἴΔος τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἄνθρωπων ἄνθρωπος ἐν πλης ἄν καὶ πόνω καὶ εἰΔως φέρειΝ ΜαλακίαΝ, ὅτι ἀπέςτραπται τὸ πρόςωπον αὐτοῦ, ἀτιΜάςθη καὶ οὐκ ἐλοςίςθη. οὖτος τὰς ἁΜαρτίας ἡμῶν φέρει καὶ περὶ 15 ἡμῶν ὀλγναται, καὶ ἡμεῖς ἐλοςις ἀμεθα αὐτόν εἴναι ἐν πόνω καὶ ἐν πλης καὶ ἐν κακώς ει. αὐτὸς ὰὲ ἐτραγματίςθη Διὰ τὰς ὁμαρτίας ἡμῶν καὶ μεμαλάκιςται Διὰ τὰς ἀνομίας ἡμῶν. παιδεία εἰρήνης ἡμῶν ἐπ' αὐτόν τῷ μώλωπι αὐτοῦ ἡμεῖς

11 ἐκλεῖπον] εκλιπον A.

18 παιδεία] παιδια Α.

Clement's quotation for the most part follows the LXX tolerably closely. The more important divergences from the LXX are noticed below. The LXX itself differs considerably from the Hebrew in many points.

8. ανηγγείλαμεν κ.τ.λ.] The LXX reading here is devoid of sense and must be corrupt, though the MSS and early quotations all present ἀνηγγείλαuev. As this word corresponds to the Hebrew ניעל (Ag. Theod. ἀναβήσεται, Symm. ἀνέβη), Is. Voss proposed ανετείλαμεν (see Grabe Diss. de Variis Vitiis LXX, p. 38); but even this alteration is not enough, and we should require avereider. The following meaning however seems generally to have been attached to the words; 'We-the preachers-announced Him before the Lord; as a child is He, as a root etc.' (see Eusebius and Jerome on the passage); but Justin Dial. 42 (p. 261) strangely explains ώς παιδίον of the child-like submission of the Church The interpretation of to Christ. Origen ad Rom. viii. § 6 (IV. p. 627) is not quite clear. The fathers of the fourth and fifth centuries generally interpret ώς ρίζα ἐν γῆ διψώση as referring to the miraculous conception. In the order ἐν. αὐτ. ὡς παιδ. Clement agrees with NA Justin p. 230 (p. 85, 260 sq., ἐνώπιον αὐτοῦ): and so the old Latin, e.g. Tertull. adv. Marc. iii. 17 (and elsewhere) 'Annuntiavimus coram ipso velut puerulus etc.': but B has ὡς παιδ. ἐν. αὐτ., the order of the Hebrew.

11. παρὰ τὸ ϵἶδ. τ. ἀνθρ.] The LXX κ, Clem. Alex. p. 440, παρὰ πάντας (κ corr. from παν) τοὺς νἱοὺς τῶν ἀνθρώπων; Β, Justin p. 230, Tertull. adv. Marc. iii. 7, αdv. Jud. 14, παρὰ τοὺς νἱοὺς τῶν ἀνθρώπων; Α, Tertull. adv. Marc. iii. 17, παρὰ πάντας ἀνθρώπους; Justin p. 85, Clem. Alex. p. 252, παρὰ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους.

12. καὶ πόνφ] Wanting in the LXX. The words must have crept in from below, ἐν πόνφ καὶ ἐν πληγῆ, either by a lapse of memory on Clement's part or by an error in his copy of the LXX or in the transcription of Clement's own text.

13. ἀπέστραπται] The original is ממכות פנים ממנו , 'as hiding the face from him' or 'from us'. The LXX seem to adopted the latter sense, though they have omitted אמנו ; 'His face is turned away', i.e. as one ashamed or loathed; comp. Lev. xiii. 45.

17. άμαρτίας, ἀνομίας] So B, Justin p.

ἰάθημεν. πάντες ὡς πρόβατα ἐπλανήθημεν, ἄνθρωπος τή όδω αὐτοῦ ἐπλανήθη καὶ Κύριος παρέδωκεν αὐτὸν ὑπὲρ τῶν ἀμαρτιῶν ἡμῶν. καὶ αὐτὸς διὰ τὸ κεκακῶςθαι οὐκ ἀνοίγει τὸ ςτόμα ὡς πρόβατον ἐπὶ ςφαγὴν ἤχθη, καὶ ὡς ἀμνος ἐναντίον τοῦ κείραντος ἄφωνος, οὕτως οὐκ ἀνοίγει 5 τὸ ςτόμα αὐτοῦ. ἐν τή ταπεινώς ει ἡ κρίς αὐτοῦ ἤρθη τὴν γενεὰν αὐτοῦ τίς διηγής εται; ὅτι αἴρεται ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς ἡ τῶν ἀνονιῶν τοῦ λαοῦ μος ἤκει εἰς θάνατον. καὶ δώςω τοὺς πονηροὺς ἀντὶ τῆς ταφῆς αὐτοῦ καὶ

6 κρίσις] κρισεισ Α.

230; but NA, Barnab. § 5, Justin p. 85, transpose the words, reading ἀνομίας in the first clause and ἀμαρτίας in the second.

1. ἄνθρωπος] 'each man', distributive; a Hebraism not uncommon in the LXX; and the use is somewhat similar in John ii. 25, 1 Cor. xi. 28.

2. ὑπὲρ τῶν ὑμαρτιῶν] The LXX has ταῖς ὑμαρτίαις, and so Justin pp. 86, 230, Clem. Alex. p. 138; but Tertull. adv. Prax. 30 ' pro delictis nostris'.

6. ἐν τἢ ταπεινώσει κ.τ.λ.] This passage is also quoted from the LXX in Acts viii. 33 ἐν τἢ ταπεινώσει [αὐτοῦ] ἡ κρίσις αὐτοῦ ἡρθη, where the first αὐτοῦ should be omitted with the best MSS, so that S. Luke's quotation accords exactly with the LXX. For the probable meaning of the LXX here see the commentators on Acts l.c.; and for patristic interpretations of γενεά, Suicer I. p. 744, s.v. The Hebrew is different.

8. ηκει] ηχθη LXX and Tertull. adv. Jud. 10; but ηκει is read by Justin pp. 86, 230, though elsewhere he has ηχθη p. 261 (MSS ηχθην), comp. p. 317 ότι ἀπὸ τῶν ἀνομιῶν τοῦ λαοῦ ἀχθήσεται εἰς θάνατον. As ηχθη may easily have been introduced from ver. 7, ηκει was perhaps the original reading of the LXX; and so it

stands in some MSS in Holmes and Parsons.

9. καὶ δώσω κ.τ.λ.] The LXX clearly means that the wicked and the wealthy should die in requital for His death: as Justin Dial. 32 (p. 249) ἀντὶ τοῦ θανάτου αὐτοῦ τοὺς πλουσίους θανατωθήσεσθαι. Thus the reference to the crucifixion of the thieves and the entombment in Joseph's grave, which the original has suggested to later Christian writers, is rendered impossible in the LXX. This application however is not made in the Gospels, where only ver. 12 2 τοῖς ἀνόμοις έλογίσθη is quoted in this connexion, nor (I believe) in any father of the second century nor even in Tertullian or Origen.

II. οὐδὲ εὐρέθη δόλος] So A in the LXX, but NB (corrected however in N by later hands) have simply οὐδὲ δόλον, following the Hebrew more closely. In I Pet. ii. 22 are the words δε ἀμαρτίαν οὐκ ἐποίησεν οὐδὲ εὐρέθη δόλος ἐντῷ στόματιαὐτοῦ, though this is not given as a direct quotation and may have been intended merely as a paraphrase, like much of the context. But it is quoted by Justin also καὶ οὐχ εὐρέθη δόλος p. 230, and οὐδὲ εὐρέθη δόλος p. 86, though in a third passage he has οὐδὲ δόλον p. 330.

13 δψεται] εψεται Α.

And so likewise Tertull. adv. Jud. 10 'nec dolus in ore ejus inventus est,' Origen I. p. 91 C, II. pp. 250 D, 287 C, and Hippol. in Psalm. 7 (p. 191 Lagarde). The passage of S. Peter might have influenced the form of quotation and even the reading of the MSS in some cases: but the passages where οὐδὲ εὐρέθη δόλος appears are so numerous, that we must suppose it to have been so read in some copies of the LXX at least as early as the first century. This reading is found in several MSS in Holmes and Parsons.

12. τῆς πληγῆς] So NB Justin pp. 86, 230; but A (LXX) has ἀπὸ τῆς πληγῆς. For καθαρίζειν οι καθαίρειν τινος comp. Herod. i. 44. So the intransitive verb καθαρεύειν (Plato Epist. viii. p. 356 E) and the adjective καθαρός (Herod. ii. 38) may take a genitive.

δῶτε] So also LXX (NAB) and Justin pp. 86, 230 (MSS, but many edd. δῶτα). Eusebius comments on this as the LXX reading, and Jerome distinctly states it to be so. Accordingly it was interpreted, 'If ye make an offering' (or, translated into its Christian equivalent, 'If ye be truly contrite and pray for pardon'). With δοῦναι περὶ comp. Heb. v. 3 περὶ ἐαυ-

τοῦ προσφέρειν περὶ ἀμαρτιῶν. The meaning of the original is doubtful, but δῶτε seems to be a rendering of nor taken as a second person, 'thou shalt give'. The reading δῶται 'give himself', which some editors here would adopt, is quite late and can hardly stand.

13. Κύριος βούλεται κ.τ.λ.] The LXX departs very widely from the Hebrew, but its meaning is fairly clear. For άφελείν ἀπό, 'todiminish from', comp. Rev. xxii. 19, Exod. v. 11, and so frequently. Tertullian however reads την ψυχήν, 'eximere a morte animam ejus', adv. 7ud. 10. Πλάσαι (sc. αὐτόν) stands in the present text of the LXX (NAB), and in Justin pp. 86, 230, nor is there any indication of a different reading: but, as ישבע stands in the corresponding place in the Hebrew, the original reading of the LXX was probaby $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a_i$, as Grabe suggested (Diss. de Vit. Var. LXX, p. 39). Compare the vv. ll. ράσσει and ρήσσει in Mark ix. 18.

18. τοῖς ἀνόμοις] ἐν τοῖς ἀνόμοις LXX (NAB), Justin pp. 86, 231, (though in the immediate neighbourhood of the first passage he has μετὰ τῶν ἀνόμων, p. 85): μετὰ ἀνόμων, Luke xxii. 37, († Mark xv. 28†).

ἀνόμοις ἐλογίςθη καὶ αὐτός ἀμαρτίας πολλῶν ἀνήνεγκεν καὶ αἰὰ τὰς ὁμαρτίας αὐτῶν παρεδόθη. Καὶ πάλιν αὐτός φησιν ἘΓὼ Δέ εἰμι κκῶληξ καὶ οὐκ ἄνθρωπος, ὅνειδος ἀνθρώπων καὶ ἐξογθένημα λαοῦ, πάντες οἱ θεωροῦντές με ἐξεμγκτήρικαν με, ἐλάλης εν χείλες ιν, ἐκίνης αν κεφαλήν, Ἦλπις εν ξεπὶ Κύριον, ἡγς ἀς θω αὐτόν, ςως ἀτω αὐτόν, ὅτι θέλει αὐτόν. Ὁρᾶτε, ἄνδρες ἀγαπητοί, τίς ὁ ὑπογραμμὸς ὁ δεδομένος ἡμῖν εἰ γὰρ ὁ Κύριος οὕτως ἐταπεινοφρόνησεν, τί ποιήσωμεν ἡμεῖς οἱ ὑπὸ τὸν ζυγὸν τῆς χάριτος αὐτοῦ δὶ αὐτοῦ ἐλθόντες;

ΧVΙΙ. Μιμηταί γενώμεθα κάκείνων, οίτινες έν δέρ-

5 έκίνησαν] εκεινησαν Α.

10 έλθόντες] ελθοντοσ Α.

17 ταπεινοφρονών]

2. αὐτός] Christ Himself, in whose person the Psalmist is speaking. Comp. § 22, where αὐτὸς προσκαλείται has a similar reference. The words are an exact quotation from the LXX Ps. xxii. 6—8. The application to our Lord is favoured by Matt. xxvii. 43.

7. ὁ ὑπογραμμός] See the note above on § 5.

9. τὸν ζυγὸν τῆς χάριτος] a verbal paradox, explained by the 'easy yoke' of Matt. xi. 29, 30. The following δι' αὐτοῦ is 'through His humiliation and condescension'.

XVII. 'We should also copy the humility of the prophets who went about in sheepskins and goatskins; of Abraham the friend of God, who confessed that he was mere dust and ashes; of Job the blameless, who condemned himself and all men as impure in the sight of God; of Moses the trusty servant, who declared his nothingness before the Lord'.

The whole of this chapter and part of the next are quoted by Clem. Alex. *Strom.* iv. 16 (p. 610) in continuation of § 9 sq. (see the note there): but he

cites so freely, abridging and enlarging at pleasure, and interspersing his own commentary (e.g. τὴν οὐχ ὑποπίπτουσαν νόμφ αἰνιττόμενος ἀμαρτίαν γνωστικῶς μετριοπαθῶν), that he cannot generally be taken as an authority for the text, and (except in special cases) I have not thought it worth while to record his variations.

11. ἐν δέρμασιν κ.τ.λ. From Heb. xi. For the prophets' dress comp. Zach. xiii. 4 'The prophets shall be ashamed...neither shall they wear a garment of hair' (where the LXX omits the negative and destroys the sense, καὶ ἐνδύσονται δέρριν τριχίνην); see also Bleek Hebr. 1.c., Stanley's Sinai and Palestine p. 305. The word μηλωτή is used in the LXX to translate אדרת, paludamentum, 'a mantle'; e.g. of Elijah and Elisha, 1 Kings xix. 13, 19, 2 Kings ii. 8, 13, 14. Though not a strict equivalent, it was doubtless adopted as describing the recognised dress of the prophet. Ezekiel is fitly classed with the older prophets, as representing a stern and ascetic type. His dress is nowhere mentioned in the O.T., but might

μασιν αἰγείοις καὶ μηλωταῖς περιεπάτησαν κηρύσσοντες τὴν ἔλευσιν τοῦ Χριστοῦ· λέγομεν δὲ Ἡλίαν καὶ Ἐλισαιὲ ἔτι δὲ καὶ Ἰεζεκιήλ, τοὺς προφήτας πρὸς τού
15 τοις καὶ τοὺς μεμαρτυρημένους. ἐμαρτυρήθη μεγάλως ᾿Αβραὰμ καὶ φίλος προσηγορεύθη τοῦ Θεοῦ, καὶ λέγει ἀτενίζων εἰς τὴν δόξαν τοῦ Θεοῦ, ταπεινοφρονῶν Ἐςὼ λέ εἰμι ΓΑ καὶ απολός. ἔτι δὲ καὶ περὶ Ἰωβ οὕτως γέγραπται Ιὼβ Ην λίκαιος καὶ ἄμεμπτος, ἀληθικός, θεοςε
20 Βής, ἀπεχόμενος ἀπὸ παντός κακοῦ ἀλλ' αὐτὸς ἐαυτοῦ κατηγ[ορεῖ λέγων], Οὐλεὶς καθαρός ἀπὸ ῥήπ[ογ, οὐλ εἰ]

ταπεισφρωνων Α. 19 ἀληθινός] αληθείνοσ Α. ἀληθινός καὶ Clem. Alex. 611.
21 κατηγορεί λέγων] See below. οὐδ' εί] See below.

be taken for granted as the ordinary garb of his office. Clem. Alex. after μηλωταῖς adds καὶ τριχῶν καμηλείων πλέγμασιν, as after Ἰεζεκιὴλ he adds καὶ Ἰωάννην, the former interpolation preparing the way for the latter.

14. 'Eλισαιέ] A frequent form in the best MSS of the LXX (with a single or a double σ), e.g. 2 Kings ii. 1 sq. The editors have quite needlessly changed it into 'Eλισσαῖον, which is the form in Clem. Alex.

τοὺς προφήτας] Epiphanius has been thought to refer to this passage in Hær. xxx. 15, αὐτὸς (Κλήμης) ἐγκωμάζει Ἡλίαν καὶ Δαβὶδ καὶ Σαμψῶν καὶ πάντας τοὺς προφήτας κ.τ.λ.; but the reference must be to the spurious Epistles on Virginity, where Samson, as well as the others, is mentioned by name (see above p. 15).

15. τοὺς μεμαρτυρημένους] 'borne witness to, approved', whether by God or by men; see below §§ 18, 19, 44, 47, Acts vi. 3, Heb. xi. 2, 4, 5, 39, 3 Joh. 12, etc. Here the testimony of God's voice in Scripture seems to be intended, as appears from the examples following.

16. φίλος προσηγορεύθη] Comp. James ii. 23, and see above § 10 with the note.

17. την δόξαν] i.e. the outward manifestation, the visible light and glory which betokened His presence; as e.g. Exod. xvi. 7, 10, xxiv. 16, 17, xxxiii. 19, 22, xl. 28, 29, Luke ii. 9, I Cor. xv. 40 sq., 2 Cor. iii. 7 sq., etc. ταπεινοφρονών] A favourite word with Clement; see § 2, 13 (twice), 16 (three times), 19, 30, 38, 48. In like manner ταπεινοφροσύνη and ταπείνωσις occur several times. The transcriber reads ταπεινοφρων ων here, as he reads ταπεινοφρον ον § 19. In both cases his reading must be corrected. This verb occurs only once in the LXX (Ps. cxxxi. 2), and not once in the New Testament.

έγω δὲ κ.τ.λ.] quoted exactly from the LXX Gen. xviii. 27.

19. Ἰωβ ἢν κ.τ.λ.] A loose quotation from Job i. 1, where \aleph B have ἀληθινός ἄμεμπτος δίκαιος θεοσεβής, and Α ἄμεμπτος δίκαιος ἀληθεινὸς θεοσεβής.

21. κατηγορεί λέγων] I prefer this to κατηγορών λέγει or κατηγορών εἶπεν. Wotton is certainly wrong in saying

Μιᾶς ήμέρας ή ζωή αἦτο[ῆ]. Μωϋσῆς πιςτός ἐν ὅλω [τῷ οἴκω] αἤτοῆ ἐκλήθη, καὶ διὰ τῆς [ὑπηρε]σίας αὐτοῦ ἔκρινεν ὁ Θεὸς λ[ἴγυπτον] διὰ τῶν μαστίγων καὶ τῶν [αἰκι]σμάτων αὐτῶν. ἀλλὰ κἀκε[ῖνος] δοξασθεὶς μεγά-λως οὐκ ἐμ[εγα]λορημόνησεν, ἀλλ' εἶπεν, ἐ[κ τῆς] βάτου 5 χρηματισμοῦ αὐτῷ διδο[μέ]νου, Τίς εἰμι ἐςώ, ὅτι με

2 ὑπηρεσίας] Wotton.

3 Alyurrov] Wotton. See below.

that he could read einer in the MS. There is no trace of the word and cannot have been any. He must have made some confusion with the einer below, which is blurred.

oudels κ.τ.λ.] A loose quotation from the LXX Job xiv. 4, 5.

ovo el All the best MSS of the LXX agree in reading έαν καλ, which many editors have preferred here. On the other hand Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 16 (p. 610) has oud el, and, as in the rest of this quotation he follows his namesake pretty closely where he departs from the LXX, he probably did so in this instance. Origen, who frequently quotes the text, generally has οὐδ' âν (e.g. II. p. 829) or οὐδ' εί (III. pp. 160, 685), but sometimes omits the negative. The passage is one of very few outside of the Pentateuch quoted by Philo, de Mut. Nom. 6 (I. p. 585), who reads τίς γάρ...καὶ âν...

 πιστὸς κ.τ.λ.] He is so called Num. xii. 7; comp. Heb. iii. 2.

2. ὑπηρεσίαs] Comp. Wisd. xiii. 11, xv. 7. Other suggestions for filling the lacuna, such as προστασίαs and θεραπευσίαs, are not so good.

3. Αἴγυπτον] So Wotton correctly supplied the lacuna. Compare § 11 κριθείσης διὰ πυρός. Moses was the instrument in fulfilling the prophecy uttered before, Gen. xv. 14 (comp. Acts vii. 7) τὸ δὲ ἔθνος ῷ ἐὰν δουλεύσωσι

κρινῶ ἐγώ. Others have supplied λαὸν αὐτοῦ Ἰσραήλ, τοὺς νίοὺς Ἰσραήλ, or similar words; but the context seems to require the triumph of Moses over an enemy, and indeed the A of Αἴγυπτον is partly visible in the MS.

5. ἐμεγαλορημόνησεν] See the note on ἐξερίζωσεν, § 6.

6. τίς εἰμι έγω] From Exod. iii. 11 τίς εἰμι έγω, ὅτι πορεύσομαι κ.τ.λ.

7. έγω δὲ κ.τ.λ.] From Exod. iv. 10 ἰσχνόφωνος καὶ βραδύγλωσσος έγω είμι.

8. ἐγωὶ δέ είμι ἀτμὶς κ.τ.λ.] This quotation is not found in the Old Testament or in any apocryphal book extant whole or in part. The nearest parallel is James iv. 14, ποία γὰρ ή ζωη ύμῶν; ἀτμὶς [γάρ] ἐστε ή πρὸς ὀλίγον φαινομένη κ.τ.λ. Compare also Hosea xiii. 3 'As smoke from the chimney' (or 'the window'), where the LXX seems to have translated originally ἀτμὶς ἀπὸ ἀκρίδων (see Simson's Hosea p. 44), corrupted into ἀπὸ δακρύων in B and corrected into έκ καπνοδόχης from Theodotion in A; and Ps. cxix. 83 'I am become like a bottle in the smoke', where again the LXX mistranslates ώσεὶ ἀσκὸς ἐν $\pi \dot{\alpha} \chi \nu \eta$. In none of these passages however are the words very close, nor are they spoken by Moses. Perhaps therefore this should be reckoned among S. Clement's quotations

πέμπ[εις;] ἐγὼ Δέ εἰμι ἰςχηόφωνος καὶ Βρ[α]Δήγλως καὶ πάλιν λέγει, Ἐγὼ Δέ εἰμι ἀτμὶς ἀπὸ κήθρας.

XVIII. Τι δὲ εἴπωμεν ἐπὶ τῷ μεμαρτυρημένῳ το Δαυείδ; πρὸς ον εἶπεν ὁ Θεός, Εγρον ἄνδρα κατὰ τɨν καρδίαν μογ, Δαγείδ τὸν τος Ἰεςςαί, ἐν ἐλέει αἰωνίῳ ἔχριςα αἰτόν. ἀλλὰ καὶ αὐτὸς λέγει πρὸς τὸν Θεόν· Ἐλέις ὁν

10, 11 Δavelδ] δάδ A. See above, § 4.

11 έλέει] ελαιει A. See below.

from apocryphal books on which Photius (Bibl. 126 ρητά τινα ως ἀπὸ της θείας γραφης ξενίζοντα παρεισάγει) remarks: see also §§ 8, 13, 23, 30, 46 (notes). Hilgenfeld is sure that the words were taken from the Assumption of Moses. This is not impossible; but the independent reason which he gives for the belief that Clement was acquainted with that apocryphal work is unsatisfactory; see the note on the phœnix below, § 25. I have pointed out elsewhere (§ 23) another apocryphal work, from which they might well have been taken. The metaphor is common with the Stoics: see Seneca Troad. 392 sq. 'Ut calidis fumus ab ignibus Vanescit...Sic hic quo regimur spiritus effluit', M. Anton. x. 31 καπνον καὶ τὸ μηδέν, xii. 33 νεκρά καὶ καπνός; so also Empedocles (in Plut. Op. Mor. p. 360 C, quoted by Gataker on x. 31) had said, ωκύμοροι καπνοίο δίκην άρθέντες ἀπέπταν.

κύθρας] Another form of χύτρας, just as κιθών and χιτών are interchanged. The proper Ionic genitive would be κύθρης, which is used by Herodes in Stob. Floril. lxxviii. 6 (quoted in Hase and Dindorf's Steph. Thes.). Clem. Alex. Pæd. ii. 1 (p. 165) has κυθριδίοις; and for instances of κυθρίνος (for χυτρίνος) see Lobeck Pathol. p. 209. In the text of Clem. Alex. here χύτρας is read.

XVIII. 'Again take David as an

example of humility. He is declared to be the man after God's own heart. Yet he speaks of himself as overwhelmed with sin, as steeped in impurity, and prays that he may be cleansed by God's Spirit'.

10. πρὸς ὅν] Comp. Rom. x. 21, Heb.
 i. 7, and see Winer § xlix. p. 424.

εύρον κ.τ.λ.] A combination of Ps. lxxxix. 21 εύρον Δαυείδ τον δοῦλόν μου, εν ελαίφ άγίφ μου έχρισα αὐτόν, with I Sam. xiii. 14 ανθρωπον κατά την καρδίαν αὐτοῦ, or rather with Acts χίιι. 22 εύρον Δαυείδ τὸν τοῦ Ἰεσσαί, ανδρα κατά την καρδίαν μου (itself a loose quotation from I Sam. xiii. 14). In the first passage έλαίφ the reading of NA is doubtless correct, the corresponding Hebrew being שמן; though έλέει is read by B. But our MS here has educe (i.e. edéce), and so Clement appears to have read. Similarly in § 56, when quoting Ps. cxli. 5, he reads ελαιοσ (i.e. έλεος) αμαρτωλων for έλαιον άμαρτωλών. On the interchange of a₁ and e in this word see above, p. 25. On the other hand Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 17 (p. 611), quoting this passage of his namesake, restores the correct word ἐλαίω, as he would do naturally, if accustomed to this reading in the Psalms.

12. ἐλέησον κ.τ.λ.] The 51st Psalm quoted from the LXX almost word for word. The variations are very slight and unimportant.

Με, ὁ Θεύς, κατὰ τὸ ΜέΓα ἔλεός τογ, καὶ κατὰ τὸ πλάθος τῶν οἰκτιρμῶν τογ ἐξάλειψον τὸ ἀνόμημα μογ. ἐπὶ πλεῖον πληνόν με ἀπὸ τὰς ἀνομίας μογ, καὶ ἀπὸ τὰς ἀμαρτίας μογ καθάρισόν με ὅτι τὴν ἀνομίαν μογ ἐΓὼ Γινώςκω, καὶ ἡ ἀμαρτία μογ ἐνώπιον μογ ἐςτιν διὰ παντός. τοὶ μόνω ήμαρ- 5 τον, καὶ τὸ πονηρόν ἐνώπιον τογ ἐποίητα ὅπως ἄν δικαιωθής ἐν τοῖς λόγοις τογ, καὶ νικής ἐν τῷ κρίνεςθαί τε. ἰδογ Γὰρ ἐν ἀνομίαις τηνελήμφθην, καὶ ἐν ἁμαρτίαις ἐκίς τὰρ ἐν ἀνομίαις τηνελήμφθην, καὶ ἐν ἁμαρτίαις ἐκίς τὰρ ἀλήθειαν ἢτάπητας τὰ ἄληλα καὶ τὰ κρήφια τῆς τοφίας τογ ἐλήλως και ἡ ἡπὲρ χιόνα λεγκανθήςοναι ἀκογτιεῖς με ἀγαλλίας ναι καὶ ἡπὲρ χιόνα λεγκανθήςοναι ἀκογτιεῖς με ἀγαλλίας καὶ εγφροτηνην ἀγαλλιάς ονται ὀτὰ τεταπεινωμένα. ἀπόττρεψον τὸ πρόςωπόν τογ ἀπὸ τῶν ὁμαρτίῶν μογ, καὶ πάτας τὰς ἀνομίας μογ ἐξάλειψ[ον]. καρδίαν καθαράν κτίς ον ἐν ἐμο[ί], ὁ 15

ξλεος] ελαιοσ A. 2 οἰκτιρμών] οικτειρμων A. πλείον] πλιον A. 7 νικήσης] νικησεισ A. 11 πλυνεῖς] πλυνιεισ A.

2. ἐπὶ πλεῖον κ.τ.λ.] i.e. 'wash me again and again'. The Hebrew is 'multiply (and) wash me'.

6. ὅπως κ.τ.λ.] This verse is quoted also Rom. iii. 4. The middle κρίνεσθαι, ' to have a cause adjudged, to plead', is said of one of the parties to a suit. The 'pleading' of God is a common image in the Old Testament; e.g. Is. i. 18, v. 3. In this passage however the natural rendering of the Hebrew would be κρίνειν, not κρίνεσθαι.

7. νικήσης] The future νικήσεις is improbable (see Winer § xli. p. 304), especially with a preceding δικαιωθής; and the MS is of no authority where it is a question between H and ει. The LXX text (NB) has νικήσης.

8. ἐκίσσησεν] 'conceived', not found elsewhere in the LXX. The sense and construction which the word has

here seem to be unique. Elsewhere it denotes the fastidious appetite of women at such a time and takes a genitive of the object desired; comp. Arist. Pax 497.

9. τὰ ἄδηλα κ.τ.λ.] The LXX translators have missed the sense of the original here.

11. ὑσσώπφ] As one defiled by leprosy or some other taint was purged according to the law; see Lev. xiv. 4 sq., Num. xix. 6, 18, and Perowne On the Psalms, ad loc.

12. dκουτιείς] For the word dκουτί-(ειν see Sturz de Dial. Mac. p. 144. It was perhaps invented to translate the Hiphil of אשרים.

16. εὐθές] A common form of the neuter in the LXX, e.g. Judges xvii. 6, xxi. 25, 2 Sam. xix. 6, 18, etc. The masculine εὐθής also occurs, e.g. Ps. xcii. 14.

Θεός, καὶ πηεῆμα εἰθὲς ἐΓκαίνισον ἐν τοῖς ἐΓκάτοις μογ. Μὰ ἀπο[ρί]ψης με ἀπό τοῦ προςώπογ σογ, [καὶ τὸ π]νεῆμα το ἄΓιόν σογ μὰ ἀντανέ[λης ἀπ' ἐ]μοῦ. ἀπόδος μοι τὰν ἀΓαλ-[λίασιν τ]οῦ σωτηρίογ σογ, καὶ πνεήματι [ἡΓεμο]νικῷ στή-20 ρισόν με. Διδά[ξω ἀνό]μογς τὰς ὁδοἡς σογ, καὶ ἀ[σεβεῖ]ς ἐπιστρέψογσιν ἐπί σε. [ῥῦσαί] με ἐξ αἰμάτων, ὁ Θεος, ὁ Θεὸς τῆς [σωτ]μρίας μογ. [ἀΓαλ]λιάσεται ἡ Γλῶσσά μογ τὰν [δικ]αιοσήνην σογ. Κήριε, τὸ στόμα μογ [ἀν]οίξεις, καὶ τὰ χείλη μογ ἀνας[Γ]ελεῖ τὰν αἴνεσίν σογ. ὅτι εἰ ἠ[θ]έλησας 25 θγσίαν, ἔδωκα ἄν. ὁλοκαγτώματα οἰκ εἰδοκήσεις. Θγσία τῷ Θεῷ πνεῆμα σγντετριμμένην καὶ τεταπεινωμένην ὁ Θεὸς οἰκ ἐξογθενώσει.

XIX. Τῶν τοσούτων οὖν καὶ τοιούτων οὕτως μεμαρτυρημένων τὸ ταπεινοφρονοῦν καὶ τὸ ὑποδεὲς διὰ 3° τῆς ὑπακοῆς οὐ μόνον ἡμᾶς ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰς πρὸ ἡμῶν

16 εγκάτοις] ενκατοισ Α.

30 άλλά]

29 ταπεινοφρονούν] ταπεινοφρονον A. αλλασ A.

19. ήγεμονικφ] In the Hebrew הכיבה, 'willing, ready'. The LXX have adopted a secondary meaning 'liberal', and so 'noble, princely'. The adjective ήγεμονικός does not occur elsewhere in the LXX. Comp. παντοκρατορικός, § 8.

στήρισον] So N reads in the LXX, but B στήριξον. On these double forms see Buttmann Ausf. Gr. Spr. § 92 (I. p. 372); and on the use of στήρισον, etc., in the New Testament, Winer § xv. p. 101. Clement, or his transcriber, is inconsistent; for he has ἐστήριζεν § 8, στηρίξωμεν § 13, but ἐστήρισεν § 33, and στήρισον here.

21. aiμάτων] The plural denotes especially 'bloodshed', as in Plat. Legg. ix. p. 872 E, and the instances collected in Blomfield's Gloss. to Æsch. Choeph. 60: see also Test. xii Patr. CLEM.

Sym. 4 els αίματα παροξύνει, Anon. in Hipp. Ηατ. v. 16 αίμασι χαίρει ὁ τοῦδε τοῦ κόσμου δεσπότης, Tatian. ad Grac. 8. The same is the force also of the Hebrew plural רְמֵים, of which αίματα here and elsewhere is a rendering: comp. Exod. xxii. I, where, as here, 'bloodshed' is equivalent to 'blood-guiltiness'.

XIX. 'These bright examples of humility we have before our eyes. But let us look to the fountain-head of all truth; let us contemplate the mind of the universal Father and Creator, as manifested in His works, and see how patience and order and beneficence prevail throughout creation'.

28. τῶν τοσούτων κ.τ.λ.] An imitation of Heb. xii. 1.

29. ταπεινοφρονοῦν] See the note on ταπεινοφρονῶν above, § 17.

6

١

γενεὰς βελτίους ἐποίησεν, τούς τε καταδεξαμένους τὰ λόγια αὐτοῦ ἐν φόβω καὶ ἀληθεία. Πολλων οὖν καὶ μεγάλων καὶ ἐνδόξων μετειληφότες πράξεων, ἐπαναδράμωμεν ἐπὶ τὸν ἐξ ἀρχῆς παραδεδομένον ἡμῖν τῆς εἰρήνης σκοπόν, καὶ ἀτενίσωμεν εἰς τὸν πατέρα καὶ κτιστὴν τοῦ 5 σύμπαντος κόσμου, καὶ ταῖς μεγαλοπρεπέσι καὶ ὑπερβαλλούσαις αὐτοῦ δωρεαῖς τῆς εἰρήνης εὐεργεσίαις τε κολληθωμεν ἴδωμεν αὐτὸν κατὰ διάνοιαν καὶ ἐμβλέψωμεν τοῖς ὅμμασιν τῆς ψυχῆς εἰς τὸ μακρόθυμον αὐτοῦ βούλημα νοήσωμεν πῶς ἀόργητος ὑπάρχει πρὸς πᾶσαν το τὴν κτίσιν αὐτοῦ.

3 πράξεων] πραξαιων Α.

1. καταδεξαμένους Davies proposes καταδεξομένους. The emendation would have been more probable if the preposition were different, διαδεξομένους and not καταδεξομένους.

3. μετειληφότες] 'participated in', i.e. profited by as examples. The achievements of the saints of old are the heritage of the later Church.

4. εἰρήνης σκοπόν] 'the mark, the goal, of peace'. God Himself is the great exemplar of peaceful working, and so the final goal of all imitation.

10. ἀόργητος] 'calm.'; Ign. Philad.
1, Polyc. Phil. 12 (note). Aristotle attaches a bad sense to the word, as implying a want of sensibility, Eth. Nic. ii. 7. Others however distinguished ἀοργησία from ἀναισθησία (see Aul. Gell. i. 27); and with the Stoics it was naturally a favourite word, e.g. Ερίττ. Diss. iii. 20. 9 τὸ ἀνεκτικόν, τὸ ἀοργητον, τὸ πρᾶον, iii. 18. 6 εὐσταθῶς, αἰδημόνως, ἀοργήτως, Μ. Anton. I. I τὸ καλόηθες καὶ ἀόργητον. The word does not occur in the LXX or New Testament.

XX. 'All creation moves on in peace and harmony. Night and day succeed each other. The heavenly

bodies roll in their proper orbits. The earth brings forth in due season. The ocean keeps within its appointed bounds. The seasons, the winds, the fountains, accomplish their work peacefully and minister to our wants. Even the dumb animals observe the same law. Thus God has by this universal reign of order manifested His beneficence to all, but especially to us who have sought His mercy through Christ Jesus'.

12. σαλευόμενοι If the reading be correct, this word must refer to the motion of the heavenly bodies, apparently uneven but yet recurrent and orderly; and this reference seems to be justified by έξελίσσουσιν below. Σαλεύεσθαι is indeed frequently used in the Old Testament to express terror and confusion, in speaking of the earth, the hills, etc.; but never of the heavens. So too in the Sibylline Oracles, iii. 675, 714, 751. On the other hand Young would read μη σαλευόμενοι; and Davies, improving upon this correction, suggests ov σαλευόμενοι, repeating the last letters of αὖτοῦ. But such passages in the New Testament as Matt. xxiv. 29, ΧΧ. Οἱ οὐρανοὶ τῆ διοικήσει αὐτοῦ σαλευόμενοι ἐν εἰρήνη ὑποτάσσονται αὐτῷ ἡμέρα τε καὶ νὺξ τὸν τεταγμένον ὑπ' αὐτοῦ δρόμον διανύουσιν, μηδὲν ἀλλήλοις 15 ἐμποδί[ζ]οντα. ἡλιός τε καὶ σελήνη ἀστέ[ρ]ων τε χοροὶ κατὰ τὴν διαταγὴν [α]ὐτοῦ ἐν ὁμονοία δίχα πάσης [π]αρεκβάσεως ἐξελίσσουσιν [το]ὑς ἐπιτεταγμένους αὐτοῦς ὁρισμούς. γῆ κυοφοροῦσα κατὰ τὸ θέλημα αὐτοῦ τοῖς ἰδίοις καιροῖς τὴν πανπλήθη ἀνθρώποις τε καὶ θηρσὶν 20 καὶ πᾶσιν τοῖς οὖσιν ἐπ' αὐτὴν ζώοις ἀνατέλλει τροφήν, μὴ διχοστατοῦσα μηδὲ ἀλλοιοῦσά τι τῶν δεδογματισμένων ὑπ' αὐτοῦ. ἀβύσσων τε ἀνεξιχνίαστα καὶ νερτέρων ἀνεκδιήγητα †κρίματα † τοῖς αὐτοῖς συνέχεται προσ-

Heb. xii. 26, 27, are not sufficient to justify the alteration; for some expression of motion is wanted. Not 'fixity, rest,' but 'regulated change' is the idea of this and the following sentences. For this reason I have retained σαλευόμενοι. In the passage of Chrysostom quoted by Young in defence of his reading, in Psalm. cxlviii. § 2 (v. p. 491) οὐδὲν συνεχύθη των δντων οὐ θάλαττα τὴν γῆν ἐπέκλυσεν, οὐχ ήλιος τόδε τὸ ὁρώμενον κατέκαυσεν, ούκ ούρανὸς παρεσαλεύθη κ.τ.λ., this father would seem purposely to have chosen the compound παρασαλεύεσθαι to denote disorderly motion.

17. ἐξελίσσουσιν] Comp. Plut. Mor. p. 368 A τοσαύταις ἡμέραις τὸν αὐτῆς κύκλον ἐξελίσσει (of the moon), Heliod. Æth. v. 14 οἱ δὲ περὶ τὸν νομέα κύκλονς ἀγερώχους ἐξελίττοντες (both passages given in Hase and Dindorf's Steph. Thes.). Thus the word continues the metaphor of χοροί, describing the tangled mazes of the dance, as e. g. Eur. Troad. 3. The ὁρισμοὶ therefore are their defined orbits.

20. ἐπ' αὐτήν] For the accusative so used see Winer § xlix. p. 426.

ἀνατέλλει] Here transitive, as e. g. Gen. iii. 18, Is. xlv. 8, Matt. v. 45; comp. Epiphanes in Clem. Alex. Strom. iii. 2, p. 512, ήλιος κοινὰς τροφὰς ζώοις ἄπασιν ἀνατέλλει (MSS ἀνατέλλειν), which closely resembles our Clement's language here.

23. †κρίματα†] 'statutes, ordinances,' i.e. the laws by which they are governed, as e.g. 2 Chron. xxx. 16 ἔστησαν ἐπὶ τὴν στάσιν αὐτῶν κατὰ τὸ κρίμα αὐτῶν ('as they were appointed'), 2 Chron. iv. 7 τàs λυχνίας κατά τὸ κρίμα αὐτῶν (comp. ver. 20). But κρίματα is most awkward, and several emendations have been suggested, of which κλίματα is the best. We may either adopt this, or (as I would suggest in preference) strike out the word altogether. In either case we may fall back upon the conjecture of Lipsius (p. 155, note) that κρίματα was written down by some thoughtless scribe from Rom. xi. 33 ανεξερεύνητα τὰ κρίματα αὐτοῦ καὶ ανεξιχνίαστοι αἱ ὁδοὶ αὐτοῦ (he gives the reference ix. 33, which is repeated by Jacobson, and still further corrupted ix. 23 by Hilgenfeld). Indeed the

τάγμασιν. τὸ κύτος τῆς ἀπείρου θαλάσσης κατὰ τὴν δημιουργίαν αὐτοῦ συσταθέν εἰς τὰς εγναςωςὰς οὐ παρεκβαίνει τὰ περιτεθειμένα αὐτῆ κλεῖθρα, ἀλλὰ καθώς διέταξεν αὐτῆ, οὕτως ποιεῖ. εἶπεν γάρ "Εως ὧλε Ηξεις, κὰ τὰ κήματά coy ἐν coì cyntpibhcetai. ἀκεανὸς 5

2 δημιουργίαν] δημιουργειαν Α.

5 κύματα] κρυματα Α.

same word seems still to be running in the scribe's head when below he writes $\kappa\rho\nu\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$ for $\kappa\nu\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$. The $\nu\epsilon\rho$ - $\tau\epsilon\rho\alpha$ are the 'subterranean regions' regarded physically.

1. τὸ κύτος] 'the hollow, the basin', as Ps. lxiv. 7 ὁ συνταράσσων τὸ κύτος τῆς θαλάσσης. In Dan. iv. 8 τὸ κύτος is opposed to τὸ τψος.

- 2. εἰς τὰς συναγωγάς From LXX Gen. i. 9 καὶ συνήχθη τὸ ὕδωρ τὸ ὑποκάτω τοῦ οὐρανοῦ εἰς τὰς συναγωγὰς αὐτῶν, wanting in the Hebrew. It refers to the great bodies of water, the Mediterranean, the Caspian, the Red Sea, etc.
- 3. παρεκβαίνει κ.τ.λ.] From Job xxxviii. 10, 11 έθέμην δὲ αὐτῷ ὅρια περιθεὶς κλεῖθρα καὶ πύλας, εἶπα δὲ αὐτῷ Μέχρι τούτου ελεύση καὶ οὐχ ὑπερβήση, ἀλλ' ἐν σεαυτῷ συντριβήσεταί σου τὰ κύματα: comp. also Ps. civ. 9, Jer. v. 22.
- 5. ωκεανός κ.τ.λ.] This passage is directly quoted by Clem. Alex. Strom. v. 12 (p. 693), by Origen de Princ. ii. 6 (I. p. 82, 83), Select. in Ezech. viii. 3 (III. p. 422), by Jerome ad Ephes. ii. 2 (VII. p. 571). It must also have suggested the words of Irenæus Hær. ii. 28. 2 'Quid autem possumus exponere de oceani accessu et recessu, quum constet esse certam causam? quidve de his quæ ultra eum sunt enuntiare, qualia sint?' On the other hand the expression ὁ πολὺs καὶ ἀπέραντος ἀνθρώποις ώκεανὸς used by Dionys. Alex. in Euseb. H. E. vii. 21 may be derived indirectly

through Clement or Origen. On Photius see below, p. 97.

 ἀπέρωτος] 'impassable,' as the context shows, and as it is rendered in the translation of Origen de Princ. ii. 3 ('intransmeabilis'). The common form in this sense is ἀπέρατος; though ἀπέραντος is read here not only in our MS, but by Clem. Alex. p. 693 and Dionys. Alex. in Euseb. H. E. vii. 21, or their transcribers, and may possibly be correct. Yet as I could not find any better instances of this use than Eur. Med. 212, Æsch. Prom. 159 (where Blomf. suggests ἀπέρατος), and in both passages the meaning may be questioned, I have preferred reading ἀπέρατος as quoted by Origen Select. in Ezech. viii. 3.

οί μετ' αὐτὸν κόσμοι κ.τ.λ.] Clement may possibly be referring to some known but hardly accessible land, lying without the pillars of Hercules and in foreign seas: as Ceylon (Plin. N. H. vi. 22 'Taprobanen alterum orbem terrarum esse diu existimatum est, Antichthonum appellatione'), or Britain (Joseph. B. J. ii. 16. 4 ὑπὲρ ωκεανον έτέραν έζήτησαν οικουμένην καί μέχρι τῶν ἀνιστορήτων πρότερον Βρεττανών διήνεγκαν τὰ ὅπλα). But more probably he contemplated some unknown land in the far west beyond the ocean, like the fabled Atlantis of Plato or the real America of modern discovery. From Aristotle onwards (de Cælo ii. 14, p. 298, Meteor. ii. 5, p. 362), and even earlier, theories had ανθρώποις απέρατος καὶ οἱ μετ' αὐτὸν κόσμοι ταῖς αὐταῖς ταγαῖς τοῦ δεσπότου διευθύνονται. καιροὶ ἐαρινοὶ καὶ θερινοὶ καὶ μετοπωρινοὶ καὶ χειμερινοὶ ἐν εἰρήνη μεταπαραδιδόασιν ἀλλήλοις. ἀνέμων σταθμοὶ κατὰ τὸν

6 ἀπέρατος] Origen. απεραντοσ A, Clem. Alex., Dionys. Alex. See below. 7 ταγαίς] Α. διαταγαίς Origen. See below. 8 μετοπωρινοί] μεθοπωρινοί Α.

from time to time been broached, which contemplated the possibility of reaching the Indies by crossing the western ocean, or maintained the existence of islands or continents towards the setting sun. The Carthaginians had even brought back a report of such a desert island in the Atlantic, which they had visited, [Aristot.] Mirab. Ausc. § 84 p. 836, § 136 p. 844, Diod. v. 19, 20; see Humboldt Exam. Crit. I. p. 130. In the generations before and after the time of Clement such speculations were not uncommon. Of these the prophecy in Seneca's Medea ii. 375 'Venient annis sæcula seris Quibus Oceanus vincula rerum Laxet et ingens pateat tellus etc.,' is the most famous, because so much stress was laid on it by Columbus and his fellow discoverers: but the statements in Strabo i. 4 (p. 65), Plut. Mor. p. 941, are much more remarkable. The opinions of ancient writers on this subject are collected and examined in the 1st volume of A. von Humboldt's Exam. Crit. de la Géogr. du Nouveau Continent: see also other works mentioned in Prescott's Ferdinand and Isabella II. p. 102. This interpretation is quite consistent with the fact that Clement below (§ 33) speaks of the ocean, as τὸ περιέχον τὴν γῆν ΰδωρ.

At all events this passage was seemingly so taken by Irenæus and Clement of Alexandria, and it is distinctly explained thus by Origen (Sel.

in Ezech. viii. 3 sq., de Princ. ii. 6) who discusses it at great length. All these fathers acquiesce in the existence of these 'other worlds.' At a later date however this opinion came to be regarded with suspicion by Christian theologians. Tertullian, de Pall. 2, Hermog. 25, was the first to condemn it. The idea of the Antipodes is scouted by Lactantius Div. Inst. ii. 24, with other fathers of the fourth century and later (comp. August. de Civ. Dei xvi. 9); and in the reign of Justinian (c. A.D. 535) the speculations of Cosmas Indicopleustes (Montfaucon Coll. Nov. Patr. II. p. 113 sq.), who describes the earth as a plain surface and a parallelogram in form (see Humboldt l.c. 1. p. 41 sq.), stereotyped for many centuries the belief of Christian writers on this subject.

7. ταγαῖs] 'directions,' as Hermes in Stob. Ecl. i. 52. 40 ἐποπτὴρ τοίνυν ταγῆς ἔσται τῶν ὅλων ὀξυδερκὴς θεὸς 'λδράστεια, with other passages quoted by Hase in Steph. Thes. s. v. Origen Sel. in Ezech. l. c., and apparently also de Princ. l.c. (for the Latin is dispositionibus), has διαταγαῖς, which some editors adopt; but he would naturally substitute a common for an unusual word, and his quotation throughout is somewhat loose.

8. μεταπαραδιδόαστιι] 'give way in succession'; again a rare word, of which a few instances are collected in Hase and Dindorf's Steph. Thes.

9. ανέμων σταθμοί] From Job

ίδιον καιρόν την λειτουργίαν αὐτῶν ἀπροσκόπως ἐπιτελοῦσιν ἀέναοί τε πηγαὶ πρὸς ἀπόλαυσιν καὶ ὑγείαν
δημιουργηθεῖσαι δίχα ἐλλείψεως παρέχονται τοὺς πρὸς
ζωῆς ἀνθρώποις μαζούς. τά τε ἐλάχιστα τῶν ζώων τὰς
συνελεύσεις αὐτῶν ἐν ὁμονοία καὶ εἰρήνη ποιοῦνται. 5
Ταῦτα πάντα ὁ μέγας δημιουργὸς καὶ δεσπότης τῶν
ἀπάντων ἐν εἰρήνη καὶ ὁμονοία προσέταξεν εἶναι, εὐεργετῶν τὰ πάντα, ὑπερεκπερισσῶς δὲ ἡμᾶς τοὺς προσπεφευγότας τοῖς οἰκτιρμοῖς αὐτοῦ διὰ τοῦ Κυρίου ἡμῶν

ι λειτουργίαν] λειτουργειαν Α.

9 οίκτιρμοῖς] οικτειρμοισ Α.

xxviii. 25 εποίησεν δε ανέμων σταθμον καὶ ὑδάτων μέτρα, where it means 'weight', as the original shows. Clement however may have misunderstood the meaning; for he seems to use the word in a different sense, 'the fixed order' or 'the fixed stations,' as the context requires. The common Greek expression in this sense is στάσεις, e. g. Polyb. i. 75. 8 κατά τινας ἀνέμων στάσεις, ix. 5. 23 επιχώριοι τὰς τῶν ἀνέμων στάσεις κάλλιστα γινώσκουσι: see Schweighäuser on Polyb. i. 48. 2. A good illustration of Clement's meaning is the noble passage in Lucretius v. 737 sq.

2. ὑγείαν] A common form in late writers: see Lobeck Paral. p. 28 (with the references), Phryn. p. 493, Pathol. p. 234. It is so written in several inscriptions, and so scanned in Orph. Hymn. lxxxiv. 8 (p. 350, Herm.) δλβον ἐπιπνείουσα καὶ ἡπιόχειρον ὑγείαν (unnecessarily altered by Porson, Eur. Orest. 229, into ἡπιόχειρ ὑγίειαν), and elsewhere. Editors therefore should not have substituted ὑγίειαν. Compare ταμεῖα § 50.

3. τοὺς πρὸς ζωῆς μαζούς The metaphor was perhaps suggested by Jer. xviii. 14 (LXX) μὴ ἐκλείψουσιν ἀπὸ πέτρας μαστοί, which however departs

from the existing reading of the Hebrew. For πρὸς ζωῆς, 'on the side of life', 'conducive to life', comp. Acts xxvii. 34 πρὸς τῆς ὑμετέρας σωτηρίας, Clem. Hom. viii. 14 πρὸς κόσμου καὶ τέρψεως, and see Winer § xlvii. p. 391. This sense of πρὸς is more common in classical Greek.

5. συνελεύσεις] Comp. Jer. viii. 7 'The stork in the heaven knoweth his appointed times; and the turtle and the crane and the swallow observe the time of their coming', etc. Or it may refer to their pairing at the proper season of the year. Comp. Ptolem. Geogr. i. 9 (quoted in Steph. Thes.).

6. δημιουργόs] Only once in the New Testament, Heb. xi. 10: in the LXX again only in 2 Macc. iv. I (and there not of the Creator). On the Christian use of this Platonic phrase see Jahn's *Methodius* II. pp. 11, 39, 91.

8. προσφεύγειν] Altogether a late and somewhat rare word: see I Sam. xxix. 3 (Sym.). It does not occur in the LXX or New Testament.

10. ἡ δόξα καὶ ἡ μεγ.] So again § 58. In the doxology Jude 25 also the two words occur together; comp. Ecclus. xliv. 2.

XXI. 'His blessings will turn to

10 Ίησοῦ Χριστοῦ, ῷ ἡ δόξα καὶ ἡ μεγαλωσύνη εἰς τοὺς αἰώνας τῶν αἰώνων. ἀμήν.

ΧΧΙ. 'Ορατε, αγαπητοί, μη αι εὐεργεσίαι αὐτοῦ αι πολλαι γένωνται εἰς κρίμα πασιν ήμιν, ἐαν μη αξίως αὐτοῦ πολιτευόμενοι τὰ καλὰ και εὐάρεστα ἐνώπιον αὐτοῦ ποιῶμεν μεθ ὁμονοίας. λέγει γάρ που Πιεθια Κγρίος λίχιος ἐρεγιῶι τὰ ταμιεῖα της Γαςτρός. "Ιδωμεν πῶς ἐγγύς ἐστιν, και ὅτι οὐδὲν λέληθεν αὐτὸν τῶν ἐννοιῶν ἡμῶν οὐδὲ τῶν διαλογισμῶν ὧν ποιούμεθα. δί-

16 λύχνος] Clem. Alex. 611. λυχνον Α.

ταμιεία] A. ταμεία Clem. Alex.

our curse unless we seek peace and strive to please Him. He sees all our most secret thoughts. Let us therefore offend foolish and arrogant men rather than God. Let us honour Christ; let us respect our rulers, and revere old age; let us instruct our wives in purity and gentleness, and our children in humility and the fear of God. His breath is in us, and His pleasure can withdraw it in a moment'.

13. ἀξίως πολιτευόμενοι] The expression occurs in Phil. i. 27. Clement's language here is echoed by Polycarp *Phil.* 5.

14. εὐάρεστα ἐνώπιον] Heb. xiii. 21;

comp. Ps. cxiv. 9.

15. λέγει γὰρ κ.τ.λ.] Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 17 (p. 611 sq.) cites the remainder of this section and the whole of the next, continuously after §§ 17, 18 (see the note § 17). For the most part he quotes in the same loose way, abridging and interpolating as before; but here and there, as in the long passage τὰς γυναῖκας ἡμῶν ... ἀνελεῖ αὐτήν, he keeps fairly close to the words of his original and may be used as an authority for the readings.

πνεῦμα Κυρίου κ.τ.λ.] From Prov. xx. 27, which runs in the LXX φῶς Κυρίου πνοὴ ἀνθρώπων ὃς ἐρευνῷ (ἐραυνῷ)

ταμεία (ταμιεία) κοιλίας. A adds ή λύχνος after ἀνθρώπων, but this must originally have been a gloss suggesting an alternative reading for φωs, as λύχνος is actually read by Aq. Sym. Theod.; see a similar instance of correction in this MS noted above on § 17. Comp. also Prov. vi. 23 λύχνος ἐντολή Κυρίου καὶ φῶs from which passage perhaps λύχνος came to be interpolated here. Hilgenfeld prints λέγει γάρ που πνευμα Κυρίου Λύχνος έρευνων κ.τ.λ. and finds fault with Clem. Alex. for making the words πνεῦμα Κυρίου part of the quotation (λέγει γάρ που ή γραφή Πνεθμα Κυρίου κ.τ.λ.); but they seem to be wanted to complete the sentence. Our Clement in fact quotes loosely, transposing words so as to give a somewhat different sense. See below, Is. lx. 17 quoted in § 42. For the exact words λέγει γάρ που see §§ 15, 26, and for other instances of λέγει (or φησί) with no nominative expressed, §§ 8, 10, 16, 29, 30, 46. On the spelling of ταμιεία (ταμεία) Clement (or his transcriber) is capricious: see § 50 (note).

17. ἐγγύς ἐστιν] As below § 27; comp. Ps. xxxiv. 18, cxix. 151, cxlv. 18, Ign. Ephes. 15 τὰ κρυπτὰ ἡμῶν ἐγγὺς αὐτῷ ἐστιν (with the note), Herm. Vis. ii. 3. There is no allusion here to the nearness of the advent, as in

καιον οὖν ἐστιν μὴ λιποτακτεῖν ἡμᾶς ἀπὸ τοῦ θελήματος αὐτοῦ· μᾶλλον ἀνθρώποις ἄφροσι καὶ ἀνοήτοις
καὶ ἐπαιρομένοις καὶ ἐγκαυχωμένοις ἐν ἀλαζονεία τοῦ
λόγου αὐτῶν προσκόψωμεν ἢ τῷ Θεῷ. τὸν Κύριον
Ἰησοῦν Χριστόν, οὖ τὸ αἷμα ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν ἐδόθη, ἐντρα- 5
πῶμεν· τοὺς προηγουμένους ἡμῶν αἰδεσθῶμεν, τοὺς
πρεσβυτέρους ἡμῶν τιμήσωμεν, τοὺς νέους παιδεύσωμεν
τὴν παιδείαν τοῦ φόβου τοῦ Θεοῦ, τὰς γυναῖκας ἡμῶν
ἐπὶ τὸ ἀγαθὸν διορθωσώμεθα· τὸ ἀξιαγάπητον τῆς
ἀγνείας ἦθος ἐνδειξάσθωσαν, τὸ ἀκέραιον τῆς πραΰτητος το
αὐτῶν βούλημα ἀποδειξάτωσαν, τὸ ἐπιεικὲς τῆς γλώσσης αὐτῶν διὰ τῆς σιγῆς φανερὸν ποιησάτωσαν· τὴν
ἀγάπην αὐτῶν, μὴ κατὰ προσκλίσεις, ἀλλὰ πᾶσιν τοῖς

3 έγκαυχωμένοις] εγκαυχωμενοι Α. ἀλαζονεία] αλαζονια Α. 7 νέους] ναιουσ Α. 8 παιδείαν] παιδιαν Α. 10 άγνείας] αγνιασ Α. Clem. Alex. 612 has ήθος της άγνείας.

12 σιγής] Clem. Alex. φωνησ Α. 15 ήμῶν] Clem. Alex. υμων Α. μεταλαμβανέτωσαν] Α. μεταλαβέτωσαν Clem. Alex.

Phil. iv. 5 (see the note there).

οὐδὲν λέληθεν κ.τ.λ.] This passage is copied by Polycarp Phil. 4 καὶ λέληθεν αὐτὸν οὐδὲν οὅτε λογισμῶν οὅτε ἐννοιῶν. On διαλογισμοί, 'inward questionings,' see the note on Phil. ii. 14.

λιποτακτείν] So αὐτομολείν below § 28. Ignatius has the same metaphor but uses the Latin word, Polyc. 6 μήτις ὑμῶν δεσέρτωρ εὑρεθη̂: see the note there.

2. ἄφρ. καὶ ἀνίητ.] LXX Jer. x. 8 ἄμα ἄφρονες καὶ ἀνόητοί εἰσι, found in some copies, but not in the principal MSS. The former word points to defective reason, the latter to defective perception. Comp. § 39.

4. τὸν Κύριον κ.τ.λ.] Clem. Alex. (p. 611 sq.), as commonly punctuated, quotes the passage τὸν Κύριον Ἰησοῦν λέγω...οὖ τὸ αἶμα ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν ἡγιάσθη·

ἐντραπῶμεν οὖν τοὺς προηγουμένους ἡμῶν, καὶ αἰδεσθῶμεν τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους: τιμήσωμεν τοὺς νέους, παιδεύσωμεν τὴν παιδείαν τοῦ Θεοῦ. A different punctuation καὶ αἰδεσθῶμεν τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους τιμήσωμεν τοὺς νέους παιδεύσωμεν κ.τ.λ., would bring the quotation somewhat nearer to the original.

τοὺς προηγουμένους] i.e. the officers of the Church: see the note on τοῖς ἡγουμένοις § 1. The following τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους must therefore refer to age, not to office.

7. τοὺς νέους κ.τ.λ.] copied by Polycarp *Phil.* 4 τὰ τέκνα παιδεύειν τὴν παιδείαν τοῦ φόβου τοῦ Θεοῦ. Comp. Prov. xvi. 4 (xv. 33) φόβος Κυρίου παιδεία, and Ecclus. i. 27 where the same words are repeated.

12. σιγῆς] They must be eloquent by their silence, for γυναιξὶ κόσμον ή σιγὴ φέρει. This meaning is so obvi-

φοβουμένοις τον Θεον οσίως ίσην παρεχέτωσαν τὰ τέκνα ἡμῶν τῆς ἐν Χριστῷ παιδείας μεταλαμβανέτωσαν μαθέτωσαν, τί ταπεινοφροσύνη παρὰ Θεῷ ἰσχύει, τί ἀγάπη ἀγνὴ παρὰ τῷ Θεῷ δύναται, πῶς ὁ φόβος αὐτοῦ καλὸς καὶ μέγας καὶ σώζων πάντας τοὺς ἐν αὐτῷ ὀσίως ἀναστρεφομένους ἐν καθαρῷ διανοίᾳ· ἐρευνητὴς γάρ ἐστιν 20 ἐννοιῶν καὶ ἐνθυμήσεων· οὖ ἡ πνοὴ αὐτοῦ ἐν ἡμῖν ἐστιν, καὶ ὅταν θέλη ἀνελεῖ αὐτήν.

ΧΧΙΙ. Ταῦτα δὲ πάντα βεβαιοῖ ἡ ἐν Χριστῷ πίστις· καὶ γὰρ αὐτὸς διὰ τοῦ πνεύματος τοῦ ἀγίου οὕτως προσκαλεῖται ἡμᾶς· ΔεΫτε τέκνα, ἀκοΥςατέ μογ, φόβον 25 Κγρίογ ΔιΔάΣω γμᾶς. τίς εςτιν ἄνθρωπος ὁ θέλων ζωήν, ἀγαπῶν ἡμέρας ἰδεῖν ἀγαθάς; παῆςον τὴν γλῶςςαν ςογ ἀπὸ

16 $l\sigma\chi \dot{\nu} \epsilon l$] $\iota\sigma\chi \nu \iota$ A. 17 $\tau \dot{\varphi}$] A. om. Clem. Alex. αὐτο $\hat{\nu}$] A. το $\hat{\nu}$ Κυρίου Clem. Alex. 18 καὶ $\sigma\omega \zeta \omega \nu$] A. om. καὶ Clem. Alex. 19 διανοία] A. καρδία Clem. Alex. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$] om. Clem. Alex. 20 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \nu \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$] $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \nu \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \iota \omega \nu$ A. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \nu \mu \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ Clem. Alex.

ously required, that we cannot hesitate to adopt $\sigma\iota\gamma\eta\hat{s}$ from Clem. Alex. in place of the senseless $\phi\omega\nu\eta\hat{s}$ of the MS. Hilgenfeld refers to I Cor. xiv. 34 sq., I Tim. ii. II.

τὴν ἀγάπην κ.τ.λ.] So too Polyc. Phil. 4 ἀγαπώσας πάντας ἐξ ἵσου ἐν πάση ἐγκρατεία. The numerous close coincidences with this chapter in Polycarp show plainly that he had our epistle before him.

13. κατὰ προσκλίσεις] From 1 Tim. v. 21 μηδὲν ποιῶν κατὰ πρόσκλισιν. The word πρόσκλισις occurs again \$\$ 47, 50.

14. ὁσίως] is best taken with παρεχέτωσαν, for it would be an unmeaning addition to τοῖς φοβουμένοις τὸν Θεόν.

 έρευνητής κ.τ.λ.] As Heb. iv. 12 κριτικός ένθυμήσεων καὶ έννοιῶν καρδίας.

20. οὖ...αὐτοῦ] A Hebraism, for

which see Winer § xxii. p. 161.

21. ἀνελεί] On the rare future ελώ of alρέω see Winer § xv. p. 94 with his references: comp. Exod. xv. 9, 2 Thess. ii. 6.

XXII. 'All these things are assured by faith in Christ. He himselt speaks to us by the lips of David, promising all blessings to the peaceful and God-loving, but threatening utter destruction to the sinful and disobedient'.

22. ταῦτα δὲ πάντα κ.τ.λ.] i.e. Faith in Christ secures all these good results; for it is He Himself who thus appeals to us, not indeed in the flesh, but through the Spirit, where David says 'Come etc.' For αὐτὸς προσκαλείται see above § 16 αὐτὸς φησιν, with the note.

24. δεῦτε κ.τ.λ.] From LXX Ps. xxxiv.
11 sq. almost word for word. The differences are unimportant.

κακοθ, και χείλη τοθ μη λαλθεαι δόλου έκκλινου άπο κακοθ και ποίητου άγαθου Σήτητου εἰρήνημα καὶ δίωξου αὐτήν. ὀφθαλμοὶ Κγρίον ἐπὶ δικαίονε, καὶ ὧτα αὐτοθ πρόε δέμειν αὐτῶν πρ[όεωπου δὲ] Κγρίον ἐπὶ ποιυθντας κακὰ [τοθ ἐξολε]θρεθεμὶ ἐκ γθε τὸ μνημ[όεννου] αὐτῶν. ἐκέκραξεν 5 δ δ[ίκαιος], καὶ ὁ Κγριος εἰςήκονς αὐτ[οθ καὶ ἐκ] παςῶν τῶν θλίψεων α[ἀτοθ ἐρή]ς ατο αὐτόν. πολλαὶ δὶ μάς[τιγες]

1 χείλη] add. σου Clem. Alex. 3 πρότ] Α. είς Clem. Alex. 5 ἐκέκραξεν κ.τ.λ.] See below. 7 θλίψεων] θλίψαιων Α. αὐτοῦ] om. Clem. Alex. al] Α. μὲν γὰρ Clem. Alex.

5. τὸ μνημόσυνον] See the note on ἐνκατάλειμμα above § 14.

ἐκέκραξεν] In the existing text of Clem. Alex. this is read ἐκέκραξεν δὲ δ Κύριος καὶ εἰσήκουσε, obviously a corruption.

7. πολλαὶ κ.τ.λ.] An exact quotation from Ps. xxxii. 10 (LXX), except that τοὺς ἐλπίζοντας is substituted for τὸν ἐλπίζοντα.

XXIII. 'God is merciful to all that fear Him. Let us not spurn His gracious gifts. Far be from us the threats which the Scriptures hurl against the double-minded, the impatient, the sceptical. The Lord will certainly come, and come quickly'.

14. lvδαλλέσθω] 'indulge in caprices and humours'. The word is generally passive, 'to be formed as an image', 'to appear', and with a dative 'to resemble'; see Ruhnken Timæus s v. Here however it is a middle signifying 'to form images, to conjure up spectres', and so 'to indulge in idle fancies', like the later use of φαντάζεσθαι. The Lexicons do not recognise this use, but see Dion Chrys. Orat. xii. 53 (p. 209 M) πρότερον μέν γάρ ἄτε οὐδέν σαφές εἰδότες άλλην άλλος άνεπλάττομεν ίδεαν, πάν τὸ θνητὸν κατὰ τὴν ξαυτοῦ δύναμιν καὶ φύσιν ζνδαλλόμενοι καλ ονειρώττοντες.

Sext. Emp. adv. Math. vii. 249 Eviai (φαντασίαι) πάλιν ἀπὸ ὑπάρχοντος μέν είσιν, ούκ αὐτὸ δὲ τὸ ὑπάρχον ἰνδάλλουται κ.τ.λ., χί. 122 ὁ τὸν πλοῦτον μέγιστον αγαθον ινδαλλόμενος, Clem. Alex. Protr. 10 (p. 81) χρυσον ή λίθον ή δένδρον η πράξιν ή πάθος η νόσον η φόβον Ινδάλλεσθαι ώς θεόν, Method, Symp. viii. 2 ἔτι ἐνδημοῦσαι τοις σώμασιν ινδάλλονται τὰ θεία. (The last two passages I owe to Jahn's Method. II. p. 51; the others I had collected before I saw his note). So ΐνδαλμα most frequently suggests the idea of an unreal, spectral, appearance, as Wisd. xvii. 3 ινδάλμασιν έκταρασσόμενοι, Clem. Hom. iv. 4 φαντάσματά τε γὰρ καὶ ἰνδάλματα ἐν μέση τη άγορα φαίνεσθαι ποιών δι' ήμέρας πασαν εκπλήττει την πόλιν, Athenag. Suppl. 27 αἱ οὖν ἄλογοι αὖται καὶ ἰνδαλματώδεις της ψυχής κινήσεις είδωλομανείς ἀποτίκτουσι φαντασίας, where he is speaking of false objects of worship.

16. ταλαίπωροι κ.τ.λ.] The same passage is quoted also in the 2nd Epistle ascribed to Clement (§11), being there introduced by the words λέγει γὰρ καὶ ὁ προφητικὸς λόγος. Though the quotation there is essentially the same, yet the variations which it presents show that it cannot have been de-

τος άμαρτωλος, τος δε έλ[πίζον]τας έπι Κήριον έλεος κγκλώς ε[ι].

10 XXIII. 'Ο οἰκτίρμων κατὰ πάντα κ[αὶ εὐερ]γετικὸς πατὴρ ἔχει σπλάγχνα ἐ[πί] φοβουμένους αὐτόν, ἠπίως [τε] καὶ προσηνῶς τὰς χάριτας αὐτ[οῦ] ἀποδιδοῦ τοῦς προσερχομένοι[ς] αὐτῷ ἀπλῆ διανοία. διὸ μὴ διψυχῶμεν, μηδε ἰνδαλλέσθω ἡ ψυχὴ ἡμῶν ἐπὶ ταῖς ὑπερβαλτούσαις καὶ ἐνδόξοις δωρεαῖς αὐτοῦ. πόρρω γενέσθω ἀφὶ ἡμῶν ἡ γραφὴ αὐτή, ὅπου λέγει· Ταλαίπωροί εἰςικ οἱ

8 τοῦ ἀμαρτωλοῦ] Α. τῶν ἀμαρτωλῶν Clem. Alex. ἔλεος] Clem. Alex. ελαιος Α. 10 οἰκτίρμων] οικτειρμων Α.

rived directly or solely from the first Epistle. Moreover it is there continued, οὖτως καὶ ὁ λαός μου ἀκαταστασίας καὶ θλίψεις έσχεν, έπειτα ἀπολήψεται τὰ ἀγαθά. As this passage does not occur in the Old Testament, it must have been taken from some lost apocryphal writing. Some writers indeed have supposed that Clement here, as he certainly does elsewhere (e.g. §§ 18, 26, 29, 32, 35, 39, 46, 50, 52, 53, and just below $\tau a \chi \hat{v}$ $\tilde{\eta} \xi \epsilon \iota$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$), is fusing several passages of the Canonical Scriptures, such as James i. 8, 2 Pet. iii. 4, Mark iv. 26, Matt. xxiv. 32 sq. (Mark xiii. 28 sq., Luke xxi. 29 sq.); but the resemblances though striking are not sufficient; and this explanation does not account for the facts already mentioned. The description ὁ προφητικὸς λόγος and the form of the quotation ό λαός μου κ.τ.λ., as given in the 2nd Epistle, show that it must have been taken from some spurious prophetic book formed on the model of the Canonical prophecies. I would conjecture that it was Eldad and Modad, which was certainly known in the early Roman Church; see Herm. Vis. ii. 3 έγγὺς Κύριος τοῖς ἐπιστρεφομένοις,

ώς γέγραπται έν τῷ Ἐλδὰδ καὶ Μωδὰδ τοις προφητεύσασιν έν τη έρήμο το λαφ, a passage alleged by Hermas for the same purpose as our quotation, to refute one who is sceptical about the approaching afflictions of the last times. On this apocryphal book see Fabricius Cod. Pseud. V.T. I. p. 801. It may have been forged by some Christian to sustain the courage of the brethren under persecution by the promise of the Lord's advent; and, if so, the resemblances to the New Testament writings in this quotation are explained. Hilgenfeld suggests the Assumption of Moses (see the notes § 17, 25) as the source of this quotation, but does not assign any reason for this view except his own theory that Clement was acquainted with that work.

οἱ δίψυχοι κ.τ.λ.] Comp. James i. 8 ἀνὴρ δίψυχος ἀκατάστατος ἐν πάσαις ταῖς ὁδοῖς αὐτοῦ. For the parallels in Hermas see the note on § 11. The conjecture in the last note is confirmed by the fact that Hermas gives repeated warnings against διψυχία and even speaks thereupon in the context of the passage referring to 'Eldad and Modad.' For close re-

Δίψγχοι, οἱ Διστάζοντες τὴν ψγχήν, οἱ λέροντες, Ταῆτα ἠκοήcamen καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν πατέρων ἡμῶν, καὶ ἰδοὰ ρερημάκαμεν καὶ οἤδὲν Ημῶν τοὰτων ανθέβηκεν. ὦ ἀνόητοι, ανμβάλετε ἑαγτοὰς ἔγλω λάβετε ἄμπελον πρῶτον μὲν φγλλοροεῖ, εἶτα βλαστὸς ρίνεται, εἶτα φάλλον, εἶτα ἄνθος, καὶ μετὰ 5 ταῆτα ὅμφαἔ, εἶτα σταφγλὴ παρεστηκαῖα. 'Ορᾶτε, ὅτι ἐν καιρῷ ὀλίγῳ εἶς πέπειρον καταντῷ ὁ καρπὸς τοῦ ξύλου. ἐπ' ἀληθείας ταχὺ καὶ ἐξαίφνης τελειωθήσεται τὸ βούλημα αὐτοῦ, συνεπιμαρτυρούσης καὶ τῆς γραφῆς ὅτι ταχὰ ਜεει καὶ οὰ χρονιεῖ, καὶ ἐξαίφνης ਜεει ὁ Κάριος εἰς το

7 πέπειρον A. 8 έξαίφνης A. 10 έξαίφνης A. 10 έξαίφνης A. 10 έξαίφνης A. 13 έπιδείκνυται A. 16 καιρούς A. 1

semblances to this quotation see Vis.

iii. 4 διὰ τοὺς διψύχους τοὺς διαλογιζομένους ἐν ταῖς καρδίαις αὐτῶν εἰ ἄρα
ἔσται ταῦτα ἡ οὐκ ἔσται, Mand. ix οἰ
γὰρ διστάζοντες εἰς τὸν Θεὸν οὖτοί εἰσιν
οἱ δίψυχοι κ.τ.λ.

οἱ λέγοντες κ.τ.λ.] 2 Pet. iii. 4
 καὶ λέγοντες ποῦ ἐστιν ἡ ἐπαγγελία τῆς παρουσίας αὐτοῦ; ἀφ' ῆς γὰρ οἱ πατέρες ἐκοιμήθησαν, πάντα οὕτως διαμένει ἀπ'

άρχῆς κτίσεως.

2. καὶ ἐπί] 'also in the time of.' Either the speakers use the first person ήκούσαμεν as identifying themselves with the Israelite people of past generations, or (as seems more probable) ἐπὶ τῶν πατέρων must mean 'when our fathers were still alive', i.e. 'in our childhood and youth.' It will be remembered that this apocryphal prophecy is supposed to be delivered to the Israelites in the wilderness. At all events we cannot arbitrarily change $\epsilon \pi i$ into $d\pi \delta$ with Young and most subsequent editors (Jacobson and Hilgenfeld are exceptions), for $\epsilon \pi i$ is read in the MS both here and in ii § 11.

4. λάβετε ἄμπελον κ.τ.λ.] The words strongly resemble Mark iv. 26

sq. (comp. Matt. xxiv. 32 sq., Mark xiii. 28 sq. Luke xxi. 29 sq.). See also Epict. Diss. iii. 24. 86 ώς σῦκον, ώς σταφυλή, τῆ τεταγμένη ὥρα τοῦ ἔτους, iii. 24. 91 τὸ φυλλορροεῖν καὶ τὸ ἰσχάδα γίνεσθαι ἀντὶ σίκου καὶ ἀσταφίδας ἐκ τῆς σταφυλῆς κ.τ.λ., Μ. Anton. xi. 35 ὄμφαξ, σταφυλή, σταφίς, πάντα μεταβολαὶ οὐκ εἰς τὸ μὴ ὃν ἀλλ' εἰς τὸ νῦν μὴ ὄν.

φυλλοροεί] For the orthography see the note on εξερίζωσεν § 6.

6. παρεστηκυῖα] 'ripe'; Exod. ix. 31 ἡ γὰρ κριθὴ παρεστηκυῖα. So Thecphrastus Caus. Plant. vi. 7. 5 παριστάμενος καὶ ἐξιστάμενος, of wine ripening and going off (see Schneider's note). Similarly παραγίνεσθαι is used, e.g. Herod. i. 193 παραγίνεται ὁ σῖτος. The words ὅμφαξ, σταφυλή, σταφίς (ἀσταφίς), denote the sour, ripe, and dried grape respectively; see the passages in the previous note, and add Anthol. III p. 3, IV p. 131 (ed. Jacobs).

'Opâτε κ.τ.λ.] This sentence is generally treated by the editors as part of the quotation, but I think this wrong for two reasons; (1) In the 2nd Epistle, where also the passage is cited, after σταφυλή παρεστηκυῖα fol-

τον καὸν αγτογ, καὶ ὁ ἄριος ὅν ἡμεῖς προςδοκάτε.

ΧΧΙΥ. Κατανοήσωμεν, ἀγαπητοί, πῶς ὁ δεσπότης ἐπιδείκνυται διηνεκῶς ἡμῖν τὴν μέλλουσαν ἀνάστασιν ἔσεσθαι, ἦς τὴν ἀπαρχὴ[ν] ἐποιήσατο τὸν Κύριον Ἰησοῦν τὸ Χριστὸν ἐκ νεκ[ρῶν] ἀναστήσας. ἴδωμεν, ἀγαπητοί, τὴν κατὰ και[ροὺς] γινομένην ἀνάστασιν. ἡμέρ[α καί] νὺξ ἀνάστασιν ἡμῖν δηλοῦσ[ιν]· κοιμᾶται ἡ νύξ, ἀνίσταται ἡ[μέρα] ἡ ἡμέρα ἄπεισιν, νὺξ ἐπέρ[χεται. βλέπωμ]εν τοὺς καρπούς· ὁ σπόρος [τῆς γῆς] τίνα τρόπον γίνεται; 20 ἐξῆλ[θεν ὁ c]πείρων καὶ ἔβαλεν εἰς τὴν γῆν· [καὶ βλη-

therefore read $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\ell\rho\alpha$. I could only discern a stroke which might as well belong to a M as to an H; and the parallelism of the clauses suggests the omission of the article.

19 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s$] See below.

lows immediately the sentence $o\tilde{v}\tau\omega s$ $\kappa a i \delta \lambda a o s$ $\mu o v \kappa \tau \lambda$.; the words $\delta \rho a \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$. not only not being quoted but being hardly compatible with the form of the context as there given; (2) $\delta \rho a \tau \epsilon$ is an expression by which Clement himself elsewhere, after adducing a quotation or an example, enforces its lesson; as § 4, 12, 16, 41, 50.

εἰς πέπειρον j 'to maturity'. The construction καταντᾶν εἰς is common in the LXX and N.T.; see also above § 5.

10. ταχὺ ήξει κ.τ.λ.] A combination of Is. xiii. 22 ταχὺ ἔρχεται καὶ οὐ χρονιεῖ (comp. Hab. ii. 3, Heb. x. 37), and Mal. iii. 1 καὶ ἐξαίφνης ήξει εἰς τὸν ναὸν αὐτοῦ Κύριος ὃν ὑμεῖς ζητεῖτε καὶ ὁ ἄγγελος τῆς διαθήκης ὃν ὑμεῖς θέλετε.

XXIV. 'All the works of the Creator bear witness to the resurrection. The day arises from the grave of the night. The young and fruitful plant springs up from the decayed seed'.

The eloquent passage in Tertullian de Resurr. Carn. 12, 13, where the same analogies are adduced, is certainly founded on this passage of

Clement (see above, p. 9). Compare also Theoph. ad Aut. i. 13, Tertull. Apol. 34, Minuc. Fel. 48.

14. τὴν ἀπαρχήν] Ι Cor. xv. 20 Χριστὸς ἐγήγερται ἐκ νεκρῶν ἀπαρχὴ τῶν κεκοιμημένων; comp. ver. 23. It is evident from what follows that Clement has this 15th chapter in his mind.

16. κατὰ καιρούς] 'at each recurring season'; as Theoph. ad Aut.
i. 13 κατὰ καιρούς προφέρουσιν τοὺς καρπούς. I have preferred κατὰ καιροὺς to κατὰ καιρόν (which is read by all previous editors) not only because the plural stands in the parallel passage of Theophilus, but because κατὰ καιρὸν commonly has the sense 'opportunely' (e.g. Rom. v. 6), which is out of place here.

19. τῆς γῆς] Or perhaps supply τδωμεν οτ κατ ἔτος. Young reads πᾶσι δῆλον. At all events the κόκκου of Wotton and subsequent editors is objectionable, as needlessly violating the common rule respecting the article, which requires either ὁ σπόρος τοῦ κόκκου οτ σπόρος κόκκου.

20. $\epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] The expression is borrowed from the Gospel narra-

θέ]ντων σπερμάτων, άτινα πέ[πτωκεν] είς την γην ξηρά και γυμνά, δι[αλύεται]. εἶτ' ἐκ της διαλύσεως ή μεγα[λειότ]ης της προνοίας τοῦ δεσπότου [ἀνίσ]τησιν αὐτά, καὶ ἐκ τοῦ ἐνὸς πλεί[ονα] αὕξει καὶ ἐκφέρει καρπόν.

tive; Matt. xiii. 3, Mark iv. 3, Luke viii. 5.

2. γυμνά] See I Cor. xv. 36 sq., from which this epithet is derived. It denotes the absence of germination: see the rabbinical passages quoted by Wetstein on I Cor. l. c., and Methodius in Epiphan. Hær. lxiv. 44 (p. 570) κατάμαθε γὰρ τὰ σπέρματα πῶς γυμνὰ καὶ ἄσαρκα βάλλεται εἰς τὴν γῆν κ.τ.λ.

διαλύεται] 'rots'. Comp. Theoph. ad Aut. i. 13 πρώτον ἀποθνήσκει καὶ λύεται. This analogy is derived from 1 Cor. xv. 36; comp. John xii.

4. aufei] intransitive, as in Ephes. ii. 21, Col. ii. 19.

XXV. 'The phœnix is a still more marvellous symbol of the resurrection. After living five hundred years he dies. From his corpse the young bird arises. When he is fledged and strong, he carries his father's bones and lays them on the altar of the sun at Heliopolis. This is done in broad daylight before the eyes of all: and the priests, keeping count of the time, find that just five hundred years have gone by'.

7. δρνεον κ.τ.λ.] The earliest mention of the phœnix is in Hesiod (Fragm. 50 ed. Gaisf.), who however speaks merely of its longevity. It is from Herodotus (ii. 73) that we first hear the marvellous story of the burial of the parent bird by the offspring, as it was told him by the Egyptian priests, but he adds cautiously ἐμοὶ μὲν οὐ πιστὰ λέγοντες. It is mentioned again by Antiphanes (Athen. xiv. p. 655 B) ἐν Ἡλίου μέν φασι γίγ-

νεσθαι πόλει φοίνικας. From the Greeks the story passed to the Romans. In B.C. 97 a learned senator Manilius (Plin. N. H. x. 2) discoursed at length on the phœnix, stating that the year in which he wrote was the 215th since its last appearance. He was the first Roman who took up the subject. At the close of the reign of Tiberius-A.D. 36 according to Pliny (following Cornelius Valerianus) and Dion Cassius (lviii. 27), but A.D. 34 as Tacitus reports the date-the marvellous bird was said to have reappeared in Egypt. The truth of the statement however was questioned by some, as less than 250 years had elapsed since the reign of the third Ptolemy when it was seen last (Tac. Ann. vi. 28). But the report called forth many learned disquisitions from savants in Egypt both native and Greek. A few years later (A.D. 47) the bird was actually exhibited in Rome ('in comitio propositus, quod actis testatum est,' are Pliny's words) and may have been seen by Clement, but no one doubted that this was an imposture. story of the phœnix of course has a place in Ovid's Metamorphoses (xv. 392 'Una est quæ reparet seque ipsa reseminet ales' etc.), and allusions to it in Latin poets are naturally not unfrequent. Claudian devotes a whole poem to it. Another ascribed to Lactantius (Corp. Poet. Lat. p. 1416 ed. Weber) also takes this same sub-The references to the phœnix in classical and other writers are collected by Henrichsen de Phanicis fabula Havn. 1825.

5 ΧΧV. [Ίδω]μεν τὸ παράδοξον σημεῖον, τὸ [γιν]όμενον ἐν τοῖς ἀνατολικοῖς [τό]ποις, τουτέστιν τοῖς περὶ τὴν ἀραβίαν. ὄρνεον γάρ ἐστιν ὃ προσονομάζεται

7 δρνεον] ορναιον Α.

The main features of the account seem to have been very generally believed by the Romans. Thus Mela (iii. 8), who seems to have flourished in the reign of Claudius, repeats the marvellous story without any expression of misgiving. Pliny indeed declines to pronounce whether it is true or not ('haud scio an fabulose'); but Tacitus says no doubt is entertained of the existence of such a bird, though the account is in some points uncertain or exaggerated. Ælian (Hist. An. vi. 58), who lived in Hadrian's reign, alleges the phœnix as an instance of the superiority of brute instinct over human reason, when a bird can thus reckon the time and discover the place without any guidance; and somewhere about the same time or later Celsus (Origen c. Cels. iv. 98, I. p. 576), arguing against the Christians, brings it forward to show the greater piety of the lower animals as compared with man. Still later Philostratus (Vit. Apoll. iii. 49) mentions the account without recording any protest. I do not lay any stress on such passing allusions as Seneca's (Ep. Mor. 42 'Ille alter fortasse tamquam phænix semel anno quingentesimo nascitur'), or on descriptions in romance writers like Achilles Tatius (iii. 25), because no argument can be founded on them.

It thus appears that Clement is not more credulous than the most learned and intelligent heathen writers of the preceding and following generations. Indeed he may have thought that he had higher sanction than the testimony of profane authors. Tertullian (de Resurr. Carn. 10) took Ps. xcii. 12 δίκαιος ώς φοίνιξ ανθήσει to refer to this prodigy of nature, and Clement may possibly have done the same. Even Job xxix. 18 is translated by several recent critics, 'With my nest shall I die and like the phœnix lengthen my days' (comp. Lucian Hermot. § 53 ην μη φοίνικος έτη βιώση), therein following some rabbinical authorities: but even if this be the correct rendering, the LXX version, through which alone it would be known to Clement, gives a different sense to the words, ή ήλικία μου γηράσει ώσπερ στέλεχος φοίνικος, πολύν χρόνον βιώσω.

At all events, even before the Christian era the story had been adopted by Jewish writers. In a poem on the Exodus written by one Ezekiel, probably an Alexandrian Jew in the 2nd or 3rd century B.C. (see Ewald Gesch. IV. p. 297), the phœnix, the sacred bird of Egypt, is represented as appearing to the Israelite host (see the passage quoted by Alexander Polyhistor in Euseb. Prap. Evang. ix. 29, p. 446). Though the name is not mentioned, there can be no doubt that the phœnix is intended; for the description accords with those of Herodotus, Manilius (in Pliny), and Mela, and was doubtless taken from some Egyptian painting such as Herodotus saw and such as may be seen on the monuments to the present day (see Wilkinson's Anc. Egypt. 2nd ser. I. p. 304, Rawlinson's Herod. II. p. 122). In the Assumption of Moses

φοινιξ· τουτο μονογενες υπάρχον ζη έτη πεντακόσια.

ι μονογενές] μονογενησ Α.

too, if the reading be correct (see Hilgenfeld Nov. Test. extra Can. Rec. I. p. 99), the 'profectio phænicis' is mentioned in connexion with the exodus, and it seems probable that the writer borrowed the incident from Ezekiel's poem and used it in a similar way. The appearance of the phœnix would serve a double purpose; (1) It would mark the epoch; (2) It would betoken the homage paid by heathen religion to the true God and to the chosen people: for Alexandrian Jews sought to give expression to this last idea in diverse ways, through Sibylline oracles, Orphic poems, and the like; and the attendance of the sacred phænix on the departing host would not be the least eloquent form of symbolizing this homage in the case of Egypt. But this Ezekiel, though he coloured the incident and applied it to his own purpose, appears not to have invented it. According to Egyptian chronology the departure of the Israelites was coincident or nearly coincident with an appearance of a phœnix (i. e. with the beginning of a phœnixperiod). Tacitus (Ann. vi. 28) says that a phoenix had appeared in the reign of Amasis. If this were the earlier Amosis of the 17th or 18th dynasty, and not the later Amosis of the 26th dynasty (the Amasis of Herod. ii. 172), the time would coincide; for the Israelites were considered by some authorities (whether rightly or wrongly, it is unnecessary here to enquire) to have left Egypt in the reign of this sovereign; e.g. by Ptolemy the priest of Mendes (Apion in Tatian ad Græc. 38 and Clem. Alex. Strom. i. 21, p. 378) and by Julius Africanus (Routh's Rel. Sacr. (II. p. 256). For rabbinical references

to the phœnix, which seem to be numerous, see Buxtorf Lex. Rab. s.v. βηπ; comp. Henrichsen l.c. II. p. 19. The reference in a later Sibylline too (Orac. Sib. viii. 139 ὅταν φοίνικος ἐπέλθη πενταχρόνοιο) was probably derived from an earlier Jewish poem.

Thus the mere fact that the phoenix is mentioned in the Assumption of Moses affords no presumption (as Hilgenfeld supposes) that Clement was acquainted with that work; for the story was well known to Jewish writers. In the manner and purpose of its mention (as I interpret it) the Assumption presents no coincidence with Clement's Epistle.

Of subsequent Christian fathers, Tertullian, as we saw, accepted the story without misgiving. As Theophilus of Antioch (ad Aut. i. 13) follows Clement's analogies for the resurrection up to a certain point, but omits all mention of the phœnix, I infer that his knowledge of Egyptian antiquities (see ii. 6, iii. 20 sq.) saved him from the error. For the same reason, as we may conjecture, Origen also considers the fact to be very questionable (c. Cels. iv. 98, 1. p. 576). But for the most part it was believed by Christian writers. S. Cyril of Jerusalem (Cat. xviii. 8), S. Ambrose (see the reff. above, p. 10), Rufinus (Symb. Apost. 11, p. 73), and others, argue from the story of the phænix without a shadow of misgiving. In Apost. Const. v. 7 it is urged against the heathen, as a fact which they themselves attest; and Epiphanius (Ancor. 84) says είς ἀκοὴν άφικται πολλών πιστών τε και απίστων. On the other hand Euseb. (Vit. Const. iv. 72) gives it merely as a report, Greg. Naz. (Orat. xxxi § 10, 1. p.

γενόμενόν τε ήδη προς απόλυσιν τοῦ αποθανεῖν αὐτό,

562 D) says cautiously εἶ τῷ πιστὸς ὁ λόγος, and Augustine de Anim. iv. 33 (20) (X. p. 404) uses similar language, 'Si tamen ut creditur'; while Photius (Bibl. 126) places side by side the resurrection of the phœnix and the existence of lands beyond the Atlantic (§ 20) as statements in Clement to which exception may be taken. Other less important patristic references will be found in Suicer's Thes. s. v. φοῦνιξ.

It is now known that the story owes its origin to the symbolic and pictorial representations of astronomy. The appearance of the phœnix is the recurrence of a period marked by the heliacal rising of some prominent star or constellation. Manilius (Plin. N. H. x. 2) had half seen the truth; for he stated 'cum hujus alitis vita magni conversionem anni fieri iterumque significationes tempestatum et siderum easdem reverti'. For the speculations of Egyptologers and others on the phœnix period see Lepsius Chronol. d. Ægypt. p. 180 sq., Uhlemann Handb. d. Ægypt. Alterthumsk. III. p. 39 sq., 79 sq., IV. p. 226 sq., Poole Horæ Ægyptiacæ p. 39 sq., Ideler Handb. der Chron. I. p. 183 sq., Creuzer Symb. u. Mythol. II. p. 163 sq.

Thus the phoenix was a symbol from the very beginning. Horapollo says that in the hieroglyphics this bird represented a soul, or an inundation, or a stranger paying a visit after long absence, or a restoration after a long period (ἀποκατάστασιν πολυχρόνιον), Hierogl. i. 34, 35, ii. 57. The way was thus prepared for the application of Clement. This Apostolic father however confines the symbolism to the resurrection of man. But later patristic writers diversified the application and took

the phœnix also as a type of the Person of our Lord. The marvellous birth and the unique existence of this bird, as represented in the myth, were admirably adapted to such a ' symbolism: and accordingly it is so taken in Epiphan. (l. c.), Rufinus (l.c.), and others; see especially an unknown but apparently very ancient author in Spicil. Solesm. III. p. 345. Some of these writers press the parallel so far as to state that the phœnix arises after three days. The fact that a reputed appearance of the phœnix was nearly coincident with the year of the Passion and Resurrection (see above, p. 94) may have assisted this application. At a later date the Monophysites alleged the phœnix as an argument in favour of their peculiar doctrines (see Piper Mythol. u. Symbol. der Christl. Kunst I. I, p. 454).

For the representations of the phoenix in early Christian art see Piper 1.c. p. 456 sq. Before it appears as a Christian symbol, it is found on coins and medals of the Roman Emperors (for instances see Piper p. 449) to denote immortality or renovation, with the legend SAEC. AVR., or AETERNITAS, or AMON. It is significant that this use begins in the time of Hadrian, the great patron and imitator of Egyptian art.

μονογενές] 'alone of its kind, unique'. This epithet is applied to the phoenix also in Origen, Cyril, and Apost. Const., and doubtless assisted the symbolism mentioned in the last note. So also in Latin it is 'unica', 'semper unica', Mela iii. 9, Ovid Am. ii. 6. 54, Lactant. Phan. 31, Claudian Laud. Stil. ii. 417. Thus Milton speaks of the 'self-begotten bird... that no second knows nor third.'

έτη πεντακόσια] The longevity of

CLEM.

σηκον ἐαυτῷ ποιεῖ ἐκ λιβάνου καὶ σμύρνης καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν ἀρωμάτων, εἰς ὃν πληρωθέντος τοῦ χρόνου εἰσέρχεται καὶ τελευτᾳ. σηπομένης δὲ τῆς σαρκὸς σκώληξ τις γεννᾶται, ὃς ἐκ τῆς ἰκμάδος τοῦ τετε-λευτηκότος ζώου ἀνατρεφόμενος πτεροφυεῖ· εἶτα γεν- 5 ναῖος γενόμενος αἴρει τὸν σηκὸν ἐκεῖνον ὅπου τὰ ὀστὰ τοῦ προγεγονότος ἐστίν, καὶ ταῦτα βαστάζων διανύει ἀπὸ τῆς ᾿Αραβικῆς χώρας εως τῆς λἰγύπτου εἰς τὴν λεγομένην Ἡλιούπολιν· καὶ ἡμέρας, βλεπόν-των πάντων, ἐπιπτὰς ἐπὶ τὸν τοῦ ἡλίου βωμὸν τίθησιν το αὐτά, καὶ οὕτως εἰς τοὐπίσω ἀφορμᾳ. οἱ οὖν ἱερεῖς ἐπισκέπτονται τὰς ἀναγραφὰς τῶν χρόνων καὶ εὐρίσ-

4 τετελευτηκότος] τελευτηκοτοσ A.

7 βαστάζων] βασταζον Α.

the phœnix is differently stated. Hesiod gives it $(9 \times 4 \times 3 \times 9 =)$ 972 generations of men; Manilius (Plin. N. H. x. 2) 509 years; Solinus (*Polyh*. 36) 540 years; authorities mentioned in Tacitus 1461 years, which is the length of the Sothic period; Martial (v. 7), Claudian, Lactantius, and others, 1000 years; Chæremon (in Tzetzes Chil. v. 6. 395) 7006 years. But, says Tacitus, 'maxime vulgatum quingentorum spatium'; and this is adopted by almost all the Christian fathers together with most heathen writers; of the latter see a list in Lepsius Chron. p. 180.

τοῦ ἀποθανεῖν αὐτό] 'so that it should die,' explaining the preceding γενόμενον πρὸς ἀπόλυσιν 'at the eve of its dissolution'.

4. σκόληξ τις γεννᾶται] This mode of reproduction is not mentioned by Herodotus (ii. 73); but it formed part of the story as related by Manilius to the Romans and is frequently mentioned by subsequent writers. To this account is sometimes added the

incident that the parent bird lights its own pyre and that the worm is found in the smouldering ashes; e.g. Artemid. Oneirocr. iv. 47 αὐτὸς ἐαυτῷ ποιησάμενος έκ κασίας τε καὶ σμύρνης πυραν αποθνήσκει καυθείσης δε της πυρας μετά χρόνον έκ της σποδού σκώληκα γεννᾶσθαι λέγουσιν κ.τ.λ. (comp. Martial v. 7). It is interesting to observe the different stages in the growth of the story, as follows; (1) The longevity alone (Hesiod); (2) The entombment and burial of the parent by the offspring (Herodotus); (3) The miraculous birth of the offspring from the remains of the parent (Manilius); (4) The three days' interval between the death of the parent and resuscitation of the offspring (Epiphanius).

5. γενναῖος] 'strong, lusty,' as e.g. Dion Chrys. vii. p. 228 R lσχυροὶ ἔτι νέοι καὶ γενναῖοι τὰ σώματα. It corresponds to Ovid's 'Quum dedit huic ætas vires'.

διανύει] 'makes its way', frequently used absolutely, e.g. Polyb.
 16. I (ἀπό), iv. 70. 5 (ἐκ), ii. 54. 6

κουσιν αὐτὸν πεντακοσιοστοῦ ἔτους πεπληρωμένου ἐληλυθέναι.

15 ΧΧΥΙ. Μέγα καὶ θαυμαστὸν οὖν νομίζομεν εἶναι, εἰ ὁ δημιουργὸς τῶν ἀπάντων ἀνάστασιν ποιήσεται τῶν ὁσίως αὐτῷ δουλευσάντων ἐν πεποιθήσει πίστεως ἀγαθῆς, ὅπου καὶ δι ὀρνέου δείκνυσιν ἡμῖν τὸ μεγαλεῖον τῆς ἐπαγγελίας αὐτοῦ; [λ]έγει γάρ που· Καὶ 20 ἐΞαναστήσεις με καὶ ἐΞομολογήσομαί σοι· [κ]αὶ ἐκοιμήθην καὶ ἤπνωσα, ἐΞη[γ]έρθην, ὅτι σὰ μετ' ἐμοῦ εἶ. [κα]ὶ πάλιν Ἰωβ λέγει· Καὶ ἀναστήσεις [τὴ]ν σάρκα μος ταήτην τὴν ἀναντλήσασαν ταῆτα πάντα.

8 διανύει] Leclerc. διανευει A. See below. 18 δρνέου δείκνυσυ] ορναιου δικνυσιν A. μεγαλεῖον] μεγαλιον A. 19 $\dot{\epsilon}$ παγγελίας] $\dot{\epsilon}$ παγγελείασ A. 22 σάρκα] σαρκαν A.

(πρόs). The word occurs above, § 20. The reading of the MS, διανεύει, is out of place, for it could only mean 'turns aside', i.e. for the purpose of avoiding. Several instances of the confusion of διανύειν and διανεύειν by transcribers are given by Jahn Methodius II. p. 110.

12. τὰς ἀναγραφάς] 'the public records'; comp. Tatian ad Græc. 38 Αἰγνπτίων δέ εἰσιν αὶ ἐπ' ἀκριβὲς χρόνων ἀναγραφαί. For the Egyptian ἀναγραφαί see also Diod. Sic. i. 44, xvi. 51, Joseph. c. Ap. i. 6 sq. The recently discovered register of the epiphanies of the bulls Apis is a parallel instance of such chronological records; see Bunsen's Egypt I. p. 62 (2nd ed.).

XXVI. 'Is it then strange that God should raise all men, when He has given us this marvellous sign? To such a resurrection we have the testimony of the Scriptures'.

16. ὁ δημουργός κ.τ.λ.] See above § 20. On this Platonic phrase compare Jahn *Methodius* II. pp. 39, 91.

17. ἐν πεποιθήσει κ.τ.λ.] 'in the confidence which comes of honest faith': comp. Ephes. iii. 12 ἐν πεποιθήσει διὰ τῆς πίστεως αὐτοῦ, and below § 35 πίστις ἐν πεποιθήσει. The phrase πίστις ἀγαθή occurs Tit. ii. 10, where however πίστις seems to mean 'fidelity.'

18. το μεγαλείον] 'the greatness'; comp. §§ 32, 49. It occurs Acts ii. 11, Luke i. 49 (v.l.), and several times in the LXX.

19. λέγει γάρ που] taken apparently from Ps. xxviii. 7 καὶ ἀνέθαλεν ή σάρξ μου καὶ ἐκ θελήματός μου ἐξομολογήσομαι αὐτῷ (comp. Ps. lxxxvii. 11).

20. ἐκοιμήθην κ.τ.λ.] A confusion of Ps. iii. 5 ἐγω ἐκοιμήθην καὶ ὕπνωσα, ἐξηγέρθην ὅτι Κύριος ἀντιλήψεταί μου, and Ps. xxiii. 4 οὐ φοβηθήσομαι κακὰ ὅτι σὺ μετ' ἐμοῦ εἶ.

22. Ἰωβ λέγει] From LXX Job xix. 26 ἀναστήσει δέ μου τὸ σῶμα τὸ ἀναντλοῦν ταῦτα as read in A, but NB have ἀναστήσαι τὸ δέρμα μου τὸ ἀναντλοῦν (or ἀντλοῦν) ταῦτα. The Hebrew original is different from either.

7--2

ΧΧΝΙΙ. Ταύτη οὖν τῆ ἐλπίδι προσδεδέσθωσαν αὶ ψυχαὶ ἡμῶν τῷ πιστῷ ἐν ταῖς ἐπαγγελίαις καὶ τῷ δικαίῳ ἐν τοῖς κρίμασιν. ὁ παραγγείλας μὴ ψεύδεσθαι πολλῷ μᾶλλον αὐτὸς οὐ ψεύσεται· οὐδὲν γὰρ ἀδύνατον παρὰ τῷ Θεῷ, εἰ μὴ τὸ ψεύσασθαι. ἀναζωπυρη- 5 σάτω οὖν ἢ πίστις αὐτοῦ ἐν ἡμῖν, καὶ νοήσωμεν ὅτι πάντα ἐγγὺς αὐτῷ ἐστιν. ἐν λόγῳ τῆς μεγαλωσύνης αὐτοῦ συνεστήσατο τὰ πάντα, καὶ ἐν λόγῳ δύναται αὐτὰ καταστρέψαι. Τίς ἐρεῖ κἤτῷ τὶ ἐποίκς κς τἱς ἀντιτιτίς εται τῷ κράτει τὰς ἰςχήος κἤτοῦ; ὅτε θέλει καὶ το ὡς θέλει ποιήσει πάντα, καὶ οὐδὲν μὴ παρέλθη τῶν δεδογματισμένων ὑπ' αὐτοῦ. πάντα ἐνώπιον αὐτοῦ

XXVII. 'Let us therefore cling fast to God. He has promised, and He cannot lie. Whatsoever He wills, He is able to perform. To His power no bounds are set. To His eye and His mind all things are open. The heavens declare His glorious works'.

2. τῷ πιστῷ κ.τ.λ.] Comp. Heb. x. 23 πιστὸς γὰρ ὁ ἐπαγγειλάμενος, and xi. 11.

4. οὐδἐν γὰρ ἀδύνατον κ.τ.λ.] Compare Heb. vi. 18 ἐν οἶς ἀδύνατον ψεύσασθαι [τὸν] Θεόν, with Matt. xix. 26 (Mark x. 27); see also Tit. i. 2.

5. ἀναζωπυρησάτω] intransitive; see the note on Ign. Ephes. I. The context seems to suggest that ἡ πίστις αὐτοῦ should be rendered 'His faithfulness', as in Rom. iii. 3; see Galatians p. 155.

7. ἐγγὺς αὐτῷ] So Ign. Ephes. 15 οὐδὲν λανθάνει τὸν Κύριον, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ κρυπτὰ ἡμῶν ἐγγὺς αὐτῷ ἐστιν, which is perhaps a reminiscence of this passage: compare § 21 above.

έν λόγφ κ.τ.λ.] See Heb. i. 3 φέρων τὰ πάντα τῷ ῥήματι τῆς δυνάμεως αὐτοῦ: comp. Wisd. ix. 1.

9. τίς ἐρεῖ αὐτῷ κ.τ.λ.] From Wisd.

xii. 12 τίς γὰρ ἐρεῖ Τί ἐποίησας ἢ τίς ἀντιστήσεται τῷ κρίματί σου; comp. Wisd. xi. 22 κράτει βραχίονός σου τίς ἀντιστήσεται; The expression τὸ κράτος τῆς ἰσχύος αὐτοῦ occurs in Ephes. i. 19, vi. 10. The κράτος is the ἰσχὺς exerted on some object.

οὐδὲν μὴ παρέλθη κ.τ.λ.] Comp.
 Matt. v. 18.

13. εὶ Οἱ οὐρανοὶ κ.τ.λ.] 'seeing that "The heavens etc."' The εἰ is no part of the quotation. So treated the passage presents no difficulty; and the corrections proposed (e.g. the omission of εἰ, or the reading καὶ οἱ οὐρανοί) are unnecessary. Perhaps also the καὶ before οὐκ εἰσὶν should be excluded from the quotation in the same way. The quotation is then word for word (except the interchange of λόγοι and λαλιαί) from the LXX Ps. xix. I—3.

17. ὧν...αὐτῶν] See above the note on § 20.

XXVIII. 'Therefore, since He sees and hears all things, let us forsake our vile deeds and take refuge in His mercy. We cannot escape His powerful arm; neither in the είσιν, καὶ οὐδὲν λέληθεν τὴν βουλὴν αὐτοῦ, εἰ Οἱ οἦpanoὶ Διηγοῆνται Δόξαν Θεοῆ, ποίηςιν Δὲ χειρῶν αἤτοῆ

15 ἀναγγέλλει τὸ στερέωμα. Ἡ Ἡμέρα τῷ Ἡμέρα ἐρεἤγεται ῥθμα,
καὶ νἢξ νγκτὶ ἀναγγέλλει γνῶςιν. καὶ οἤκ εἰςὶν λόγοι οἤΔὲ
λαλιαί, ὧν οἦχὶ ἀκογονται αἱ φωναὶ αἤτῶν.

ΧΧVIII. Πάντων οὖν βλεπομένων καὶ ἀκουομένων, φοβηθώμεν αὐτὸν καὶ ἀπολείπωμεν φαύλων ἔργων 20 μιαρὰς ἐπιθυμίας, ἵνα τῷ ἐλέει αὐτοῦ σκεπασθώμεν ἀπὸ τῶν μελλόντων κριμάτων. ποῦ γάρ τις ἡμῶν δύναται φυγεῖν ἀπὸ τῆς κραταιᾶς χειρὸς αὐτοῦ; ποῖος δὲ κόσμος δέξεταί τινα τῶν αὐτομολούντων ἀπ' αὐτοῦ; λέγει γάρ που τὸ γραφεῖον. Ποῦ αφήξω καὶ ποῦ κργ-

14 ποίησιν] ποιησειν Α.

height of heaven nor the abyss of ocean nor in the farthest parts of the earth'.

23. αὐτομολούντων] See above, λιποτακτεῖν § 21, and the note on δεσέρτωρ Ign. *Polyc*. 6.

24. τὸ γραφείον] 'the writing'. S. Clement here seems to adopt the threefold division of the Old Testament books which appears in Ecclus. (prol.), in S. Luke (xxiv. 44), in Philo (de Vit. cont. 3, II. p. 475), in Josephus (c. Ap. i. 8), and generally. The third division is called τὰ ἄλλα βιβλία and τὰ λοιπὰ τῶν βιβλίων in Ecclus., ψαλμοι in S. Luke, υμνοι in Philo and Josephus. Its more general name in Hebrew was כתובים, 'the writings', translated sometimes by γραφεία, sometimes by άγιόγραφα: comp. Epiphan. Hær. xxix. 7 (I. p. 122) οὐ γὰρ ἀπηγόρευται παρ' αὐτοῖς νομοθεσία καὶ προφήται καὶ γραφεία τὰ παρὰ Ἰουδαίοις καλούμενα, and again παρ' αὐτοῖς γὰρ πας ό νόμος και οι προφήται και τα γραφεία λεγόμενα κ.τ.λ., Mens. et pond. 4 (II. p. 162) τὰ καλούμενα γραφεία παρά τισι δὲ ἀγιόγραφα λεγόμενα. In the first of these passages however Epiphanius includes the historical books among the ypapeia, and in the second he confines the term to them, placing the Psalms, Job, Proverbs, etc., in a separate section which he calls of στιχηρείς. This does not truly represent the Jewish tradition, in which 1, 2 Chronicles alone belonged to the בתובים, while the historical books generally were ranged with the Prophets; see Fürst Der Kanon des Alten Testaments p. 10 sq., p. 55 sq. Elsewhere he uses γραφεία more widely, Hær. xxvi. 12 (p. 94) άλλα μυρία παρ' αὐτοῖς πεπλασμένα γραφεία; comp. Deut. x. 4 (Aq.). John Damascene likewise (de Fid. Orthod. iv. 17. I. p. 284), following Epiphanius, describes the historical books from Joshua to 2 Chronicles, as τὰ καλούμενα γραφεία παρά τισι δὲ άγιόγραφα. In the Classical language (as also LXX Job xix. 24, Hex. Jer. xvii. 1) γραφείον is not 'a writing' but 'a pen.'

ποῦ ἀφήξω] A very loose quotation from Ps. cxxxix. 7—10, where

5

Βήςομαι ἀπὸ τοῦ πρόςώπος τος; ἐὰν ἀναβῶ εἰς τόν οἰρανόν, τὰ εἶ ἐκεῖ· ἐὰν ἀπέλθω εἰς τὰ ἔςχατα της Γης, ἐκεῖ ἡ Δεξιά τος· ἐὰν κατακτρώςω εἰς τὰς ἀβίςτοςς, ἐκεῖ τὸ πνεῦμά τος. ποῦ οὖν τις ἀπέλθη ἢ ποῦ ἀποδράση ἀπὸ τοῦ τὰ πάντα ἐμπεριέχοντος;

the slight variations of the principal MSS of the LXX do not affect the wide divergences in Clement's quotation. Compare also the parallel passage in Amos ix. 2, 3, to which Clement's quotation presents some faint resemblances. It is important to observe that in using καταστρώσω, 'make my couch,' Clement conforms to the original אציעה, where the LXX has ka- $\tau a\beta \hat{\omega}$. This is the more remarkable, as he elsewhere shows no knowledge of the Hebrew and in the Psalms generally quotes pretty accurately from the LXX. Whence then did he get this word? We may conjecture that he was acquainted with one of the versions afterwards included by Origen in his Hexapla. The 5th version (ε in Origen) has στρώσω (see Field's Hexapl. ad loc.), and as this seems to have been the one found in an old cask either at Jericho or Nicopolis (Euseb. H. E. vi. 16, Epiphan. Mens. et pond. 18, p. 174; see Hody de Bibl. Text. Orig. etc. p. 587 sq.), it may very well have been an ancient Jewish translation prior to the age of Clement. Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 22 (p. 625) quotes the passage nearly in the form which it has here (though substituting the LXX καταβώ for καταστρώσω), and doubtless derived it through the medium of the Roman Clement, so that he is not an independent authority.

ἀφήξω] The verb ἀφήκειν is not found in the LXX or N.T., and is altogether a rare word; comp. Plato Resp. vii. p. 530 E, Antiphon in Bekker Anecd. p. 470 s.v. ἀφήκοντος.

XXIX. 'Therefore let us approach Him in prayer with pure hearts and undefiled hands. We are God's special portion and inheritance, of which the Scriptures speak once and again'.

7. ἀγνὰς κ.π.λ.] I Tim. ii. 8 ἐπαίροντας ὁσίονς χεῖρας, Athenag. Suppl.
13 ἐπαίρωμεν ὁσίους χεῖρας αὐτῷ; see also Heliodorus the tragedian in Galen. de Antid. ii. 7 (XIV p. 145 ed. Kuhn) ἀλλ' ὁσίας μὲν χεῖρας ἐς ἢέρα λαμπρὸν ἀείρας (quoted by Wetstein on I Tim. ii. 8). The expression describes the attitude of the ancients (as of Orientals at the present day) when engaged in prayer, with extended arms and uplifted palms.

9. ἐκλογῆς μέρος κ.τ.λ.] 'has made us His special portion,' or rather 'has set apart for Himself a special portion'. In either case the εκλογής μέρος is the Christian people, the spiritual Israel, who under the new covenant have taken the place of the chosen people under the old; as I Pet. ii. 9 ύμεις δε γένος εκλεκτόν, βασίλειον ίεράτευμα, έθνος ἄγιον, λαὸς είς περιποίησιν κ.τ.λ. See the notes on παροικούντες and ήγιασμένοις (§ I). Thus μέρος έκλογης here is coextensive with οἱ ἐκλελεγμένοι ὑπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ διὰ Ἰησοῦ Χρισ- $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ § 50 (comp. § 58). The words μέρος ἐκλογῆς are not to be translated 'a portion of his elect' but 'a portion set apart by election, εκλογης being a genitive of the same kind as in Acts ix. 15 σκεῦος ἐκλογῆς, Iren. i. 6. 4 σπέρματα ἐκλογῆς. The expression therefore has no bearing on the question whether Clement was a Jewish or Gentile Christian. See the note on hads below.

ΧΧΙΧ. Προσέλθωμεν οὖν αὐτῷ ἐν ὁσιότητι ψυχῆς, ἀγνὰς καὶ ἀμιάντους χεῖρας αἴροντες πρὸς αὐτόν, ἀγαπῶντες τὸν ἐπιεικῆ καὶ εὕσπλαγχνον πατέρα ἡμῶν ὸς ἐκλογῆς μέρος ἐποίησεν ἐαυτῷ. Οὕτω γὰρ γέ-10 γραπται "Ότε Διεμέριζεν ὁ ζψιςτος ἔθνη, ὡς Διές πειρεν γίοὰς ᾿Αδάμ, ἔςτης εν ὅρια ἐθνῶν κατὰ ἀριθμὸν ἀγγέλων

3 έπιεική] επιεικην Α.

11 άριθμόν] αριθον Α.

10. "Ότε διεμέριζεν κ.τ.λ.] From the LXX Deut. xxxii. 8, 9, almost word for word.

11. κατὰ ἀριθμὸν κ.τ.λ.] The idea conveyed by the LXX which Clement quotes is that, while the Gentile nations were committed to His inferior ministers, God retained the people of Israel under His own special guardianship: comp. Dan. x. 13 sq., xii. 1, but esp. Ecclus. xvii. 17 ἐκάστω **ἔθνει κατέστησεν ἡγούμενον καὶ μερὶς** Κυρίου 'Ισραήλ έστιν, and Jubilees § 15 (Ewald Jahrb. III. p. 10) 'Many are the nations and numerous the people, and all are His, and over all hath He set spirits as lords...but over Israel did He set no one to be Lord, neither angel nor spirit, but He alone is their ruler etc.', with the context. See also Clem. Hom. xviii. 4, Clem. Recogn. ii. 42 (references which I should have overlooked but for Hilgenfeld Apost. Vät. p. 65). Clem. Alex. Strom. vii. 2 (p. 832) uses the text to support his favourite idea that heathen philosophy is the handmaid of revelation; οὖτός ἐστιν ὁ διδοὺς καὶ τοις Ελλησι την φιλοσοφίαν διά των ύποδεεστέρων άγγελων είσι γαρ συνδιανενεμημένοι προστάξει θεία τε καὶ ἀρχαία ἄγγελοι κατὰ ἔθνη, ἀλλ' ή μερὶς Κυρίου ή δόξα τῶν πιστευόντων. On the other hand the present text of the Hebrew runs 'He set the boundaries of the nations according to the number of the sons of Israel (למספר בני ישראל); for

(or 'while', כי) the portion of Jehovah is His people, Jacob is the rod of His inheritance'. So too the Peshito and Targum of Onkelos. But it is difficult to get any good sense out of this reading, and the parallelism of the verses is thus shattered. I can hardly doubt therefore that the LXX is right. and the error can be easily explained. The ends of the lines have got out of gear; ישראל, which in the present text occupies the end of ver. 8, has been displaced from its proper position at the end of ver. 9, and thrust out the original word האלהים, which has thus disappeared. The 'sons of God' are mentioned Job i. 6, ii. 1, xxxviii. 7, and in all places are translated (as it appears, correctly) by ἄγγελοι in the LXX; see Gesen. Thes. p. 215. This conjecture is confirmed by the fact that the Samar. Pent. reads 'Israel' at the end of both verses, thus presenting an intermediate reading between the LXX and the present Hebrew text. Justin Martyr Dial. § 131 (p. 360 B) refers to the difference between the Hebrew and LXX texts; see also Origen In Num. Hom. xxviii. § 4 (II p. 385), In Ezech. Hom. xiii (III. p. 401). The reading of the Hebrew text is naturally adopted in Clem. Hom. xviii. 4, as it is by Justin's Jewish opponents. The writer lived late enough to have got it from one of the Judaizing versions. On the other hand the LXX is quoted by

5

θεος. ἐΓενήθη μερὶς Κγρίος λαὺς αἐτος Ἰακώβ, ςχοίνις κα κληρονομίας αἐτος Ἰςραήλ. καὶ ἐν ἐτέρφ τόπφ λέγει· Ἰδος Κήριος λαμβάνει ἑαγτῷ ἔθνος ἐκ μέςος ἐθνῶν, ὥςπερ λαμβάνει ἄνθρωπος τὴν ἀπαρχὴν αἔτος τῆς ἄλω, καὶ ἐξελείς τὰς ἐκ τος ἔθνοςς ἐκείνος ἄΓια ἁΓίων.

XXX. 'Αγίου οὖν μερὶς ὑπάρχοντες ποιήσωμεν τὰ τοῦ ἀγιασμοῦ πάντα, φεύγοντες καταλαλιάς, μιαράς

6 'Aγίου οὖν] ΑΓΙΟΥΝ (the OY above the line being written prima manu) A.

Philo de Post. Ca. 25 (I. p. 241), de Plant. 14 (I. p. 338).

2. \aos] We have here the common antithesis of hao's 'the chosen people', and the Gentiles'; as e.g. Luke ii. 32, Acts iv. 27, xxvi. 17, 23, Rom. xv. 10, 11, etc. By becoming the hads however the Israelites do not cease to be called an čθνος (see esp. Joh. xi. 50), but are rather ¿θνος ἄγιον (as Exod. xix. 6, 1 Pet. ii. 9) or έθνος έκ μέσου έθνων (as below): so Justin *Dial.* 24 (p. 242) ΐνα γένηται έθνος δίκαιον, λαός φυλάσσων πίστιν (from Is. xxvi. 2). All such titles, referring primarily to the Israel after the flesh, are transferred by Clement, following the Apostolic writers, to the Israel after the spirit; see above the notes on § 1, and comp. below § 58 els λαὸν περιούσιον, and especially Justin Dial. 119 (p. 347). I call attention to this, because Hilgenfeld (Zeitschr. f. Wissensch. Theol. 1858, p. 585, and here) distinguishes the λαòs of the first passage and the εθνος of the second, as though they referred to the Jewish and Gentile Christians respectively. Of such a distinction the context gives no indication; and this interpretation moreover supposes that Clement departs from the obvious meaning of the passages incorporated in the second quotation, where the original reference of εθνος is plainly to the Israelites. See the note on ἐκλογῆς μέρος above. Hilgenfeld moreover (in order to support this interpretation) reads 'Αγίων μερὶς for 'Αγίων οὖν μερὶς at the beginning of § 30, but this is certainly not the MS reading.

σχοίνισμα] 'a portion measured out by a line' (see the note on κανών, § 7), a common word in the LXX exactly representing the Hebrew בחל.

3. ἰδοῦ Κύριος κ.τ.λ.] A combination of several passages; Deut. iv. 34 εἰ ἐπείρασεν ὁ Θεὸς εἰσελθῶν λαβεῖν ἑαυτῷ ἔθνος ἐκ μέσου ἔθνους ἐν πειρασμῷ κ.τ.λ., Deut. xiv. 2 καὶ σὲ ἐξελέξατο Κύριος ὁ Θεός σου γενέσθαι σε λαὸν αὐτῷ περιούσιον ἀπὸ πάντων τῶν ἐθνῶν κ.τ.λ. (comp. vii. 6).

ώσπερ λαμβάνει κ.τ.λ.] The passages most nearly resembling this are, Num. xviii. 27 λογισθήσεται ύμιν τὰ ἀφαιρέματα ὑμῶν ὡς σῖτος ἀπὸ ἄλω καὶ ἀφαίρεμα ἀπὸ ληνοῦ, 2 Chron. xxxi. 14 δούναι τὰς ἀπαρχὰς Κυρίου καὶ τὰ άγια τῶν ἀγίων, Ezek. xlviii. 12 ἔσται αὐτοῖς ή ἀπαρχή δεδομένη ἐκ τῶν ἀπαρχῶν τῆς γῆς, ἄγιον ἁγίων ἀπὸ τῶν ὁρίων κ.τ.λ. with the context; but in all these passages the reference of the 'firstfruits' is different. As Clement's quotations elsewhere are so free (e.g. §§ 18, 26, 32, 35, 39, etc.), he may only have combined these passages and applied them from memory; but τε καὶ λάγνους συμπλοκάς, μέθας τε καὶ νεωτερισμούς καὶ βδελυκτὰς ἐπιθυμίας, μυσερὰν μοιχείαν, βδελυκτὴν το ὑπερηφανίαν. Θεὸς Γάρ, φησιν, ἡπερηφάνοις ἀντιτάςςεται, ταπεινοῖς Δὲ Δίδωςιν χάριν. Κολληθωμεν οὖν ἐκείνοις οἶς ἡ χάρις ἀπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ δέδοται. ἐνδυσώμεθα τὴν ὁμόνοιαν, ταπεινοφρονοῦντες, ἐγκρατευόμενοι, ἀπὸ παντὸς ψιθυρισμοῦ καὶ καταλαλιᾶς πόρρω ἐαυτοὺς το ποιοῦντες, ἔργοις δικαιούμενοι καὶ μὴ λόγοις. λέγει

8 λάγνους] Colomiés. αγνουσ Α.

9 μοιχείαν] μοιχιαν Α.

the alternative remains that he is quoting from some apocryphal writing, such as the spurious or interpolated Ezekiel quoted above (see the notes §§ 8, 13, 17, 23, 46). The äyia äyiw are the specially consecrated things, the offerings or first-fruits, as in the passages just quoted; see also Lev. xxi. 22, Ezek. xlii. 13. The expression is applied here either to the people of God themselves, or to their spiritual oblations (see below, §§ 40, 44).

XXX. 'Therefore, as the portion of the Holy One, let us be holy ourselves; let us lay aside all sins which defile; let us shun pride and ensue peace; let us be on our guard against slander and backbiting; let us seek not our own praise, but the praise of God. Self-will is accursed in His sight; but His blessing rests on the gentle and lowly-minded'.

6. 'Αγίου οὖν μερίs] i.e. 'As the special portion of a Holy God': comp. I Pet. i. 15 sq. κατὰ τὸν καλέσαντα ὑμᾶς ἄγιον καὶ αὐτοὶ ἄγιοι ἐν πάση ἀναστροφῆ γενήθητε, διότι γέγραπται (Lev. xi. 44) "Αγιοι ἔσεσθε ὅτι ἐγὼ ἄγιος.

7. φεύγ. καταλ.] Ι Pet. ii. Ι ἀποθέμενοι...πάσας καταλαλιάς.

8. λάγνους] Comp. Athenag. Suppl. 19 τοῖς ἀκολάστοις καὶ λάγνοις, 21 λαγ-

νείας η βίας ηπλεονεξίας, Clem. Recogn. ix. 17 (the Greek is preserved in Cæsarius) μεθύσους, λάγνους, δαιμονώντας, Acta Petri in Isid. Pelus. Ep. ii. 99 (see Hilgenfeld's Nov. Test. extr. Can. Rec. IV. p. 7c) ὁ γὰρ φιλοχρήματος οὐκ έχώρησε τὸν τῆς ἀκτημοσύνης λόγον οὐδὲ ὁ λάγνος τὸν περὶ σωφροσύνης κ.τ.λ., Clem. Alex. Pæd. ii. 10 (p. 222-225). I have preferred λάγνους to ανάγνους, because the former was more liable to be misread or misunderstood by a scribe than the latter; and the passages quoted show that it was likely to be used by an early Christian writer. It also accords better with the strong epithets in the context. Neither word occurs in the LXX or New Testament. The common form was háyros, the Attic λάγνης; see Lobeck Phryn. p. 184.

9. μυσεράν] For this form see the note on § 14.

10. Θεὸς γάρ κ.τ.λ.] From Prov. iii. 34 Κύριος ὑπερηφάνοις κ.τ.λ. In 1 Pet. v. 5, James iv. 6, it is quoted ὁ Θεὸς ὑπερηφάνοις κ.τ.λ. The Hebrew has simply κιτ. 'he'.

14. ψιθ. καὶ καταλ.] See below § 35. The words occur together also 2 Cor. xii. 20; comp. Rom. i. 30 ψιθυριστάς, καταλάλους.

15. ἔργοις δικαιούμενοι] See the note at the beginning of § 33.

γάρ· 'Ο τὰ πολλὰ λέςων καὶ ἀντακοίς εται τι ὁ εξλαλος οἴεται εἶναι δίκαιος; εξλογημένος γεννητός γεναικός όλιγόβιος· μὶ πολίς ἐν βήμαςιν γίνος. 'Ο ἔπαινος ἡμῶν ἔστω ἐν Θεῷ καὶ μὴ ἐξ αὐτῶν, αὐτεπαινετοὺς γὰρ μισεῖ ὁ Θεός. ἡ μαρτυρία τῆς ἀγαθῆς πράξεως ἡμῶν τοῖς δικαίοις. ἡράσος καὶ αὐθάδεια καὶ τόλμα τοῖς κατηραμένοις ὑπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ· ἐπιείκεια καὶ ταπεινοφροσύνη καὶ πραΰτης παρὰ τοῖς ηὐλογημένοις ὑπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ.

ΧΧΧΙ. Κολληθώμεν οὖν τῆ εὐλογία αὐτοῦ, καὶ

6 ἐδύθη] εδεηθη Α. 8 ἐπιείκεια] επιείκεια Α. 17 αὐτοῦ δι' ἀδελφον] Jacobson. 20 'Eἀν] See below. είλικρινῶs] ιλικριν \dots Α. 22 δωρεῶν] δωραιων Α.

I. ὁ τὰ πολλὰ κ.τ.λ.] From the LXX of Job xi. 2, 3, almost word for word. It diverges widely from the Hebrew, and the sentiment εὐλογημένος κ.τ.λ. has no connexion with the context. It may be conjectured that the words γεννητὸς γυναικὸς ὀλιγόβιος crept in from xiv. I βροτὸς γὰρ γεννητὸς γυναικὸς ὀλιγόβιος, which may have stood next to this passage in a parallel column, and the εὐλογημένος will have come from the first word of the next verse, פרובן misread אוני ברוך misread ברוך.

2. γεννητός] See the note on Ign. Ephes. 7.

3. ὁ ἔπαινος κ.τ.λ] See Rom. ii. 29 οὖ ὁ ἔπαινος οὖκ ἐξ ἀνθρώπων ἀλλ' ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ, 2 Cor. x. 18 οὖ γὰρ ὁ ἐαυτὸν συνιστάνων κ.τ.λ.; comp. 1 Cor. iv. 5.

4. αὐτῶν] So read for αὐτῶν. On the forms αὐτοῦ, αὐτῷ, etc., as inadmissible here, see §§ 9, 12, 14, 32 (notes).

αὐτεπαινετούς] No other instance of the word is given in the Lexicons.

6. ὑπ' ἄλλων] See Prov. xxvii. 2.

9. πραΰτης] is distinguished from

ταπεινοφροσύνη, Trench N. T. Syn. 1st ser. § xliv, and from ἐπιείκεια ib. § xliii.

XXXI. 'Let us therefore cling to His blessing: let us study the records of the past, and see how it was won by our fathers, by Abraham and Isaac and Jacob'.

12. ἀνατυλίξωμεν] 'unroll' and so 'pore over'; comp. Lucian Nigr. 7 τους λόγους ους τότε ήκουσα συναγείρων και άνατυλίττων.

13. ὁ πατὴρ ἡμῶν] See the note on δ Δ.

14. οὐχὶ δικαιοσύνην κ.τ.λ.] Combining the statement of S. Paul (Rom. iv. 1 sq., Gal. iii. 6 sq.) with that of S. James (ii. 21 sq.). See the note at the beginning of § 33.

16. ήδέως κ.τ.λ.] There is nothing in the original narrative which suggests that Isaac was a willing sacrifice; Gen. xxii. 7, 8. According to Josephus however, Ant. i. 14. 4, on hearing his father's purpose he δέχεται πρὸς ήδονὴν τοὺς λόγους and ὧρμησεν ἐπὶ τὸν βωμὸν καὶ τὴν σφαγήν. See also Beer's Leben Abraham's p. 65 sq.

ἴδωμεν τίνες αἱ όδοὶ τῆς εὐλογίας. ἀνατυλίξωμεν τὰ ἀπ' ἀρχῆς γενόμενα. τίνος χάριν ηὐλογήθη ὁ πατὴρ ἡμῶν ᾿Αβραάμ; οὐχὶ δικαιοσύνην καὶ ἀλήθειαν διὰ πίσ15 τεως ποιήσας; Ἰσαὰκ μετὰ πεποιθήσ[εως γινώ]σκων τὸ μέλλον ἡδέ[ως ἐγένε]το θυσία. Ἰακὼβ μετὰ ταπεινοφρ[οσύνης] ἐξεχώρησεν τῆς γῆς αὐ[τοῦ δι' ἀδελ]φὸν καὶ ἐπορεύθη πρὸς [λαβὰν] καὶ ἐδούλευσεν, καὶ ἐδόθ[η αὐτῷ] τὸ δωδεκάσκηπτρον τοῦ [Ἰσραήλ].

ο ΧΧΧΙΙ. [Ἐάν] τις καθ' ἐν έκαστον εἰλικριν[ῶς] κατανοήση, ἐπιγνώσεται [τὰ με]γαλεῖα τῶν ὑπ' αὐτοῦ δεδομέ[νων] δωρεῶν. ἐξ αὐτοῦ γὰρ ἱερεῖ[ς τε] καὶ λευῖ-

αὐτοῦ] αυτων A. lepeîs τε καί] Tisch, lepeîs previous edd., but τε is required for the space.

with the notes 709 sq., where ample rabbinical authorities are collected for this addition to the narrative. The idea is brought out strongly by Melito (Routh's Rel. Sacr. I. p. 123) ὁ δὲ Ἰσαὰκ σιγὰ πεπεδημένος ώς κριός, οὐκ ἀνοίγων τὸ στόμα οὐδὲ φθεγγόμενος φωνῆ· τὸ γὰρ ξίφος οὐ φοβηθεὶς οὐδὲ τὸ ποθεῖν λυπηθεὶς ἐβάστασεν τὸν τύπον τοῦ Κυρίου κ.τ.λ. Philo de Abr. 32 (II. p. 26) is seemingly ignorant of this turn given to the incident.

19. τὸ δωδεκάσκηπτρον] equivalent to τὸ δωδεκάφυλον, which occurs below § 55 and Acts xxvi. 7; for σκήπτρον (ΜΠΕ), 'a branch or rod', is a synonyme for 'a tribe'; e.g. 1 Kings xi. 31, 32 καὶ δώσω σοι δέκα σκήπτρα καὶ δύο σκήπτρα ἔσται αὐτῷ, and again ver. 35, 36 (see § 32); comp. Test. xii Patr. Nepht. 5 τὰ δώδεκα σκήπτρα τοῦ Ἰσραήλ.

XXXII. 'If any one will consider, he may see what blessings God showers on the faithful. What great honours did He confer on this patriarch Jacob! From him was derived the priestly tribe of Levi: from him came the great high-priest, the Lord Jesus;

from him are descended kings and rulers through Judah. And by the other tribes also he was the father of countless multitudes. It was God's will, not their own righteous doing, whereby they were glorified. And by His will also, not by our own piety or wisdom, are we and all men justified through faith—by His Almighty will to whom be glory for ever'.

20. 'Eár] Previous editors read ϵl ; but, though ϵl with the conjunction is possible (see *Philippians* iii. II), it is rare and ought not to be introduced unnecessarily.

είλικρινῶς] 'distinctly, severally'. It seems to be a military metaphor from είλη 'turma': see the note, Philippians i. 10.

21. ὑπ' αὐτοῦ] i.e. τοῦ Θεοῦ. There is a little awkwardness in the sudden transition to ἐξ αὐτοῦ, which must refer to Jacob; but τῶν ὑπ' αὐτοῦ δεδ. δωρεῶν can only be said of God (as in §§ 19, 23, 35), nor can ὑπ' αὐτοῦ be translated 'per eum', as in the Latin version of Young.

22. ἐξ αὖτοῦ] i.e. from Jacob. The following clauses render it necessary

ται πάντες οι λειτουργ[οῦν]τες τῷ θυσιαστηρίῳ τοῦ Θεοῦ· ἐξ αὐτοῦ ὁ Κύριος Ἰησοῦς τὸ κατὰ σάρκα· ἐξ αὐτοῦ βασιλεῖς καὶ ἄρχοντες καὶ ἡγούμενοι, κατὰ τὸν Ἰούδαν· τὰ δὲ λοιπὰ σκῆπτρα αὐτοῦ οὐκ ἐν μικρᾳ δόξη ὑπάρχουσιν, ὡς ἐπαγγειλαμένου τοῦ Θεοῦ ὅτι 5 Ἔςται τὸ ςπέρμα ςογ ὡς οἱ ἀςτέρες τοῦ οἤρακοῦ. Πάντες οὖν ἐδοξάσθησαν καὶ ἐμεγαλύνθησαν οὐ δι αὐτῶν ἡ τῶν ἔργων αὐτῶν ἡ τῆς δικαιοπραγίας ῆς κατειργάσαντο, ἀλλὰ διὰ τοῦ θελήματος αὐτοῦ. καὶ ἡμεῖς οὖν,

1 λειτουργούντες] λιτουργ...τεσ Α.

to read αὐτοῦ for αὐτῶν, which might otherwise stand. For the whole passage comp. Rom. ix. 4, 5 ὧν...ἡ λατρεία καὶ αἰ ἐπαγγελίαι, ὧν οἱ πατέρες καὶ ἐξ ὧν Χριστὸς τὸ κατὰ σάρκα.

2. ὁ Κύριος Ἰησοῦς He is mentioned in connexion with the Levitical tribe, as being the great Highpriest, a favourite title in Clement: see the note § 36. Comp. Ign. Philad. 9 καλοί και οι ίερεις, κρείσσον δε ό άρχιερεύς. With Levi He is connected as a priest; from Judah He is descended as a king. Hence His name is placed between the two, as the link of transition from the one to the other. But there is no ground for assuming that by this collocation Clement implies our Lord to have descended from Levi, as Hilgenfeld (Apost. Vät. p. 103, and here) thinks. The Epistle to the Hebrews, which Clement quotes so repeatedly, and from which his ideas of Christ's highpriesthood are taken, would distinctly teach him otherwise (vii. 14, viii. 8). A double descent (from both Judah and Levi) is maintained in the Test. xii Patr. (see Galatians p. 308), but this writing travels in a different cycle of ideas. And even in this Judaic work the Virgin herself is represented as belonging to

Judah. On the descent from Levi see Sinker *Test. of Twelve Patr.* p. 105 sq.

3. κατὰ τὸν Ἰούδαν] 'after Judah,' i.e. as descended from him and thereby inheriting the attribute of royalty, Gen. xlix. 10. This idea of the royalty of the patriarch Judah runs through the Test. xii Patr., e.g. Jud. I ὁ πατήρ μου Ἰακὼβ ηὖξατό μοι λέγων, Βασιλεὺς ἔση κατευοδούμενος ἐν πᾶσι.

6. ἔσται κ.τ.λ.] Comp. Gen. xv. 5, xxii. 17, xxvi. 4. It is not an exact quotation from any of these passages, but most closely resembles the first.

7. δι' αὐτῶν] not αὐτῶν. See above the notes on §§ 9, 12, 14, 30.

11. δι ἐαυτῶν] i.e. ἡμῶν αὐτῶν, as e.g. Rom. viii. 23, 2 Cor. i. 9, iii. 1, 5, and commonly.

σοφίας η συνέσεως] The words occur together 1 Cor. i. 19 (from Is. xxix. 14), Col. i. 9; so too σοφοί καὶ συνετοί, Matt. xi. 25 (Luke x. 21). They are explained in Arist. Eth. Nic. vi. 7, 10. The first is a creative, the second a discerning faculty.

15. $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \dot{\phi} \xi a$] See the notes on Galatians i. 5.

XXXIII. 'What then? If we are justified by *faith*, shall we leave off doing good? God forbid. We must

10 διὰ θελήματος αὐτοῦ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ κληθέντες, οὐ δι ἐαυτῶν δικαιούμεθα οὐδὲ διὰ τῆς ἡμετέρας σοφίας ἡ συνέσεως ἡ εὐσεβείας ἡ ἔργων ὧν κατειργασάμεθα ἐν όσιότητι καρδίας, ἀλλὰ διὰ τῆς πίστ[ε]ως, δι ἡς πάντας τοὺς ἀπ' αἰῶνος ὁ παντοκράτωρ Θεὸς ἐδικαίωσεν· 15 ῷ ἔστω ἡ δόξα εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων. ἀμήν.

XXXIII. Τι οὖν ποιήσωμεν, ἀδελφοί; ἀργήσωμεν ἀπὸ τῆς ἀγαθοποιί[ας] καὶ ἐγκαταλείπωμεν τὴν ἀγά-

10 ημετέρας] ημερασ Α.

13 τούς] του Α.

needs work. The Almighty Himself rejoices in His own beneficent works. The heaven, the earth, the ocean, the living things that move on the land and in the sea, are His creation. Lastly and chiefly He made man after His own image. All these He created and blessed. As we have seen before that the righteous have ever been adorned with good works, so now we see that even the Creator thus arrayed Himself. Having such an example, let us do good with all our might'.

In § 31 we have seen Clement combining the teaching of S. Paul and S. John in the expression odyl dikaioσύνην καὶ ἀλήθειαν διὰ πίστεως ποιήσας; So here, after declaring emphatically that men are not justified by their own works but by faith (§ 32 ov di' αὐτῶν ἡ τῶν ἔργων αὐτῶν κ.τ.λ., and again οὐ διὰ...ἔργων ὧν κατειργασάμεθα έν οσιότητι καρδίας άλλα δια πίστεως $\kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda \cdot$), he hastens to balance this statement by urging the importance of good works. The same anxiety reveals itself elsewhere. Thus, where he deals with the examples adduced in the Apostolic writings, he is careful to show that neither faith alone nor works alone were present: § 10 of Abraham διὰ πίστιν καὶ φιλοξενίαν έδόθη αὐτῷ υίὸς κ.τ.λ., § 12 of Rahab διὰ πίστιν καὶ φιλοξενίαν ἐσώθη. Westcott Canon p. 23. Nor is it only where doctrine is directly concerned that Clement places the teaching of the Apostles of the Circumcision and the Uncircumcision in juxtaposition, as e.g. § 49 ἀγάπη καλύπτει πληθος άμαρτιών, αγάπη πάντα ανέχεται κ.τ.λ. (see the note there). This studied effort to keep the balance produces a certain incongruous effect in the rapid transition from the one aspect of the antithesis to the other; but it is important when viewed in connexion with Clement's position as ruler of a community in which the two sections of the Church, Jewish and Gentile, had been in direct antagonism and probably still regarded each other with suspicion. On this position of Clement, as a reconciler, see Galatians p. 323.

Mai (Script. Vet. Nov. Coll. VII. p. 84) reports that a part of this chapter is quoted by Leontius and John Res Sacr. ii (see above p. 21) with considerable variations, but has not given the quotation. Dressel was unable to find the Ms. See Jacobson's note.

16. τί οὖν ποιήσωμεν] evidently modeled on Rom. vi. 1 sq.

πην; μηθαμώς τοῦτο ἐάσαι ὁ δεσπότ[ης] ἐφ' ἡμῖν γε γενηθῆναι, ἀλλὰ σπεύσωμεν μετὰ ἐκτενεία[ς] καὶ προθυμίας πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθ[ὸν] ἐπιτελεῖν. αὐτὸς γὰρ ὁ δημιουργὸς καὶ δ[εσπό]της τῶν ἀπάντων ἐπὶ τοῖς ἔρ[γοις] αὐτοῦ ἀγαλλιᾶται. τῷ γὰρ παμμεγεθεστάτῳ αὐτ[οῦ] 5 κράτει οὐρανοὺς ἐστήρισε[ν], καὶ τῆ ἀκαταλήπτῳ αὐτοῦ συ[νέσει διεκό]σμησεν αὐτούς· γῆν τε [διεχώ]ρισεν ἀπὸ τοῦ περιέχον[τος αὐ]τὴν ὕδατος καὶ ἤδρασεν [ἐπὶ τὸ]ν ἀσφαλῆ τοῦ ἰδίου βου[λήμα]τος θεμέλιον· τά τε ἐν αὐ[τῆ ζ]ῶα φοιτῶντα τῆ ἑαυτοῦ [διατ]άξει ἐκέλευσεν 10

- 2 έκτενείαs] εκτενια... Α. 5 ἀγαλλιάται] Α. ἀγάλλεται Damasc. παμμεγεθεστάτψ] Α. παμμεγεστάτψ Damasc. 6 ἐστήρισεν] Α. ἐστήριξεν Damasc. 7 συνέσει διεκόσμησεν] Wotton after Damasc. γήν τε διεχώρισεν] γήν δὲ ἐχώρισεν Damasc. See below. 8 ἐπὶ τόν] Wotton after Damasc. 9 βουλήματοs] Α. θελήματοs Damasc. 10 διατάξει] or perhaps ἐπιτάξει or συντάξει. The reading of previous editors προστάξει seems too long for the space. Damascene omits τά τε ἐν αὐτή...δυνάμει. 11 θάλασσάν τε καί] Tisch. would omit τε on
- 1. ἐἀσαι ὁ δεσπότης κ.τ.λ.] True to his dictum that every thing is διὰ θελήματος αὐτοῦ and nothing δι' ἐαυτῶν, he ascribes the prevention of this consequence solely to God's prohibition. On ὁ δεσπότης see the note above § 7. For the preposition in ἐφ' ἡμῦν, 'in our case,' comp. John xii. 16, Acts v. 35, xxi. 24, 2 Cor. ix. 14.
- 3. αὐτὸς γὰρ κ.τ.λ.] This passage as far as αὐξάνεσθε καὶ πληθύνεσθε is quoted (with some omissions and variations) by John of Damascus Sacr. Parall. (II. p. 310).
- 6. ἐστήρισεν] See the note on στήρισον § 18.
- διεχώρισεν] The space seems to require διεχώρισεν, which, as being used in Gen. i. 4 sq. several times, was restored by Wotton here in place of Young's διεμέρισεν.
- 8. περιέχοντος] This has been thought to imply an acceptance of the theory of the ωκεωνός ποταμός

supposed to encircle the earth: comp. e.g. Herod. ii. 21 τον δ' ωκεανον γῆν περὶ πᾶσαν ῥέειν, Μ. Ann. Seneca Suas. i. I 'de Oceano dubitant utrumne terras velut vinculum circumfluat.' But, as Clement does not use the word ωκεανός, and as it is not unnatural to speak of the water 'girdling' the land independently of this theory, the inference is questionable. See the note on § 20.

11. προδημιουργήσας] i.e. before τὰ ἐν τῆ γῆ ζῶα φοιτῶντα, which have been already mentioned out of their proper place.

12. ἐνέκλεισεν] 'inclosed within their proper bounds': see above § 20 τὰ περικείμενα αὐτἢ κλεῖθρα.

τὸ ἐξοχώτατον κ.τ.λ.] Is this an accusative after ἔπλασεν, ἄνθρωπον being in apposition? Or is it a nominative absolute, referring to the whole sentence which follows, ἄνθρωπον...χαρακτῆρα? On the construction

εἶναι· θάλασ[σάν τε κ]αὶ τὰ ἐν αὐτῆ ζῶα προδημι[ουργή]σας ἐνέκλεισεν τῆ ἐαυτοῦ [δυ]νάμει· ἐπὶ πᾶσι τὸ ἐξοχώτατον [κα]ὶ παμμέγεθες κατὰ διάνοιαν, [ά]νθρωπον ταῖς
ἱεραῖς καὶ ἀμώμοις χερσὶν ἔπλασεν τῆς ἑαυτοῦ εἰκόνος
15 χαρακτῆρα. οὕτως γάρ Φησιν ὁ Θεός· Ποιήςωμεν ἄνθρωπον κατ εἰκόνα καὶ καθ ὑμοίως νι ἡμετέραν. καὶ ἐποίης ὁ Θεὸς τὸν ἄνθρωπον, ἄρςεν καὶ θλλγ ἐποίης καὶ
τοἡς. Ταῦτα οὖν πάντα τελειώσας ἐπήνεσεν αὐτὰ καὶ
ηὐλόγησεν καὶ εἶπεν· ΑἠΞάνες θε καὶ πληθήνες θε. Εἴδο20 μεν ὅτι †τὸ† ἐν ἔργοις ἀγαθοῖς πάντες ἐκοσμήθησαν

account of the space; but the connexion of the sentences requires it.

12 ἐνέκλισεν Α.

ἐπὶ πῶσι...ἄνθρωπον] ἐπὶ τούτοις τὸν ἐξοχώτατον καὶ παμμεγέθη ἄνθρωπον Damasc.

14 ἰεραῖς] Α. ἰδίαις αὐτοῦ Damasc.

16 εἰκόνα] Damasc. adds ἡμετέραν and omits it after ὁμοίωσιν.

18 ἐπήνεσεν] Α. ἐποίησεν Damasc.

19 αὐξάνεσθε] αυξανεσθαι Α.

πληθύνεσθε] πληθύνεσθαι.

είδομεν] Young (marg.). ιδωμεν Α.

20 ἔργοις] εγγοισ Α.

adopted depends the sense assigned to κατὰ διάνοιαν, which will mean respectively either (1) 'in intellectual capacity', referring to man; or (2) 'as an exercise of His creative intelligence', referring to God. The former appears to be generally adopted; but the latter seems to me preferable; for a sentiment like Hamlet's 'How noble in reason! how infinite in faculty!' is somewhat out of place on the lips of Clement, and such a strong expression as παμμεγεθές κατά διάvoiav jars with his language elsewhere about human intellect, e.g. §§ 13, 32, The παμμεγεθές κατά διάνοιαν therefore seems to have the same bearing as τη ἀκαταλήπτω αὐτοῦ συνέσει above. John of Damascus indeed takes the sentence otherwise, but he omits κατὰ διάνοιαν.

14. αμωμοις] 'faultless'. See the note on μωμοσκοπηθέν, § 41.

15. ποιήσωμεν κ.τ.λ.] A broken quo-

tation from the LXX Gen. i. 26, 27, clauses being left out.

16. εἰκόνα, ὁμοίωσω] These words are distinguished in reference to this text by Trench N. T. Syn. 1st ser. § xv.

19. αὐξάνεσθε κ.τ.λ.] From the LXX Gen. i. 28.

eἴδομεν] The sense seems to require this substitution for ἴδωμεν of the MS; see the introduction p. 25 for similar errors of transcription. 'We saw before,' says Clement, 'that all the righteous were adorned with good works (§ 32), and now I have shown that the Lord God Himself etc.' By ὁ Κύριος is meant ὁ δημιουργὸς καὶ ὁ ἐσπότης τῶν ἀπάντων, as appears from οὖν and from ἐχάρη taken in connexion with what has gone before; (compare ἀγαλλιᾶται above).

20. ὅτι †τό†] If this reading be retained, we must understand a cognate accusative such as κόσμημα: e.g.

οἱ δίκαιοι· καὶ αὐτὸς οὖν ὁ Κύριος ἔργοις ἐαυτὸν κοσμήσας ἐχάρη. ἔχοντες οὖν τοῦτον τὸν ὑπογραμμὸν ἀόκνως προσέλθωμεν τῷ θελήματι αὐτοῦ, ἐξ ὅλης ἰσχύος
ἡμῶν ἐργασώμεθα ἔργον δικαιοσύνης.

ΧΧΧΙΝ. 'Ο ἀγαθὸς ἐργάτης μετὰ παρρησίας λαμ- 5 βάνει τὸν ἄρτον τοῦ ἔργου αὐτοῦ, ὁ νωθρὸς καὶ παρειμένος οὐκ ἀντοφθαλμεῖ τῷ ἐργοπαρέκτη αὐτοῦ. δέον οὖν ἐστιν προθύμους ἡμᾶς εἶναι εἰς ἀγαθοποιΐαν· ἐξ αὐτοῦ γάρ ἐστιν τὰ πάντα· προλέγει γὰρ ἡμῖν· Ἰλογ ὁ Κήριος, καὶ ὁ Μιςθὸς αἤτοῦ πρὸ προςώπογ αἤτοῦ, ἀπολοῦ- 10 Ναι ἐκάςτῷ κατὰ τὸ ἔργον αἤτοῦ. Προτρέπεται οὖν ἡμᾶς

11 προτρέπεται] προτρεπετε Α.

Soph. El. 1075 τον αεί πατρος (sc. στόνον) δειλαία στενάχουσα. This is possible; but the reading is discredited by the fact that the scribe's attention was flagging here, for he writes eyyous for epyous and (as we have seen) ιδωμεν for ειδομεν. All the corrections proposed however are objectionable; e.g. ὅτι τοῖς for ὅτι τὸ εν (Young and others), which disregards a common rule about the position of the article; ὅτι τὸ λεγόμενον ἐν ('as the saying is', Hilgenfeld after M. Schmidt), but there is no proverb here, and this very classical idiom seems out of place in Clement; on τε εν (Hefele and others after Birr), which makes an awkward connecting particle with the following kal...ovv. This last however is the least objectionable of all the proposed corrections: and if it were adopted, we must suppose a slight anacoluthon in the connexion of the sentences. But I should be disposed to omit the τὸ boldly.

ύπογραμμόν] See the note on § 5.

XXXIV. 'The good workman receives his wages boldly: but the

slothful dares not face his employer. The Lord will come quickly with His reward in His hand. He will come attended by myriads of angels, hymning His praises. Let us therefore with one voice and one soul cry to Him, that we may be partakers of His glorious promises, which surpass all that man can conceive.

7. ἀντοφθαλμεῖ] 'faces', as Wisd. xii. 14, Acts xxvii. 15, Barnab. § 5. The word occurs frequently in Polybius. Comp. ἀντωπῆσαι, Theoph. ad Autol. i. 5.

ἐργοπαρέκτη] 'his employer'. I have not found any other instance of this word, which is equivalent to ἐργοδότης. Compare also ἐργολάβος, ἐργοδιώκτης (Exod. iii. 7, v. 6, etc.).

8. ἐξ αὐτοῦ] i.e. τοῦ ἐργοπαρέκτου ήμῶν.

9. ίδοὺ ὁ Κύριος κ.τ.λ.] The beginning is a confusion of Is. xl. 10 ίδοὺ Κύριος (ὁ θεὸς ὑμῶν κ) Κύριος (οπ. Κύριος sec. A) μετὰ ἰσχύος ἔρχεται καὶ ὁ βραχίων (add. αὐτοῦ A) μετὰ κυρίας ίδοὺ ὁ μισθὸς αὐτοῦ μετ' αὐτοῦ καὶ τὸ ἔργον ἐναντίον αὐτοῦ, and Is. kii. 11 ἰδοὺ ὁ σωτήρ σοι παραγέγονεν (σοι ὁ σωτήρ παραγίνεται κλ) ἔχων τὸν ἐαυ-

έξ όλης της καρδίας έπ' αὐτῷ μη ἀργοὺς †μήτε† παρειμένους εἶναι ἐπὶ πῶν ἔργον ἀγαθόν τὸ καύχημα ἡμῶν καὶ ἡ παρρησία ἔστω ἐν αὐτῷ ὑποτασσώμεθα τῷ θελή15 ματι αὐτοῦ κατανοήσωμεν τὸ πῶν [π]ληθος τῶν ἀγγελων αὐτοῦ, πῶς τῷ θελήματι αὐτοῦ λειτουργοῦσιν παρεστῶτες λέγει γὰρ ἡ γραφή Μήριαι μγριάλες παρεἰτικεις αν αἤτῷ, καὶ χίλιαι χιλιάλες ἐλειτοήργογη αἤτῷ Καὶ ἐκέκραγοη ἄγιος, ἄγιος, ἄγιος Κήριος ςαβαώθ, πλήρης πῶς αὐτὸ συναχθέντες τῆ συνειδήσει, ὡς ἐξ ἐνὸς στόματος βοήσωμεν πρὸς αὐτὸν ἐκτενῶς εἰς τὸ μετόχους ἡμᾶς

16 λειτουργούσιν] λιτουργουσι» Α.

18 έλειτούργουν] λιτουργουν Α.

τοῦ μισθόν, καὶ τὸ ἔργον αὐτοῦ (om. αὐτοῦ A) πρὸ προσώπου αὐτοῦ: but the ending comes from Prov. xxiv. 12 δς ἀποδίδωσιν ἐκάστῳ κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτοῦ, unless (as seems more probable from the connexion) it is taken from Rev. xxii. 12 ἰδοὺ ἔρχομαι ταχὺ καὶ ὁ μισθός μου μετ' ἐμοῦ ἀποδοῦναι ἐκάστῳ ὡς τὸ ἔργον ἔσται αὐτοῦ. Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 22 (p. 625) has the same quotation, but is copying the Roman Clement.

12. ἐπ' αὐτῷ] i.e. τῷ μισθῷ, 'with our reward in view'. The position of ἐξ ὅλης τῆς καρδίας is opposed to such corrections as ἐπ' αὐτὸ τὸ or ἐπὶ τὸ for the MS reading ἐπ' αὐτῷ; nor does any alteration seem needed.

†μήτε† παρειμένους κ.τ.λ.] Comp. 2 Tim. ii. 21 εἰς πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθὸν ἡτοιμασμένον, ἐδ. iii. 17, Tit. iii. 1, and see above § 2. The μήτε after μὴ is suspicious (see Winer § lv. p. 513, A. Buttmann p. 315), and should probably be read μηδέ; see the υυ. ll. in Luke vii. 33, Eph. iv. 27.

17. μύριαι κ.τ.λ.] Dan. vii. 10 (Theodot.) χίλιαι χιλιάδες έλειτούργουν αὐτῷ (ἐθεράπευον αὐτόν LXX) καὶ μύριαι μυριάδες παρειστήκεισαν αὐτῷ, the clauses

CLEM.

being transposed by Clement. The order of the clauses in the Hebrew is the same as in the Greek versions. Yet Iren. Har. ii. 7. 4, Euseb. Prap. Ev. vii. 15 (p. 326), Greg. Nyss. Hom. viii in Eccles. (1. p. 463), Cyril. Hier. Catech. xv. 24 (p. 237), and others, give the quotation with the inverted clauses as here; but, as it is quoted with every shade of variation in different fathers and even these same fathers in some cases give the right order elsewhere, no stress can be laid on this coincidence which seems to be purely accidental.

18. καὶ ἐκέκραγον] A loose quotation from LXX Is. vi. 3. Ἐκέκραγον is an imperfect of a new verb κεκράγω formed from κέκραγα; see Buttmann Ausf. Griech. Sprachl. § 111 (II. p. 37).

21. τῆ συνειδήσει] 'in heart, in consciousness': comp. Eccles. x. 20 καί γε ἐν συνειδήσει σου βασιλέα μὴ καταράση i.e. 'in your secret heart'. The presence of their hearts, and not of their bodies only, is required. The commentators however either translate as though it were ἐν ἀγαθῆ συνειδήσει, or give τῆ συνειδήσει the unsupported sense 'harmony, unanimity'. Others

•

γενέσθαι τῶν μεγάλων καὶ ἐνδόξων ἐπαγγελιῶν αὐτοῦ. λέγει γάρ· ᾿Οφθαλμός οἰκ είδεη καὶ οἰς οἰκ ἤκογςεη, καὶ ἐπὶ καρδίαη ἀνθρώπογ οἰκ ἀνέβη, ὅςα ἡτοίμαςεη τοῖς ἡπομένογςιη αἰτόη.

have proposed to read συνδήσει or συνωδία.

2. ὀφθαλμὸς κ.τ.λ.] This quotation occurs also in S. Paul 1 Cor. ii. 9 (where it is introduced by καθώς γέγραπται), in the form â όφθαλμὸς οὐκ είδεν και ούς ούκ ήκουσεν και έπι καρδίαν ανθρώπου ούκ ανέβη όσα ήτοιμασεν ό Θεός τοις άγαπώσιν αὐτόν. cited again in Clem. Rom. ii § 11, Mart. Polyc. 2; see also Lagarde's Gesamm. Abhandl. p. 142. It is apparently taken from Isaiah lxiv. 4, which runs in the LXX ἀπὸ τοῦ αἰῶνος οὖκ ἦκούσαμεν οὐδὲ οἱ ὀΦθαλμοὶ ἡμῶν είδον θεόν πλήν σοῦ καὶ τὰ ἔργα σου å ποιήσεις τοις υπομένουσιν έλεον, but more nearly in the Hebrew, 'From eternity they have not heard, they have not hearkened, neither hath eye seen a god, [or 'O God'] save thee (who) worketh [or '(what) He shall do'] to him that awaiteth Him' (see Delitzsch ad loc.); combined with Is. lxv. 16, 17ουκ αναβήσεται αυτών έπι την καρδίαν...ου μη έπελθη αυτών έπι την καρδίαν. Clement mixes up S. Paul's free translation or paraphrase from the Hebrew with the passage as it stands in the LXX; just as above, § 13, in quoting Jer. ix. 23, 24 (or 1 Sam. ii. 10) he condenses it after S. Paul. For a similar instance see above § 34 ίδου ὁ Κύριος κ.τ.λ. The passages, which Hilgenfeld suggests as the sources of the quotation (4 Esdr. x. 35 sq., 55 sq.), diverge more from the language of S. Paul and Clement, than these words of Isaiah.

The passage, if we may trust S. Jerome, occurred as given by S. Paul, both in the Ascension of Isaiah and

in the Apocalypse of Elias (Hieron. in Is. lxiv. 4, IV. p. 761; Prol. in Gen. IX. p. 3). And Origen, in Matth. xxvii. 9 (111. p. 916), says that S. Paul quotes from the latter, 'In nullo regulari libro hoc positum invenitur, nisi (εὶ μή, 'but only') in Secretis Eliæ prophetæ'. This assertion is repeated also by later writers (see Fabricius Cod. Ps. V. T. I. p. 1073) doubtless from Origen, but combated by Jerome (ll. cc. and Epist. lvii. § 9, I. p. 314), who refers the quotation to Is. lxiv. 4. If it could be shown that these apocryphal books were prior to S. Paul, this solution would be the most probable; but they would appear to have been produced by some Christian sectarians of the second century, for Jerome terms them 'Iberæ næniæ' and connects them with the Basilideans and other Gnostics who abounded in Spain (Il. cc.; see also c. Vigil. II. p. 393, and comp. Fabricius p. 1093 sq.). If so they incorporated the quotation of S. Paul in their forgeries. For a similar instance of incorporation see the notes on Galatians vi. 15. At all events both these works appear from the extant remains to have been Christian. For the Apocalypse of Elias see Epiphan. Hær. xlii (p. 372), who says that the quotation in Eph. v. 14 (which is obviously Christian) was found there; and for the Ascension of Isaiah, this same father Har. lxvii. 3 (p. 712), where he quotes a passage referring to the Trinity. Indeed there is every reason to believe that the work known to Epiphanius and several other fathers under this

XXXV. 'ως μακάρια καὶ θαυμαστὰ τὰ δώρα τοῦ Θεοῦ, ἀγαπητοί. ζωὴ ἐν ἀθανασία, λαμπρότης ἐν δικαιοσύνη, ἀλήθεια ἐν παρρησία, πίστις ἐν πεποιθήσει, ἐγκράτεια ἐν ἀγιασμῷ καὶ ταῦτα ὑπέπιπτεν πάντα ὑπὸ

8 έγκράτεια] εγκρατια Α.

name, is the same with the Ascension and Vision of Isaiah published first (by Lawrence) in an Æthiopic Version and subsequently (by Gieseler) in a Latin. The two versions represent different recensions; and the passage 'Eye hath not seen, etc.' appears in the Latin (xi. 34) but not in the Æthiopic (see Iolowicz Himmelfahrt u. Vision des Propheten Iesaia p. 90, Leipzig, 1854). The Latin recension therefore must have been in the hands of Jerome; though this very quotation seems to show clearly that the Æthiopic more nearly represents the original form of the work (see Lücke Offenbarung d. Johannes p. 279 sq.). Both recensions alike are distinctly Christian.

It was at all events a favourite text with certain early Gnostic sects, who introduced it into their formula of initiation and applied it to their esoteric teaching; see Hippol. Hær. v. 24, 26, 27, vi. 24. This perverted use of the text was condemned by their contemporary Hegesippus (as reported by Stephanus Gobarus in Photius Bibl. 232), as contradicting our Lord's own words μακάριοι οί οφθαλμοὶ ύμῶν κ.τ.λ. In other words he complained that they would restrict to the initiated few the knowledge which Christ declared to be laid open to all. But Stephanus Gobarus himself, writing some centuries later and knowing the text only as it occurs in S. Paul, is not unnaturally at a loss to know what Hegesippus means by this condemnation (our old

δ τι καὶ παθών μάτην μὲν εἰρῆσθαι ταῦτα λέγει κ.τ.λ.). On the use which some modern critics have made of this reference to Hegesippus in Stephanus Gobarus, see Galatians p. 320.

Fabricius (p. 1073) quotes a parallel from Empedocles (Fragm. Philos. I. p. 2, ed. Mullach) οῦτ' ἐπιδερκτὰ τάδ' ἀνδράσιν οῦτ' ἐπακουστά, οῦτε νόφ περιληπτά.

XXXV. 'Great and marvellous are God's gifts even in the present! How then can we conceive the glory that hereafter awaits His patient servants? Let us strive to attain this reward. And to this end let us do what is well-pleasing to Him: let us shun strife and vainglory; let us lay aside all selfish and unbrotherly sins. Remember how in the Psalms God denounces those who hearken not to His warning voice, who persist in wronging their neighbours, counting on His forbearance. He tells us that the sacrifice of praise is the path of salvation'.

6. λαμπρότης] 'cheerfulness, alacrity, strenuousness', as e. g. Plut. Vit. Cim. 17, Polyb. xxxii. 23. I (see Schweigh. Lex. s.v. λαμπρός). Compare the similar word φαιδρότης. The position of λαμπρότης here seems to require this sense, for all the words in the parallel clauses ζωή, ἀλήθεια, πίστις, ἐγκράτεια, refer to the moral consciousness, not to any external advantages.

πίστις ἐν πεποιθήσει] See theonote above, § 26.

8. καὶ ταῦτα κ.τ.λ.] 'These,' Cle-

8---2

την διάνοιαν ημών. τίνα οὖν ἄρα ἐστὶν τὰ ἑτοιμαζόμενα τοῖς ὑπομένουσιν; ὁ δημιουργὸς καὶ πατηρ τῶν
αἰώνων ὁ πανάγιος αὐτὸς γινώσκει την ποσότητα καὶ
την καλλονην αὐτῶν. ἡμεῖς οὖν ἀγωνισώμεθα εὑρεθηναι
ἐν τῷ ἀριθμῷ τῶν ὑπομενόντων αὐτόν, ὅπως μεταλά- 5
βωμεν τῶν ἐπηγγελμένων δωρεῶν. πῶς δὲ ἔσται τοῦτο, ἀγαπητοί; ἐὰν ἐστηριγμένη ἢ ἡ διάνοια ἡμῶν διὰ
πίστεως πρὸς τὸν Θεόν· ἐὰν ἐκζητῶμεν τὰ εὐάρεστα
καὶ εὐπρόσδεκτα αὐτῷ· ἐὰν ἐπιτελέσωμεν τὰ ἀνήκοντα
τῆ ἀμώμῳ βουλήσει αὐτοῦ καὶ ἀκολουθήσωμεν τῆ ὁδῷ το
τῆς ἀληθείας, ἀπορρίψαντες ἀφ' ἐαυτῶν πᾶσαν ἀδικίαν
καὶ ἀνομίαν, πλεονεξίαν, ἔρεις, κακοηθείας τε καὶ δόλους,
ψιθυρισμούς τε καὶ καταλαλιάς, θεοστυγίαν, ὑπερη-

6 δωρεών] δωραιων Α. 7 διά] Young (marg.). om. Α. 13 καταλαλιάτ] καταλιλιασ Α. 14 άλαζονείαν] αλαζονιά Α. ἀφιλοξενίαν] φιλοξενιαν Α. 16 μόνον] μον Α. 19 σου] μου Α. So the MS

ment argues, 'are already within our cognisance. What then are the joys in store for those who remain stedfast to the end?' comp. I Joh. iii. 2 νῦν τέκνα Θεοῦ ἐσμὲν καὶ οὖπω ἐφανερώθη τί ἐσόμεθα.

3. πανάγιος] Apparently the first occurrence of the word, which afterwards takes a prominent place in the language of Greek Christendom.

7. διὰ πίστεως] The omission of διὰ in the MS may perhaps be explained by the neighbourhood of διάνοια. Hilgenfeld reads πιστῶς. Lipsius (p. 15) defends πίστεως, translating 'cogitationes fidei', but this would require ai διάνοιαι τῆς πίστεως.

11. πᾶσαν ἀδικίαν κ.τ.λ.] The whole passage which follows is a reminiscence of Rom. i. 29 sq. ποιεῖν τὰ μὴ καθήκοντα...πάση ἀδικία...πλεονεξία... εξριδος δόλου κακοηθείας, ψιθυριστὰς καταλάλους θεοστυγεῖς... ὑπερηφάνους ἀλαζόνας...ἐπιγνόντες ὅτι οἱ τὰ τοιαῦτα

πράσσοντες ἄξιοι θανάτου εἰσίν, οὐ μόνον αὐτὰ ποιοῦσιν (υ.l. ποιοῦντες) ἀλλὰ καὶ συνευδοκοῦσιν (υ.l. συνευδοκοῦντες) τοῖς πράσσουσιν. On the reading ποιοῦντες, συνευδοκοῦντες, supported by Clement's language here, see Tischendorf's note.

14. ἀφιλοξενίαν] This is the simplest emendation of the MS reading; see the note on $\mu \eta$ $d\tau \eta \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \tau \omega$ § 38. The word occurs Orac. Sibyll. viii. 304 της άφιλοξενίης ταύτην τίσουσι τράπε-(av. Other proposed readings are φιλοτιμίαν, φιλοδοξίαν, φιλονεικίαν. The suggestion of Lipsius (p. 115), that the Corinthians had failed in the duty of providing for others, appears to be correct. But the word seems to point rather to their churlishness in not entertaining foreign Christians at Corinth, than (as he maintains) to the niggardliness of their contributions towards the needs of poor Christians abroad, though they may have φανίαν τε καὶ ἀλαζονείαν, κενοδοξίαν τε καὶ ἀφιλο15 ξενίαν. ταῦτα γὰρ οἱ πράσσοντες στυγητοὶ τῷ Θεῷ ὑπάρχουσιν· οὐ μόνον δὲ οἱ πράσσοντες αὐτά, ἀλλὰ καὶ οἱ συνευδοκοῦντες αὐτοῖς. λέγει γὰρ ἡ γραφή· Τῷ λὲ ἁμαρτωλῷ εἶπεν ὁ Θεός· Ἰνα τί ςὰ Διης τὰ ἀικαιώματά μος, καὶ ἀναλαμβάνεις την Διαθήκην μος ἐπὶ στόματός σος;
20 ςὰ λὲ ἐμίς η καὶ δείαν, καὶ ἐξέβαλλες τοὰς λόγοςς μος εἰς τὰ ἀπίςω. εἰ ἐθεώρεις κλέπτην, ςγνέτρεχες αὰτῷ, καὶ μετὰ μοίχων την μερίδα σος ἐτίθεις· τὸ στόμα σος ἐπλεόνας εν κακίαν, καὶ ἡ γλῶς σας κατελάλεις, καὶ κατὰ τοῦ ἀλελφοῦ σος κατελάλεις, καὶ κατὰ τοῦ γἰοῦ τῆς
25 μητρός σος ἐτίθεις σκάνδαλον· ταῆτα ἐποίης καὶ ἐςίγης τὰ ἡπέλαβες, ἄνομε, ὅτι ἔς ομαί σοι ὅμοιος· ἐλές ἔω σε καὶ ἐπέλαβες, ἄνομε, ὅτι ἔς ομαί σοι ὅμοιος· ἐλές ἔω σε καὶ ἐχορος καὶ ἐχορος ἐπίθεις καὶ ἐκονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἔχονος ἐκονος ἔχονος ἐκονος ἔχονος ἐκονος ἔχονος ἐκονος ἔχονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἔχονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἔχονος ἐκονος ἔχονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἐκονος ἔχονος ἐκονος ἔχονος ἐκονος ἐκονος

failed in this respect also (see the note § 38). The duty of entertaining the brethren from foreign churches was a recognised obligation among the early Christians. In former times the Corinthians had obtained a good report for the practice of this virtue (§ 1 τὸ μεγαλοπρεπές τῆς φιλοξενίας ὑμῶν ἦθος οἰκ ἐκήρυξεν;), but now all was changed. Hence the stress laid on the hospitality of Abraham (§ 10), of Lot (§ 11), of Rahab (§ 12); for this virtue cannot have been singled out in all three cases without some special reference.

17. τῷ δὲ ἀμαρτωλῷ κ.τ.λ.] From the LXX Ps. l. 16—23, with slight variations, of which the more important are noted below.

23. καθήμενος] implying deliberate conspiracy; see Perowne on Ps. i. 1. 26. ἄνομε] LXX ἀνομίαν (Β); but **X** has ανομε, though it is afterwards corrected into ανομειαν (ἀνομίαν). 'Ανο-

μίαν is read by Justin Dial. 22 (p. 240), Clem. Alex. Strom. vi. 14 (p. 798); but avous Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 24 (p. 634). The Syriac los does not favour ἄνομε (as Wotton states), except that the existing pointing interprets it thus. The reading of our MS here shows how easy was the transition from the one to the other, avonai ($\tilde{a}vo\mu\epsilon$) and avoniā (= $\tilde{a}vo$ μίαν). (See the notes on αναστήσομαι § 5, and η δείξω just below). Though ανομε makes better sense, the original reading of the LXX here must have been ἀνομίαν (not ἄνομε as Wotton thinks); for the translators must have misread דמית היות אהיה 'Thou thoughtest, I shall surely be', as if Thou thoughtest 'Thou destruction (or iniquity), I shall be', since הוות is elsewhere translated by ανομία, Ps. lvii. 2, xciv. 20; and Theodotion, whose version agreed with the · LXX (see Field's Hexapl. ad loc.),

παραστήςω σε κατά πρόσωπόν σογ. σήνετε δή ταθτα, οἱ ἐπιλανθανόμενοι τοθ Θεοθ, μήποτε άρπάση ὡς λέων, καὶ Μὴ ἢ ὁ ἡγόμενος. Θγοία αἰνέσεως δοξάσει με, καὶ ἐκεῖ ὁδὸς ἦ δείξω αὐτῷ τὸ σωτήριον τοθ Θεοθ.

ΧΧΧVI. Αύτη ή όδός, άγαπητοί, ἐν ἡ εύρομεν τὸ 5 σωτήριον ήμῶν Ἰησοῦν Χριστὸν τὸν ἀρχιερέα τῶν προσφορῶν ήμῶν, τὸν προστάτην καὶ βοηθὸν τῆς ἀσθενείας ήμῶν. διὰ τούτου ἀτενίσωμεν εἰς τὰ ὕψη τῶν οὐρανῶν διὰ τούτου ἐνοπτριζόμεθα τὴν ἄμωμον καὶ ὑπερτάτην

3 7] See below. ην Α. 7 ἀσθενείας] ασθενιασ Α. 8 τούτου]
ΤΟΥΤΟΥ (the superscribed Y being prima manu) Α.

11 ἐσκοτωμένη] Α.

must have read it in the same way.

- I. παραστήσω σε κ.τ.λ.] 'I will bring thee face to face with thyself, show thee to thyself in thy true light.' The $\sigma\epsilon$ is omitted in NB of the LXX and doubtless had no place in the original text of this version which agreed with the Hebrew, 'I will lay in order (the matter) before thee'. Justin Dial. 22 (l. c.) and other writers supply an accusative τ às άμαρτίας σου, which is found also in a large number of MSS (see Holmes and Parsons).
- 2. $\omega_s \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$] i.e. 'lest one seize him as it were a lion'. The words $\omega_s \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ are absent from the LXX (and Justin Dial. 22 p. 402), as also from the Hebrew. They must have come from Ps. vii. 3, either as a gloss in Clement's text of the LXX or as inadvertently inserted by him in a quotation made from memory.
- 4. $\frac{1}{n} \delta \epsilon i \xi \omega$] As $\frac{1}{n}$ is read in the LXX (NB) and in Justin l. c., and as the parallelism in the opening of the next chapter ($\frac{1}{n} \delta \delta \delta \delta s \epsilon \nu \int_{0}^{\infty} \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \rho \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \delta \sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \nu \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.) seems to require it, I have restored it for $\frac{1}{n}\nu$. For similar corruptions in the MS see § 15 avaστησομεν (note), § 36 οσων, § 41 συνει-

δησιν, ii. § 6 αἰχμαλωσιαν. If ην be retained, σωτήριον must be taken as a nominative in apposition with ὁδός.

XXXVI. 'On this path let us travel. This salvation is Jesus Christ our High-priest. Through Him our darkness is made light, and we see the Father: for He is the reflexion of God's person. He has a place far above all angels, being seated on God's right hand and endowed with universal dominion and made triumphant over His enemies. These enemies are they that resist God's will.'

6. τον ἀρχιερέα] This is founded on the teaching of the Epistle to the Hebrews (ii. 17, iii. 1, iv. 14, 15, etc.), of which Clement's language throughout this section is an echo. again § 58. Photius (Bibl. 126) alludes to these two passages in his criticism of Clement, ἀρχιερέα καὶ προστάτην τὸν Κύριον ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦν ἐξονομάζων οὐδὲ τὰς θεοπρεπεῖς καὶ ύψηλοτέρας ἀφηκε περί αὐτοῦ φωνάς (see the notes § 2, 57). The term ἀρχιερεύς is very frequently applied to our Lord by the earliest Christian writers of all schools; Polyc. Phil. 12, Ign. Philad. 9, Test. xii Patr. Rub. 6,

10 όψιν αὐτοῦ· διὰ τούτου ἠνεώχθησαν ἡμῶν οἱ ὀφθαλμοὶ τῆς καρδίας· διὰ τούτου ἡ ἀσύνετος καὶ ἐσκοτωμένη διάνοια ἡμῶν ἀναθάλλει εἰς τὸ θαυμαστὸν αὐτοῦ φῶς· διὰ τούτου ἠθέλησεν ὁ δεσπότης τῆς ἀθανάτου γνώσεως ἡμᾶς γεύσασθαι· δε ὢη ἀπαίγας και της μεγαλως ήνης αἰς τοῦ τος οἱτω μείχων ἐςτὶν ἀγγέλων, ὅςω Διαφορώτερον ὅνομα κεκληρονόμηκεν. γέγραπται γὰρ οὕτως· Ὁ ποιῶν τοὰς ἀγγέλοςς αἰτοῦ πιείματα καὶ τοὰς λειτογργοὰς αἰτοῦ πιρὸς φλόγα. Ἐπὶ δὲ τῷ υἰῷ αὐτοῦ οὕτως εἶπεν ὁ

έσκοτωμένη Clem. Alex. 613. 12 θαυμαστόν αὐτοῦ] om. Clem. Alex. 15 ὄσφ] οσω (i. e. ὄσων) Α.

Sym. 7, etc., *Clem. Recogn.* i. 48, Justin *Dial.* 116 (p. 344).

tin Dial. 116 (p. 344).

7. προστάτην] 'guardian, patron, who protects our interests and pleads our cause'. To a Roman it would convey all the ideas of the Latin 'patronus,' of which it was the recognised rendering, Plut. Vit. Rom. 13, Vit. Marii 5. Comp. προστάτις Rom. xvi. 2.

9. ἐνοπτριζόμεθα] Christ is the mirror in whom is reflected the faultless countenance of God the Father (αὐτοῦ); comp. 2 Cor. iii. 18 τὴν δόξαν Κυρίου κατοπτριζόμενοι, Philo Leg. All. iii. 33 (I. p. 107) μηδὲ κατοπτρισαίμην ἐν ἄλλφ τινὶ τὴν σὴν ἰδέαν ἡ ἐν σοὶ τῷ Θεῷ; comp. John i. 14.

αμωμον] 'faultless', 'fleckless', because the mirror is perfect. For the meaning of αμωμος, see the note on

μωμοσκοπηθέν, § 41.

11. διὰ τούτου κ.τ.λ.] Quoted in Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 16 (p. 613) δ ἐν τῆ πρὸς Κορινθίους ἐπιστολῆ γέγραπται, Διὰ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ ἡ ἀσύνετος...ἡμᾶς γεύσασθαι.

ή ἀσύνετος κ.τ.λ.] Rom. i. 21 καὶ ἐσκοτίσθη ή ἀσύνετος αὐτῶν καρδία, Ephes. iv. 18 ἐσκοτωμένοι [υ.λ. ἐσκοτωμένοι] τῆ διανοία. These passages are sufficient to explain how Clem.

Alex. in quoting our Clement writes ἐσκοτισμένη, but not sufficient to justify the substitution of this form for ἐσκοτωμένη in our text. See A. Jahn's Methodius II. p. 77, note 453.

12. ἀναθάλλει κ.τ.λ.] i.e. 'Our mind, like a plant shut up in a dark closet, had withered in its growth. Removed thence by His loving care, it revives and shoots up towards the light of heaven.' Comp. I Pet. ii. 9 τοῦ ἐκ σκότους ὑμᾶς καλέσαντος εἰς τὸ θαυμαστὸν αὐτοῦ φῶς. It is strange that editors should have wished to alter ἀναθάλλει, which contains so striking an image.

14. δς ὧν κ.τ.λ.] The whole passage is borrowed from the opening of the Epistle to the Hebrews, from which expressions, arguments, and quotations alike are taken: see esp. i. 3, 4, 5, 7, 13. For the meaning see the commentators on that epistle. On δνομα, 'title, dignity', see Philippians ii. 9.

16. ὁ ποιῶν κ.τ.λ.] From LXX Ps. civ. 4. It is quoted exactly as in Heb. i. 7, πυρὸς φλόγα being substituted for πῦρ φλέγον of the LXX (NB, but A has πυροσ φλεγα which shows the reading in a transition state).

δεσπότης 'Υιός μος εἰ ςς, ἐςὼ τήμερον Γες έννηκά τε αἶτης αι παρὶ ἐμος, καὶ δώς ω τοι ἔθνη την κληρονομίαν τος,
κ[αὶ την κατά] τας τὰ πέρατα τ[θις Γθις]. καὶ πάλιν
λέγει πρὸς αὐ[τόν Κάθος] ἐκ δεξιῶν μος, ἔως ἄν [θῶ
τος τὸς] ἐχθρος τος ἡποπόδιο[ν τῶν πο] δῶν τος. Τίνες οὖν 5
οἱ ἐ[χθροί]; οἱ φαῦλοι καὶ ἀντιτασσ[όμενοι] τῷ θελήματι [αὐτοῦ].

6 ἐχθροί] Jacobson. This is quite enough for the space. Other editors add αὐτοῦ or Κυρίου (i.e. κ $\overline{\gamma}$). ἀντιτασσόμενοι] Wotton. Previous editors added other words, but ἀντιτασσόμενοι is sufficient for the space. τ $\hat{\varphi}$ θελήματι αὐτοῦ] τωθεληματιτωθελημα..... A. The Ms is correctly read by Tisch. The lacuna has space for seven letters and should probably be filled up (with Tisch.) τιαυτου, the words τ $\hat{\varphi}$ θελήματι being written twice over. Having regard to the context, αὐτοῦ is better than τοῦ θεοῦ (τογθ $\overline{\gamma}$) which would fill the lacuna equally well.

• 1. ὑιός μου κ.τ.λ.] From LXX Ps. ii. 7 word for word, after Heb. i. 5: comp. Acts xiii. 33 (in S. Paul's speech at the Pisidian Antioch), where it is again quoted. In both these passages the 7th verse only is given: Clement adds the 8th, αἴτησαι κ.τ.λ.

4. κάθου κ.τ.λ.] From LXX Ps. cx. I word for word, after Heb. i. 13.

XXXVII. 'We are fighting as soldiers under our heavenly captain. Subordination of rank and obedience to orders are necessary conditions in an army. There must be harmonious working of high and low. So it is with the human body. The head must work with the feet and the feet with the head, for the health and safety of the whole'.

8. στρατευσώμεθα] 2 Cor. x. 3, 1 Tim. i. 18, 2 Tim. ii. 3, 4, Ign. *Polyc.* 6.

10. κατανοήσωμεν κ.τ.λ.] So Seneca de Tranq. An. 4 'Quid si militare nolis nisi imperator aut tribunus? etiamsi alii primam frontem tenebunt, te sors inter triarios posuerit,

inde voce, adhoftatione, exemplo, animo, milita'.

τοῖς ἡγουμένοις ἡμῶν] 'under our temporal rulers.' For this sense of οἱ ἡγούμενοι see the note § 5. On the other hand οἱ ἡγούμενοι is used elsewhere of the officers of the Church: see § I (note). For the dative after στρατεύεσθαι see Ign. Polyc. 6 ἀρέσκετε ῷ στρατεύεσθε, Appian Bell. Civ. i. 42 τοῖς ἐν αὐτῆ Ῥωμαίοις...ἐκήρυξεν... στρατεύσειν ἑαυτῷ (where στρατεύσειν is transitive).

II. εὐεικτικῶs] 'submissively'. The adverb εὐείκτωs is recognised in the Etym. Magn., and of the adjective εὔεικτοs the Lexicons give several instances, e.g. Dion Cass. lxix. 20. On the other hand of εὐεικτικόs,-κῶs, though legitimate forms, no examples are given in the Lexicons. If εὐεικτικῶs cannot stand, we may suppose that the traces in the MS (as I read it) exhibit a correction of εὐεκτικῶσ or rather εἰεκτικῶσ (which had been written first) into εὐεικτῶσ.

10 [αὐτοῦ]· κατανοήσωμεν τοὺς στρα[τευο]μένους τοῖς ἡγουμένοις ἡ[μῶν], πῶς• εὐτάκτως, πῶς εὐεικτικ[ῶς], πῶς
ὑποτεταγμένως ἐπιτελο[ῦ]σιν τὰ διατασσόμενα. οὐ
πάντε[ς] εἰσὶν ἔπαρχοι οὐδὲ χιλίαρχοι οὐδὲ ἐκατόνταρχοι οὐδὲ πεντηκόνταρχοι οὐδὲ τὸ καθεξῆς· ἀλλ' ἕκαστος
15 ἐν τῷ ἰδίῳ τάγματι τὰ ἐπιτασσόμενα ὑπὸ τοῦ βασιλέως καὶ τῶν ἡγουμένων ἐπιτελεῖ. οἱ μεγάλοι Δίχα τῶν
μικρῶν οὐ δύνανται εἶναι, οὕτε οἱ μικροὶ Δίχα τῶν μεγα-

EYEKTII... A, as I read it. The first part has originally been written EIEKT but the I is prolonged and altered into an γ and an I is superscribed between ε and κ , so that it becomes $\varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \iota \kappa \tau$. So far I agree with Tisch. prol. p. xix. After this Tisch. reads ω ('non integra'); it seems to me more like an I with a stroke of another letter which might be κ , so that I read the part before the lacuna $\varepsilon \iota \iota \varepsilon \iota \kappa \tau \kappa$. But the Ms is so worn, that it is impossible to speak confidently. The lacuna seems too great for a single letter, and this again is an objection to $\varepsilon \iota \iota \iota \kappa \tau \omega [\sigma]$, the reading of Tisch. But the uneven length of the lines diminishes the force of this objection.

12. οὐ πάντες κ.τ.λ.] Comp. 1 Cor. xii. 29, 30.

13. ἔπαρχοι κ.τ.λ.] See Exod. xviii. 2Ι καταστήσεις [αὐτοὺς] ἐπ' αὐτῶν χιλιάρχους καὶ έκατοντάρχους καὶ πεντηκοντάρχους καὶ δεκαδάρχους (comp. ver. 25). The reference here however is to Roman military organization as the context shows; comp. Clem. Hom. x. 14 ζυπερ γάρ τρόπου είς έστιν ὁ Καίσαρ, έχει δε ύπ' αὐτὸν τοὺς διοικήτας (ὑπατικούς, ἐπάρχους, χιλιάρχους, ἐκατοντάρχους, δεκαδάρχους), τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον The ἔπαρχοι therefore are 'prefects', ἔπαρχος being used especially of the 'præfectus prætorio', e.g. Plut. Galb. 13, Otho 7; comp. Dion Cass. *Fragm.* (v. p. 203 ed. L. Dind.) αίσχρόν έστι, Καΐσαρ, έκατοντάρχφ σε διαλέγεσθαι των έπάρχων έξω έστώτων. The χιλίαρχοι, έκαντόνταρχοι, again are the common equivalents for 'tribuni', 'centuriones', respectively. But for πεντηκόνταρχος I do not know any corresponding term in the Roman army. If it represents the 'optio' the

lieutenant or the signifer 'the ensign' (see Löhr *Taktik u. Kriegswesen* p. 41), the numerical relation of 50 to 100 has become meaningless.

14. ἔκαστος κ.τ.λ.] Ι Cor. xv. 23 ἔκαστος δὲ ἐν τῷ ἰδίφ τάγματι; comp. below § 41.

15. βασιλέως] Comp. 1 Pet. ii. 13 sq. εἶτε βασιλεῖ...εἶτε ἦγεμόσω; comp. Joh. xix. 15, Acts xvii. 7. The official title of the emperor in Greek was αὐτοκράτωρ, but βασιλεὺς is found in common parlance, though the corresponding 'rex' would not be used except in gross flattery.

16. οἱ μεγάλοι κ.τ.λ.] See Soph. Aj. 158 (quoted by Jacobson) καίτοι σμικροὶ μεγάλων χωρὶς σφαλερὸν πύργου ρῦμα πέλονται κ.τ.λ. (with Lobeck's note), Plato Leg. x. p. 902 Ε σὐδὲ γὰρ ἄνευ σμικρῶν τοὺς μεγάλους φασὶν οἱ λιθολόγοι λίθους εὖ κεῖσθαι, with the remarks of Donaldson New Crat. § 455, on this proverb. I have therefore ventured to print the words as a quotation, and indeed Clement's text

λων ε τρκραείε τις έςτιν έν πασιν, και έν τούτοις χρησις. Λάβωμεν το σώμα ήμων ή κεφαλή δίχα των ποδών οὐδέν ἐστιν, ούτως οὐδὲ οἱ πόδες δίχα της κεφαλης τὰ δὲ ἐλάχιστα μέλη τοῦ σώματος ήμων ἀναγκαῖα καὶ εὕχρηστά εἰσιν ὅλω τῷ σώματι ἀλλὰ πάντα συνπνεῖ 5 καὶ ὑποταγη μιὰ χρηται εἰς τὸ σώζεσθαι ὅλο[ν] τὸ σώμα.

ΧΧΧ VIII. Cωζέσθω οὖν ἡμῶν ὅλ[ον] τὸ σῶμα ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ, καὶ ὑποτασσέσθ[ω] ἔκαστος τῷ πλησίον αὐτοῦ, καθώ[s] καὶ ἐτέθη ἐν τῷ χαρίσματι αὐτοῦ. ὁ 10 ἰσχυρὸς μὴ ἀτημελείτω τὸν ἀσθενῆ, ὁ δὲ ἀσθενὴς ἐν-

1Ι ἀτημελείτω] τμμελειτω A. See below. 15 ἐνδεικνύσθω] ενδικνυσθω A. ἐν λόγοις] A. λόγοις μόνον Clem. Alex. 613. 16 ταπεινοφρονών] A. ταπεινόφρων Clem. Alex. μὴ ἐαυτῷ μαρτυρείτω] A. μαρτ. μὴ ἐαυτ. transp. Clem. Alex. 17 ἐάτω] A. ἐν τῷ Clem. Alex. ἐαυτόν] A. αὐτὸν Clem. Alex.

seems to embody some anapæstic fragments.

1. σύγκρασις κ.τ.λ.] This seems to be a reference to Eurip. Fragm. Æol. 2 ἀλλ' ἔστι τις σύγκρασις ὥστ' ἔχειν καλῶς, for Euripides is there speaking of the mutual cooperation of rich and poor: see the passage quoted from the context of Euripides on ὁ πλούσιος κ.τ.λ. just below § 38. Comp. 1 Cor. xii. 24 ἀλλὰ ὁ Θεὸς συνεκέρασεν τὸ σῶμα.

2. λάβωμεν τὸ σῶμα κ.τ.λ.] Suggested by 1 Cor. xii. 12 sq. (comp. Rom. xii. 4); see esp. ver. 22 τὰ δοκοῦντα μέλη τοῦ σώματος ἀσθενέστερα ὑπάρχειν ἀναγκαῖά ἐστιν.

XXXVIII. 'So therefore let the health of the whole body be our aim. Let weak and strong, rich and poor, work together in harmony. Let each man exercise his special gift in humility of heart and without vainglory, remembering that he owes everything to God and giving thanks to Him for His goodness.'

9. ὑποτασσέσθω ἔκαστος κ.τ.λ.] Ephes. v. 21; comp. 1 Pet. v. 5.

10. καθώς καὶ ἐτέθη] ςς. ὁ πλησίον, 'according as he was appointed with his special gift'; comp. 1 Pet. iv. 10 ἔκαστος καθώς ἔλαβεν χάρισμα, 1 Cor. vii. 7 ἔκαστος ἴδιον ἔχει χάρισμα ἐκ Θεοῦ, Rom. xii. 6 ἔχοντες χαρίσματα κατὰ τὴν χάριν τὴν δοθεῖσαν ἡμῖν διάφορα.

11. μη ἀτημελείτω] This reading makes better sense than πλημμελείτω (for Clement is condemning the depreciation of others) and accounts more easily for the corruption; see the omission of a in ἀφιλοξενίαν § 35.

12. ὁ πλούσιος κ.τ.λ.] See Eurip. Fragm. £ol. 2 (of which the context is cited above, § 37) α μὴ γάρ ἐστι τῷ πένητι, πλούσιος δίδωσ' α δ' οἱ πλουτοῦντες οὐ κεκτήμεθα, τοῦσιν πένησι χρώμενοι θηρώμεθα. The resemblance here confirms the conjecture that in the earlier passage Clement has the words of Euripides in his mind.

14. ἀναπληρωθ $\hat{\eta}$ κ.τ.λ.] For the ex-

τρεπέτω τὸν ἰσχυρόν· ὁ πλούσιος ἐπιχορηγείτω τῷ πτωχῷ, ὁ δὲ πτωχὸς εὐχαριστείτω τῷ Θεῷ, ὅτι ἔδωκεν αὐτῷ δι' οὖ ἀναπληρωθῆ αὐτοῦ τὸ ὑστέρημα. ὁ σοφὸς το ἐνδεικνύσθω τὴν σοφίαν αὐτοῦ μὴ ἐν λόγοις ἀλλ' ἐν ἔργοις ἀγαθοῖς· ὁ ταπεινοφρονῶν μὴ ἑαυτῷ μαρτυρείτω], ἀλλ' ἐάτω ὑφ' ἐτέρου ἑαυτὸν μ[αρ]τυρεῖσθαι. ὁ ἀγνὸς ἐν τῆ σαρκὶ [ἔστω] καὶ μὴ ἀλαζονευέσθω, γινώσ-[κων ὅτι ἕτ]ερός ἐστιν ὁ ἐπιχορηγῶν [αὐτῷ] τὴν ἐγκρά-20 τειαν. ᾿Αναλογι[σώμ]εθα οὖν, ἀδελφοί, ἐκ ποίας [ὕλης] ἐγενήθημεν, ποῖοι καὶ τί[νες εἰ]σήλθαμεν εἰς τὸν κόσμον· [ώς ἐκ τ]οῦ τάφου καὶ σκότους [ὁ ποιή]σας ἡμᾶς καὶ

18 έν] om. Clem. Alex. ἔστω] Laurent, p. 423. The margin of the parchment is cut off, so that nothing is visible in the Ms. There seems however to have been room for ἔστω, as the size of the letters is often diminished at the end of the lines. On the reading of Clem. Alex. see below.

pression see I Cor. xvi. 17, Phil. ii. 30: comp. Col. i. 24.

ό σοφὸς κ.τ.λ.] This passage down to τὴν ἐγκράτειαν is quoted in Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 16 (p. 613) between extracts from § 40, 41 (see the notes there).

18. ἔστω] 'let him be it'. For this emphatic use compare Ign. Ephes. 15 ἄμεινόν ἐστιν σιωπάν καὶ εἶναι ἡ λαλούντα μή είναι, Iren. ii. 30. 2 οὐκ έν τῷ λέγειν ἀλλ' ἐν τῷ εἶναι ὁ κρείττων δείκνυσθαι όφείλει. I have preferred Laurent's happy emendation έστω to σιγάτω which has also been suggested, both because it better suits the vacant space in the MS, and because it explains why Clem. Alex. quotes the passage ὁ άγνὸς τῆ σαρκὶ μὴ ἀλαζονευέσθω, omitting forw kal for the sake of getting a smoother construction. At the end of a line it is not safe to speak positively about the number of letters to be supplied, as there the letters are sometimes much smaller and extend beyond the line; but

σιγάτω seems under any circumstances too long to be at all probable. Hilgenfeld's reading, δ άγνδς έν τη σαρκὶ καὶ [αὐτὸς] μὴ ἀλαζονευέσθω, supplies the lacuna in the wrong place. For the sentiment see Ign. Polyc. 5 εί τις δύναται εν άγνεία μενειν είς τιμην της σαρκός του Κυρίου, έν ακαυχησία μενέτω· ἐὰν καυχήσηται, ἀπώλετο (see above p. 9), Tertull. de Virg. Vel. 13 'Et si a Deo confertur continentiæ virtus, quid gloriaris, quasi non acceperis', passages quoted by Wotton. Clement's language is not sufficient to explain the allusions of Epiphanius and Jerome (quoted above, p. 16), which doubtless refer to the spurious Epistles on Virginity.

21. ποίοι καὶ τίνες] Ι Pet. i. ΙΙ εἰς τίνα ἡ ποίον καιρόν.

εἰσήλθαμεν] See Winer § xiii. p. 86. 22. ώς ἐκ τοῦ τάφου καὶ σκότους] rightly punctuated by Hilgenfeld with Potter on Clem. Alex. *l. c.* The editors generally have connected this clause with the preceding sentence.

δημιουργήσας [εἰσή]γαγεν εἰς τὸν κόσμον αὐτοῦ, [προ]ετοιμάσας τὰς εὐεργεσίας [αὐτ]οῦ πρὶν ἡμᾶς γεννηθῆναι. [ταῦ]τα οὖν πάντα έξ αὐτοῦ ἔχοντες [ό]φείλομεν κατὰ πάντα εὐχαριστεῖν [αὐ]τῷ· ῷ ἡ δόξα εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων. ἀμήν.

ΧΧΧΙΧ. "Αφρονες καὶ ἀσύνετοι καὶ μωροὶ καὶ ἀπαίδευτοι χλευάζουσιν ἡμᾶς καὶ μυκτηρίζουσιν, ἐαυτοὺς βουλόμενοι ἐπαίρεσθαι ταῖς διανοίαις αὐτῶν. τί γὰρ δύναται θνητός; ἢ τίς ἰσχὺς γηγενοῦς; γέγραπται γάρ. Οἰκ ਜι μορφή πρὸ ἀφθαλμῶν μος ἀλλ ἢ αἤραν καὶ το φωνήν ἤκογον. τί γάρ; μὰ καθαρός ἔςται Βροτός ἔναντι Κγρίος; ἢ ἀπὸ τῶν ἔργων αἰτος ἄμεμπτος ἀνήρ; εἰ κατὰ

3 δφείλομεν] οφιλομεν A. 4 εὐχαριστεῖν] ευχαριστῖ A. 7 μυκτηρίζουσιν] μυκτιρηζουσιν A. 16 ξπαισεν] επεσεν A. σητός] σητον stands in A (as I

1. προετοιμάσας κ.τ.λ.] See the fragment from 'the 9th Epistle' of Clement of Rome in Leontius and John Sacr. Rer. ii (Mai Script. Vet. Nov. Coll. VII. p. 84) ΐνα καὶ γενώμεθα βουληθέντος αὐτοῦ, οὐκ ὅντες πρὶν γενέσθαι, καὶ γενόμενοι ἀπολαύσωμεν τῶν δι' ἡμᾶς γενομένων' διὰ τοῦτό ἐσμεν ἄνθρωποι καὶ φρόνησιν ἔχομεν καὶ λόγον, παρ' αὐτοῦ λαβόντες.

XXXIX. 'What folly is the arrogance and self-assumption of those who would make a mockery of us! Have we not been taught in the Scriptures the nothingness of man? In God's sight not even the angels are pure: how much less we frail creatures of earth! A lump of clay, a breath of air, the sinner is consumed in a moment by God's wrath: and the righteous shall inherit his forfeited blessings.'

- 6. ἄφρονες κ.τ.λ.] Comp. Hermas Sim. ix. 14 ἄφρων εἶ καὶ ἀσύνετος.
- 7. χλευάζουσιν κ.τ.λ.] Ps. xliv. 14 (v.l.), lxxix. 4, μυκτηρισμός καὶ χλευασμός.

9. γέγραπται γάρ Along passage from the LXX Job iv. 16—v. 5, the words οὐρανὸς δὲ...αὐτοῦ being inserted from Job xv. 15 (see below). The variations from the LXX are for the most part slight.

10. $o i \kappa \eta \nu \mu \rho \rho \phi \eta \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The words of Eliphaz reproving Job. He relates how a voice spoke to him in the dead of night, telling him that no man is pure in God's sight. The LXX differs materially from the Hebrew, but the general sense is the same in both. The $o i \kappa$ is not represented in the Hebrew, and it may have been inserted by the LXX to avoid an anthropomorphic expression; but the translators must also have read the preceding words somewhat differently.

12. εὶ κατὰ παίδων κ.τ.λ.] 'seeing that against His servants He is distrustful, and against (to the discredit of) His angels He noteth some depravity.'

14. οὐρανὸς δὲ κ.τ.λ.] From Job xv. 15 (likewise in a speech of Eliphaz) εἰ κατὰ ἀγίων οὐ πιστεύει, οὐρανὸς δὲ οὐ παίδων αὐτοῦ οὐ πιστεύει, κατά δέ ἀΓΓέλων αὐτοῦ σκολιόν τι ἐπενόκσεν οὐρανός δὲ οὐ καθαρός ἐνώπιον αὐτοῦ ἔα 15 δέ, οἱ κατοικοῦντες οἰκίας πηλίνας ἐξ ὧν καὶ αὐτοὶ ἐκ τοῦ αὐτοῦ πηλοῦ ἐςμέν ἔπαισεν αὐτοὺς απτὸς τρόπον, καὶ ἀπὸ πρωῖθεν ἔως ἑςπέρας οὐκ ἔτι εἰςίν παρὰ τὸ μιὰ Δύναςθαι αὐτοὺς ἑαγτοῖς Βοηθήςαι ἀπώλοντο ἐνεφύςκτεν αὐτοῖς καὶ ἐτελεύτηςαν, παρὰ τὸ μιὰ ἔχειν αὐτοὺς σοφίαν. ἐπικάλεςαι 20 δέ, εἴ τίς σοι ὑπακούς εται, μ εἴ τινα άΓιων ἀΓΓέλων ὄψηκαὶ Γὰρ ἄφρονα ἀναιρεῖ ὀργή, πεπλανημένον δὲ θανατοῖ τηλος. ἐΓὼ δὲ ἑώρακα ἄφρονας ῥίτας Βαλόντας, ἀλλ εὐθέως ἐβρώθη αὐτῶν ἡ δίαιτα. πόρρω Γένοιντο οἱ γίοὶ αὐτῶν ἀπὸ σωτηρίας κολαβρισθείηςαν ἐπὶ θύραις ἡςςόνων,

read it), by a transposition with the termination of the next word. Tisch however gives the reading $\sigma\eta\tau\sigma\sigma$. $\tau\rho\delta\pi\sigma\nu$] $\tau\rho\sigma\pi\sigma\sigma$ A.

καθαρὸς ἐναντίον αὐτοῦ. The fact that nearly the same words occur as the first clause of xv. 15, which are found likewise in iv. 18, has led Clement to insert the second clause also of this same verse in the other passage to which it does not belong.

ἔα δέ, οἱ κατοικοῦντες] 'Away, ye that dwell'. In the LXX NB read τοὺς δὲ κατοικοῦντας, but A ἔα δὲ τοὺς κατοικοῦντας 'let alone those that dwell'. The latter is a better rendering of the Hebrew and must have been the original LXX text. Symmachus has πόσφ μᾶλλον, to which ἔα with this construction is an equivalent, Job xv. 16, xxv. 6.

15. οἰκίας πηλίνας] The houses of clay in the original probably signify men's bodies: comp. 2 Cor. v. I ή ἐπίγειος ἡμῶν οἰκία τοῦ σκήνους, called before (iv. 7) ὀστράκινα σκεύη. But the LXX by the turn which they give to the next clause, ἐξ ὧν καὶ αὐτοὶ κ.τ.λ., seem to have understood it literally, 'We are made of the same clay as our houses'; ἐξ ὧν being ex-

plained by ἐκ τοῦ αὐτοῦ πηλοῦ.

16. καὶ ἀπὸ πρωίθεν κ.τ.λ.] καὶ is found in NB but omitted in A. By ἀπὸ πρωίθεν κ.τ.λ. is meant 'in the course of a single day'; comp. Is. xxxviii. 12, 13.

21. ὀργή, ζήλος] i.e. indignation against God, such as Job had shown.
23. δίαιτα] 'their abode'; as e.g.
LXX Job viii. 6, 22, xi. 14, xxxix. 6.

24. κολαβρισθείησαν 'mocked, insulted', as Athen. viii. p. 364 A καλαβρίζουσι τούς οἰκέτας, ἀπειλοῦσι τοῖς Suidas after others says πολλοῖς. κολαβρισθείη χλευασθείη, έκτιναχθείη, ἀτιμασθείη. κόλαβρος γὰρ καὶ κάλαβρος. ό μικρός χοίρος άντι τοῦ οὐδενὸς λόγου άξιος νομισθείη. And so Bochart Hieroz. ii. § 57, 1. p. 707, 'κολαβρίζειν Hellenistis contemnere, quia porcello apud Judæos nihil fuit contemptius'. But this derivation cannot be correct: for (to say nothing else) the word was not confined to Hellenist Jews. The same Athenæus, who furnishes the only other instance of the verb κολαβρίζω, has also two substantives, κύλακαὶ ογκ ἔςται ὁ ἐΣαιρογμενος. ἃ Γάρ ἐκείνοις Ἡτοίμαςται, Δίκαιοι ἔδονται αγτοί δὲ ἐκ κακῶν ογκ ἐΣαίρετοι ἔςονται.

ΧΙ. Προδήλων οὖν ἡμῖν ὄντων τούτων, καὶ ἐγ-

2 έξαίρετοι] εξερετοι Α. 3 ήμιν δυτων] Α. δυτων ήμιν Clem. Alex. 613.

βρος or κάλαβρος (iv. p. 164 E, xv. p. 697 C) 'a licentious song', and καλαβρισμός (xiv. p. 629 D) 'a certain Thracian dance'. The latter is defined by Pollux (iv. 190) Θρακικόν ὄρχημα καὶ Καρικόν. Here therefore the derivation must be sought. jeering sallies and mocking gestures of these unrestrained songs and dances would be expressed by κολαβρί-(ew. The reading of A in the LXX σκολαβρισθείησαν, compared with σκορακίζειν, might seem to favour the other derivation, if there were sufficient evidence that κόλαβρος ever meant χοιρίδιον.

ἐπὶ θύραις ἡσσόνων] 'at the doors of their inferiors'. There is nothing corresponding to ἡσσόνων in the Hebrew, where 'at the gate' means 'in

court, in judgment'.

I. å γὰρ ἐκείνοις κ.τ.λ.] In the LXX (ΝΒ) å γὰρ ἐκείνοι συνήγαγον (ἐθέρισαν Α), δίκαιοι ἔδονται κ.τ.λ. For ἐξαίρετοι ἔσονται Α has εξερεθησονται (i.e. ἐξαιρεθήσονται). The LXX in this verse diverges considerably from the Hebrew. ἐξαίρετοι here has the somewhat rare sense 'rescued, exempt,' as e.g. Dion. Hal. A. R. vi. 50.

XL. 'This being plain, we must do all things decently and in order, as our Heavenly Master wills us. The appointed times, the fixed places, the proper ministers, must be respected in making our offerings. So only will they be acceptable to God. In the law of Moses the high-priest, the priests, the Levites, the laity, all have their distinct functions'.

The offence of the Corinthians was contempt of ecclesiastical order-

They had resisted and ejected their lawfully appointed presbyters; andas a necessary consequence—they held their agapæ and celebrated their eucharistic feasts when and where they chose, dispensing with the intervention of these their proper officers. There is no ground for supposing (with Rothe Anfänge p. 404 sq.), that they had taken advantage of a vacancy in the episcopate by death to mutiny against the presbyters. Of bishops, properly so called, no mention is made in this epistle (see the notes on $\S\S$ 42, 44); and, if the government of the Corinthian Church was in any sense episcopal at this time, the functions of the bishop were not yet so distinct from those of the presbyters, but that he could still be regarded as one of them and that no special designation of his office was necessary or natural. On the late development of the episcopate in Corinth, compared with the Churches of Syria and Asia Minor, see the dissertation in Philippians p. 213 sq.

3. προδήλων κ.τ.λ.] This passage as far as καιροὺς τεταγμένους is quoted in Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 16 (p. 613).

èγκεκυφότες] 'peered into, pored over'. See below §§ 45, 53, Polyc. Phil. 3, Clem. Hom. iii. 9. In all these passages it is used of searching the Scriptures. Similarly παρακύπτειν, James i. 25, I Pet. i. 12. The word ἐκκεκυφότες in Clem. Alex. must be regarded as an error of transcription.

4. τὰ βάθη τῆς θείας γνώσεως] The large and comprehensive spirit of Clement, as exhibited in the use

κεκυφότες είς τὰ βάθη τῆς θείας γνώσεως, πάντα 5 τάξει ποιεῖν ὀφείλομεν ὅσα ὁ δεσπότης ἐπιτελεῖν ἐκέλευσεν κατὰ καιροὺς τεταγμένους: τάς τε προσφορὰς

3 έγκεκυφότες] Α. έκκεκυφότες Clem. Alex.

5 όφείλομεν] οφιλομεν Α.

of the Apostolic writers, has been already pointed out (notes on §§ 12, 31, 33, 49). Here it is seen from a somewhat different point of view. While he draws his arguments from the law of Moses and his illustrations from the Old Testament, thus showing his sympathy with the Judaic side of Christianity, he at the same time uses freely those forms of expression which afterwards became the watchwords of the Gnostic sects and were doubtless frequently heard on the lips of their forerunners his contemporaries. To this class belongs 7à βάθη της γνώσεως (comp. I Cor. ii. 10): see S. John's language in Rev. ii. 24 οΐτινες ούκ έγνωσαν τὰ βαθέα τοῦ Σατανα, ώς λέγουσιν, which is illustrated by Iren. Hær. ii. 22. 3 'Profunda Dei adinvenisse se dicentes', ii. 28. 9 'Aliquis eorum qui altitudines Dei exquisisse se dicunt', Hippol. Hær. v. 6 ἐπεκάλεσαν ἐαυτοὺς γνωστικούς, φάσκοντες μόνοι τὰ βάθη γινώσκειν; compare the description in Tertullian adv. Valent. 1 'Si bona fide quæras, concreto vultu, suspenso supercilio, Altum est aiunt', and see Galatians p. 298. It is significant too that γνώσις is a favourite word with Clement: see §§ 1, 36, 41, and especially § 48 ήτω δυνατός γνώσιν έξειπείν (with the note). Again in § 34 he repeats the favourite Gnostic text 'Eye hath not seen etc', which they misapplied to support their principle of an esoteric doctrine. See • the note there.

6. τάς τε προσφορὰς κ.τ.λ.] Editors have failed to explain the reading of the MS satisfactorily. Two modes of

punctuation are offered. The main stop is placed (I) after ἐκέλευσεν, so that we read κατά καιρ. τετ. τάς τε $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\phi$. $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.; but in this case we get an unmeaning repetition, κατὰ καιρούς τετανμένους and ώρισμένοις καιροίς κ.τ.λ. belonging to the same sentence: or (2) after ἐπιτελεῖσθαι, in which case ἐπιτελεῖσθαι must be governed by οφείλομεν. But, with this construction (not to urge other obvious objections) there is an awkwardness in using the middle ἐπιτελεῖσθαι in the same sense in which the active emiτελείν has occurred just before; though the middle in itself might stand. (In James iv. 2, 3 however we have alτείν and alτείσθαι side by side). I have therefore inserted ἐπιμελώς (perhaps ἐπιμελεία), supposing that the omission was due to the similar beginnings of the two words (as e.g. alwrior for alvor alwrior ii. § 9; see also the note on ii. § 10 εύρεῖν); comp. I (3) Esdr. viii. 21 πάντα κατὰ τὸν τοῦ Θεοῦ νόμον ἐπιτελεσθήτω έπιμελώς τῷ Θεῷ τῷ ὑψίστῳ, Herm. Mand. xii. 3 την διακονίαν...τέλει έπιμελώs. Thus the passage reads smoothly and intelligibly. An alternative would be to omit ἐπιτελεῖσθαι, as having been inserted from below (διὰ τίθων ἐπιτελεῖσθαι), and to take τάς τε προσφοράς και λειτουργίας in apposition with ooa, but this does not seem so good for more than one reason. The perplexed syntax might perhaps be unravelled in a third way, by substituting something else for the doubtful ἐκέλευσεν below. should have preferred τàs δὲ προσφοpàs as Tischendorf deciphers the MS,

καὶ λειτουργίας ἐπιμελῶς ἐπιτελεῖσθαι καὶ οὐκ εἰκῆ ἢ ἀτάκτως †ἐ[κέλευσεν] † γίνεσθαι, ἀλλ' ὡρισμένοις καιροῖς καὶ ώραις ποῦ τε καὶ διὰ τίνων ἐπιτελεῖσθαι θέλει, αὐτὸς ώρισεν τῆ ὑπερτάτῳ αὐτοῦ βουλήσει 'ίν ὁσίως πάντὰ γινόμενα ἐν εὐδοκήσει εὐπρόσδεκτα εἴη τῷ θελήματι 5 αὐτοῦ. Οἱ οὖν τοῖς προστεταγμένοις καιροῖς ποιοῦντες τὰς προσφορὰς αὐτῶν εὐπρόσδεκτοί τε καὶ μακάριοι,

1 λειτουργίαs] λειτουργειασ Α. ἐπιμελῶs] om. A. The reasons for the insertion are given on p. 127. 2 †ἐκέλευσεν†] Tisch. deciphers ε...εγςεν. I have looked again and again, but could only read (and this doubtfully) the initial ε. The whole word (or words) occupies the same space as ιλομενοσαοδ (i. e. 11 letters) in the line above. 4 πάντα] παντατα Α. See below.

but (unless I misread it) it certainly has $\tau\epsilon$, not $\delta\epsilon$. On the Christian sense of $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\phi\rho\rho\alpha$ see the note on $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\gamma\kappa\dot{\nu}\tau\alpha s$ $\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\delta\omega\rho\alpha$ § 44.

2. καιροῖς καὶ ὅραις] A pleonasm, as in Dionys. de Isocr. 14 (p. 561) μη ἐν καιρῷ γίνεσθαι μηδ' ἐν ὅρα, Plut. Ages. 36 τοῦ καλοῦ καιρὸν οἰκεῖον εἶναι καὶ ὅραν. The words differ only so far, that καιρός refers to the fitness, ὅρα to the appointedness, of the time. Demosth. Olynth. ii. p. 24 μηδένα καιρὸν μηδ' ὅραν παραλείπων shows that ὅρα does not refer to the 'hour of the day', as this use of the word was only introduced long after the age of Demosthenes.

4. ὑπερτάτφ] I have not ventured with previous editors to alter the MS reading to ὑπερτάτη, since even in classical writers comparatives and superlatives are sometimes of two terminations; e.g. Thucyd. iii. 89, 101, v. 71, 110. See Buttmann Griech. Sprachl. § 60 anm. 5. No dependence however can be placed on our scribe in such a matter; see instances of similar errors, p. 25.

πάντα γινόμενα] I have struck out τὰ before γινόμενα as a mere repetition of the last syllable of πάντα and as interfering with the sense;

see, for similar errors of transcription in our MS, p. 25.

5. ἐν εὐδοκήσει] sc. τοῦ Θεοῦ. See the note on § 2 μετ' ἐλέους καὶ εὐδοκήσεως, as I propose to read the passage. But possibly we should here for ΕΥΔΟΚΗCΕΙΕΥΠΡΟCΔΕΚΤΑ read ΕΥΔΟΚΗCΕΙΘΥΠΡΟCΔΕΚΤΑ; as in Epiphan. Hær. lxx 10 (p. 822) εὐ-δοκήσει Θεοῦ.

9. τῷ γὰρ ἀρχιερεῖ κ.τ.λ.] This is evidently an instance from the old dispensation adduced to show that God will have His ministrations performed through definite persons, just as below (§ 41) οὐ πανταχοῦ κ.τ.λ. Clement draws an illustration from the same source that He will have them performed in the proper places. There is therefore no direct reference to the Christian ministry in ἀρχιερεύς, lepeîs, Λευίται, but it is an argument by analogy. Does the analogy then extend to the three orders? The answer to this seems to be that, though the episcopate appears to have been widely established in Asia Minor at this time (see *Philippians* p. 209 sq.), this epistle throughout only recog- . nises two orders, presbyters and deacons, as existing at Corinth (see esp. the notes on ἐπισκόπων § 42,

τοῖς γὰρ νομίμοις τοῦ δεσπότου ἀκολουθοῦντες οὐ διαμαρτάνουσιν. τῷ γὰρ ἀρχιερεῖ ἴδιαι λειτουργίαι δεδο10 μέναι εἰσίν, καὶ τοῖς ἱερεῦσιν ἴδιος ὁ τόπος προστέτακται, καὶ λευΐταις ἴδιαι διακονίαι ἐπίκεινται· ὁ λαϊκὸς
ἄνθρωπος τοῖς λαϊκοῖς προστάγμασιν δέδεται.

XLI. 'Έκαστος ύμων, άδελφοί, έν τω ίδίω τάγματι εύχαριστείτω Θεώ έν άγαθη συνειδήσει υπάρχων,

ΙΙ ἐπικεῖνται] επικινται Α.

14 συνειδήσει] συνειδησιν Α.

and on έαν κοιμηθώσιν, διαδέξωνται κ.τ.λ. § 44). It has been held indeed by some (e.g. Lipsius p. 25) that, this being so, the analogy notwithstanding extends to the number three, Christ being represented by the highpriest (see the note § 36), the presbyters by the priests, and the deacons by the Levites. But to this it is a sufficient answer that the Highpriesthood of Christ is wholly different in kind and exempt from those very limitations on which the passage dwells. And again why should the analogy be so pressed? It would be considered ingenious trifling to seek out the Christian equivalents to ἐνδελεχισμοῦ η εὐχῶν η περὶ άμαρτίας καὶ πλημμελείας below (§41), or to έπαρχοι, χιλίαρχοι, έκατόνταρχοι, πεντηκόνταρχοι, κ.τ.λ. above (§ 37); nor is there any reason why a closer correspondence should be exacted from this passage than from the others. Later writers indeed did dwell on the analogy of the threefold ministry; but we cannot argue back from them to Clement, in whose epistle the very element of threefoldness, which gives force to such a comparison, is wanting.

10. Tôιos ὁ τόπος κ.τ.λ.] 'The office assigned to the priests is special'. On this sense of τόπος comp. below § 44 τοῦ ἱδρυμένου αὐτοῖς τόπου, and see the notes on Ign. Polyc. I ἐκδίκει σου τὸν τόπου.

11. λαϊκός Comp. Clem. Hom. Epist. Cl. § 5 οὖτως ἐκάστφ λαϊκῷ άμαρτία ἐστὶν κ.τ.λ., Clem. Alex. Strom. iii. 12 (p. 552) κᾶν πρεσβύτερος ή κᾶν διάκονος καν λαϊκός, ib. v. 6 (p. 665) κώλυμα λαϊκής ἀπιστίας. In Tertullian 'laicus' is not uncommon, e.g. de Præscr. 41 'Nam et laicis sacerdotalia munera injungunt'. In the LXX hads is used not only in contradistinction to 'the Gentiles' (see the note on \$ 29 above), but also as opposed to (1) 'The rulers', e.g. 2 Chron. xxiv. 10, xxx. 24, (2) 'The priests', e.g. Exod. xix. 24, Neh. vii. 73 (viii. 1), Is. xxiv. 2; comp. Jer. xxxiv (xli). 19 τοὺς ἄρχοντας 'Ιούδα καὶ τοὺς δυνάστας καὶ τοὺς ίϵρεῖς καὶ τὸν λαόν. From this last contrast comes the use of haïkos here. The adjective however is not found in the LXX, though in the other Greek versions we meet with haïkos 'laic' or 'profane' and λαϊκοῦν 'to profane', Deut. xx. 6, xxviii. 30, Ruth i. 12, 1 Sam. xxi. 4, Ezek. vii. 22. xlviii. 15.

XLI. 'Let each man therefore take his proper place in the thanks-giving of the Church. Then again, in the law of Moses the several sacrifices are not offered anywhere, but only in the temple at Jerusalem and after careful scrutiny. If then transgression was visited on the Israelites of old with death, how much greater shall be our punishment, seeing that

CLEM.

μή παρεκβαίνων τον ώρισμένον της λειτουργίας αὐτοῦ κανόνα, ἐν σεμνότητι. Οὐ πανταχοῦ, αδελφοί, προσφέ-ρονται θυσίαι ἐνδελεχισμοῦ ἢ εὐχῶν ἢ περὶ ἀμαρτίας καὶ πλημμελείας, ἀλλ' ἢ ἐν Ἱερουσαλημ μόνη· κάκεῖ δὲ οὐκ

ι παρεκβαίνων] παραικβαινων Α.

λειτουργίας] λιτουργιασ Α.

our knowledge also is greater'.

εθχαριστείτω] The allusion here is plainly to the public services of the Church, where order had been violated. Thus εὐχαριστία will refer chiefly, though not solely, to the principal act of Christian thanksgiving, the celebration of the Lord's Supper, which at a later date was almost exclusively termed εὐχαριστία. The usage of Clement is probably midway between that of S. Paul where no such appropriation of the term appears (e.g. I Cor. xiv. 16, 2 Cor. ix. 11, 12, Phil. iv. 6, 1 Tim. ii. 1, etc.), and that of the Ignatian Epistles (Philad. 4, Smyrn. 7) and of Justin (Apol. i. § 66, p. 97 sq., Dial. 41, p. 260) where it is specially so applied. For the ίδιον τάγμα of the people at the eucharistic feast see Justin Apol. i. § 65 (p. 97 D) ov (i.e. τοῦ προεστώτος τών ἀδελφών) συντελέσαντος τὰς εὐχὰς καὶ τὴν εὐχαριστίαν πᾶς ὁ λαὸς ἐπευφημεῖ λέγων 'Αμήν... εὐχαριστήσαντος δὲ τοῦ προεστώτος καὶ έπευφημήσαντος παντός τοῦ λαοῦ κ.τ.λ., and again ib. § 67 (p. 98 E). See Harnack Der Christliche Gottesdienst etc. (Erlangen, 1854).

ἐν ἀγαθῆ συνειδήσει] Acts xxiii.

1, 1 Tim. i. 5, 19, 1 Pet. iii. 16, 21:
comp. καλὴ συνείδησις, Heb. xiii. 18.
For an explanation of the MS reading
συνείδησιν see above § 15.

2. κανθνα] Compare the metaphor 2 Cor. x. 13, 14, κατὰ τὸ μέτρον τοῦ κανόνος and ὑπερεκτείνομεν: see also the note on § 7.

προσφέροντα: The present tense has been thought to imply that the sacrifices were still offered and the

temple yet standing, and therefore to fix the date of the epistle before the destruction of Jerusalem, i.e. about the close of Nero's reign. To this very early date however there are insuperable objections (see the introduction p. 4 and notes on §§ 1, 5, 44, Clement therefore must use προσφέρονται as implying rather the permanence of the record and of the lesson contained therein than the continuance of the institution and practice itself. Indeed it will be seen that his argument gains considerably, if we suppose the practice discontinued; because then and then only is the sanction transferred from the Jewish sacrifices to the Christian ministrations, as the true fulfilment of the Divine command. If any one doubts whether such a usage is natural, let him read the account of the Mosaic sacrifices in Josephus Ant. iii. cc. 9, 10 (where the parallels to Clement's present tense προσφέρονται are far too numerous to be counted), remembering that the Antiquities were published A.D. 93, i.e. within two or three years of our epistle. Comp. Barnab. 7 sq., Epist. ad Diogn. 3, where also the present is used. This mode of speaking is also very common in the Talmud. See Friedmann and Graetz Die angebliche Fortdauer des jüdischen Opfercultus etc. in the Theolog. Jahrb. XVII. p. 338 sq. (1848), and the references in Derenbourg L'Hist. et la Géogr. de la Palestine p. 480 sq.

3. ἐνδελεχισμοῦ] 'of continuity, perpetuity', the expression used in the LXX for the ordinary daily sacri-

5 ἐν παντὶ τόπω προσφέρεται, ἀλλ' ἔμπροσθεν τοῦ ναοῦ πρὸς τὸ θυσιαστήριον, μωμοσκοπηθεν τὸ προσφερό- μενον διὰ τοῦ ἀρχιερέως καὶ τῶν προειρημένων λειτουργῶν. οἱ οὖν παρὰ τὸ καθῆκον τῆς βουλήσεως αὐτοῦ

7 λειτουργών] λιτουργων Α.

fices, as a rendering of תמיד (e.g. Exod. xxix. 42, Neh. x. 33); and thus opposed to the special offerings, of which the two types are the freewill offerings (εὐχῶν) and expiatory offerings (περὶ άμαρτίας ἡ πλημμελείας). Of the last two words apapria denotes the sin-offering (חמאת) and πλημμέλεια the trespass-offering (אשם). A similar threefold division of sacrifices is given by Philo de Vict. 4 (II. p. 240) τὸ ὁλόκαυτον, τὸ σωτήριον, τὸ περὶ άμαρrías, and by Josephus Ant. iii. 9. 1 sq. ή όλοκαύτωσις, ή χαριστήριος θυσία, ή ὑπὲρ ἀμαρτάδων (passages referred to in Jacobson's notes); see also Ewald Alterth. des Volkes Isr. p. 52 sq. Here the θυσία ἐνδελεχισμοῦ stands for the ὁλοκαυτώματα generally, as being the most prominent type; and in the same way the θυσία εὐχῶν, as a part for the whole, represents the peace-offerings (σωτήρια in the LXX and Philo) which comprised two species (Lev. vii. 11-17), the vow or free-will offering (which Clement has selected) and the thanksgiving-offering (which Josephus takes as the On the other hand, when speaking of expiatory offerings, Clement gives both types.

5. ἔμπροσθεν κ.τ.λ.] The vaòs is here the shrine, the holy-place; the θυσιαστήριον, the court of the altar: see the note on Ign. Ephes. 5. The lepòν comprises both. This distinction of vaòs and lepòν is carefully observed in the N.T.: see Trench N.T. Synon. Ist ser. § iii.

 μωμοσκοπηθέν] 'after inspection', with a view to detecting blemishes.

A flaw or blemish, which vitiates a person or thing for holy purposes, is in the LXX μω̂μος. Doubtless the choice of this rendering was partly determined by its similarity in sound to the Hebrew מום, for otherwise it is not a very obvious or natural equivalent. [A parallel instance is the word σκηνή, chosen for the same reasons, as a rendering of Shechinah, and carrying with it all the significance of the latter.] Hence aµωµos in the LXX signifies 'without blemish', being applied to victims and the like, and diverges from its classical meaning. Hence also are derived the words μωμοσκόπος, μωμοσκοπείν, which seem to be confined to Jewish and Christian writers: Philo de Agric. 29 (I. p. 320) οθς έγιοι μωμοσκόπους όνομάζουσιν, ίνα αμωμα και ασινή προσάγηται τῷ βωμῷ τὰ ἱερεῖα κ.τ.λ., Polyc. Phil. 4 πάντα μωμοσκοπείται, Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 18 (p. 617) ήσαν δὲ κάν ταῖς τῶν θυσιῶν προσαγωγαίς παρὰ τῷ νόμφ οἱ ἱερείων μωμοσκόποι, Apost. Const. ii. 3 γέγραπται γάρ, Μωμοσκοπεῖσθε τὸν μέλλοντα είς ίερωσύνην προχειρίζεσθαι (α paraphrase of Lev. xxi. 17).

7. ἀρχιερέως] Wotton suggests ἰερέως, 'quum sacerdotum inferioris ordinis potius quam summi sacerdotis sit τὰς θυσίας μωμοσκοπεῖν'; but διὰ τοῦ ἀρχιερέως κ.τ.λ. belongs rather to προσφέρεται than to μωμοσκοπηθέν, as the order seems to show. The three conditions are (1) that it must be offered at the proper place, (2) that it must be examined and found without blemish, (3) that it must be sacrificed by the proper persons, the

ποιοῦντές τι θάνατον τὸ πρόστιμον ἔχουσιν. 'Ορᾶτε, ἀδελφοί, ὅσφ πλείονος κατηξιώθημεν γνώσεως, τοσούτφ μᾶλλον ὑποκείμεθα κινδύνφ.

ΧΙΙΙ. Οἱ ἀπόστολοι ἡμῖν εὐηγγελίσθησαν ἀπὸ τοῦ Κυρίου Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ, Ἰησοῦς ὁ Χριστὸς ἀπὸ τοῦ 5 Θεοῦ ἐξεπέμφθη. ὁ Χριστὸς οὖν ἀπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ, καὶ οἱ ἀπόστολοι ἀπὸ τοῦ Χριστοῦ ἐγένοντο οὖν ἀμφότερα εὐτάκτως ἐκ θελήματος Θεοῦ. παραγγελίας οὖν λαβόντες καὶ πληροφορηθέντες διὰ τῆς ἀναστάσεως τοῦ Κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ καὶ πιστωθέντες ἐν τῷ λόγῳ 10

2 κατηξιώθημεν] καταξιωθημεν A, as Tisch. (præf. p. xix.) reads it, but I could not see distinctly.

high priests or other priests. The διὰ τοῦ ἀρχιερέως κ.τ.λ. is comprehensive, so as to include all sacrifices.

τὸ καθῆκον κ.τ.λ.] 'the seemly ordinance of His will.' For the genitive comp. Plut. Mor. p. 617 Ε ἐκ τῶν 'Ομήρου τὸ θεώρημα τοῦτο λαμβάνων καθηκόντων.

1. τὸ πρόστιμον] 2 Macc. vii. 36. Ἐπιτίμιον ᾿Αττικῶς, πρόστιμον Ἑλληνικῶς Μœris s. v. ἐπιτίμιον. This is one among many instances of the exceptional character of the Attic dialect, for πρόστιμον occurs as early as Hippocrates; see for other examples Galatians vi. 6 and p. 92 (p. 89, ed. 1), Philippians i. 28, ii. 14.

2. γνώσεως] See the note on τὰ βάθη τῆς θείας γνώσεως § 40.

XLII. 'The Apostles were sent by Christ, as Christ was sent by the Father. Having this commission they preached the kingdom of God and appointed presbyters and deacons in every place. This was no new institution, but had been foretold ages ago by the prophet.' 4. εὖηγγελίσθησαν] 'were taught the Gospel', as Matt. xi. 5 (Luke vii. 22), Heb. iv. 2, 6; for the first aorist apparently is always passive, being used with a nominative either of the person instructed or the lesson conveyed; and ἡμῶν will be 'for our sakes'. It might be a question however whether we should not read ἡμῶν, as in the opening of § 44.

6. $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \eta$ is attached by all the editors to the following sentence. Yet I can hardly doubt that it belongs to the preceding words; for (1) The position of over seems to require this; (2) The awkward expression that 'Christ was taught the Gospel by the Father' thus disappears; (3) We get in its place a forcible epigrammatic parallelism ὁ Χριστὸς οὖν κ.τ.λ. For the omission of the verb to gain terseness, and for the form of the sentence generally, comp. Rom. x. 17 αρα ή πίστις έξ ἀκοῆς, ή δὲ ἀκοὴ διὰ ρήματος Χριστοῦ, I Cor. iii. 23 ὑμεῖς δὲ Χριστοῦ, Χριστὸς δὲ Θεοῦ. the thought see Joh. xvii. 18 καθώς έμε απέστειλας είς τον κόσμον, καγώ **ἀπέστειλα αὐτοὺς εἰς τὸν κόσμον, xx. 2**Ι καθώς ἀπέσταλκέν με ὁ πατήρ, κάγώ

τοῦ Θεοῦ μετὰ πληροφορίας πνεύματος ἀγίου ἐξῆλθον, εὐαγγελιζόμενοι τὴν βασιλείαν τοῦ Θεοῦ μέλλειν ἔρχεσθαι. κατὰ χώρας οὖν καὶ πόλεις κηρύσσοντες καθίστανον τὰς ἀπαρχὰς αὐτῶν, δοκιμάσαντες τῷ πνεύ-15 ματι, εἰς ἐπισκόπους καὶ διακόνους τῶν μελλόντων πιστεύειν. καὶ τοῦτο οὐ καινῶς, ἐκ γὰρ δὴ πολλῶν χρόνων ἐγέγραπτο περὶ ἐπισκόπων καὶ διακόνων οὕτως γάρ που λέγει ἡ γραφή. Καταςτήςω τοὰς ἐπικόπογς αἰτῶν ἐν δικαιοςίνη καὶ τοὰς διακόνογς αἰτῶν

13 καθιστανον] καθεστανον Α.

πέμπω ὑμᾶς. See also the notes on *Ign. Ephes.* 6.

8. παραγγελίαs] 'word of command', received as from a superior officer that it may be passed on to others; as e.g. Xen. Cyr. ii. 4. 2, iv. 2. 27.

10. πιστωθέντες] 2 Tim. iii. 14 μένε έν οις ξμαθες καὶ ἐπιστώθης.

μετὰ πληροφορίας κ.τ.λ.] 'with firm conviction inspired by the Holy Ghost': comp. I Thess. i. 5 ἐν πνεύματι ἀγίφ καὶ [ἐν] πληροφορία πολλῆ.

13. χώρας] 'country districts', as opposed to towns; comp. Luke xxi. 21, Joh. iv. 35, Acts viii. 1, James v. 4. Hence the ancient title χωρεπίσκοπος; see Philippians p. 230.

14. τὰς ἀπαρχὰς αὐτῶν] 'the firstfruits of their preaching'; or perhaps
αὐτῶν refers not to the Apostles but
to the χῶραι καὶ πόλεις, and is like the
genitives in Rom. xvi. 5 ὅς ἐστιν
ἀπαρχὴ τῆς 'Ασίας, I Cor. xvi. 15 ὅτι
ἐστὶν ἀπαρχὴ τῆς 'Αχαΐας, which passages Clement may have had in his
mind.

δοκιμάσαντες] Ι Tim. iii. 10 δοκιμαζέσθωσαν πρώτον, εἶτα διακονείτωσαν: see below § 44 διαδέξωνται ἔτεροι δεδοκιμασμένοι ἄνδρες. τῷ πνεύματι] 'by the Spirit', which is the great searcher, I Cor. ii. 10.

15. ἐπισκόπους] i.e. πρεσβυτέρους; for Clement thrice mentions ἐπίσκοποι καὶ διάκονοι in conjunction (as in Phil. i. I σὺν ἐπισκόποις καὶ διακόνοις), and it is impossible that he could have omitted the presbyters, more especially as his one object is to defend their authority which had been assailed (§§ 44, 47, 54). The words ἐπίσκοπος and πρεσβύτερος therefore are synonymes in Clement, as they are in the Apostolic writers. In Ignatius and Polycarp they first appear as distinct titles. See Philippians p. 93 sq., 191 sq.

18. καταστήσω] loosely quoted from LXX Is. lx. 17 δώσω τοὺς ἄρχοντάς σου εν εἰρήνη καὶ τοὺς ἐπισκόπους σου εν δικαιοσύνη. Thus the introduction of the διάκονοι is due to misquotation. Irenæus also (Hær. iv. 26. 5) applies the passage to the Christian ministry, but quotes the LXX correctly. The force of the original is rightly given in the A.V., 'I will also make thy officers [magistrates] peace and thine exactors [task-masters] righteousness'; i.e. 'there shall be no tyranny or oppression'. For ἐπίσκοπος, 'a task-master', see Philippians p. 93.

ΧΙΙΙΙ. Καὶ τί θαυμαστὸν εἰ οἱ ἐν Χριστῷ πιστευθέντες παρὰ Θεοῦ ἔργον τοιοῦτο κατέστησαν τοὺς προειρημένους; ὅπου καὶ ὁ μακάριος πιστὸς θεράπων ἐν ὅλω τῷ οἴκῳ Μωϋσῆς τὰ διατεταγμένα αὐτῷ πάντα ἐσημειώσατο ἐν ταῖς ἱεραῖς βίβλοις, ῷ καὶ 5 ἐπηκολούθησαν οἱ λοιποὶ προφῆται συνεπιμαρτυροῦντες τοῖς ὑπ' αὐτοῦ νενομοθετημένοις. ἐκεῖνος γάρ, ζήλου ἐμπεσόντος περὶ τῆς ἱερωσύνης καὶ στασιαζουσῶν τῶν ψυλῶν ὁποία αὐτῶν εἰη τῷ ἐνδόξῳ ὀνόματι κεκοσμημένη, ἐκέλευσεν τοὺς δώδεκα ψυλάρχους προσενεγκεῖν το αὐτῷ ράβδους ἐπιγεγραμμένας ἐκάστης ψυλῆς κατ ὄνομα· καὶ λαβῶν αὐτὰς ἔδησεν καὶ ἐσφράγισεν τοῖς δακτυλίοις τῶν ψυλάρχων, καὶ ἀπέθετο αὐτὰς εἰς τὴν σκηνὴν τοῦ μαρτυρίου ἐπὶ τὴν τράπεζαν τοῦ Θεοῦ· καὶ κλείσας τὴν σκηνὴν ἐσφράγισεν τὰς κλείδας ώσαύ- 15

5 ἐσημειώσατο] εσημιωσατο A. 9 κεκοσμημένη] κεκοσμημένω A. 15 κλείσας] κλισασ A. 22 προέφερεν τds] I prefer this to προσέφερεν τds (comp. ἐξήνεγκε Num. xvii. 9, προεκόμισε Jos. Ant. iv. 4. 2), and apparently the traces of the last letter visible might belong equally well to an ϵ as to a C, though Tisch. reads a C. All previous editors (following Young) have read προσήνεγκεν without τάς;

XLIII. 'And no marvel, if the Apostles of Christ thus ordained ministers, seeing that there was the precedent of Moses. When the authority of the priests was assailed, he took the rods of the twelve tribes and placed them within the tabernacle, saying that God had chosen the tribe whose rod should bud. On the morrow when the doors were opened, Aaron's rod alone had budded, and the office of the priesthood was vindicated.'

2. πιστευθέντες] 'entrusted with'. The construction πιστεύεσθαί τι is common in S. Paul: Rom. iii. 2, I Cor. ix. 17, Gal. ii. 7, I Thess. ii. 4, I Tim. i. 11, Tit. i. 3.

3. πιστὸς θεράπων κ.τ.λ.] From

Heb. iii. 5 Μωῦσῆς μὲν πιστὸς ἐν.ὅλφ τῷ οἴκφ αὐτοῦ ὡς θεράπων, where there is a reference to Num. xii. 7 οὐχ οῦτως ὁ θεράπων μου Μωῦσῆς ἐν ὅλφ τῷ οἴκφ μου πιστός ἐστιν. On θεράπων see above § 4. For the combination of epithets here comp. Justin Dial. 56 (p. 274) Μωῦσῆς οὖν ὁ μακάριος καὶ πιστὸς θεράπων Θεοῦ κ.τ.λ.

5. ἐσημειώσατο] 'recorded as a sign': comp. § II εἰς κρίμα καὶ εἰς σημείωσιν πάσαις ταῖς γενεαῖς γίνονται. So in the narrative to which Clement here refers, Num. xvii. 10 ἀπόθες τὴν ῥάβδον 'Ααρὼν...σημεῖον τοῖς υἰοῖς τῶν ἀνηκόων.

iepaîs] On this epithet see below, § 53.

7. $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu o s \gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho \kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda$.] The lesson

τως καὶ τὰς ῥάβδους καὶ εἶπεν αὐτοῖς ᾿Ανδρες ձδελφοί, με ἄν φγλμε μ ῥάβδος βλαστής τα τον ἐκλέλεκται ὁ Θεὸς εἰς τὸ ἱερατεγειν καὶ λειτογρητεῖν αγτῷ. πρωΐας δὲ γενομένης συνεκάλεσεν πάντα τὸν Ἰσραήλ, τὰς 20 ἐξακοσίας χιλιάδας τῶν ἀνδρῶν, [καὶ ἐπε]δείξατο τοῖς φυλάρχοις [τὰς σφρα]γίδας καὶ ἤνοιξεν τὴ[ν σκηνην] τοῦ μαρτυρίου καὶ προέ[φερεν τὰς] ῥάβδους καὶ εὐρέθη ἡ ῥ[άβδος τοῦ] ᾿Ααρῶν οὐ μόνον βεβλ[αστηκυῖα] ἀλλὰ καὶ καρπὸν ἔχουσα. τί δοκεῖτε, ἀγαπητοί; οὐ 25 προ[έγνω] Μωϋσῆς τοῦτο μέλλειν [ἔσεσθαι]; μάλιστα ἤδει ἀλλ᾽ ἵνα μὴ ἀκ[αταστα]σία γένηται ἐν τῷ Ἰσραήλ, οὕτω[ς ἐποί]ησεν εἰς τὸ δοξασθῆναι τ[ὸ ὄνο]μα τοῦ ἀληθινοῦ καὶ μόνου [Θεοῦ] ῷ ἡ δόξα εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων. ἀμήν.

30 ΧLΙΥ. Καὶ οἱ ἀπόστολοι ἡμῶν έγνωσαν διὰ τοῦ

but (1) the article is certainly wanted, and (2) more letters seem required to fill the lacuna.

23 τοῦ ᾿Ααρών] I have inserted the article, which previous editors have omitted, because the lacuna seems to require it; e.g. eight letters...αστηκυία occupy the same space in the next line.

24 δοκείτε] δοκείται Α.

of this narrative is drawn out also by Joseph. *Ant.* iv. 4. 2, and by Philo *Vit. Moys.* iii. 21 (II. p. 162).

9. δυόματι] i.e. 'dignity, office', sc. της ιερωσύνης; as § 44 ἐπὶ τοῦ δυόματος της ἐπισκοπης. On this sense of ὅνομα see above § 36.

11. έκάστης φυλής] For the genitive of the thing inscribed after έπιγράφειν comp. Plut. Mor. 400 Ε τὸν ένταῦθα τουτουὶ θησαυρὸν ἐπιγράψαι τῆς πόλεως. Here however φυλής might be governed by κατ' ὄνομα.

12. ἔδησεν κ.τ.λ.] This incident, with the following ἐσφράγισεν τὰς κλείδας ώσαύτως, is not given in the biblical narrative (Num. xvii). It seems however to be intended by Josephus (l.c.) τῶν τότε (τε?) ἀνδρῶν κατασημηναμένων αὐτάς, οἵπερ ἐκόμιζον,

καὶ τοῦ πλήθους, though his language is obscure. Comp. Xen. Hell. iii. 1. 27 κατέκλεισεν αὐτὰ καὶ κατεσημήνατο καὶ Φύλακας κατέστησεν.

24. οὐ προέγνω κ.τ.λ.] This passage is loosely quoted or rather abridged and paraphrased by one Joannes. The quotation is given in *Spicil*. Solesm. I. p. 293 (see above, p. 14).

28. τοῦ ἀληθινοῦ κ.τ.λ.] Comp. Joh.

XLIV. 'So likewise the Apostles foresaw these feuds. They therefore provided for a succession of tried persons, who should fulfil the office of the ministry. Thus it is no light sin of which you are guilty in ejecting men so appointed, when they have discharged their duties faithfully. Happy those presbyters who have

Κυρίου ήμων Ίησοῦ Χριστοῦ, ὅτι ἔρις ἔσται ἐπὶ τοῦ
ὀνόματος τῆς ἐπισκοπῆς. Διὰ ταύτην οὖν τὴν αἰτίαν
πρόγνωσιν εἰληφότες τελείαν κατέστησαν τοὺς προειρημένους, καὶ μεταξὺ ἐπιμονὴν δεδώκασιν ὅπως, ἐὰν
κοιμηθωσιν, διαδέξωνται ἕτεροι δεδοκιμασμένοι ἄνδρες 5

I Κυρίου] ΚΥ, but ΧΥ A. επιμονήν] επινομήν A. See below

ξρις] ερεισ ${\bf A}$. 4 μεταξύ] μετοξυ ${\bf A}$. δεδώκασιν] εδωκασιν ${\bf A}$.

departed hence, and are in no fear of removal from their proper office'.

I. ἡμῶν] Comp. 2 Pet. iii. 2 τῆς τῶν ἀποστόλων ὑμῶν ἐντολῆς, where ὑμῶν (not ἡμῶν) is the correct reading, as quoted by Hilgenfeld: so that it is an exact parallel to Clement's expression. See the note on τοὺς ἀγαθοὺς ἀποστόλους § 5.

τοῦ ὀνόματος κ.τ.λ.] On ὅνομα above §§ 36, 43. The ἐπισκοπὴ here is of course the 'office of presbyter', as in 1 Tim. iii. 1.

3. τοὺς προειρημένους] SC. ἐπισκόπους καὶ διακόνους, § 42.

4. μεταξύ] 'afterwards'; comp. Acts xiii. 42 εἰς τὸ μεταξὺ σάββατον, Barnab. § 13 εἶδεν δὲ Ἰακὼβ τύπον τῷ πνεύματι τοῦ λαοῦ τοῦ μεταξύ, Theoph. ad Autol. i. 8, iii. 21, 23. See also the references in Meyer's note to Acts l.c.

έπιμονήν δεδώκασιν] 'have given permanence to the office': comp. Athenag. de Resurr. 18 δείται δὲ διαδοχης διά την του γένους διαμονήν. For ἐπιμονή (which occurs occasionally also in classical writers of this age) see Epist. Gall. § 6 in Euseb. v. 1, Tatian ad Græc. 32. This reading was adopted by Bunsen, but he wrongly interpreted it 'life-tenure', (see Ignat. von Antioch. etc. p. 96 sq., Hippolytus I. p. 45 2nd ed.); and it has consequently found no favour. Other suggestions, ἐπιλογήν, ἐπιτροπήν, ἐπισκοπήν, ἐπιστολήν, ἀπονομήν, ἔτι νόμον, are either inappropriate or diverge too widely from the MS. It seems impossible to assign any fit sense to the reading ἐπινομὴν conformably with usage or derivation. The word elsewhere has two meanings only; (1) 'encroachment or ravage', e.g. of the spread of fire (Plut. Alex. 35) or poison (Ælian H.A. xii. 32), (2) 'a bandage' Galen XVIII. I. p. 791 (Kuhn) and frequently (see Hase in Steph. Thes.). It might also consistently with its derivation have the sense 'distribution, assignment', like ἐπινέμησις. If it is to be retained, we have the choice (1) of assuming a secondary meaning 'injunction', derived from the possible (though unsupported) sense 'assignment' (so Lipsius p. 19 sq.); or (2) of giving to έπινομή the known meaning of έπινομίς, 'an after enactment', 'a codicil' (so Rothe Anfänge p. 374 sq.; see the note on $\kappa o \iota \mu \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$). Of these alternatives the former is preferable, but both are unwarranted. I have the less hesitation in making so slight a change in the MS reading, because μετοξυ before and εδωκασιν after show that the scribe wrote carelessly at this point.

The Latin quotation already mentioned (pp. 14, 135) contains the words 'Hanc formam tenentes apostoli etc.', and Dom Pitra (Spicil. Solesm. I. p. 293) considers that 'forma' here represents ἐπινομή (so too even Ewald Gesch. VII. p. 269), congratulating himself that the sense of ἐπινομή is

την λειτουργίαν αὐτῶν. Τοὺς οὖν κατασταθέντας ὑπ' ἐκείνων ἢ μεταξὺ ὑφ' ἐτέρων ἐλλογίμων ἀνδρῶν, συνευδοκησάσης τῆς ἐκκλησίας πάσης, καὶ λειτουργήσαντας ἀμέμπτως τῷ ποιμνίῳ τοῦ Χριστοῦ μετὰ ταπεινοφροτο σύνης ἡσύχως καὶ ἀβαναύσως, μεμαρτυρημένους τε πολ-

7 μεταξ \dot{v}] μετοξv A. 8 λειτουργήσαντας A. 10 μεμαρτυρημένους] μεμαρτυρημενοισ A.

thus decided. A late Latin paraphrase would be worthless as an authority, even if this view of its meaning were correct. But a comparison of the order of the Latin with the original of Clement shows that the words mean 'the Apostles following this precedent set by Moses', and that 'forma' therefore has nothing to do with ἐπινομή.

For εδωκασιν it is a question whether we should read δεδώκασιν or ξδωκαν. The former involves a less change, and the transition from the aorist (κατέστησαν) to the perfect (δεδώκασιν) may be explained by the fact that the consequences of this second act are permanent.

5. κοιμηθώσιν sc. οί προειρημένοι, i.e. the first generation of presbyters appointed by the Apostles themselves; and αὐτῶν too will refer to these same persons. Rothe (l.c.) refers both to the Apostles themselves. He assumes Clement to be here describing the establishment of episcopacy properly so called, and supposes ἐπινομή, which he translates 'afterenactment', to refer to a second Apostolic council convened for this purpose. I have discussed this theory at length elsewhere (Philippians p. 199 sq.). Of his interpretation of this particular passage it is enough to say that it interrupts the context with irrelevant matter. The Apostles, says Clement, first appointed approved persons to the ministry (καθίστανον δοκιμάσαντες § 42), and afterwards (μεταξύ) provided for a succession so that vacancies by death should be filled by other approved men (ἔτεροι δεδοκιμασμένοι ἄνδρες). The presbyters at Corinth who had been rudely ejected from office, belonged to these two classes: some were appointed directly by the Apostles (κατασταθέντας ὑπ' ἐκείνων); others belonged to the second generation, having been appointed by the persons thus immediately connected with the Apostles (κατασταθέντας ὑφ' ἐτέρων ·ἐλλογίμων ἀνδρῶν).

6. τοὺς οὖν κατασταθέντας κ.τ.λ.] This notice assists to determine the chronology of the epistle. Some of those appointed by the Apostles had died (οἱ προοδοιπορήσαντες), but others were still living (οἱ κατασταθέντες ὑπ' ἐκείνων). This falls in with the date assigned p. 4. Here again μεταξὺ means 'afterwards', as above.

7. συνευδοκησάσης κ.τ.λ.] Wotton quotes Cyprian's expression 'plebis suffragium' referring to the appointment of Church officers, Epist. lv. (p. 243), lxviii. (p. 292). Add also the more important passage Epist. lxvii (p. 288), where the part of the laity in such appointments is described.

τῷ ποιμνίφ τοῦ Χριστοῦ] The phrase occurs again §§ 54, 57 (comp. § 16). See also Acts xx. 28, 29, 1 Pet. v. 2, 3.

åβαναύσως] 'unassumingly'. The adjective occurs Apost. Const. ii. 3

λοῖς χρόνοις ὑπὸ πάντων, τούτους οὐ δικαίως νομίζομεν †ἀποβαλέσθαι † τῆς λειτουργίας. ἀμαρτία γὰρ οὐ μικρὰ ἡμῖν ἔσται, ἐὰν τοὺς ἀμέμπτως καὶ ὁσίως προσενεγκόντας τὰ δῶρα τῆς ἐπισκοπῆς ἀποβάλωμεν. μακάριοι οἱ προοδοιπορήσαντες πρεσβύτεροι, οἵτινες 5 ἔγκαρπον καὶ τελείαν ἔσχον τὴν ἀνάλυσιν. οὐ γὰρ

2 λειτουργίας] λιτουργιασ Α.

8 μετηγάγετε] μεταγαγετε Α.

έστω δε εύσπλαγχνος, άβάναυσος, άγαπητικός, where again it refers to the qualifications for the ministry. See below § 49 οὐδεν βάναυσον εν ἀγάπη, οὐδὲν ὑπερήφανον, Clem. Alex. Pæd. iii. 6 (p. 273) μεταδοτέον φιλανθρώπως, οὐ βαναύσως οὐδὲ ἀλαζονικώς, Job xli. 26 (Theod.) vioù βαναυσίας (Heb. שחץ 'pride, arrogance'). In Arist. Eth. Nic. ii. 7, iv. 2, βavavσία is the excess of μεγαλοπρέπεια 'lavish profusion', the result of vulgarity. Somewhat similar is the sense which the word has here and in the passages quoted, 'vulgar selfassertion'.

- 2. † ἀποβαλέσθαι †] 'that we should have rejected'. But as the active and not the middle is used just below (τῆς ἐπισκοπῆς ἀποβάλωμεν), it is probable that we should read ἀποβάλλεσθαι and treat it as a passive.
- 3. ἀμέμπτως καὶ ὁσίως So I Thess. ii. 10.

προσενεγκόντας τὰ δῶρα] What does Clement mean by sacrifices, by gifts (δῶρα) and offerings (προσφοράς)? In what sense are the presbyters said to have presented or offered the gifts? The answers to these questions must be sought in the parallel passages; § 18 θυσία τῷ Θεῷ πνεῦμα συντετριμμένου, §§ 35, 36 θυσία αἰνέσεως δοξάσει με καὶ ἐκεῖ ὁδὸς ἢ δείξω αὐτῷ τὸ σωτήριον τοῦ Θεοῦ. Αὕτη ὁ ὁδός, ἀγαπητοί, ἐν ἢ εῦρομεν τὸ σωτήριον ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦν Χριστὸν τὸν ἀρχιερέα τῶν προσφορῶν

ήμων, τὸν προστάτην καὶ βοηθὸν τῆς ἀσθενείας ήμῶν, § 4Ι ἔκαστος ὑμῶν, άδελφοί, έν τῷ ιδίφ τάγματι εὐχαριστείτω τῷ Θεῷ ἐν ἀγαθῆ συνειδήσει ύπάρχων, μη παρεκβαίνων τον ώρισμένον της λειτουργίας αὐτοῦ κανόνα, § 52 θύσον τῷ Θεῷ θυσίαν αἰνέσεως καὶ ἀπόδος τῷ ὑψίστῳ τὰς εὐχάς σου κ.τ.λ. These passages are illustrated by Heb. xiii. 15, 16, δι' αὐτοῦ οὖν (i.e. διὰ τοῦ ἀρχιερέως Ἰησοῦ, νν. 11, 12) αναφέρωμεν θυσίαν αινέσεως δια παντὸς τῷ Θεῷ. τουτέστιν, καρπὸν χειλέων όμολογούντων τω ονόματι αὐτοῦ τῆς δε εὐποιίας καὶ κοινωνίας μη επιλανθάνεσθε, τοιαύταις γάρ θυσίαις εὐαρεστείται ὁ Θεός, to which epistle Clement is largely indebted elsewhere. The sacrifices, offerings, and gifts therefore are the prayers and thanksgivings, the alms, the contributions to the agape, and so forth. See esp. Const. Apost. ii. 25 ai τότε θυσίαι νῦν εὐχαὶ · καὶ δεήσεις καὶ εὐχαριστίαι, αἱ τότε **ἀπαρχαὶ καὶ δεκάται καὶ ἀφαιρέματα** καὶ δώρα νῦν προσφοραὶ αί διὰ τών όσίων ἐπισκόπων προσφερόμεναι Κυρίφ κ.τ.λ., § 27 προσήκει οὖν καὶ ὑμᾶς, ἀδελφοί, θυσίας ὑμῶν ἦτοι προσφοράς τῷ ἐπισκόπφ προσφέρειν ως άρχιερεί κ.τ.λ., § 34 τούς καρπούς ύμων καὶ τὰ ἔργα των χειρων ύμῶν εἰς εὐλογίαν ύμῶν προσφέροντες αὐτῷ (SC. τῷ ἐπισκόπῳ)...τὰ δῶρα ὑμῶν διδόντες αὐτῷ ώς ἱερεῖ Θεοῦ, § 35 μηκέτι ἐάσας ὑμᾶς (ὁ Θεός) θύειν ἄλογα ζωα...ού δήπου και των είσφορων ύμας

εὐλαβοῦνται μή τις αὐτοὺς μεταστήση ἀπὸ τοῦ ἰδρυμένου αὐτοῖς τόπου. ὁρῶμεν γὰρ ὅτι ἐνίους ὑμεῖς μετηγάγετε καλῶς πολιτευομέν[ους] ἐκ τῆς ἀμέμπτως αὐτοῖς το †τετιμημένης † λειτουργίας.

ΧLV. Φιλόνεικ[οι] έστε, άδελφοί, καὶ ζηλωταὶ περὶ

10 λειτουργίας] λιτουργειασ Α. Εστε] εσται Α.

11 φιλόνεικοι] φιλονικοι Α. π ερί $\tau \hat{\omega v}$] See below.

ηλευθέρωσεν ων δφείλετε τοίς Ιερεύσιν καὶ τῶν εἰς τοὺς δεομένους εὐποιϊῶν κ.τ.λ., § 53 δώρον δέ έστι Θεφ ή έκάστου προσευχή καὶ εὐχαριστία. These passages show in what sense the presbyters might be said to 'offer the gifts'. They led the prayers and thanksgivings of the congregation, they presented the alms and contributions to God and asked His blessing on them in the name of the whole body. Hence Clement is careful to insist (§ 40) that these offerings should be made at the right time and in the right place and through the right persons. The first day of the week had been fixed by Apostolic authority not only for common prayer and breaking of bread (Acts xx. 7) but also for collecting alms (I Cor. xvi. 2); and the presbyters, as the officers appointed by the same authority, were the proper persons to receive and dispense the contributions. On the whole subject see Höfling die Lehre der ältesten Kirche vom Opfer etc. p. 8 sq. (Erlangen 1851).

6. τελείαν] i.e. 'in mature, ripe, age', so that it has borne fruit (ἔγκαρπον). Comp. the compound τελειοκαρπεῖν which occurs several times in Theophrastus (e.g. Hist. Pl. i. 13. 4, Caus. Pl. iii. 6. 9). The work of these presbyters had not, like those Corinthian elders whose cause Clement pleads, been rudely interfered with

and prematurely ended.

την ἀνάλυσιν] 'their departure'; comp. Phil. i. 23, 2 Tim. iv. 6. The metaphor seems to be taken from the breaking up of an encampment (see *Philippians* l. c.), so that it is well suited to προοδοιπορήσαντες.

οὐκ εὐλαβοῦνται μή] 'They have no fear lest': comp. 1 Macc. iii. 30, xii. 40 (v.l.). In Acts xxiii. 10 εὐλα-βηθεὶs is a false reading.

8. τόπου] On the place of the departed see the note on § 5. There is here also an allusion to the other sense, 'office'; see § 40 (with the note).

10. †τετιμημένης†] 'respected by them'. But I should be disposed to read τετηρημένης: comp. I Thess. v. 23 ἀμέμπτως...τηρηθείη.

XLV. 'Your zeal is misplaced, my brethren. Search the Scriptures. You will indeed find that God's servants have been persecuted, but their persecutors are always the impious and unholy. Did pious men shut up Daniel in the lions' den? Or cast the three children into the fire? This was the deed of the wicked who knew not that God mightily shields His faithful people. And so He has crowned the sufferers with everlasting renown and honour'.

11. Φιλόνεικοι ἔστε κ.τ.λ.] By reading τῶν ἀνηκόντων, instead of μὴ ἀνηκόντων (with previous editors), I have changed ἔστε from an indicative to

[τῶν] ἀνηκόντων εἰς σωτηρίαν. 'Εν[κύπτε]τε εἰς τὰς γραφάς, τὰς ἀληθεῖς, [τὰς τοῦ] πνεύματος τοῦ ἀγίου ἐπίστασθε [ὅτι οὐ]δὲν ἄδικον οὐδὲ παραπε[ποιη]μένον γέγραπται ἐν αὐταῖς. [πότε ε]ὑρήσετε δικαίους ἀπο-βε[βλημ]ένους ἀπὸ ὁσίων ἀνδρῶν; [ἐδι]ώχθησαν δίκαιοι, 5 ἀλλ' ὑπὸ ἀνό[μω]ν' ἐφυλακίσθησαν, ἀλλ' ὑπὸ [ἀν]οσίων ἐλιθάσθησαν ὑπὸ πα[ρα]νόμων' ἀπεκτάνθησαν [ὑ]πὸ τῶν μιαρὸν καὶ ἄδικον ζῆλον [ἀ]νειληφότων. ταῦτα πάσ-χοντες εὐκλεῶς ἤνεγκαν. [Τί] γὰρ εἴπωμεν, ἀδελφοί; Δανιὴλ ὑπὸ τῶν φοβουμένων τὸν Θεὸν [ἐ]βλήθη εἰς λάκ- 10 κον λεόντων; [ἢ] 'Ανανίας καὶ 'Αζαρίας καὶ Μισαὴλ ὑπὸ τῶν θρησκευόντων τὴν μεγαλοπρεπῆ καὶ ἕνδοξον θρησ-

2 τα's τοῦ] No better way of filling the lacuna occurs to me. The δήσεις of all previous editors (following Young) can hardly stand, as the usual expression is either πνεύματος άγιου οι τοῦ πνεύματος τοῦ άγιου.

3 ἐπίστασθε] επιτασθαι Α.
4 πότε] or perhaps ποῦ; all previous editors read οὐ γὰρ (after Young), but this is

an imperative; 'Contend zealously, if you will, but let your zeal be directed to things pertaining to salvation'; comp. Gal. iv. 17, 18, 1 Pet. iii. 13. There is a Θεοῦ ζῆλος, and in some sense also a Θεοῦ φιλονεικία. Compare Barnab. § 17 ελπίζει μου ἡ ψυχὴ τῆ ἐπιθυμία μου μὴ παραλελοιπέναι τι τῶν ἀνηκόντων εἰς σωτηρίαν. For ἀνήκειν εἰς see also Ign. Philad. 1, Smyrn. 8, Polyc. 7, Polyc. Phil. 13.

ἐνκύπτετε] See the note above § 40.

3. παραπεποιημένον] 'counterfeit, spurious'. For the metaphor see Basil. (?) in Esai. i. 22 (I. p. 416 E) μήπου κίβδηλος ἢ δραχμή, τουτέστι, μήπου δόγμα παραπεποιημένον, with the whole context in which the metaphor is developed. So παραποιεῦν Justin Dial. 69, 115, παραποίησις Iren. i. 9. 2.

6. ἐφυλακίσθησαν] Many editors read ἐνεφυλακίσθησαν, but this is open to two objections; (1) There seems to be no authority for a verb ἐμφυλακί-

 $\zeta \omega$, and indeed such a compound is hardly possible, for $\phi \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa i \zeta \omega$ is derived not from $\phi \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa i$ but from $\phi \dot{\nu} \lambda \alpha \xi$: (2) The lacuna in the MS seems insufficient for so many letters.

8. $\mu\iota\alpha\rho\acute{o}\nu$] I have made a slight alteration in the reading of the MS. For the confusion of o and ω in the MS compare $\epsilon\iota\pi o\mu\epsilon\nu$ just below, and see above p. 25. Here the immediate neighbourhood of $\tau\acute{\omega}\nu$ would suggest the change to a transcriber. Compare § I $\mu\iota\alpha\rho\acute{a}s$ καὶ ἀνοσίου στάσεως, § 3 ζῆλον ἄδικον καὶ ἀσεβῆ ἀνειληφότας.

13. θρησκείαν] The word is here used in its correct sense (see Trench N. T. Syn. 1st ser. § xlviii); for the incident turns on an act of external worship.

14. $\mu\eta\theta\alpha\mu\hat{\omega}s$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] i.e. 'Let us not entertain the thought, let us not so pervert facts.'

ἐξήρισαν] 'persisted in strife'.
 Plut. Pomp. § 56 οὐκ ἐξερίσας ἀλλ' οὖον ἡττηθείς, Appian. Bell. Civ. ii.

κείαν τοῦ ὑψίστου κατείρχθησαν εἰς κάμινον πυρός; μηθαμῶς τοῦτο γένοιτο. Τίνες οὖν οἱ ταῦτα δράσαν15 τες; οἱ στυγητοὶ καὶ πάσης κακίας πλήρεις εἰς τοσοῦτο ἐξήρισαν θυμοῦ ὤστε τοὺς ἐν ὀσία καὶ ἀμώμω προθέσει δουλεύοντας τῷ Θεῷ εἰς αἰκίαν †περιβαλεῖν†, μὴ εἰδότες ὅτι ὁ ὑψιστος ὑπέρμαχος καὶ ὑπερασπιστής ἐστιν τῶν ἐν καθαρᾳ συνειδήσει λατρευόντων τῷ [πα]ναρέτω ὀνό20 ματι αὐτοῦ· ῷ ἡ δόξ[α εἰ]ς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰ[ώνων. ἀ]μήν. [Ο]ἱ δὲ ὑπο[μ]ένοντες ἐν πεποιθήσει δόξαν καὶ τιμὴν ἐκληρονόμησαν, ἐπήρθησάν τε καὶ ἔγγραφοι ἐγένοντο ἀπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐν τῷ μνημοσύνω αὐτῶν εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων. ἀμήν.

slightly too long for the lacuna, and a question seems to be required.
...υρησεται Α. 8 μιας όν] μιαρων Α. 9 εὐκλεῶς] ευκλαιωσ Α. εἴπωμεν]
ειπομεν Α. 15 στυγητοί] στυγτοι Α. 22 ἔγγραφοι] Laurent p. 424. επαφροι Α.

151 φιλονεικότεροι δὲ τοῖς ἐξερίζουσιν ὅντες. So too ἐξεριστής Eur. Suppl. 894, ἐξεριστικός Diog. Laert. x. 143. For the whole expression comp. § 1 εἰς τόσουτον ἀπονοίας ἐξέκαυσαν.

17. †περιβαλεῖν†] 'to drive round'. If the reading be correct, the idea of the preposition (as in περιπίπτειν) must be 'sudden and complete change'. But I cannot find any parallel; for in Eur. Hel. 312 φόβος γὰρ ἐς τὸ δεῖμα περιβαλών μ' ἄγει the meaning of the word is wholly different. Elsewhere (see Schweighaüser Lex. Polyb. s.v. περιβάλλεσθαι) περιβάλλειν, and this may possibly have been the case here. So Heb. xiii. 9 περιφέρεσθε and παραφέρεσθε are confused. Comp. § 55 παρέβαλεν.

18. ὑπέρμαχος κ.τ.λ.] Ὑπέρμαχος is said of God, 2 Macc. xiv. 34 (comp. Wisd. x. 20); ὑπερασπιστής is frequently so applied (especially in connexion with βοηθός), Ps. xviii. 2, xxviii. 7, 8, xxxiii. 20, cxiv. 17, 18, 19, etc.

ἐν καθαρᾶ συνειδήσει] The same expression occurs 1 Tim. iii. 9, 2 Tim. i. 3; comp. Ign. Trall. 7.

παναρέτω] See the note on § 1.

22. ἔγγραφοι] 'recorded, notable, famous'. The word occurs also in a fragment ascribed to our Clement in Joann. Damasc. Eclog. i. 49 (11. p. 752 ed. Lequien) ὅθεν ἔγγραφον περὶ αὐτοῦ (i.e. τοῦ ᾿Αβραάμ) ἱστορίαν γενέσθαι φκονόμησεν; but see especially Herm. Sim. v. 3 έσται ή θυσία σου δεκτή παρά τῷ Θεῷ καὶ ἔγγραφος ἔσται ή νηστεία αὖτη, Apost. Can. § 19 ὁ γὰρ ἐμπιπλῶν ώτα μη νοοῦντος ἔγγραφος λογισθήσεται παρὰ τῷ Θεῷ, § 29 ὁ γὰρ θησαυρίζων έν τη βασιλεία έγγραφος έργάτης λογισθήσεται παρά τῷ Θεῷ (Lagarde's Rel. Jur. Eccles. pp. 78, 79, see Hilgenfeld Nov. Test. extr. Can. IV. pp. 102, 104: this writing elsewhere bears traces of the influence of Clement's epistle; e.g. in § 23 which reproduces the language of Clem. § 40). The MS reading επαφροι, 'foam-flecked', is senseless, and the common emenΧLVI. Τοιούτοις οὖν ὑποδείγμασιν κολληθήναι καὶ ήμᾶς δεῖ, ἀδελφοί. γέγραπται γάρ· Κολλᾶςθε τοῖς ἄςίοις, ὅτι οἱ κολλώμενοι αἔτοῖς ἄςιαςθής ονται. καὶ πάλιν ἐν ἐτέροψ τόπψ λέγει· Μετὰ ἀναρός ἀθώος ἀθῶος ἔςμ καὶ μετὰ ἐκλεκτος ἔκλεκτὸς ἔςμ καὶ μετὰ ςτρεβλος Διαςτρέψεις. [κ]ολ-5 ληθῶμεν οὖν τοῖς ἀθώοις καὶ δικαίοις· εἰσὶν δὲ οὖτοι ἐκλεκτοὶ τοῦ Θεοῦ. Ίνα τί ἔρεις καὶ θυμοὶ καὶ διχοστασίαι

2 κολλασθε] κολλασθαι Α.

dations, έλαφροί, έπαφρόδιτοι, ανέπαφοι, etc. do not commend themselves. I had conjectured ἐπάϊστοι, or ἔπαθλα (see Diod. Sic. x. fragm., IV. p. 58 Wessel., έστι δ' ὁ μὲν ἔπαινος, ώς ἄν τις είποι, έπαθλον άρετης άδάπανον κ.τ.λ: Ι had not then seen Wordsworth's conjecture ἐπαθλοφόροι, on Theocr. xxvi): but Laurent's neat emendation eyypaφοι, which is accepted by Hilgenfeld, seems preferable to either, the confusion of FF with II and the transposition of PA being easy. It is however unnecessary to substitute ὑπὸ for ἀπὸ with Hilgenfeld: e.g. in this very chapter we have ἀποβεβλημένους ἀπὸ όσίων ἀνδρών; see also I Cor. i. 30, James i. 13, with the examples in Winer § xlvii. p. 389. The phrase τὸ μνημόσυνον αὐτοῦ, or αὐτῶν, is common in the LXX.

XLVI. 'Copy these bright examples. Cleave to the righteous, to the elect of God. To what end are these strifes and divisions? Have you forgotten that, as there is one God, one Christ, one Spirit, so also there is one body? Would you rend asunder its limbs? Remember how the Lord denounces the man through whom the offences shall come. Already have your feuds been a scandal to many, and yet they continue.'

2. κολλάσθε κ.τ.λ.] This quotation is no where found in the Old Testament. The nearest approach is

Ecclus. vi. 34 τίς σοφός; αὐτῷ προσκολλήθητι. Similar words however occur in Hermas Vis. iii. 6 μηδέ κολλώμενοι τοις άγίοις, Sim. viii. 8 οἱ έν ταις πραγματείαις έμπεφυρμένοι και μή κολλώμενοι τοις άγίοις, Sim. ix. 20 ού κολλώνται τοίς δούλοις του Θεού. It is perhaps another of those apocryphal quotations to which Photius alludes (see the notes on §§ 8, 13, 17, 23, 29); or possibly Clement is giving from memory the sense of some canonical text or texts. This passage is imitated by Clem. Alex. Strom. v. 8 (p. 677) γέγραπται δέ, Μετὰ ἀνδρὸς άθφου άθφος έση και μετά εκλεκτοῦ έκλεκτὸς ἔση καὶ μετὰ στρεβλοῦ διαστρέψεις κολλασθαι οὖν τοῖς ἀγίοις προσήκει ότι οἱ κολλώμενοι αὐτοῖς ἁγιασθήσονται, where the change of form suggests that the Alexandrian Clement did not recognise the source of the quotation in his Roman namesake. Part of this passage is loosely quoted also by Niconthus: κολληθώμεν οὖν τοῖς ἀθψοις καὶ δικαίοις εἰσὶ δὲ οὖτοι έκλεκτοί τοῦ Θεοῦ γέγραπται γάρ Κολλασθαι (κολλασθε) τοίς άγίοις, ὅτι οί κολλώμενοι αὐτοῖς άγιασθήσονται (see above § 14).

4. μετὰ ἀνδρὸς κ.τ.λ.] An accurate quotation from Ps. xviii. 25, 26: but the application of the passage by S. Clement to the influence of good or bad companionship is wholly wrong. The 'Thou' of the Psalmist is God

καὶ σχίσματα πόλεμός τε ἐν ὑμῖν; ἢ οὐχὶ ἕνα Θεὸν ἔχομεν καὶ ἕνα Χριστὸν καὶ ἐν πνεῦμα τῆς χάριτος τὸ ἐκχυθὲν το ἐφ' ἡμᾶς; καὶ μία κλῆσις ἐν Χριστῷ; ἵνα τί διέλκομεν καὶ διασπώμεν τὰ μέλη τοῦ Χριστοῦ, καὶ στασιάζομεν πρὸς τὸ σώμα τὸ ἴδιον, καὶ εἰς τοσαύτην ἀπόνοιαν ἐρχόμεθα ὥστε ἐπιλαθέσθαι ἡμᾶς ὅτι μέλη ἐσμὲν ἀλλήλων; μνήσθητε τῶν λόγων Ἰησοῦ τοῦ Κυρίου ἡμῶν· 15 εἶπεν γάρ· Οἰλὶ τῷ ἀνθρώπω ἐκείνω· καλὸν ਜν αἰτῷ εἰ

Himself, and the passage teaches that He deals with men according to their characters. The word ἐκλεκτός, on which Clement lays so much stress, here (as frequently in the LXX) means 'choice, excellent,' being a loose rendering of מוסים, 'perfect'. For a similar misunderstanding see the application of Is. lx. 17 in § 42.

7. ἔρεις κ.τ.λ.] The words are arranged in an ascending scale; see the notes on Galatians v. 20, 21. Θυμοὶ are 'outbursts of wrath, as in l.c. Διχοστασία is weaker than σχίσμα, as it is stronger than στάσις § 51: as στάσις developes into διχοστασία, so διχοστασία widens into σχίσμα.

8. πόλεμός τε ἐν ὑμῖν] comp. James

οὐχὶ ἔνα Θεὸν κ.τ.λ.] From Ephes. iv. 4 sq. ἐν σῶμα καὶ ἐν πνεῦμα, καθὼς καὶ ἐκλήθητε ἐν μιᾳ ἐλπίδι τῆς κλήσεως ὑμῶν εἶς Κύριος, μία πίστις, ἐν βάπτισμα, εἶς Θεός...ἐνὶ δὲ ἐκάστῳ ἡμῶν ἐδόθη ἡ χάρις κ.τ.λ.; comp. 1 Cor. viii. 6, xii. 12 sq. See also Hermas Sim. ix. 13 ἔσονται εἰς ἐν πνεῦμα, εἰς ἐν σῶμα...καὶ ἢν αὐτῶν ἐν πνεῦμα καὶ ἐν σῶμα, ix. 18 ἔσται ἡ ἐκκλησία τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐν σῶμα, μία φρόνησις, εἶς νοῦς, μία πίστις, μία ἀγάπη, Ign. Magn. 7.

This mention of Θεός, Χριστός, πνεῦμα, has a parallel in the reference to the Trinity quoted by S. Basil (de Spir. Sanct. xxix. III. p. 16) as from

our Clement, but not found in our MS and probably belonging to the lacuna after § 57, ξη ὁ Θεὸς καὶ ὁ Κύριος Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον. See the note at the end of § 57. Owing to this parallel, I have taken ἐν πνεῦμα as an accusative and connected it with the preceding words, rather than as a nominative in which case it would be attached to the following clause, καὶ μία κλησις ἐν Χριστῷ; but the construction is doubtful.

13. μέλη ἐσμέν] Rom.xii.5 οἱ πολλοὶ ἔν σῶμά ἐσμεν ἐν Χριστῷ, τὸ δὲ καθ εἶs ἀλλήλων μέλη.

15. οὐαὶκ.τ.λ.] Two different sayings of our Lord are here combined. The first is recorded in Matt. xxvi. 24, Mark xiv. 21, οὐαὶ δὲ τῷ ἀνθρώπφ έκείνω δι' οὖ ο υίὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου παραδίδοται καλον ήν αὐτῷ εἰ οὐκ ἐγεννήθη ὁ ἄνθρωπος ἐκείνος; and more briefly in Luke xxii. 22, πλὴν οὐαὶ τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ έκείνω δι' οὖ παραδίδοται. The second runs in Matt. xviii. 6, 7, ôs & av σκανδαλίση ένα των μικρών τούτων των πιστευόντων είς εμέ, συμφέρει αὐτῷ ἵνα κρεμασθή μύλος όνικὸς περί τὸν τράχηλον αὐτοῦ καὶ καταποντισθή ἐν τῷ πελάγει της θαλάσσης...οὐαὶ τῷ ἀνθρώπφ δι' οὖ τὸ σκάνδαλον ἔρχεται: in Mark ix. 42, δs αν σκ. έ. τ. μ. τ. τ. π. είς έμέ, καλόν έστιν αὐτῷ μᾶλλον εἰ περίκειται μ. ον. π. τ. τρ. αὐτοῦ καὶ βέβληται els την θάλασσαν: in Luke xvii. 1, 2, ανένδεκτόν έστιν τοῦ τὰ σκάνδαλα μή ογκ έγεννήθη, ή ενα των έκλεκτων μογ εκανδαλίεαι κρείττον η αγτώ περιτεθήναι μέλον και καταποντιεθήναι είς την θάλας την πολλούς διέστρε ψεν, πολλούς είς άθυμίαν είβαλεν, πολλούς είς δισταγμόν, τούς πάντας ήμας είς 5 λύπην και έπίμονος ύμων έστιν ή στάσις.

XLVII. 'Αναλάβετε την έπιστολην τοῦ μακαρίου Παύλου τοῦ ἀποστόλου. τί πρῶτον ὑμῖν ἐν ἀρχῆ τοῦ εὐαγγελίου ἔγραψεν; ἐπ' ἀληθείας πνευματικῶς ἐπέ-

τι πρόσκλισις] προσκλησεισ Α.

έλθειν, πλην οὐαὶ δι' οὖ ἔρχεται· λυσιτελεί αὐτῷ εἰ λίθος μυλικὸς περίκειται π. τ. τρ. αὐτοῦ καὶ ἔρριπται εἰς τὴν θάλασσαν, ή ίνα σκανδαλίση τῶν μικρῶν τούτων ένα. Hermas Vis. iv. 2 has οὖαὶ τοῖς ἀκούσασιν τὰ ῥήματα ταῦτα καὶ παρακούσασιν αίρετώτερον ήν αύτοις τὸ μή γεννηθήναι: and in Clem. Hom. xii. 29 a saying of our Lord is quoted, τὰ ἀγαθὰ ἐλθεῖν δεῖ, μακάριος δὲ δι' οδ έρχεται όμοίως και τὰ κακὰ ἀνάγκη έλθείν, οὐαὶ δὲ δι' οὖ ἔρχεται. S. Clement here may be quoting from our canonical gospels (confusing them together), or from oral tradition, or possibly (though this seems the least probable supposition) from some written account no longer extant, e.g. the Gospel of the Hebrews. first solution presents no difficulties; for the insertion of η ένα τῶν ἐκλεκτῶν μου σκανδαλίσαι is not a more violent change than is found in many of his Old Testament quotations; e.g. the perversion of Is. lx. 17 at the end of § 42. See also the fusion of different passages in §§ 18, 26, 29, 32, 35, 39, 50, 52, 53. The quotation of Clem. Alex. Strom. iii. 18 (p. 561) is not an independent authority, for it is evidently taken from the Roman Clement, and in the words $\hat{\eta}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\alpha$ $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ έκλεκτών μου διαστρέψαι the Alexan-

drian father has confused his predecessor's application (πολλούς διέστρεψεν) with the quotation itself ($\hat{\eta}$, ἔνα τῶν ἐκλεκτῶν σκανδαλίσαι).

5. δισταγμόν] The word is rare, but occurs in Hermas Sim. ix. 28, Plut. Mor. 214 F.

XLVII. 'Read the epistle which Paul the Apostle wrote to you long ago. See how he condemns strife and party spirit in you. Yet then you had this excuse, that you chose as leaders Apostles and Apostolic men. Now even this palliation of your offence is wanting. It is sad indeed that two or three ringleaders should sully the fair fame of the Corinthian Church and bring dishonour on the name of Christ.'

7. τὴν ἐπιστολήν] It must not be inferred from this expression that Clement was unacquainted with the 2nd Epistle to the Corinthians; for exactly in the same way Irenæus (iv. 27. 4) quotes from 2 Thessalonians as 'ea quæ est ad Thessalonicenses epistola', and Chrysostom in his preface to the Colossians (XI. p. 322 B, ed. Bened.) refers to 2 Timothy as ἡ πρὸς Τιμό-θεον (ἐπιστολή). Where the context clearly shows which epistle is meant, no specification is needed. On the other hand I have not observed any

10 στειλεν ύμιν περί αὐτοῦ τε καὶ Κηφᾶ τε καὶ ᾿Απολλώ, διὰ τὸ καὶ τύτε προσκλίσεις ύμῶς πεποιῆσθαι· ἀλλ' ἡ πρόσκλισις ἐκείνη ἦττον ἀμαρτίαν ύμιν προσήνεγκεν· προσεκλίθητε γὰρ ἀποστόλοις μεμαρτυρημένοις καὶ ἀνδρὶ δεδοκιμασμένω παρ' αὐτοῖς. νυνὶ δὲ κατανοήσατε τίνες ὑμῶς διέστρε ψαν καὶ τὸ σεμνὸν τῆς περιβοήτου φιλαδελφίας ὑμῶν ἐμείωσαν. αἰσχρά, ἀγαπητοί, καὶ λίαν αἰσχρά, καὶ ἀνάξια τῆς ἐν Χριστῷ ἀγωγῆς, ἀκούεσθαι τὴν βεβαιοτάτην καὶ ἀρχαίαν Κορινθίων ἐκ-

16 έμείωσαν] εμιωσαν Α.

distinct traces of the influence of 2 Corinthians on Clement's language or thoughts.

μακαρίου] Polyc. Phil. § 3 τοῦ μακαρίου καὶ ἐνδόξου Παύλου, ib. § 11 'beatus Paulus.' This passage of Clement is perhaps the earliest instance of the specially Christian sense of μακάριος: comp. Rev. xiv. 13 μακάριοι οἱ νεκροὶ οἱ ἐν Κυρίφ ἀποθνήσκοντες ἀπάρτι. In § 43 he applies the epithet to Moses; in § 55 to Judith. The word continues to be used occasionally of the living, e. g. Alex. Hieros. in Euseb. H. E. vi. 11 διὰ Κλήμεντος τοῦ μακαρίου πρεσβυτέρου, and even in later writers.

8. ἐν ἀρχῷ κ.τ.λ.] i.e. 'in the first days of the Gospel, soon after your conversion.' The expression occurs in S. Paul himself, Phil. iv. 15. See also the note on Polyc. Phil. II 'in principio'. It is quite impossible that ἀρχὴ τοῦ εὐαγγελίου can mean (as Young, Cotelier, and others suppose), 'the beginning of his epistle' as containing his evangelical teaching (Iren. iv. 34. I 'Legite diligentius id quod ab apostolis est evangelium nobis datum').

10. περὶ αὐτοῦ τε κ.τ.λ.] I Cor. i. 10 sq. The party whose watchword was ε'ya Χριστοῦ is passed over in

silence by Clement, because the mention of them would only have complicated his argument. Moreover it is not probable that their exact theological position was known to him or his contemporaries.

προσκλίσεις | See above on § 21.

13. μεμαρτυρημένοις] 'attested, famous': see the note on § 17. So Ign. Ερh. 12 Παύλου...τοῦ μεμαρτυρημένου.

14. ἀνδρὶ δεδοκιμασμένφ] Apollos therefore is not regarded as an Apostle. See *Galatians* pp. 96, 98.

15. τὸ σεμνὸν κ.τ.λ.] Comp. § 1 ὅστε τὸ σεμνὸν καὶ περιβόητον καὶ πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις ἀξιαγάπητον ὅνομα ὑμῶν μεγάλως βλασφημηθῆναι.

16. alσχρὰ καὶ λίαν alσχρά] Comp. § 53 ἐπίστασθε καὶ καλῶς ἐπίστασθε. See also Theoph. ad Autol. i. 17 καλὰ καὶ καλὰ λίαν, Hippol. p. 36 (Lagarde) πάντα μὲν καλὰ καὶ καλὰ λίαν τὰ τοῦ Θεοῦ, Clem. Recogn. iii. 25 'Ignoras, O Simon, et valde ignoras', and perhaps Hermas Mand. viii οὐ δοκεῖ σοι ταῦτα πονηρὰ εἶναι καὶ λίαν πονηρὰ τοῖς δοῦλοις τοῦ Θεοῦ; (if this be the right punctuation). The very words alσχρὰ καὶ λίαν αlσχρὰ occur in Maximus (?) on • Jude 7 in Cramer's Catena p.

18. ἀκούεσθαι] i.e. 'It is a disgraceful state of things, that it should be

CLEM.

XLVIII. 'Εξάρωμεν οὖν τοῦτο ἐν τάχει καὶ προσπέσωμεν τῷ δεσπότη καὶ κλαύσωμεν ἰκετεύοντες αὐτόν, ὅπως ἴλεως γενόμενος ἐπικαταλλαγῆ ἡμῖν καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν

reported,' the word ἀκούεσθαι being dependent on alσχρά...καὶ ἀνάξια. I mention this, because the construction is generally mistaken; some editors wanting to understand δεῖ and others substituting ἀκούεται for ἀκούεσθαι. For the plural αἰσχρὰ κ.τ.λ. see Jelf's Gramm. § 383.

dρχαίαν] This epithet seems hardly consistent with the very early date which some critics would assign to Clement's epistle: see p. 4, and the notes on §§ 5, 44.

1. πρώσωπα] 'persons', or rather 'ringleaders'; as in § 1. See the note on Ign. Magn. 6.

3. έτεροκλινείς] See the note on §

4. ὧστε...βλασφημίας ἐπιφέρεσθαι] 'so that you heap blasphemies'; ἐπιφέρεσθαι being middle as frequently elsewhere, and the subject being ὑμᾶς οτ possibly τοὺς ἐτεροκλινεῖς ὑπάρχοντας. Comp. Rom. ii. 24 τὸ γὰρ ὄνομα τοῦ Θεοῦ δι' ὑμᾶς βλασφημεῖται ἐν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν, καθὼς γέγραπται.

5. κίνδυνον] i.e. the danger of incurring God's wrath, as § 14 κίνδυνον ὑποίσομεν μέγαν, § 41 τοσούτφ μάλλον ὑποκείμεθα κινδύνφ.

6. ἐπεξεργάζεσθαι] 'withal to creațe'; for this is the force of ἐπί, as in Demosth. de Cor. p. 274 ἐν δ' ἐπεξειργάσατο τοιοῦτον ὁ πῶσι τοῖς προτέροις

ἐπέθηκε τέλος. Here ἐαυτοῖς will be equivalent to ὑμῶν αὐτοῖς: see the note on § 32 and Winer § xxii. p. 163.

XLVIII. 'Let us put our sin away. Let us fall on our knees and implore God's pardon. Righteousness in Christ is the only gate which leads to life. Is any one faithful, wise, learned, energetic, pure? He should be the more humble in proportion as he is greater. He should work for the common good'.

9. τὴν σεμνὴν κ.τ.λ.] The expression is copied by Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 17 (p. 613) ἡ σεμνὴ οὖν τῆς φιλανθρωπίας καὶ ἀγνὴ ἀγωγὴ κατὰ τὸν Κλήμεντα τὸ κοινωφελὲς (ητεῖ, where the insertion of καὶ relieves the sentence. Comp. the words at the close of this chapter. 'Αγωγὴ is 'conduct', as in § 47: see also 2 Tim. iii. 10, Esth. ii. 20, x. 3, 2 Macc. iv. 16, vi. 8, xi. 24.

12. ἀνοίξατε κ.τ.λ.] From the LXX Ps. cxviii. 19, 20, word for word. This passage, as far as ήτω γοργὸς ἐν ἔργοις, is loosely quoted with interpolations of his own by Clem. Alex. Strom. i. 7 (p. 338 sq.), who gives his authority as ὁ Κλήμης ἐν τῆ πρὸς Κορινθίους ἐπιστολῆ. Elsewhere Strom. vi. 8 (p. 772), after quoting Ps. cxviii. 19, 20, he adds (by a lapse of memory) ἐξηγούμενος δὲ τὸ ρητὸν τοῦ προφήτου Βαρνάβας ἐπιφέρει, Πολλῶν πυλῶν

10 σεμνήν της φιλαδελφίας ήμων άγνην άγωγην άποκαταστήση ήμας. πύλη γαρ δικαιοσύνης άνεωγυῖα εἰς ζωήν αὕτη, καθώς γέγραπται 'Ανοίξατέ μοι πήλας Δικαιος νης εἰς ελθών ἐν αἤταῖς ἐξομολογής ωμαι τῷ Κγρίω αἤτη τη πήλη τος Κγρίος, Δίκαιοι εἰς ελείς ονται ἐν αἤτη. Πολλων τοῦν πυλων ἀνεωγυιων, ἡ ἐν δικαιοσύνη αὕτη ἐστὶν ἡ ἐν Χριστῷ, ἐν ἡ μακάριοι πάντες οἱ εἰσελθόντες καὶ κατευθύνοντες τὴν πορείαν αὐτῶν ἐν ὁσιότητι καὶ δικαιοσύνη, ἀταράχως πάντα ἐπιτελοῦντες. ἤτω τις πιστός, ἤτω δυνατὸς •γνῶσιν ἐξειπεῖν, ἤτω σοφὸς ἐν

ανεωγνιών...οι εἰσελθόντες, though a few sentences below he cites the words ἔστω τοίνυν πιστός ... μᾶλλον μείζων εἶναι, as from 'Clement in the letter to the Corinthians'. His two quotations do not agree exactly either with the original text of Clement or with one another. These facts make it clear that he cites chiefly from memory, and this must be borne in mind in using his quotations to correct the text of the Roman Clement.

13. ἐξομολογήσωμαι] The best MSS of the LXX have ἐξομολογήσομαι, which is substituted for the conjunctive by most editors here, but ἐξομολογήσωμαι will stand; see Winer § xli. p. 300. Hilgenfeld inserts ἴνα before εἰσελθών, following Clem. Alex. Strom. i. 7 (p. 338); but the quotation of the later Clement is much too loose to be a guide here, and he probably inserted the ἵνα to improve the grammar of the sentence.

14. πολλών οὖν πυλών κ.π.λ.] Perhaps a reference to our Lord's saying, Matt. vii. 13, 14.

16. ἡ ἐν Χριστῷ] John x. 9 ἐγώ εἰμι ἡ θύρα, Hermas Sim. ix. 12 ἡ πύλη ὁ υίὸς τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐστί (and the whole section), Ign. Philad. 9 αὐτὸς ὧν θύρα τοῦ πατρός, Clem. Hom. iii. 52 διὰ τοῦτο

αὐτὸς ἀληθής ὧν προφήτης ἔλεγεν, Έγω εἰμι ἡ πύλη τῆς ζωῆς κ.τ.λ., Hegesipp. in Euseb. Η. Ε. ii. 23 ἀπάγγειλον ἡμῖν τίς ἡ θύρα τοῦ Ἰησοῦ.

17: δσιότητι κ.τ.λ.] The usual combination of δσιος and δίκαιος. See the note on ii. § 5.

18. ἦτω τις πιστός κ.τ.λ.] i.e. 'If a man has any special gift, let him employ it for the common good, and not as a means of self-assertion.' The same gifts of the Spirit are enumerated, though in the reverse order, in I Cor. xii. 8, 9 ῷ μὲν γὰρ διὰ τοῦ πνεύματος δίδοται λόγος σοφίας, ἄλλφ δὲ λόγος γνώσεως κατὰ τὸ αὐτῷ πνεύματ, έτέρφ πίστις ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ πνεύματ, Unless Clement is using this language without warrant, the temper of the factious Corinthians of his time must have closely resembled that of their predecessors in S. Paul's age.

19. γνῶσιν ἐξειπεῖν] 'to utter, expound a γνῶσις', i.e. 'to bring out the hidden meaning of a scripture'. For this sense of γνῶσις see the note on Barnabas § 6. The possession of γνῶσις was an old boast of the factious Corinthians, I Cor. viii. 1, 10, 11, xiii. 2, 8; and the vaunt has not without reason been attributed espe-

10-2

διακρίσει λόγων, ήτω γοργός έν ξργοις, ήτω άγνός τοσούτω γάρ μαλλον ταπεινοφρονείν όφείλει, όσω δοκεί μαλλον μείζων είναι, καί ζητείν το κοινωφελές πασιν καί μη το έαυτοῦ.

ΧΙΙΧ. 'Ο έχων αγάπην έν Χριστῷ ποιησάτω τὰ 5

1 διακρίσει] διακριακρισει A, as read by Tisch.; see Prol. p. xix. As far as the c he appears to me to have deciphered the Ms correctly. Jacobs., instead of CEI, reads it CIN. This seemed to me more like the traces in the Ms, but I could not see it distinctly. On Clem. Alex. see below.

πτω γοργὸς ἐν ἔργοις, πτω ἀγνός] Clem. Alex. (see below). ητωαγνοσενεργοισ A.

cially to the party among them which claimed as its leader Apollos, the learned *Alexandrian*, 'mighty in the scriptures' (Acts xviii. 24).

1. διακρίσει] As the passage is twice so quoted by Clem. Alex., this is the probable reading, the reading of the MS (if it be correctly given διακριακρισιν) being a corruption of διακρισιν (=διακρισι) which itself arose out of διακρισι and this out of διακρισι: see for other instances of a like error the note on ἀναστήσομαι § 15. Otherwise διακρίσεσιν might be read (see above, p. 25, for similar corruptions), as the plural διακρίσεις οccurs Rom. xiv. I διακρίσεις διαλογισμών, I Cor. xii. Io διακρίσεις πνευμάτων.

ήτω γοργός 'let him be energetic'. In later writers yopyo's is 'active, quick, strenuous'; e.g. Dion. Hal. de Comp. Verb. p. 133 (Reiske) τὸ μέν αὐτῶν [τῶν κώλων] γοργότερον τὸ δὲ βραδύτερον, Epict. Diss. ii. 16. 20 ἐν μὲν τῆ σχολῆ γοργοὶ καὶ κατάγλωσσοι, iii. 12. 10 ἄσκησον, εί γοργὸς εί, λοιδορούμενος ἀνέχεσθαι κ.τ.λ., M. Antonin. xii. 6 el ouv yopyòs el, ταύτην θεράπευσον. The departure in the later usage of the word from its Attic sense 'terrible' is noted by the old lexicographers. The passage is twice quoted by Clem. Alex., Strom. i. 7 (p. 339) αὖτίκα ὁ Κλήμης ἐν τῆ πρὸς Κορινθίους ἐπιστολῆ κατὰ λέξιν φησί, τὰς διαφοράς ἐκτιθέμενος τῶν κατά την έκκλησίαν δοκίμων, "Ητω τις πιστός, ήτω δυνατός τις γνώσιν έξειπείν, ήτω σοφός έν διακρίσει λόγων, ήτω yopyòs ev epyois, and Strom. vi. 8 (D. 722 sq.) ἔστω τοίνυν πιστὸς ὁ τοιοῦτος. έστω δυνατός γνώσιν έξειπείν, ήτω σοφός έν διακρίσει λόγων, ήτω γοργός έν έργοις, ήτω άγνός τοσούτφ γάρ μαλλον ταπεινοφρονείν όφείλει, όσω δοκεί μαλλον μείζων είναι ὁ Κλήμης έν τη πρὸς Κορινθίους Φησί. The correction adopted in the text (after Hilgenfeld) seems to be justified by these two quotations. The reading of the MS may be explained as arising out of a confusion, the transcriber's eye passing from one similar ending to another.

3. μᾶλλον μείζων] For the double comparative see the note on Philippians i. 23. Antonius Melissa Loc. Comm. ii. 73 (34) and Maximus Serm. 49 both quote this sentence as from Clement in a somewhat different form, τοσοῦτόν τις μᾶλλον όφειλει ταπεινοφρονεῖν, ὄσον δοκεῖ μᾶλλον εἶναι: but they cannot be regarded as independent authorities for omitting μείζων, since in such collections of excerpts the later compiler generally borrows directly from his predecessor: see Philippians p. 251, note 2.

ζητείν κ.τ.λ.] Ι Cor. x. 24 μηδεὶς τὸ ἐαυτοῦ ζητείτω ἀλλὰ τὸ τοῦ ἐτέρου τοῦ Χριστοῦ παραγγέλματα. τὸν δεσμὸν τῆς ἀγάπης τοῦ Θεοῦ τίς δύναται ἐξηγήσασθαι; τὸ μεγαλεῖον τῆς καλλονῆς αὐτοῦ τίς ἀρκετὸς ἐξειπεῖν; τὸ ὕψος εἰς ὁ ἀνάγει ἡ ἀγάπη ἀνεκδιήγητόν ἐστιν. ἀγάπη κολλᾳ το ἡμᾶς τῷ Θεῷ· ἀγάπη καλύπτει πλῆθος ἁμαρτιῶν· ἀγάπη πάντα ἀνέχεται, πάντα μακροθυμεῖ· οὐδὲν βά-

2 τοσούτ ψ γάρ] A, Clem. Alex. τοσούτόν τις Ant. Mel., Max. ταπεωνοφρονεῖν δφείλει] A, Clem. Alex. δφείλει ταπεωνοφρονεῖν Ant. Mel., Max. δσ ψ] A, Clem. Alex. δσον Ant. Mel., Max. 3 μείζων] A, Clem. Alex.; om. Ant. Mel., Max. 5 ποιησάτ ψ] So Tisch. reads the Ms. Other collators give it τηρησατ ψ . I could not satisfy myself. On the first two inspections I inclined to τηρησατ ψ , but on the last to ποιησατ ψ .

and ib. ver. 33 μη ζητών τὸ ἐμαυτοῦ σύμφορον ἀλλὰ τὸ τών πολλών. For ζητεῖν τὸ ἐαυτοῦ see also 1 Cor. xiii. 5, Phil. ii. 21.

3. τὸ κοινωφελές] 'the common advantage'; comp. Philo de Joseph. II. p. 47 Μ διὰ τὸ κοινωφελές φθάνοντα τοὺς ἄλλους, Μ. Anton. iii. 4 χωρίς μεγάλης καὶ κοινωφελοῦς ἀνάγκης.

XLIX. 'Who shall tell the power and the beauty of love? Love unites us to God: love is all enduring: love is free from pride and vulgarity: love brooks no strife or discord. In love all the saints were perfected. In love God took us to Himself. In love Christ gave His body for our bodies and His life for our lives'.

 ό ἔχων κ.τ.λ.] resembles our Lord's saying in John xiv. 15 ἐὰν ἀγαπᾶτέ με, τὰs ἐντολὰs τὰs ἐμὰs τηρήσετε (v.l. τηρήσατε): comp. 1 Joh. v. 1—3.

6. τον δεσμόν] i.e. the binding power: comp. Col. iii. 14 την ἀγάπην ὅ ἐστιν σύνδεσμος τῆς τελειότητος. This clause is quoted by Jerome ad Ephes. iv. 1 (VII. p. 606) 'Cujus rei et Clemens ad Corinthios testis est, scribens Vinculum charitatis Dei qui (quis) poterit enarrare?'

8. ἀρκετὸς ἐξειπεῖν] Previous editors have misread the MS, and written

dρκεί, ώς ἔδει, εἰπείν. For the construction of ἀρκετὸς see 1 Pet. iv. 3. The word occurs also Matt. vi. 34, x. 25, Hermas Vis. iii. 8.

τὸ ΰψος κ.τ.λ.] See the elaborate metaphor in Ign. Ephes. 9 ἀναφερόμενοι εἰς τὰ ΰψη διὰ τῆς μηχανῆς Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ κ.τ.λ. The passage of Clement from this point as far as τῆς βασιλείας τοῦ Χριστοῦ (§ 50) is loosely quoted and abridged by Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 17 (p. 613 sq.).

10. ἀγάπη καλύπτει κ.τ.λ.] 'throws a veil over, omits to notice, forgets, forgives'. The expression is taken from 1 Pet. iv. 8 (comp. James v. 20), which again seems to be a loose quotation from Prov. x. 12, where the original has 'c'a' 'a' 'all sins' for 'a multitude of sins', and the Lxx rendering is still wider, πάντας δὲ τοὺς μὴ φιλονεικοῦντας καλύπτει φιλία. For this Hebrew metaphor of 'covering' see Ps. xxxii. 1, lxxxv. 3, Neh. iii. 37 (iv. 6).

11. ἀγάπη πάντα ἀνέχεται] An imitation of 1 Cor. xiii. 4, 7, ἡ ἀγάπη μακροθυμεῖ...πάντα στέγει...πάντα ὑπομένει: and indeed the whole passage is evidently inspired by S. Paul's praise of love. The juxtaposition of the language of S. Paul and the lan-

ναυσον ἐν ἀγάπη, οὐδὲν ὑπερήφανον· ἀγάπη σχίσμα οὐκ ἔχει, ἀγάπη οὐ στασιάζει, ἀγάπη πάντα ποιεῖ ἐν ὁμονοία· ἐν τῆ ἀγάπη ἐτελειώθησαν πάντες οἱ ἐκλεκτοὶ τοῦ Θεοῦ· δίχα ἀγάπης οὐδὲν εὐάρεστόν ἐστιν τῷ Θεῷ· ἐν ἀγάπη προσελάβετο ἡμᾶς ὁ δεσπότης· διὰ τὴν 5 ἀγάπην, ἣν ἔσχεν πρὸς ἡμᾶς, τὸ αἷμα αὐτοῦ ἔδωκεν ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς ὁ Κύριος ἡμῶν ἐν θελήματι Θεοῦ, καὶ τὴν σάρκα ὑπὲρ τῆς σαρκὸς ἡμῶν καὶ τὴν ψυχὴν ὑπὲρ τῶν ψυχῶν ἡμῶν.

12 $\epsilon\xi\eta\gamma\eta\sigma\iota s$] $\epsilon\xi\eta\gamma\eta\sigma\epsilon\iota\sigma$ A. où s år καταξιώση] Tisch. seems to have rightly deciphered the MS 0γ CakataZiwch, though the superscribed N is not distinct. 13 $\delta\epsilon\omega\mu\epsilon\theta\alpha$] So I would read, as better fitted to the lacuna than

guage of S. Peter is a token of the large and comprehensive sympathies of one who paid equal honour to both these great Apostles (§ 5), though rival sectarians claimed them for their respective schools. See *Galatians* p. 323, with notes above §§ 12, 33.

I. βάναυσον] 'coarse, vulgar, selfasserting, arrogant'. See the note on ἀβαναύσως § 44.

σχίσμα οὖκ ἔχει κ.τ.λ.] The expressions are in an ascending scale (1) 'knows nothing of outward schisms'; (2) 'does not even foster a factious spirit'; (3) 'nay, preserves entire and universal harmony'.

3. ἐτελειώθησαν] I John iv. 18 ὁ δὲ φοβούμενος οὐ τετελείωται ἐν τῆ ἀγάπη.

διὰ τὴν ἀγάπην κ.τ.λ.] Comp.
 John xv. 12, Gal. ii. 20, Ephes. v. 2.

8. καὶ τὴν σάρκα] Wotton quotes Iren. V. I. I τῷ ἰδίφ αἴματι λυτρωσαμένου ἡμᾶς τοῦ Κυρίου καὶ δόντος τὴν ψυχὴν ὑπὲρ τῶν ἡμετέρων ψυχῶν καὶ τὴν σάρκα τὴν ἐαυτοῦ ἀντὶ τῶν ἡμετέρων σαρκῶν, which seems to have been taken from this passage of Clement.

L. 'In this marvellous love let us pray God that we may live. We can only do so by His grace. Past generations, thus perfected in love, now dwell in the abodes of bliss, awaiting His kingdom: for He has promised to raise them again. Happy are we, if we pass our time here in harmony and love. For then our sins will be forgiven us: we shall inherit the blessing promised to the elect of God through Christ'.

12. ἐν αὖτῆ εὖρεθῆναι] Comp. Phil.

 αί γενεαὶ πᾶσαι] Comp. § 7 εἰς τάς γενεάς πάσας. Clem. Alex. adds ἀπὸ 'Aδάμ, but, as there seems to be no room for so many letters in the lacuna of the MS, the words are probably his own. Yet as the lines in this part of the MS were clearly of very uneven lengths, it is impossible to speak positively on this point. Tischendorf's language however (præf. p. xix), 'Verba απο αδαμ vel απ aρχησ spatio certe satis conveniunt', is too strong, for the aσ of πâσαι stand directly over the $\rho\eta$ of $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \lambda$ θον and the θε of τελειωθέντες.

17. χῶρον εὖσεβῶν] 'the place assigned to the pious', like τὸν ὀφειλόμενον τόπον τῆς δόξης § 5, οτ τοῦ ἰδρυμένου αὐτοῖς τόπου § 44. See the note

10 L. 'Ορᾶτε, ἀγαπητοί, πῶς μέγα καὶ θαυμαστόν ἐστιν ἡ ἀγάπη, καὶ τῆς τελειότητος αὐτῆς οὐκ ἔστιν ἐξήγησις· τίς ἰκανὸς ἐν αὐτῆ εὐρ[εθῆναι], εἰ μὴ οὺς ἀν καταξιώση [ὁ Θεός; δεώμε]θα οὖν καὶ αἰτώμεθα ἀπ[ὸ τοῦ ἐλέ]ους αὐτοῦ, ἴνα ἐν ἀγάπη [ζῶμεν] δίχα προσ-15 κλίσεως ἀνθρω[πίνης] ἄμωμοι. Αὶ γενεαὶ πᾶσ[αι] έως τῆσδε ἡμέρας παρῆ[λθον], ἀλλ' οἱ ἐν ἀγάπη τελειωθέν-[τες] κατὰ τὴν τοῦ Θεοῦ χάριν ἔχο[υσιν] χῶρον εὐσεβῶν· οὶ φανερ[οὶ ἔσον]ται ἐν τῆ ἐπισκοπῆ τῆς βασιλ[είας] τοῦ Χριστοῦ. γέγραπται γάρ· Εἴς ελ [θε] εἰς τὰ τωμεῖο

εὐχώμεθα which previous editors supply.

18 φανεροί ἔσονται] See below.

19 Χριστοῦ] At least Tisch, reads the MS $\overline{\overline{XY}}$. I could only see $\overline{\overline{Y}}$, the first letter being hopelessly blurred.

on § 5, and comp. Iren. v. 31.2 (quoted by Wotton here) ai ψυχαὶ ἀπέρχονται είς τὸν [ἀόρατον] τόπον τὸν ώρισμένον αὐταῖς ἀπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ, κἀκεῖ μέχρι τῆς άναστάσεως φοιτώσι, περιμένουσαι την ανάστασιν κ.τ.λ. For χώρον εὐσεβών the existing text of Clem. Alex. has χώραν εὐσεβών, 'the country, the realms of the pious', which suggests a more sensuous image, conveying a notion similar to the 'Elysian fields'. The one might be translated 'locus piorum', the other 'campus piorum'. But χώρος, rather than χώρα, accords with the language of the Roman Clement elsewhere. A place in Sicily, named after two brothers famous for their piety, was called indifferently Εὐσεβῶν χώρα and Εὐσεβῶν χώρος; see Bentley's Dissert. on Phalar. v (I. p. 238, ed. Dyce).

18. φανεροὶ ἔσονται] I have preferred this to φανερωθήσονται, the reading of Clem. Alex., as taking up less room [φανεροιεσόται] and therefore better adapted to the lacuna: comp. Luke viii. 17, I Cor. iii. 13. The reading φανεροῦνται, which is generally adopted, cannot well stand,

as a future tense seems to be wanted.

έν τἢ ἐπισκοπῆ κ.τ.λ.] I Pet. ii. 12 δοξάσωσιν τὸν Θεὸν ἐν ἡμέρα ἐπισκοπῆς, Wisd. iii. 7 καὶ ἐν καιρῷ ἐπισκοπῆς αὐτῶν ἀναλάμψουσιν, Polycrates in Euseb. Η.Ε. ν. 24 περιμένων τὴν ἀπὸ τῶν οὐρανῶν ἐπισκοπὴν ἐν ἢ ἐκ νεκρῶν ἀναστήσεται.

19. $\epsilon l \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda$.] A combination of passages. The opening is taken from the LXX Is. xxvi. 20 εἴσελθε εἰς τὰ ταμεῖά σου, ἀποκλεῖσον τὴν θύραν σου, αποκρύβηθι μικρον όσον όσον, έως αν παρέλθη ή δργή Κυρίου: the close probably from Ezek. xxxvii. 12 dváfo ύμας εκ των μνημάτων ύμων. The intermediate words και μνησθήσομαι ήμέρας άγαθης are not found anywhere. They may possibly be intended to give the general purport of the promise which they introduce: see a parallel instance in § 52. The combination of the two passages from different prophets was probably suggested by the verse in Isaiah which immediately precedes the words quoted, αναστήσονται οί νεκροί καὶ έγερθήσονται οἱ έν τοῖς μνημείοις (Is. xxvi. 19).

μικρόν ὅςον ὅςο[ν], ἔως οξ παρέλθη ή όργη καὶ θγ[μός] μογ, καὶ μνης καὶ καὶ τὰ τὰ καὶ και τὰς [ἐκ] τῶν θηκῶν ἡμῶν. Μακάριοί ἐσμεν, ἀγαπητοί, εἰ τὰ [προσ] τάγματα τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐποιοῦμεν ἐ[ν δ] μονοία ἀγάπης, εἰς τὸ ἀφεθῆ[ναι] ἡμῖν δι ἀγάπης τὰς ἁμαρτίας. γέ- 5 γραπται γάρ. Μακάριοι ὧν ἀ[φέ] θης αν αὶ ἀνομίαι καὶ ὧν ἐπεκα[λή] φθης αν αὶ ὁμαρτίαι μακάριος ἀ[νήρ] οξ οξ μή λογίς ηται Κήριος ὁμαρτία[ν], οξλέ ἐςτιν ἐν τῷ ςτόματι αἰτ[οξ] λόλος. Οὖτος ὁ μακαρισμὸς ἐ[γέ]νετο ἐπὶ τοὺς ἐκλελεγμένους ὑπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ διὰ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ τοῦ Κυρίου 10 ἡμ[ων], ῷ ἡ δόξα εἰς τοὺς αἰωνας τῶν α[ἰω]νων. ἀμήν.

3 μακάριοι] μακακαριοι A.

12 τινος τῶν τοῦ ἀντικειμένου] The letters enclosed in brackets I could not see at all. Even τινος (except the final C) seemed to me uncertain though highly probable. The traces of a letter before ΔN appeared

1. ταμεία] 'the inner chamber',

777. On the form see Lobeck Phryn.

p. 493, Paral. p. 28. The same tendency to elide the ι before ει appears in ύγεία § 20. In § 21 however our MS writes ταμιεία.

οσον οσον] Comp. Heb. x. 37 (with Bleek's note).

όργη καὶ θυμός] ὀργη is the settled temper, 'anger'; θυμὸς the sudden outburst, 'wrath'. See the distinction in Trench's N. T. Syn. 1st ser. § xxxvii, and to the passages there collected add Joseph. B. J. ii. 8. 6 ὀργης ταμίαι δίκαιοι θυμοῦ καθεκτικοί, Hermas Mand. v. 2 ἐκ δὲ τῆς πικρίας θυμός, ἐκ δὲ τοῦ θυμοῦ ὀργη, κ.τ.λ.

4. ἐποιοῦμεν] If the reading be correct, the point of time denoted in ἐσμὲν must be the second advent, so that the deeds of this present life are regarded as past.

έν δμονοία αγάπης] § 49 αγάπη πάντα ποιεί εν δμονοία.

5. δι' ἀγάπης] 'through God's love',

of which we become partakers by ourselves living in love. There is the same transition from the believer's love to God's love in § 49 $\delta i \chi a \, d \gamma d \pi \eta s \, \kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda$.

6. μακάριοι κ.τ.λ.] From the LXX of Ps. xxxii. 1, 2, word for word, as read in A (* writes αφειθησαν). For οῦ B has φ. In Rom. iv. 8 it is a question whether οῦ or φ is the correct reading.

9. οὖτος ὁ μακαρισμός] Suggested by Rom. iv. 9, where after quoting the same passage from the Psalms S. Paul continues, ὁ μακαρισμὸς οὖν οὖτος ἐπὶ τὴν περιτομὴν κ.τ.λ. For μακαρισμὸς see also Rom. iv. 6, Gal. iv. 15 (note).

LI. 'We must therefore ask pardon for our sins. Above all ought the leaders of these factions to deny themselves for the common good. It is well always to confess our wrong-doings, and not to harden our hearts. Let us take warning by the fate of the factious opponents of

LI. 'Όσα [οὖ]ν παρέβ[η]μεν διά †τινος τῶν [το]ῦ† ἀν[τικειμέ]νου, ἀξιώσωμεν [†συγγνώμην†] καὶ ἐκεῖνοι δέ, οἴτι[νες] ἀρχηγ[οὶ τῆς] στάσεως καὶ διχοστασίας ἐγεν[ή]
15 θησαν, ὀφείλουσιν τὸ κοινὸν τῆς ἐλπίδος σκοπεῖν. οἱ γὰρ μετὰ φόβου καὶ ἀγάπης πολιτευόμενοι ἑαυτοὺς θέλουσιν μᾶλλον αἰκίαις περιπίπτειν ἢ τοὺς πλησίον, μᾶλλον δὲ ἑαυτῶν κατάγνωσιν φέρουσιν ἢ τῆς παραδεδομένης ἡμῖν καλῶς καὶ δικαίως ὁμοφωνίας. καλὸν ογ[ὰ]ρ ἀνθρώπω ἐξομολογεῖσθαι περὶ τῶ[ν] παραπτωμάτων ἢ σκληρῦναι τὴν καρδίαν αὐτοῦ, καθὼς ἐσκληρύνθη ἡ καρδία τῶν στασιαζόντων πρὸς τὸν θεράποντα τοῦ

to resemble part of β or p but certainly not γ. Tisch. however deciphers τινοστων...

13. †συγγνώμην†] See below.

17 alκίαις] οικιαισ Α. Tisch. (prol. p. xix) considers that it is altered into αικιαισ prima manu, but I could not distinctly see this correction.

Moses who were swallowed up alive in the pit, by the fate of Pharaoh and his host who were overwhelmed in the Red Sea, because they hardened their hearts.'

12. διά τινος κ.τ.λ.] by any of the wiles (or of the ministers) of the adversary'. In a quotation or rather a paraphrase of this sentence in Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 17, p. 614, we have ην δε και περιπέση ἄκων τοιαύτη τινί περιστάσει διά τάς παρεμπτώσεις τοῦ ἀντικειμένου. It may be therefore that παρεμπτώσεων has fallen out from our text: but the Alexandrian father's quotation is very loose. I am disposed to think that the indistinct parts of the MS have been wrongly deciphered and that the remedy must be sought in a different reading. See the upper note.

τοῦ ἀντικειμένου] So ὁ ἀντίδικος I Pet. v. 8, and perhaps ὁ ἀντενεργῶν Barnab. § 2. 'Ο ἀντικείμενος itself is not so used in the New Testament (except possibly in I Tim. v. 14), but occurs Mart. Polyc. 17.

13. ἀξιώσωμεν †συγγνώμην†] 'let us claim pardon'. The instances however where ἀξιοῦν appears to govern an accusative of the thing claimed (e. g. Dan. ii. 23, Esth. v. 6, ix. 12, Xen. Mem. iii. 11. 12) are not decisive. It would therefore be better to supply the lacuna otherwise, ἐλέους τυχεῖν or ἀφεθῆναι, or perhaps ἀποθέσθαι. Tischendorf indeed believes that he sees the lower part of the letters ΓΝωμ (prol. p. xix), but I have looked again and again and cannot identify a single letter.

14. διχοστασίας] See the note on § 46.

15. τὸ κοινὸν τῆς ἐλπίδος] Comp. Ign. Ephes. 1 ὑπὲρ τοῦ κοινοῦ ὀνόματος καὶ ἐλπίδος with the note.

19. καλὸν...ή] Matt. xviii. 8, Mark ix. 43, 45; see Winer *Gramm*. § xxxv p. 255.

21. σκληρῦναι κ.τ.λ.] Ps. xcv. 8; comp. Heb. iii. 8, 15, iv. 7.

22. τον θεράποντα] See the note § 4.

Θεοῦ Μωϋσην· ὧν τὸ [κρί]μα πρόδηλον ἐγενήθη. κατέβ[η]σαν γὰρὶ εἰς ἄδου ζῶ[ν]τες, καὶ θά[νατος κατέπιεν
α]ὐτούς. Φαραὼ καὶ ἡ στρα[τιὰ αὐτ]οῦ καὶ πάντες
οἱ ἡγούμε[νοι λίγ]ὑπτου, τὰ τε ἄρματα καὶ οἱ [ἀναβά]ται
αὐτῶν, οὐ διὶ ἄλλην τινὰ [αἰτία]ν ἐβυθίσθησαν εἰς θά- 5
λασσαν [ἐρυθρ]ὰν καὶ ἀπώλοντο, ἀλλὰ διὰ [τὸ σκλ]ηρυνθῆναι αὐτῶν τὰς ἀσυ[νέτου]ς καρδίας μετὰ τὸ
γενέσθαι [τὰ σημ]εῖα [καὶ] τὰ τέρατα ἐν γῆ λἰγύ[πτου]
διὰ [τοῦ θ]εράποντος τοῦ Θεοῦ [Μ]ωῦσέω[ς].

• LII. 'Απροσδεής, άδελφοί, [δ] δεσπότης υπάρχει το των άπάντων, [ου]δεν ουδενός χρήζει εί μη το [έξ]ομο-λογεῖσθαι αὐτῷ. Φησιν γὰρ [δ έ]κλεκτὸς Δαυείδο

4 ἀναβάται] Wotton. 5 οὐ] οι Α. 8 τὰ σημεῖα] Wotton. 9 τοῦ] Wotton. om. Young. 12 Δανείδ] δάδ Α. See above, § 4. 14 νέον] ναιον Α. 16 ἐπικάλεσαι] επικάλεσε Α. 19 ἐπίστασθε] επιστάσθαι Α. 21 δέχεσθε] I have substituted this as better adapted to the lacuna than the λάβετε

κατέβησαν γὰρ κ.τ.λ.] Num. xvi.
 32, 33 ἠνοίχθη ἡ γῆ καὶ κατέπιεν αὐτούς
 ...καὶ κατέβησαν αὐτοὶ καὶ ὅσα ἐστὶν αὐτῶν ζῶντα εἰς ἄδου.

4. τά τε ἄρματα καὶ ol ἀναβάται] The expression is borrowed from the Mosaic narrative, where it occurs several times, Exod. xiv. 23, 26, 28, comp. xv. 19, Jer. li (xxviii). 22, Hagg. ii. 22.

7. τὰς ἀσυνέτους καρδίας] As Rom.
 i. 21 ἐσκοτίσθη ἡ ἀσύνετος αὐτῶν καρδία.

LII. 'The Lord of the universe wants nothing. He demands of us only confession. He asks no sacrifice, but the sacrifice of praise and thanksgiving; for so the Psalmist teaches us.'

10. ἀπροσδεής] 'wants nothing besides'. Comp. Joseph. Ant. viii. 4. 3 ἀπροσδεές γὰρ τὸ θείον ἀπάντων (with the context), Act. Paul. et Thecl. § 17 (p. 47 Tisch.) Θεὸς ἀπροσδεής, Clem. Hom. xi. 9 ὁ Θεὸς γὰρ ἀνενδεής

ών αὐτὸς οὐδενὸς δείται, Epist. ad Diogn. 3 ὁ ποιήσιας τὸν οὐρανὸν καὶ τὴν γην και πάντα τὰ έν αὐτοῖς...οὐδενὸς αν αυτός προσδέοιτο τούτων κ.τ.λ., Αthenag. Suppl. § 13 ο τούδε τού παντὸς δημιούργος καὶ πατήρ...ἀνενδεής καὶ απροσδεής, § 29 ανενδεές...τὸ θείον, Resurr. § 12 παντός γάρ έστιν άπροσδεής, Tatian. ad Græc. 4 ὁ γὰρ πάντων άνενδεής ου διαβλητέος υφ' ήμων ώς ἐνδεής, Theophil. ad Aut. ii. 10 ανενδεής ων. See also Acts xvii. 25 with the passages from heathen writers collected there by Wetstein, This was a favourite mode of speaking with the Stoics. The parallel passages quoted above would support the connexion of τῶν ἀπάντων either with ἀπροσδεής or with ὁ δεσπότης. The latter seems more forcible and more natural here, besides that ό δεσπότης των άπάντων is a common phrase in Clement, §§ 8, 20, 33.

13. εξομολογήσομαι κ.τ.λ.] Comp. Ps. lxix. 31, 32, καὶ ἀρέσει τῷ Θεῷ ὑπὲρ.

ΈΣομολογής ο[μ] αι τῷ Κγρίῳ, καὶ ἀρέσει αἔτῷ ἡπὲρ μόσχον νέον κέρατα ἐκφέροντα καὶ ὁπλάς ἰδέτως αν πτωχοὶ καὶ 15 εἤφρανθήτως αν. καὶ π[ά]λιν λέγει Θίς ον τῷ Θεῷ θγοίαν αἰ[νέ] σεως καὶ ἀπύδος τῷ ἡψίστῳ τὰς εἤχάς σογ καὶ ἐπικάλες αι καὶ ἡμέρα θλίψεως σογ, καὶ ἐξελοῆμαί σε, καὶ δοξάς εις με θγοία γὰρ τῷ Θεῷ πνεῆμα σγντετριμμένον.

LIII. Ἐπίστασθε γὰρ καὶ καλῶς ἐπίστασ[θε τὰ]ς 20 ἱερὰς γραφάς, ἀγαπητοί, [καὶ ἐγκ]εκύφατε εἰς τὰ λόγια τοῦ [Θεοῦ· εἰς ά]νάμνησιν οὖν ταῦτα [δέχεσθε]. Μωϋσέως γὰρ ἀναβαί[νοντος εἰ]ς τὸ ὄρος καὶ ποιήσαν[τος τεσσερ]άκοντα ἡμέρας καὶ τεσ[σεράκοντ]α νύκτας ἐν νηστεία [καὶ ταπειν]ώσει, εἶπεν πρὸς αὐτὸν [ὁ Θεός·

of previous editors. The final I (δέχεσθε being written δεχεσθαι) is visible in the MS (though Tisch. says 'ante Μωυσεωs præcedit punctum, non I quod Jacobsonus videre sibi visus est'). Or perhaps read λέλεκται.

22 ἀναβαίνοντος] A, not ἀναβαίνοντος A, not ἀναβαίνοντος as Jacobson would read. The I is distinct and cannot have formed the first stroke of a N as he supposes. See Tisch.

24 ὁ Θεός] Wotton.

μόσχον νέον κέρατα ἐκφέροντα καὶ ὁπλάς ἰδέτωσαν κ.τ.λ. The introductory words ἐξομολογήσομαι τῷ Κυρίφ are not found in the context, though they express the sense of the preceding verse αἰνέσω τὸ ὅνομα κ.τ.λ. and occur frequently elsewhere.

15. θῦσον κ.τ.λ.] The first part θῦσον...δοξάσεις με occurs in Ps. l. 14, 15 word for word (except that the LXX has ἐπικάλεσαι for ἐπικαλέσει and that the second σου is omitted in the best MSS): the last clause is taken from Ps. li. 17 θυσία τῷ Θεῷ πνεῦμα συντετριμμένον.

17. ἐξελοῦμαι] For this future see Buttmann Gr. Sprachl. II. p. 100, Winer Gramm. § xciv. Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 18 (p. 614), after διὰ τὰς παρεμπτώσεις τοῦ ἀντικειμένου (already quoted p. 153), goes on μιμησάμενος τὸν Δαβίδ ψαλεῖ Ἑξομολογήσομαι κ.τ.λ.συντετριμμένου, stringing together the same quotations as in this chapter of the Roman Clement.

LIII. 'You are well versed in the Scriptures. I therefore quote them only to remind you. Remember how Moses entreated God for the people, how he would accept no honour for himself, but asked to be blotted out with them, if they might not be forgiven.'

19. ἐπίστασθε κ.τ.λ.] For the form of the sentence see the note on § 47 αἰσχρά, ἀγαπητοί, καὶ λίαν αἰσχρά.

τάs ἰερὰs γραφάs] Comp. Polyc. Phil. 12 'Confido enim vos bene exercitatos esse in sacris literis et nihil vos latet'. So 2 Tim. iii. 15 [τὰ] ἱερὰ γράμματα, the only passage in the New Testament where this epithet is applied to the Scriptures. It occurs above § 43, and in 2 Macc. viii. 23, and is so used both by Philo and by Josephus.

20. ἐγκεκύφατε] See the note on § 40. 24. εἶπεν πρὸς αὐτὸν κ.τ.λ.] The first part, as far as μᾶλλον ἢ τοῦτο, is taken from Deut. ix. 12—14, which how-

ΜωΫ] ch, ΜωΫ ch, κατάβηθι [τό τάχος] ἐντεθθεν, ὅτι ἠνόμησεν [ὁ λαός c] ογ οθς ἐξήγαγες ἐκ γης [Αἰγήπτο] γ΄ παρέβησαν ταχὴ ἐκ [της ὁλοθ] με ἐνετείλω αὐτοῖς, [ἐποίησα] ν ἑαγτοῖς χωνείματα. [Καὶ εἶπε] ν Κύριος προς αὐτόν Λελάλη [κα πρός] σε ἄπαξ καὶ δὶς λέγων, [Εώρακα] τὸν λαὸν τοθτον, καὶ ς ἰλοὴ [λαὸς ς] κληροτράχηλος ἔασόν [με ἐξολ] εθρεθςαι αὐτός, καὶ ἐξα[λείψω τ] ὸ ὅνομα αὐτῶν ἡποκά[τωθεν] τοθ οὐρανοθ καὶ ποιήςω [σε εἰς ἔ] θνος μέγα καὶ θαγμαςτὸν [καὶ πολ] ἡ

ever commences somewhat differently καὶ εἶπε Κύριος πρός με ' Ανάστηθι, κατάβηθι τὸ τάχος, the remainder following the LXX very closely (compare also Exod. xxxii. 7, 8). After μᾶλλον π̂ τοῦτο the parallel narrative in Exod. xxxii is taken up, and the substance of vv. 11, 31, 32 is given in a compressed form. See Barnab. § 4 λέγει γάρ οὖτως Κύριος, Μωϋση, Μωϋση, κατάβηθι τὸ τάχος, ὅτι ἦνόμησεν ὁ λαός σου οθε έξήγαγες έκ γης Αλγύπτου, and again § 14 είπεν Κύριος πρός Μωϋσην, Μωϋσῆ, Μωϋσῆ, κατάβηθι τὸ τάχος ὅτι ό λαός σου δυ έξήγαγες έκ γης Αλγύπτου ηνόμησεν. The coincidence in the repetition of the name Μωῦσῆ, Μωῦσῆ, is not sufficient to show that the one writer was indebted to the other (as Hilgenfeld seems to think, here and p. xx); for, though the name is not repeated at this place in either of the Mosaic narratives, it may very easily have been inserted independently by both writers from Exod. iii. 4.

8. πολύ μᾶλλον ἡ τοῦτο] i.e. πλεῖον τούτου; an attempt to render the Hebrew idiom אבר ממנג, 'greater than it'. See ii. § 2 from Is. liv. 1.

Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 19 (p. 617) αὐτίκα οὐχ ὁ Μωϋσῆς κ.τ.λ., paraphrases the remainder of this chapter from και εἶπεν κ.τ.λ., giving the same quotations as the Roman Clement.

LIV. 'Is any one noble, tender-hearted, loving? Let him declare

his willingness to withdraw, that the flock of Christ may be at peace. He will not want a place of retirement. The whole earth will be ready to receive him, for *The earth is the Lord's and the fulness thereof.* This has been the conduct of the true citizens of God's kingdom in all ages.'

15. τίς οὖν κ.τ.λ.] This passage, as far as καθεσταμένων πρεσβυτέρων, is quoted in a collection of extracts in a Syriac MS in the British Museum. I owe the following account of it to the kindness of Dr W. Wright, the eminent Syriac scholar.

'Add. 14, 533, fol. 172 a, a MS of the 8th or 9th cent. Here there is a section entitled:

مركم نصر معرا ومرسي

'Charges brought by the followers of Paul [of Beth-Ukkāmē, patriarch of Antioch], with replies to them, and chapters against them'; and in it occurs the citation from Clement, fol. 176 b;'

مدد همه محمد محمد اعدمه بنسمه مدمها بقدمها بنسمها بدمه Μάλλον Η το γτο. [εἶπεν Δὲ Μ]ωγς Ας Μηθακώς Κήριε [ἄφες το τὴν] ἀκαρτίαν τῷ λαῷ το γτῷ Η κἀκἐ ἐξάλειψον ἐκ Βίβλος τώντων. τῶ μεγάλης ἀγάπης, τῶ τελειότητος ἀνυπερβλήτου παρρησιάζεται θεράπων πρὸς κύριον, αἶτεῖται ἄφεσιν τῷ πλήθει ἢ καὶ ἐαυτὸν ἐξαλειφθῆναι μετὰ αὐτῶν ἀξιοῖ.

15 LIV. Τίς οὖν ἐν ὑμῖν γενναῖος; τίς εὕσπλαγχνος; τίς πεπληροφορημένος ἀγάπης; εἰπάτω· Εἰ δι' ἐμὲ

أ محمر حوار مسمة مور معمور معمر حمد فرمد ومرا دمهم معمر المرار وحسه ومرسو ومرار الرار الر

The Syriac follows the Greek closely and presents no various readings of consequence. It is translated in Cowper's Syriac Miscell. p. 56. Epiphanius also (Hær. xxvii. 6, p. 107) quotes a few words, but incorrectly and at second hand (see above p. 16). He had read them in some ὑπομνηματισμοί, i.e. in some such collection of extracts as those of the Syriac MS which contains this passage. The passage suggests to Epiphanius a solution of the difficulty at-

tending the lists of the early Roman bishops. He conjectures that Clement, after being consecrated by S. Peter, may have acted as he here advises others to act, and have refrained from active ministrations (παραιτησάμενος ήργει) till the deaths of Linus and Cletus. Compare Cic. pro Mil. § 93 (to which Fell refers) 'Tranquilla republica cives mei (quoniam mihi cum illis non licet) sine me ipsi, sed per me tamen, perfruantur. Ego cedam atque abibo.' It would seem (from the reference to patriotic kings and rulers in the next chapter), as though Clement had read this passage.

16. $\dagger \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \phi o \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu o s \dagger$ In the New Testament this verb has only the following senses: (1) 'to fulfil', 2 Tim. iv. 5, 17; (2) in the passive 'to be fully believed' (e.g. Luke i. 1), or 'to be fully persuaded' (e.g. Rom. iv. 21). Here, if the reading be correct, it must be equivalent to πεπληρωμένος, 'filled full'; but of this sense, though natural in itself, the lexicons do not furnish any example nor have I succeeded in finding a distinct instance. In the only passage however where it occurs in the LXX, Eccles. viii. 11 ἐπληροφορήθη καρδία υίων του άνθρώπου έν αὐτοῖς του ποιήσαι τὸ πονηρόν, the corresponding Hebrew is מלא לב, 'the heart was full to do etc.' The word seems to be confined

στάσις καὶ ἔρις καὶ σχίσματα, ἐκχωρῶ, ἄπειμι οὖ ἐὰν βούλησθε, καὶ ποιῶ τὰ προστασσόμενα ὑπὸ τοῦ πλήθους μόνον τὸ ποίμνιον τοῦ Χριστοῦ εἰρηνευέτω μετὰ τῶν καθεσταμένων πρεσβυτέρων. τοῦτο ὁ ποιήσας ἐαυτῷ μέγα κλέος ἐν Χριστῷ περιποιήσεται, καὶ 5 πᾶς τόπος δέξεται αὐτόν. τοῦ Γὰρ Κγρίος ή Γὰ καὶ τὸ πλήρωμα αἤτὰς. ταῦτα οἱ πολιτευόμενοι τὴν ἀμεταμέλητον πολιτείαν τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐποίησαν καὶ ποιήσουσιν.

LV. Ίνα δὲ καὶ ὑποδείγματα ἐθνῶν ἐνέγκωμεν πολλοὶ βασιλεῖς καὶ ἡγούμενοι, λοιμικοῦ τινος ἐνστάν- 10

2 βούλησθε] βουλησθαι Α.

5 κλέος] κλαιοσ Α.

almost exclusively to biblical and ecclesiastical writings.

καθεσταμένων] 'duly appointed,'
 as described in the earlier chapters,
 \$43,44 (τοὺς κατασταθέντας ὑπ' ἐκείνων).

6. τοῦ γὰρ Κυρίου κ.τ.λ.] A noble application of Ps. xxiv. 1. He retires in God's cause, and there is room for him everywhere on God's earth.

7. πολιτευόμενοι...πολιτείαν] The idea of a spiritual polity to which the several members owe a duty is prominent in the context (e.g. ὑπὸ τοῦ πλήθους), and is still further developed by the comparison with secular states and statesmeninthe following chapter.

LV. 'Even heathen nations have set bright examples of this self-denial. Kings and rulers have died for the common weal: statesmen have of their free will withdrawn into exile to lull factions. Among ourselves many have become slaves to ransom or to feed others. Even women, strengthened by God's grace, have been brave as men. Judith and Esther by their patriotic courage delivered the people from slavery and destruction.'

10. πολλοὶ βασιλεῖς κ.τ.λ.] Such feats of patriotism as were exhibited by Codrus, by Bulis and Sperthias, by

M. Curtius; 'Quantus amor patriæ Deciorum in pectore, quantum dilexit Thebas, si Græcia vera, Menœceus.' The λοιμικός τις καιρός is a type of the sort of crisis which called forth these deeds of heroic self-sacrifice. Origen (in Joann. vi. § 36, IV. p. 153) refers to this passage, μεμαρτύρηται καὶ παρὰ τοις έθνεσιν ότι πολλοί τινες, λοιμικών ένσκηψάντων νοσημάτων, ξαυτούς σφάγια ύπερ τοῦ κοινοῦ παραδεδώκασι · καὶ παραδέχεται ταθθ ούτως γεγονέναι οὐκ άλόγως πιστεύσας ταις ιστορίαις ὁ πιστὸς Κλήμης ὑπὸ Παύλου μαρτυρούμενος. In several other passages also (c. Cels. i. 31, I. p. 349; in Joann. xxviii. § 14, IV. p. 393; ad Rom. iv. § 11, IV. p. 541) he uses similar language, but without mentioning Clement's name.

13. πολλοὶ ἐξεχώρησαν κ.τ.λ.] Like Lycurgus at Sparta, or Scipio Africanus at Rome. Of the latter it is reported (Seneca Epist. 86) that 'Clementis nostri fere verbis urbi valedixit, dicens Exeo, si plus quam tibi [tibi quam] expedit crevi' (Fell).

14. ἐν ἡμῖν] Gundert (Zeitschr. f. Luther. Theol. 1853, p. 649 sq.) explains this 'among us Romans,' supposing that Clement is still referring to examples of heathen self-devotion.

τος καιρού, χρησμοδοτηθέντες παρέδωκαν έαυτούς είς θάνατον, ίνα ρύσωνται διά τοῦ έαυτῶν αίματος τοὺς πολίτας. πολλοὶ έξεχώρησαν ἰδίων πόλεων, ίνα μη στασιάζωσιν έπὶ πλεῖον. ἐπιστάμεθα πολλοὺς ἐν ἡμῖν το παραδεδωκότας έαυτοὺς εἰς δεσμά, ὅπως ἐτέρους λυτρώσονται. πολλοὶ ἑαυτοὺς παρέδωκαν εἰς δουλείαν, καὶ λαβόντες τὰς τιμὰς αὐτῶν ἐτέρους ἐψώμισαν. πολλαὶ γυναῖκες ἐνδυναμωθεῖσαι διὰ τῆς χάριτος τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐπετελέσαντο πολλὰ ἀνδρεῖα. Ἰουδὶθ ἡ μακαρία, ἐν

6 τόπος] τοπωσ Α.

19 'Ιουδίθ] ιουδειθ Α.

This view-is adopted by Lipsius (p. 155), Hilgenfeld, and others. But, whatever may have been the miseries inflicted on the Roman citizens by the civil wars and by imperial despotism, the mention of slavery and ransom seems to be decisive against this interpretation. Here, as in the parallel passage § 6, ἐν ἡμῖν may refer indeed to Romans but to Christian Romans. of whom a considerable number belonged to the slave class and the lower orders. The ransom of slaves and the support of captives were regarded as a sacred duty by the early Christians generally, and the brethren of Rome especially were in early times honourably distinguished in this respect: see the notes on Ign. Smyrn. 6 and on Ign. Rom. 1.

15 † λυτρώσονται †] This construction of ὅπως with a future is possible (see Winer § xii. p. 304), though it does not occur in the New Testament, where τνα is several times so used. But, as the MS elsewhere confuses o and ω (see p. 25, and §§ 33, 44), we ought perhaps to read λυτρώσωνται.

17. τὰς τιμὰς αὐτῶν] 'the value of themselves.' The form αὐτῶν (adopted by Hilgenfeld) must certainly be rejected from the New Testament, and probably from Clement also: see

above 9, 12, 14, 30, 32. έψώμισαν] The word is used several times in the LXX and generally as a translation of נהאכיל to give to eat': comp. also I Cor. xiii. 3. Like so many other words (e.g. xopτάζεσθαι, see the note Philippians iv. 12), it has in the later language lost the sense of ridicule or meanness. which belonged to it in its origin; and Coleridge's note on its 'half satirical' force in 1 Cor. xiii. 3 (quoted in Stanley's Corinthians l.c.) seems to be overstrained. On the other hand, it is especially appropriate of feeding the poor and helpless, the sick man or the child.

πολλαὶ γυναῖκες κ.τ.λ.] The whole of this passage about Judith and Esther is paraphrased by Clem. Alex. Strom. iv. 19 (p. 617), immediately after the paragraph relating to Moses (already quoted p. 156); and sometimes he gives the very words of the elder Clement, e.g. ἡ τελεία κατὰ πίστιν Ἐσθήρ. But he does not acknowledge his obligation in this passage, though in the preceding chapter he has directly quoted the Roman Clement.

19. 'Iovôiê] This passage has a critical value as containing the first reference to the Book of Judith,

συγκλεισμώ ούσης της πόλεως, ητήσατο παρά τών πρεσβυτέρων ἐαθηναι` αὐτην ἐξελθεῖν εἰς την παρεμβολην τών ἀλλοφύλων παραδοῦσα οὖν ἐαυτην τῷ κινδύνω ἐξηλθεν δι' ἀγάπην της πατρίδος καὶ τοῦ λαοῦ τοῦ ὄντος ἐν συγκλεισμῷ, καὶ παρέδωκεν Κύριος 'Ολο- 5 Φέρνην ἐν χειρὶ θηλείας. οὐχ ήττονι καὶ ή τελεία κατὰ πίστιν 'Εσθηρ κινδύνω ἐαυτην παρέβαλεν, ἵνα τὸ δωδεκάφυλον τοῦ 'Ισραηλ μέλλον ἀπολέσθαι ρύσηται· διὰ

ι συγκλεισμώ] συγκλισμω Α.

6 θηλείας] θηλιασ Α.

which was apparently unknown to, as it is unmentioned by, Josephus. Volkmar (Theol. Fahrb. 1856 p. 362 sq. and 1857 p. 441 sq., Einl. in die Apokr. 1. 1. p. 28, and elsewhere), followed by Baur (Lehrb. der Christl. Dogmeng. ed. 2. p. 82, and in other places), Hitzig (Zeitschr. für Wissensch. Theol. 1860, 111. p. 240 sq.), and Graetz (Gesch. der Juden vom Untergang etc. p. 132 sq. ed. 2, 1866), places the writing of that book after the Jewish war of Trajan, and as a consequence denies the authenticity of the epistle of Clement. More sober critics however date the Book of Judith about the second century before the Christian era, e.g. Fritzsche Einl. p. 127 sq. in the Kurzgef. Handb. zu den Apokr., Ewald Gesch. des Volkes Isr. IV. pp. 396, 541 sq., Westcott in Smith's Dictionary of the Bible I. p. 1174, besides R. A. Lipsius (Zeitschr. f. Wissensch. Theol. 1859, 1. p. 39 sq.) and Hilgenfeld (ib. 1858, p. 247 sq., 1861, IV. p. 335 sq.), who both have directly refuted Volkmar's theory; and indeed the date and authenticity of Clement's Epistle are established on much more substantial grounds than the shadowy and fanciful argument by which it is attempted to postdate the Book of Judith. On this book see also an arti-

cle of Lipsius Jüdische Quellen zur Judithsage (Zeitschr. f. Wissensch. Theol. 1867, x. p. 337 sq.).

Theol. 1867, x. p. 337 sq.).

4. τοῦ λαοῦ] 'the chosen people' (see the note on § 29), and thus op-

posed to ἀλλόφυλοι.

6. ἐν χειρὶ θηλείας] Taken from Judith xiii. 15 ἐπάταξεν αὐτὸν ὁ Κύριος ἐν χειρὶ θηλείας, xvi. 5 Κύριος παντοκράτωρ ἡθέτησεν αὐτοὺς ἐν χειρὶ θηλείας. The expression ἐν χειρὶ therefore would seem to be the common Aramaism, equivalent to διά: see the note on Galatians iii. 19. On the other hand the construction παραδοῦναι ἐν χειρί (οτ ἐν χερσίν) is common in the LXX as an equivalent to παραδοῦναι εἰς χείρας: e.g. the same expression ΤΊ ΙΠ) is translated first καὶ παρέδωκεν ἐν χειρί (A) and then καὶ παρέδωκεν ἐν χειρί (A) and then καὶ παρέδωκεν εἰς χείρας in Josh. x. 30, 32.

τὸ δωδεκάφυλον] So Acts xxvi.
 Protev. Jacob. § 1; see above τὸ δωδεκάσκηπτρον § 31 with the note.

9. ἢξίωσεν] 'desired, entreated', with an accusative of the person and without any dependent case or clause expressing the thing asked: as e.g. I Macc. xi. 62 καὶ ἢξίωσαν οἱ ἀπὸ Γάζης τὸν Ἰωνάθαν, καὶ ἔδωκεν αὐτοῖς δεξιάς, Clem. Hom. iii. 55 πρὶν αὐτὸν ἀξιώσητε. With an infinitive or a final clause added this use of ἀξιοῦν τινὰ is more common. On another more

γὰρ τῆς νηστείας καὶ τῆς ταπεινώσεως αὐτῆς ήξίωσεν το τον παντεπόπτην δεσπότην, Θεον τῶν αἰώνων ος ίδων τὸ ταπεινὸν τῆς ψυχῆς αὐτῆς ἐρύσατο τὸν λαόν, ὧν χάριν ἐκινδύνευσεν.

LVI. Καὶ ἡμεῖς οὖν ἐντύχωμεν περὶ τῶν ἔν τινι παραπτώματι ὑπαρχόντων, ὅπως δοθῆ αὐτοῖς ἐπιείκεια το καὶ ταπεινοφροσύνη εἰς τὸ εἶξαι αὐτοὺς μὴ ἡμῖν ἀλλὰ τῷ θελήματι τοῦ Θεοῦ. οὕτως γὰρ ἔσται αὐτοῖς ἔγκαρπος καὶ τελεία ἡ πρὸς τὸν Θεὸν καὶ τοὺς ἀγίους μετ'

6 ήττονι] ηττονει Α.

15 έπιείκεια] επιεικια Α.

questionable construction of a ξιοῦν see above § 51.

10. παντεπόπτην] So below § 58, Polyc. Phil. 7, Clem. Hom. iv. 14, 23, v. 27, viii. 19. The word is not found in the LXX or New Testament. In the Orac. Sibyll. procem. 4 πανεπόπτης occurs; and in heathen writers παν-όπτης is a common epithet of Zεύς.

Θεὸν τῶν alώνων] 'the God of all the ages': comp. πατὴρ τῶν alώνων \$ 35, ὁ βασιλεὺς τῶν alώνων I Tim. i. 17: comp. Ps. cxlv. 13 ἡ βασιλεία σου βασιλεία πάντων τῶν alώνων. The devil on the other hand is the god (2 Cor. iv. 4) or the ruler (Ign. Ephes. 19) of this age or æon (τοῦ alῶνος τούτου). See also the passage in Clem. Hom. xx. 2 sq.

LVI. 'Let us intercede for offenders, that they may submit in meekness and humility. Let us be ever ready to give and to take admonition. The Scriptures teach us that chastisement is an instrument of mercy in the hands of God, that He inflicts it as a fatherly correction, that it is a blessing to be so chastised, that the man who endures patiently shall be restored again, shall be delivered from all perils, shall end his days in peace, and be gathered into the garner like the ripe sheaf, in due season.'

13. $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau i\nu \iota$ παραπτώματι κ.τ.λ.] See Gal. vi. 1, of which this passage is perhaps a reminiscence. The $\tilde{\eta}\mu\tilde{\epsilon}is$ and $\tilde{\eta}\mu\tilde{\iota}\nu$ seem to refer especially to the rulers of the Church and to contrast with the $\tilde{\nu}\mu\tilde{\epsilon}is$, the leaders of the feuds, at the beginning of § 57.

14. ἐπιείκεια] See Trench N. T. Syn.

1st ser. § xliii, and notes on Philippians iv. 5. The context here points to its derivation and primary meaning, εἰς τὸ εἶξαι αὐτοὺς κ. τ. λ.

16. ἔγκαρπος καὶ τελεία] See the note on § 44, where there is the same combination of epithets.

17. ἡ πρὸς τὸν Θεὸν κ.τ.λ.] i.e. The record of them before God and the Church will redound to their benefit, and they will receive pity. The expression ἡ πρὸς τὸν Θεὸν μνεία is almost equivalent to the Old Testament phrase μνημόσυνον ἔναντι Κυρίου, Exod. xxviii. 23, xxx. 16, Is. xxiii. 18, Ecclus. l. 16, comp. Acts x. 4. See also § 45 ἔγγραφοι ἐγένοντο ἀπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐν τῷ μνημοσύνῳ αὐτῶν.

τοὺς ἀγίους] 'the Christian brotherhood', as in the Apostolic writers: comp. Ign. Smyrn. 1, Mart. Polyc. 20. See 2 Cor. viii. 21. Two other interpretations have been proposed: (1) 'the saints', i.e. the beatified dead, in which case ἡ πρὸς τοὺς ἀγίους μνεία

CLEM.

οἰκτιρμῶν μνεία. ἀναλάβωμεν παιδείαν, ἐφ' ἢ οὐδεὶς ἀφείλει ἀγανακτεῖν, ἀγαπητοί. ἡ νουθέτησις, ἢν ποιούμεθα εἰς ἀλλήλους, καλή ἐστιν καὶ ὑπεράγαν ἀφέλιμος κολλᾶ γὰρ ἡμᾶς τῷ θελήματι τοῦ Θεοῦ. οὕτως γάρ φησιν ὁ ἄγιος λόγος Παιδείων ἐπαίδεγείν με ὁ Κί-5 ριος, καὶ τῷ θανάτῳ οἱ παρεδωκέν με. "Ον τὰρ ἀταπῷ Κίριος παιδείει, μαςτιγοῖ δὲ πάντα γίὸν ὅν παραδέχεται Παιδείςει με τάρ, φησιν, δίκαιος ἐν ἐλέει καὶ ἐλέρξει με, ἔλεος δὲ ἀμαρτωλῶν μὶ λιπανάτω τὴν κεφαλήν μογ. Καὶ πάλιν λέγει Μακάριος ἄνθρωπος ὅν ਜλερξεν ὁ Κίριος, νογ-τοθέτημα δὲ παντοκράτορος μὶ ἀπαναίνογ αἰτὸς τὰρ ἀλγεῖν ποιεῖ, καὶ πάλιν ἀποκαθίςτης ποιεῖ, καὶ πάλιν ἀποκαθίςτης καὶ εξελεῖταί ςε, ἐν δὲ τῷ

1 ολκτιρμών μνεία] οικτειρμωνμνια A. οφιλει A. νουθέτησις] νουθετησεισ A.

 παιδείαν] παιδιαν Α.
 2 ὀφείλει]

 8 έλεσς] ελαισσ Α.
 See below.

is supposed to refer to invocation of saints. It is needless to say that this idea would be an anachronism in Clement and for some generations after.
(2) 'the holy angels', a sense which of äyioi frequently has, e. g. Job xv. 15, Zach. xiv. 5, Ecclus. xlv. 2, Tobit viii. 15, I Thess. iii. 13 (passages quoted by Hilgenfeld). This is a possible interpretation (comp. I Tim. v. 21 διαμαρτύρομαι ἐνώπιον τοῦ Θεοῦ καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ καὶ τῶν ἐκλεκτῶν ἀγγέλων), but the common usage of of ἄγιοι in the Apostolic writings is a safer guide.

1. ἀναλάβωμεν παιδείαν] 'Let us receive correction': comp. Heb. xii. 7

είς παιδείαν ύπομένετε κ. τ. λ.

2. ή νουθέτησις] On the difference between νουθεσία (νουθέτησις) and παιδεία, see Trench N. T. Syn. 1st ser. § xxxii; comp. Ephes. vi. 4. On the forms νουθεσία, νουθέτησις, see Lobeck Phryn. p. 512.

5. παιδεύων κ.τ.λ.] From the LXX Ps. cxviii, 18 word for word.

6. ον γὰρ ἀγαπῷ κ.τ.λ.] From LXX Prov. iii. 12 word for word, as NA; but for παιδεύει B has ελέγχει. The Syro-Hexaplar text wavers, giving the equivalent to maideves in the text and to ἐλέγχει in the margin. In Heb. xii. 6 it is quoted with παιδεύει as here: in Rev. iii. 19 both words are combined, έγω ὄσους έὰν φιλώ, έλέγχω καὶ παιδεύω. Clem. Alex. Pæd. 1. 9 (p. 145) has παιδεύει, but his quotation is perhaps not independent of the Roman Clement. On the other hand Philo de Conj. Erud. grat. § 31 (I. p. 544) quotes it with ελέγχει. This, which corresponds with the Hebrew, was probably the original reading of the LXX, and all the texts with maiδεύει may perhaps have been derived directly or indirectly from the quotation in the Epistle to the Hebrews.

παιδεύσει κ.τ.λ.] From Ps. cxli.
 word for word, if we read ξλαιον.
 Our Ms however has ελαιοσ, i. e. ξλεος (for so our scribe generally writes the word: see p. 25). On the other hand,

έβδόμω ο τχ άψεταί σος κακόν εν λιμώ βτσεταί σε εκ θανά15 τος, εν πολέμω δε εκ χειρός σιδήρος λίσει σε καὶ ἀπό μάστιγος γλώστης σε κρίψει, καὶ ο μή φοβηθήση κακῶν επερχομένων ἀδίκων καὶ ἀνόμων καταγελάση, ἀπό δε θηρίων ἀγρίων ο μή φοβηθής. Θήρες γαρ ἄγριοι εἰρηνείστος τος τος εἶτα γνώση, ὅτι εἰρηνείσει σος ὁ οἶκος ή δε σο δίαιτα τής σκηνής σος αγ μή ἀμάρτη, γνώση δε ὅτι πολί [τὸ σπέρμα σος], τὰ δε τέκνα σος ὥσπερ [τὸ παμβό]τανον τος ἀγρος ἐλείση [δε εν τά]φω ὥσπερ σῖτος ὥριμο[σκατά και]ρὸν θερισόμενος, ή ὥς[περ θημω]νιὰ ἄλωνος καθ ὥραν σι[νκομι]ςθεῖςα. Βλέπετε, ἀγαπη[τοί, ὅτι]
25 ὑπερασπισμός ἐστιν τ[οῖς παιδευ]ομένοις ὑπὸ τοῦ δεσπό-

14 οὐχ άψεται] ουκοψεται Α.

the original reading of the LXX was unquestionably ξλαιον (ξλαιον is the oil, ξλαιον the oilve-tree and therefore out of place here) as it is in NAB, and apparently in all existing MSS of the LXX, the Hebrew being DD; but ξλαιος (i.e. ξλεος) might not unnaturally be substituted by some early transcriber on account of the preceding ἐν ἐλέει. It is therefore not improbable that Clement found this reading in his text of the LXX, so that I have not ventured to correct it. See another instance of the same error above, § 18 (note).

10. μακάριος κ.τ.λ.] From LXX Job v. 17—26 as read in %B, with slight and unimportant differences. The text of A presents considerable variations, chiefly in adding clauses which are found in the Hebrew but wanting in %B. The points in which Clement's quotation agrees with A, as against %B (e.g. οὐχ ἄψεται for οὐ μὴ ἄψηται), are insignificant.

13. έξάκις κ.τ.λ.] For this Hebraism where two successive numbers are given to denote magnitude and in-

crease, see Prov. vi. 16 Hebr. (six, seven, as here); Micah v. 5, Eccles. xi. 2 (seven, eight); Exod. xx. 5, etc. (three four); Job xxxiii. 29 Hebr. (two, three).

16. κακῶν] The LXX text prefixes ἀπό (NAB).

18. θῆρες γὰρ κ.τ.λ.] As in the vision of Hermas Vis. iv. 1, 2, where the wild beast is thus pacified.

19. if & diara] 'the abode': see above § 39. The Hebrew is quite different.

21. τὸ παμβότανον] 'the manifold herbage'. It seems to be a ἄπαξ λεγόμενον till quite a late period. There is nothing in the Hebrew (ΣΥΥ) to explain the adoption of so unusual a word.

22. ἐν τάφφ] A Hebraism for εἰς τάφον: see another instance on § 55 παρέδωκεν ἐν χειρί.

23. θημωνιά] A word, it would appear, almost confined to the LXX, though θημών is as old as Homer, Od. v. 368.

25. ὑπερασπισμός] ' protection', 2 Sam. xxii. 36, Ps. xviii. 35, Lam. iii. 64,

II---2

[του · καὶ γὰρ] ἀγαθὸς ὧν παιδε[ύει Θεὸς] εἰς τ[ὸ νουθετ]ηθῆναι ἡμᾶς διὰ τῆς ὁσίας π[αι]δείας αὐτοῦ.

LVII. Ύμεις οὖν, οἱ τὴν καταβολὴν [τῆς] στάσεως ποιήσαντες, ὑποτά[γη]τε τοῖς πρεσβυτέροις καὶ παι[δεύ]θητε εἰς μετάνοιαν, κάμψαν[τες] τὰ γόνατα τῆς 5 καρδίας ὑμῶν· μάθετε ὑποτάσσεσθαι, ἀποθέμενοι τὴν ἀλάζονα καὶ ὑπερήφανον τῆς γλώσσης ὑμῶν αὐθάδ[εια]ν· ἄμεινον γάρ ἐστιν ὑμῖν [ἐν] τῷ ποιμνίῳ τοῦ

1 παιδεύει Θεόs] There is not room in the lacuna for more letters than $\gamma \in \Theta C$, if for so many (see Tisch. prol. xix). I have therefore read Θεόs in place of ὁ Θεόs, the reading of previous editors (following Wotton).

2 παιδείαs] π.. διασ Α.

Eccles. xxxi (xxxiv). 19. It does not occur in the New Testament. See the note on υπερασπιστής above, § 45.

 ἀγαθὸς ὄν] 'of His kindness' (as e.g. Ps. lxxiii. 1), corresponding to ὃν γὰρ ἀγαπῷ κ.τ.λ. above.

LVII. 'And do you leaders of the schism submit to the elders, and ask pardon of God on your knees. It is far better that you should be of no account, so that the flock of Christ may have peace. Remember how sternly Wisdom rebukes the disobedient in the Book of Proverbs. She will laugh them to scorn when destruction cometh as a tempest. They mocked at her counsels before, and she will not hear them then.'

4. ὑποτ. τοῖς πρεσβ.] The same expression occurs, 1 Pet. v. 5.

5. κάμψαντες κ.τ.λ.] Compare the expression in the prayer of Manasses (Apost. Const. ii. 22) νῦν κλίνω γόνυ καρδίας. A strong oriental metaphor like 'girding the loins of the mind' (I Pet. i. 13), or 'rendering the calves of the lips' (Hosea xiv. 2).

7. ἀλάζονα καὶ ὑπερήφανον] See Trench N. T. Syn. 1st ser. § xxix.

10. докойнтая] 'held in repute': see the note on Galatians ii. 2.

της έλπίδος αὐτοῦ] i.e. τοῦ Χρι-

στοῦ, either a subjective or an objective genitive, 'the hope which He holds out' or 'the hope which reposes in Him'.

11. ή πανάρετος σοφία] The Book of Proverbs, besides the title commonly prefixed to the LXX Version, Παροιμίαι or Παροιμίαι Σαλομώντος, is frequently quoted by early Christian writers as ή πανάρετος σοφία 'the Wisdom which comprises all virtues' (for πανάρετος comp. § 1); see esp. Euseb. H.E. iv. 22, where speaking of Hegesippus he says, οὐ μόνος δὲ ούτος άλλά και Είρηναίος και ό πας τῶν ἀρχαίων χορὸς πανάρετον σοφίαν τὰς Σολομῶνος παροιμίας ἐκάλουν. Sometimes it bears the name oopia simply; e.g. in Just. Mart. Dial. § 129 (p. 359 A), Melito in Euseb. H.E. iv. 26, Clem. Alex. Protr. § 8 (pp. 67, 68), Pæd. ii. 2 (p. 182 ή θεία σοφία), Strom. ii. 18 (p. 472), Orig. Hom. xiv in Gen. § 2 (II. p. 97), besides others quoted in Cotelier. It is a probable inference from Eusebius (ll. cc.) that both Melito and Hegesippus derived the name from Jewish sources, and this is borne out by the fact that the book is called חכמה, 'Wisdom', by rabbinical writers (see Fürst Kanon des Alten Testaments,

Χριστοῦ μικρούς καὶ ἐλλογίμους εὐρεθηναι, ἢ καθ' ὑτο περοχὴν δοκοῦντας ἐκριφῆναι ἐκ τῆς ἐλπίδος αὐτοῦ.
οὕτως γὰρ λέγει ἡ πανάρετος σοφία ' Ἰδοὰ προήςομα[ι
ἡμι] Ν ἐμῆς πνοῆς ἡῆςικ, διδάξω [δὲ ἡμα]ς τὸν ἐμὸν λόγον
ἐπ[ειδὰ ἐκάλογκ] καὶ οἰχ ἡπηκοίςα[τε, καὶ ἐξέτει]κον λόγογς
καὶ οἰ [προςείχετε], ἀλλὰ ἀκήρογς ἐπο[ιεῖτε ἐμὰς] Βογλὰς
τοῖς δὲ ἐμ[οῖς ἐλέγχοις] ἀπειθήςατε τοιγα[ροῆν κάρω] τῷ
ἡμετέρα ἀπωλεί[α ἐπιγελάςο]μαι, καταχαροῆμαι δὲ [ἡνίκα
ᾶν] ἔρχηται ἡμιν ὅλεθρο[ς καὶ ὡς ἄν ἀ]φίκηται ἡμιν ἄφνω

14 ἐποιεῖτε ἐμάs] Tisch. (prol. xix) says 'Rectius suppletur ιειτε τασ εμασ quam ιειτε εμασ'; but ιειται εμασ is better suited to the space than either, and εποιειτε would as likely as not be written εποιειται. This reading also accords with the LXX.

1868, p. 73 sq.). The personification of Wisdom in the opening would lead naturally to this designation; e.g. Iren. iv. 20. 3, v. 20. 1, Philo de Ebr. 8 (I. p. 362), though Philo himself quotes the book as παροιμίαι ib. § 20 (I. p. 369). Whether the epithet πανάρετος was first used by Clement and derived from him by later writers. or not, it is impossible to say. At the same time the title ή πανάρετος σοφία is given, not only to the canonical Book of Wisdom, but also to the apocryphal Wisdom of Solomon (Method. Symp. i. 3, ii. 7, noted by Hilgenfeld; Epiphan. de Mens. et Pond. § 4, II. p. 162 ed. Petau; Greg. Nyss. c. Eunom. vii, II. p. 638, Paris 1638; [Athanas.] Synops. § 45, 11. p. 132 F, της σοφίας Σολομώντος της λεγομένης παναρέτου; and others: and its title in the list of books prefixed to A is σοφία ή πανάρετος), and to the apocryphal Ecclesiasticus or Wisdom of Jesus the Son of Sirach (Euseb. Chron. Ol. cxxxvii 'quem vocant Panareton, Dem. Evang. viii. 2 p. 393 Ἰησοῦς ὁ τοῦ Σειρὰχ ὁ τὴν καλουμένην πανάρετον σοφίαν συντάξας, Hieron. Prol. in Libr. Sal., IX. p.

1293, etc.). Joannes Damasc. de Fid. Orth. iv. 17 (I. p. 284) says ή πανάρετος, τουτέστιν ή Σοφία τοῦ Σολομώντος καὶ ή Σοφία τοῦ Ἰησοῦ, thus including both these apocryphal books under the term, but excluding Proverbs which he has before mentioned as παροιμίαι; and so Jerome Praf. in Libr. Salom. (IX. p. 1293) 'Fertur et πανάρετος Jesu filii Sirach liber et alius ψευδεπίγραφος qui Sapientia Salomonis inscribitur'. Moreover the name of 'Wisdom' is occasionally given also to Ecclesiastes (Fürst L.c. p. 91) and to the Song of Songs (Fürst l.c. p. 85, and Cotelier here). And still more generally the third group of the Old Testament writings, the άγιόγραφα or γραφεία, is sometimes called חכמה 'Wisdom' (Fürst 1.c. p. 55), because it comprises Proverbs and the allied books, as it is elsewhere called ψαλμοί or υμνοι (see above § 28) from another most important component element.

11. ίδου κ.τ.λ.] A close quotation from the LXX Prov. i. 23—31. The variations are unimportant, and not greater than between one MS and another of the LXX.

θ[όργβος, ή Δέ] καταςτροφή όποία κ[αταιγίΔι πα]ρή, ή ὅταν ἔρχηται ἡμ[ῖν θλίψις] καὶ πολιορκία. ἔςται γ[άρ, ὅταν ἐπι]καλέςηςθέ με, ἐγὼ Δὲ [οἦκ εἰςα]κοήςομαι ἡμῶν zhτ[ήςογςί] με κακοὶ καὶ οἦχ εἡρή[ςογςιν] ἐμίςηςαν γὰρ ςοφίαν, [τὸν Δὲ φό]Βον τοῆ Κγρίογ οἦ προείλα[ντο, οἦΔὲ] ἦθελον 5

2 όταν] οταρ Α. 3 έπικαλέσησθε] επικαλεσησθαι Α. 5 προείλαντο] I read the MS προείλα..., as in the LXX, but Tisch. gives it προσίλα...

8. πλησθήσονται] The letters πλησθήσον occur towards the end of the last line in a page, fol. 167 b. The margin is torn, so that a few letters have disappeared. There is not room however for many more than three letters, and probably the page ended with πλησθήσονται, so that a new subject would begin with the following page. All this the photograph shows clearly.

It is now established beyond a doubt that one leaf, and one leaf only, of the MS has disappeared: see the introduction p. 23. The first leaf of this epistle (fol. 159) extends from the beginning to καὶ σεμνὸν...§ 7; the second (fol. 160) from ...σεως ήμῶν κανόνα § 7 to οὖτως κριθήσε[ται] § 13. These examples will show the average contents of a leaf. The preceding 57 chapters in fact have taken up nine leaves, so that nearly a tenth of the whole epistle is lost. This lacuna therefore gives ample room for the passages from Clement's epistle which are quoted in ancient writers but not found in the MS. These are now gathered together.

(i) If there were no independent reason for inserting this fragment in our epistle, we might hesitate; for (1) I have shown above (§ 47) that ἐν τῆ πρὸς Κορινθίους ἐπιστολῆ might mean the Second Epistle; and to the Second Epistle Ussher and others after him have referred it; (2) The

suggestion of Cotelier (Jud. de Epist. II) that for καθώς φησιν we should read καί ως φησιν, or better καὶ καθώς φησιν, would be very plausible. But Cotelier himself points out (l.c.) that the statement of the Pseudo-Justin is confirmed from another source. Irenæus (iii. 3. 3) describes this epistle of Clement as preserving the tradition recently received from the Apostles, 'annuntiantem unum Deum omnipotentem, factorem cœli et terræ, plasmatorem hominis, qui induxerit cataclysmum et advocaverit Abraham, qui eduxerit populum de terra Ægypti, qui collocutus sit Moysi, qui legem disposuerit et prophetas miserit, qui ignem præparaverit diabolo et angelis ejus'. This description corresponds with the contents of our epistle, excepting the last clause which I have italicised; and the insertion of a statement so remarkable could not have been an accidental error on the part of Irenæus. Wotton indeed supposes that these words do not give the contents of Clement's epistle, but that Irenæus is describing in his own language the general substance of the Apostolic tradition. To this interpretation however the subjunctive præparaverit is fatal, for it shows that the narrative is oblique and that Irenæus is speaking in the words of another.

It seems then that Clement towards the close of the epistle dwelt upon

έμαῖς προςέχ[ειν Βογλαῖς], εμγκτήριzον Δὲ ἐμοἡ[ς ἐλέΓχογς]. Τοιγαροῆν ἔδονται τή[ς ἑαγτῶν] ὁδοῆ τοἡς καρποής, [καὶ τής ἑαγτῶν] ἀςεβείας πληςθήςον[ται]...

(i) εἰ Τῆς παρούσης καταστάσεως τὸ τέλος ἐστὶν το ἡ διὰ τοῦ πυρὸς κρίσις τῶν ἀσεβῶν, καθά φασιν αἱ γραφαὶ προφητῶν τε καὶ ἀποστόλων ἔτι δὲ καὶ τῆς

the end of all things, the destruction of the world by fire. For such an allusion the threats taken from the Book of Proverbs (§ 57) would prepare the way; and it would form a fit termination to a letter of warning.

And for this statement he appealed to the authority, not only of the Apostles and prophets, but also of the Sibyl. There is no difficulty in this. The oldest Jewish Sibylline Oracle, of which a large part is preserved in the 3rd book of the extant Sibylline collection and in quotations of the early fathers, appears to have been written in the 2nd century B.C. by an Alexandrian Jew (see esp. Bleek in Schleiermacher's Theolog. Zeitschr. I. p. 120 sq., II. p. 172 sq.; Ewald Enstehung etc. der Sibyll. Bücher Gottingen, 1858; and Alexandre Oracula Sibyllina, Paris, 1841, 1856). It is quoted and accepted as a genuine oracle of the Sibyl by Josephus (Ant. i. 4. 3), in the early apocryphal Prædicatio Petriet Pauli (Clem. Alex. Strom. vi. 5, p. 761 sq), by the Christian Fathers Melito (Cureton's Spicil. Syr. pp. 43, 86), Athenagoras (Legat. § 30), Theophilus (ad Autol. ii. 3, 9, 31, 36, 38), and Clement of Alexandria (very frequently), in the Cohort. ad Græc. ascribed to Justin (§ 37), and in a Peratic document quoted by Hippolytus (Hær. v. 16), besides allusions in Hermas (Vis. ii. 4) and in Justin (Apol. i. §§ 20, 44). Justin in the last passage (§ 44) says that the reading of the Sibylline

oracles had been forbidden under penalty of death but that the Christians nevertheless read them and induced others to read them; and Celsus tauntingly named the Christians Sibyllists (Orig. c. Cels. v. 61, I. p. 625; comp. vii. 56, I. p. 734). Clement therefore might very well have quoted the Sibylas an authority.

After the enforcement of monotheism and the condemnation of idolatry, the main point on which the Sibyllines dwelt was the destruction of the world by fire. To this end the authority of the Sibyl is quoted in Justin (Apol. i. 20), Apost. Const. (v. 7), Theophilus (ii. 38), Lactantius (Div. Inst. vii. 15 sq.), and others. The impending destruction by fire is connected in these oracles with the past destruction by water, as in 2 Pet. iii. 6, 7, 10, 11, 12. The juxta-position of the two great catastrophes in Melito (Cureton's Spicil. Syr. pp. 50, 51) is derived from the Sibyllines, as the coincidence of language shows, and not from 2 Pet. iii. 6 sq., as Cureton (§95) supposes: see Westcott Hist. of the Canon p. 195 2nd ed. I have pointed out above (§§ 7, 9) that Clement's language respecting the 'regeneration' by the flood and Noah's 'preaching of repentance' seems to be taken from the Sibylline Oracles, and this affords an additional presumption that he may have referred to the Sibyl as his authority for the ἐκπύρωσις and παλιγγενεσία at the end of all things. It is a slight

Cιβύλλης, καθώς φησιν ὁ μακάριος Κλήμης ἐν τἢ πρὸς Κορινθίους ἐπιστολῆ, κ.τ.λ.

PSEUDO-JUSTINUS Quæst. ad Orthod. 74.

(ii) ἀλλὰ καὶ Κλήμης ἀρχαϊκώτερου, Ζῆ, φησίν, ὁ Θεὸς καὶ ὁ Κύριος Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον.

BASILIUS de Spir. Sanct. c. xxix (III. p. 61 A).

confirmation too, that the word παντεπόπτης at the beginning of § 58 seems to be derived from Sibylline diction (see the note on § 55, where also it occurs). The passage of Theophilus (ii. 38) shows how it might occur to an early father to combine the testimonies of the prophets and the Sibyl to the ἐκπύρωσις, just as a similar combination is found in the far-famed medieval hymn, 'Dies iræ, dies illa, solvet sæclum in favilla, Teste David cum Sibylla': see the note in Trench's Sacred Latin Poetry p. 297. For the passages in the Sibyllines relating to the conflagration of the universe see Alexandre 11. p. 518 sq.

(ii) S. Basil in the context defines the Clement from whom he thus quotes, as Κλήμης ὁ Ῥωμαῖος. Though άρχαϊκώτερος appears in some texts, Garnier reads ἀρχαϊκώτερον after the best MSS accessible to him. Nolte also (Patrist. Miscell. p. 276 in the Theol. Quartalschr. XLI, 1859) states that ἀρχαϊκώτερον is the reading of all the MSS of S. Basil which he inspected. The contrast seems to be between the simple and archaic language of Clement, and the more technical expressions of Dionysius of Alexandria who has been quoted just before as speaking of the τρείς ὑποστάσεις and of the μονάς and τριάς in enunciating the same doctrine. The passage can hardly have belonged

to any other Clementine writing besides the genuine First Epistle to the Corinthians; for (1) The Second Epistle to the Corinthians is not quoted as genuine till a much later date: (2) The passage is not contained in the Epistles to Virgins, which it might be thought that Basil, like Epiphanius and Jerome, would possibly have accepted as genuine; (3) The Clementine Homilies and Recognitions with other works of this cycle were so manifestly heretical, that they could not possibly have misled the keen theological perceptions of the orthodox Basil or have been quoted by him as genuine; and the orthodox recension of these seems to have been made at a much later date. On the other hand such words as Basil quotes would be appropriate at the close of our epistle, and may well have occurred in the lacuna. Compare § 46 ή οὐχὶ ἔνα Θεὸν ἔχομεν καὶ ένα Χριστὸν καὶ έν Πνεῦμα τῆς χάριτος τὸ ἐκχυθὲν ἐφ' ἡμᾶς (with the note). It might perhaps be supposed that Basil refers to the passage just quoted; but this seems impossible, as he obviously professes to give the exact words of Clement and not the general sense only.

Other passages, wrongly supposed to be quoted from this portion of the genuine epistle, will be considered in treating of the fragments at the end; p. 215 sq.

5 LVIII. [Λο]ιπον ο παντεπόπτης Θεος [καί] δεσπότης των πνευμάτων καὶ Κύριος [πά]σης σαρκός, ο
ἐκλεξάμενος [τό]ν Κύριον Ἰησοῦν Χριστον καὶ ἡμας δι
αὐτοῦ [εί]ς λαὸν περιούσιον, δώη πάση [ψ]υχῆ ἐπικεκλημένη τὸ μεγαλο[π]ρεπες καὶ ἄγιον ὄνομα αὐτοῦ
το [π]ίστιν, φόβον, εἰρήνην, ὑπομονήν, μακροθυμίαν, ἐγκράτειαν, ἀγνείαν καὶ σωφροσύνην, εἰς εὐαρέστησιν τῷ
ὀνόματι αὐτοῦ διὰ τοῦ ἀρχιερέως καὶ προστάτου ἡμῶν

5 λαπόν] Vansittart. See below, and p. 26.

11 άγνείαν] αγνιαν Α.

LVIII. 'Finally, may the God of all spirits and all flesh, who hath chosen us in Christ Jesus, grant us all graces through Christ, our Highpriest, through whom be glory and honour to Him. Amen.'

5. λοιπόν] For λοιπὸν or τὸ λοιπόν, with which S. Paul frequently ushers in the close of his epistles, see *Philippians* iii. I. I cannot doubt that one or other should be read here, and λοιπὸν is perhaps better than τὸ λοιπὸν, for the initial λ (as is usual in the MS) would be enlarged and the word thus written would be sufficient to fill up the vacant space.

παντεπόπτης] See the note on § 55. Θεός...τῶν πνευμάτων κ.τ.λ.] Num. xxvii. 16 Κύριος ὁ Θεὸς τῶν πνευμάτων και πάσης σαρκός (comp. xvi. 22): see also Heb. xii. 9 τῷ πατρὶ τῶν πνευμάτων, Rev. xxii. 6 Κύριος ὁ Θεὸς τῶν πνευμάτων τῶν προφητῶν.

 ήμῶς δι' αὐτοῦ] Ephes. i. 4 καθως ἐξελέξατο ήμῶς ἐν αὐτῷ (i.e. ἐν Χριστῷ).

8. εἰς λαὸν περιούσιον] Deut. xiv. 4 καὶ σὲ ἐξελέξατο Κύριος ὁ Θεός σου γενέσθαι σε λαὸν αὐτῷ περιούσιον; comp. ib. vii. 6, xxvi. 18, Exod. xix. 5, Ps. cxxxiv. 4, Tit. ii. 14 καθαρίση ἐαυτῷ λαὸν περιούσιον. In the LXX λαὸς περιούσιος is a translation of ΠΊΙΟ DV, the expression doubtless

present to S. Peter's mind when he spoke of λαὸς εἰς περιποίησιν (1 Pet. ii. 9). In Mal. iii. 17 is is translated εἰς περιποίησιν in the LXX, and περισύσιος by Aquila. As το is 'peculium', 'opes', 'other 'acquisivit'), περισύσιος would seem to mean 'acquired over and above', and hence 'specially acquired' with a meaning similar to the classical ἐξαίρετος. It was rendered at once literally and effectively in the Latin Bible by 'peculiaris'.

ἐπικεκλημένη] 'which hath invoked his name'; comp. Acts ii. 21, ix. 14, 21, xxii. 16, etc. Or is it rather, as the perfect tense suggests, 'which is called by his name'? This latter makes better sense, especially in connexion with λαὸς περιούσιος; but with this meaning the common constructions in biblical Greek would be ἐφ' ἡν (or ἐφ' ἢ) ἐπικέκληται τὸ ὄνομα αὐτοῦ (e.g. Acts xv. 17, James ii. 7, and freq. in the LXX), or τἢ ἐπικεκλημένη τῷ ὀνόματι αὐτοῦ (Is. xliii. 7).

11. ἀγνείαν καὶ σωφροσύνην] So too Ign. Ephes. 10: comp. Tit. ii. 5 σώφρονας, ἀγνάς.

εὐαρέστησιν] The word occurs Test. xii Patr. Is. 4.

12. ἀρχιερέως καὶ προστάτου] See the note on § 36 above, where the expression is expanded.

'Ιησοῦ Χριστοῦ· δι' οὖ αὐτῷ δόξα καὶ μεγαλωσύνη, κράτος, τιμή, καὶ νῦν καὶ εἰς πάντας τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων. ἀμήν.

LIX. Τοὺς δὲ ἀπεσταλμένους ἀφ' ἡμῶν Κλαύδιον "Εφηβον καὶ Οὐαλέριον Βίτωνα σὺν καὶ Φορτουνάτως 5 ἐν εἰρήνη μετὰ χαρᾶς ἐν τάχει ἀναπέμψατε πρὸς ἡμᾶς, ὅπως θᾶττον τὴν εὐκταίαν καὶ ἐπιποθήτην ἡμῖν εἰρήνην

6 αναπέμψατε] ανεπεμψατε Α.

1. δόξα καὶ μεγαλωσύνη] See the note on § 20, where also these two words occur together in a doxology: comp. also § 59, where nearly the same combination of words as here is repeated. In Rev. v. 13 we have ή τιμή καὶ ή δόξα καὶ τὸ κράτος εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰῶνας.

LIX. 'We have sent Claudius Ephebus and Valerius Bito to you. Let them return to us quickly accompanied by Fortunatus, and bear glad tidings of harmony and peace restored among you. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ be with you and with all. Through Him be glory to God for ever.'

4. Κλαύδιον κ.τ.λ.] These two names, Claudius and Valerius, suggest some connexion with the imperial household; as the fifth Cæsar with his two predecessors belonged to the Claudian gens and his empress Messalina to the Valerian. Hence it happens that during and after the reign of Claudius we not unfrequently find the names Claudius (Claudia) and Valerius (Valeria) in conjunction, referring to slaves or retainers of the Cæsars; e.g. D.M. CLAVDIAE, AVG. LIB. NEREIDI. M. VALERIVS. FVTIANS. MATRI. CARIS-SIMAE (Accad. di Archeol. XI. p. 376, no. 35), or VALERIA. HILARIA. NV-TRIX. OCTAVIAE. CAESARIS. AVGVSTI. REQVIESCIT. CVM. TI. CLAVDIO.

FRVCTO. VIRO (Orelli Inscr. 4492). It is not impossible therefore that these two delegates of the Roman Church were among the members of 'Cæsar's household' mentioned in Phil. iv. 22, and fairly probable that they are in some way connected with the palace; see the dissertation in Philippians p. 169 sq. Of the two cognomina Ephebus is not so uncommon. On the other hand Bito is very rare. As a man's name, I have only succeeded in finding one instance of it, and there, by a strange coincidence, it is connected with the nomen Claudius; see Mommsen's Inscr. Regn. Neap. p. 370, 'Originis incertæ no. 6472; extat in Mus. Borb.; DIIS. MANIBVS. TI. CLAVDIO. BITONI.RV-TILIA. MARGARIS. CONIVGI. BENE-MERENTI. F. VIX. ANNIS. LXXXV'. In Muratori, 1367 no. 12, it occurs as a woman's name, LONGINVS. BITONI. VXORI. AMENTO.

5. σὺν καὶ Φορτουνάτω] For the position of καὶ comp. Phil. iv. 3 μετὰ καὶ Κλήμεντος (quoted by Laurent p. 425). Hilgenfeld adds 'from the Assumption of Moses' Clem. Alex. Strom. vi. 15 (p. 806) σὺν καὶ τῷ Καλέβ. The clever emendation of Davies σὺν Γαίω Φορτουνάτω is therefore unnecessary. The form of expression seems to separate Fortunatus from Ephebus and Bito: and, if so, he was perhaps not a Roman

καὶ ὁμόνοιαν ἀπαγγέλλωσιν· εἰς τὸ τάχιον καὶ ἡμᾶς χαρῆναι περὶ τῆς εὐσταθείας ὑμῶν.

΄Η χάρις τοῦ Κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ μεθ' ὑμῶν καὶ μετὰ πάντων πανταχῆ τῶν κεκλημένων ὑπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ καὶ δι' αὐτοῦ · δι' οὖ αὐτῷ δόξα, τιμή, κράτος καὶ μεγαλωσύνη, θρόνος αἰώνιος, ἀπὸ τῶν αἰώνων εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων. ἀμήν.

8 ἀπαγγελλωσιν] The first λ is supplied above the line but prima manu. τάχιον] ταχειό Α. 9 εὐσταθείαs] ευσταθεία Α. Τhe subscription is κλημωντος προς κορινθιούς Α. See above p. 22.

who accompanied the letter, but a Corinthian from whom Clement was expecting a visit. In this case there is no improbability in identifying him with the Fortunatus of I Cor. xvi. 17; for Fortunatus seems to be mentioned by S. Paul (A.D. 57) as a younger member of the household of Stephanas, and might well be alive less than forty years after, when Clement wrote. It must be remembered however, that Fortunatus is a very common name.

7. θαττον] This form is doubly strange here, as it does not occur in the New Testament, and Clement uses the usual τάχιον just below. Θαττον however is found in Mart. Ign. 3, 5, Mart. Polyc. 13, in which latter passage θαττον and τάχιον occur in consecutive sentences as here.

εὐκταίαν] The word does not occur in the LXX or New Testament, though common in classical Greek.

ểπιποθήτην] as an adjective of two terminations; comp. Barnab.

§ 1 ἡ ἐπιποθήτη ὄψις ὑμῶν (MS), where Hilgenfeld unnecessarily reads ἐπιπό-θητος. The feminine does not occur in the LXX or New Testament. For similar instances of adjectives of three terminations in the New Testament see A. Buttmann p. 22 sq.; and on the whole subject refer to Lobeck *Paral*. p. 455 sq., especially p. 473 sq.

9. εὐσταθείας] 'tranquillity': comp. Wisd. vi. 26, 2 Macc. xiv. 6. On εὐσταθεῖν see the notes to Ign. Polyc. 4.

11. καὶ μετὰ πάντων κ.τ.λ.] For a benediction similarly extended see I Cor. i. 2 σὺν πᾶσι τοῖς ἐπικαλουμένοις τὸ ὅνομα κ.τ.λ.

13. θρόνος αἰώνιος] This doxology is imitated in Mart. Polyc. 21 Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ ῷ ἡ δόξα, τιμή, μεγαλωσύνη, θρόνος αἰώνιος, ἀπὸ γενεᾶς εἰς γενεάν. Here θρόνος αἰώνιος seems to be thrown in as an after thought, the ascription having ended with καὶ μεγαλωσύνη; and the idea of αἰώνιος is prolonged by the thrice repeated αἰώνων, αἰῶνας, αἰώνων.

THE SO CALLED

SECOND EPISTLE OF CLEMENT

TO THE

CORINTHIANS.

I.

When E have seen (pp. 22, 23) that the table of contents prefixed to the MS ascribes to Clement the Second Epistle equally with the First. On the other hand it ought to be noticed that there is no heading προς κορινθιογς B, as the corresponding title of the first would lead us to expect. This omission is perhaps not accidental. Though the scribe of our MS held the Second Epistle to be not only a letter of Clement, but also (as we may perhaps infer) a letter to the Corinthians; yet the absence of such a title may have been transmitted from an earlier copy, where the work was anonymous and not intended to be ascribed to this father.

While the First Epistle is universally attributed to Clement, the balance of external testimony is strongly opposed to his being regarded as the author of the Second. It is first mentioned by Eusebius, who throws serious doubts on its genuineness (H. E. iii. 37). After describing the First he adds, 'I should mention also that there is said to be a Second Epistle of Clement (ἐστέον δ' ώς καὶ δευτέρα τις εἶναι λέγεται τοῦ Κλήμεντος ἐπιστολή): but we do not know that this is recognised like the former (οὐ μὴν ἔθ' ὁμοίως τἢ προτέρα καὶ ταύτην γνώριμον ἐπιστάμεθα); for we do not find the older writers making any use of it (ὅτι μηδὲ καὶ τοὺς ἀρχαίους αὐτἢ κεχρημένους ἴσμεν).' Then after summarily rejecting other pretended Clementine writings, because 'they are never once mentioned by the ancients' and 'do not preserve the stamp of Apostolic orthodoxy intact', he concludes by referring again to the First Epistle, which he calls 'the acknowledged writing of Clement (ἡ τοῦ Κλήμεντος ὁμολογον-

μένη γραφή).' And in other passages, where he has occasion to speak of it, he uses similar expressions, 'the Epistle of Clement', the acknowledged Epistle of Clement (H. E. iii. 16, iv. 22, 23, vi. 13). The statement of Eusebius is more than borne out by facts. Not only is a Second Epistle of Clement not mentioned by early writers; but it is a reasonable inference from the language of Hegesippus and Dionysius of Corinth¹ (as reported by Eusebius), and of Irenæus and Clement of Alexandria (as read in their extant writings), that they cannot have known or at least accepted any such epistle. Rufinus and Jerome use still more decisive language. The former professedly translates Eusebius, 'Dicitur esse et alia Clementis epistola cujus nos notitiam non accepimus'; the latter tacitly paraphrases him, 'Fertur et secunda ejus nomine epistola quæ a veteribus reprobatur' (de Vir. Ill. 15). These writers are not independent witnesses, but the strength, which they consciously or unconsciously add to the language of the Greek original, has at least a negative value; for they could not have so written, if any Second Epistle of Clement which might be accepted as genuine had fallen within the range of their knowledge.

Early in the 9th century Georgius Syncellus still speaks of 'the one genuine letter to the Corinthians' (Chronog. A.D. 78, I. p. 651 ed. Dind.); and later in the same century Photius (Bibl. 113) writes, 'The so called Second Epistle (of Clement) to the same persons (the Corinthians) is rejected as spurious (ώς νόθος ἀποδοκιμάζεται).'

Meanwhile however this epistle had been gradually gaining recognition as a genuine work of Clement. The first distinct mention of it as such is in our MS, which belongs probably to the fifth century: but the notice of Eusebius implies that even in his day some persons were disposed to accept it. At a later period its language and teaching made it especially welcome to the Monophysites (Hilgenfeld p. xxiv),

* Hegesippus, H. E. iii. 16, iv. 22: Dionysius, H. E. iv. 23. The words of the latter are τὴν σήμερον οὖν κυριακὴν ἀγίαν ἡμέραν διηγάγομεν, ἐν ἢ ἀνέγνωμεν ὑμῶν τὴν ἐπιστολήν, ἡν ἔξομεν ἀεί ποτε ἀναγινώσκοντες νουθετεῖσθαι, ὡς καὶ τὴν προτέραν ἡμῶν διὰ Κλήμεντος γραφεῖσαν. He is writing in the name of the Corinthians to the Romans, acknowledging a letter which they had received from the brethren in Rome written apparently by their bishop Soter; and he declares that his Church will preserve and read from

time to time this second letter from the Romans, as they do the former which was written by Clement. Thus he seems to know of only one letter of Clement to the Corinthians. The passage however has been strangely misinterpreted, as though την προτέραν meant the former of Clement's two epistles—a meaning which the context does not at all favour and which the grammar excludes, for then we should require την προτέραν τῶν διά Κλήμεντος γραφεισῶν.

and from the close of the 5th century it is frequently quoted as genuine. Thus citations are found in Severus of Antioch (Cureton Corp. Ign. pp. 215, 246, 365) and in Timotheus of Alexandria (ib. pp. 212, 244) in the early part of the 6th century, besides the Syriac collections mentioned below (see the note on § 1) which perhaps belong to about the same age. To this century also may perhaps be ascribed the Apostolical Canons, where (can. 85) 'Two Epistles of Clement' are included among the books of the New Testament (see above, p. 12). About the opening of the 7th century again it is quoted by Dorotheus the Archimandrite (see the note, § 7); in the 8th century by Joannes Damascenus (see the fragments at the end of the epistle); and in the 11th by Nicon of Rhæthus (see the notes, § 3). If Nicephorus († 828) in his Stichometria (see above, p. 13) places it with the First Epistle among the apocrypha, he does not by this classification question its genuineness but merely denies its canonicity.

But what is the external authority for considering it an Epistle to the Corinthians? We have seen that it is called an Epistle from the first; but the designation to the Corinthians is neither so early nor so universal. It was not so designated in our MS (so far as we know), nor by Eusebius or Jerome or Timotheus (see above, p. 22). But in SEVERUS of ANTIOCH (c. A.D. 520) for the first time a quotation is distinctly given as 'from the Second Epistle to the Corinthians' (Corp. Ign. pp. 215, 246, comp. p. 365). The Syriac Ms itself which contains the extract from Severus (Brit. Mus. Add. Mss 12, 157) 'can hardly,' in Cureton's opinion (p. 355), 'have been transcribed later than the commencement of the 8th century and might have been written about the end of the 6th.' In other Syriac extracts also (Corp. Ign. pp. 364 sq., Cowper's Syr. Miscell. p. 57: see the note § 1), which perhaps belong to the 6th century, it is quoted in this way. In the copy used by Photius again (see above, p. 27) it appears to have been so entitled (Bibl. 126 βιβλιδάριον εν φ Κλήμεντος επιστολαί προς Κορινθίους β' ενεφεροντο, compared with Bibl. 113 ή λεγομένη δευτέρα προς τους αὐτούς); and John Damascene twice cites it as 'the Second Epistle to the Corinthians' (see the fragments at the end of the epistle).

2.

Passing from external to internal evidence, we have to seek an answer to three several questions: (1) Was it written by Clement of Rome? (2) Is it an epistle? (3) Was it addressed to the Corinthians?

The indications of authorship contained in the writing itself do not encourage us to assign it to the same author as the First or indeed to any contemporary. (i) The writer delights to identify himself and his hearers with Gentile Christianity. He speaks of a time when he and they worshipped stocks and stones, gold and silver and bronze (§ 1). He and they are prefigured by the prophet's image of the barren woman who bore many more children than she that had the husband, i.e., as he explains it, than the Jewish people 'who seem to have God' (§ 2). the other hand the genuine Clement never uses such language. the contrary he looks upon himself as a descendant of the patriarchs, as an heir of the glories of the Israelite race; and (what is more important) he is thoroughly imbued with the feelings of an Israelite, has an intimate knowledge of the Old Testament Scriptures (though not in the original tongue), and is even conversant with the apocryphal literature of the race and with the traditional legends and interpretations. In short his language and tone of thought proclaim him a Jew. though a Hellenist. (ii) On the difference in style I do not lay great stress; because, where there is much play for fancy, there is much room also for self-deception, and criticism is apt to become hypercritical. Yet I think it will be felt by all that the language of this Second Epistle is more Hellenic and less Judaic, though at the same time more awkward and less natural, than the First. This argument against the identity of authorship gains strength if we assume the writing to be not only the same kind of composition as the other, but also addressed to the same persons, i.e. if we suppose it to be strictly a Second Epistle to the Corinthians. (iii) The argument from the theology is perhaps a little stronger than the argument from the style, but not very strong. There is a more decided dogmatic tone in the Second Epistle than in the First. More especially the pre-existence and divinity of Christ are stated with a distinctness (§§ 1, 9) which is wanting in the First, and in a form which perhaps the writer of the First would have hesitated to adopt. (iv) The position of the writer with respect to the Scriptures is changed. In the First Epistle Clement draws his admonitions and his examples chiefly from the Old The direct references to the evangelical history are very Testament. few in comparison. On the other hand in the Second Epistle the allusions to and quotations from gospel narratives (whether canonical or apocryphal) very decidedly preponderate. This seems to indicate a somewhat later date, when gospel narratives were more generally circulated and when appeal could safely be made to a written Christian literature. The form of quotation too is more mature; 'Another

scripture' saith, I came not to call the righteous etc. (§ 2)'; 'The Lord saith, No servant can serve two masters (§ 6)'; 'The Lord saith in the Gospel, If ye kept not that which is small, who shall give you that which is great (§ 8)'. (v) The indications of the condition of the Church when the epistle was written have been thought to point very clearly to the time of persecution under M. Aurelius A.D. 161-180 (see Hilgenfeld Apost. Vät. p. 115 sq.). To myself they seem far too indefinite to settle the date even with this degree of precision. The writer urges his hearers not to cling too fondly to this life, to remember the Lord's forewarning respecting those who might kill the body but could not hurt the soul, to strive hard for the incorruptible crown, to lay aside all fear of men, all craving after earthly enjoyment (& 4, 5, 7, 10). Such language, I conceive, might well be used at almost any time during the first half of the second century. Again he cautions them against evil teachers (κακοδίδασκαλοῦντες), who (as we may gather from the context) dissuaded their disciples from undergoing suffering as a testimony to their faith (§ 10). This charge we know to have been brought against the Basilideans and other Gnostics (see the notes there); and to such the writer probably alludes; but even this condition would be satisfied by an earlier date, and after all the language is sufficiently vague to leave the allusion doubtful. Lastly he puts them on their guard against the heresy which denies that this flesh is judged and rises again; and, as connected therewith, urges them to 'keep the flesh pure and the seal (of baptism) undefiled, to 'guard the flesh as a temple of God' (§§ 8, 9). Here the writer seems certainly to be denouncing Gnostic immorality as the consequence of Gnostic error; but the Pastoral Epistles and the Apocalypse show that even in its earliest stages the same speculative opinions of Gnosticism tended to produce the same practical evils. But, though some of the arguments adduced will appear too weak to support any hypothesis, yet in the aggregate they create a strong presumption that the epistle was written at least a generation later than Clement.

2. I have hitherto spoken of this writing as an epistle, because our authorities so call it. But is this its proper description? If we examine it throughout, we find nothing which would lead to this inference. It is not addressed to any one and contains no personal allusion of any kind. This argument would have had much more force, if the end had

tation occurs in the very early epistle ascribed to Barnabas § 4; and this is possibly the correct interpretation of 1 Tim. v. 18 also (see the note on § 2).

12

¹ Too much stress however must not be laid on the fact that a gospel is quoted as $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\eta}$. It is now placed beyond any reasonable doubt that this mode of quo-

not been wanting; but still it is a sufficient starting point for the opinion of Grabe and others, that we have here not a letter but a fragment of a treatise or a homily. The inference however is not safe, for the same might have been inferred of the Epistle to the Hebrews, if its conclusion had been mutilated in the same way. Only one thing seems clear that, if in any sense an epistle, it was written in the name not of a church, like the First Epistle of Clement, but of the individual writer; for he throughout addresses his hearers as 'my brethren' (ἀδελφοί μου, §§ 7, 10). Of the bearing of this fact I shall have to speak presently.

3. Was it written to the Corinthians? With one exception the language is colourless in this respect and might have been addressed to any church. But the exceptional passage strikingly confirms the traditional view. Like S. Paul writing to these same Corinthians, the author refers at length to the athletic games of the Greeks (§ 7). This fact is not very important in itself, as he obviously has the passage of S. Paul in his mind. Nor can much stress be laid on the circumstance that he is apparently well acquainted with the rules of such contests. But there is one piece of local colouring which seems to point especially to Corinth and to the Isthmian 'games: he speaks of 'crowds who land' (καταπλέουσιν πολλοί) to take part in such contests, using such language as a writer or a preacher would naturally use, who counted on hearers able to appreciate his allusion.

The conclusions therefore at which we seem to have arrived from an investigation of the internal evidence are these; (1) That it was not written by Clement or in Clement's age; (2) That it bears no traces of the epistolary form, though it may possibly have been a letter; (3) That on the whole it appears to have been addressed to the Corinthian Church.

3.

In the light of this evidence, external and internal, we may pronounce judgment on the opinions which modern critics have entertained respecting the authorship of the epistle.

1. Cotelier, Bull, Galland, Lumper, and many others, have contended that it is what tradition declares it to be—an Epistle from Clement to the Corinthians. They have differed only about the time when it was written, Cotelier placing it before the First Epistle, while most writers have dated it after. As no allusion is made to dissensions (and it may be inferred from the silence of Photius, *Bibl.* 126, that the lost

ending was equally without any such reference), it cannot have been written about the same time with the First, nor after it (as Cotelier thinks). Indeed, if the date assigned above (p. 4) to the First Epistle be correct, and if Clement died at the time when he is reputed to have died (A.D. 95 or 100), the interval is hardly long enough for the feuds to have passed out of mind. Yet the objections above stated (pp. 176, 177) are considerably enhanced, if we assign an earlier date to it than to the First. Thus the difficulty of finding a time for it is an additional argument against its genuineness. And generally it may be said that, if the internal or the external evidence alone were insufficient to condemn it, yet the combination of the two must be considered fatal.

Recently the defence of the Clementine authorship has assumed a new form. Hagemann (Ueber den 2ten Brief des Clemens etc. in the Theolog. Quartalschr. XLIII. p. 509 sq. 1861) supposes it to have been a letter of Clement sent to accompany the Shepherd of Hermas. refers to the direction given by the angelic messenger to Hermas (Vis. ii. 4) that Clement shall circulate his book among foreign cities, and he postulates an accompanying letter of recommendation written by Clement. This however is a mere assumption. Moreover our epistle bears no traces of this purpose, and Photius (who had it unmutilated) evidently did not discern any such object. Hagemann again points to a few coincidences between our epistle and the Shepherd, but these are far less striking than might be expected under the supposed circumstances, and indeed are not closer than may often be found between early Christian documents written about the same time. except its ingenuity, this hypothesis has nothing to recommend it; and we should do better to fall back on the traditional view and regard the epistle as addressed to the Church of Corinth, for its Corinthian destination is somewhat favoured (as we have seen) by internal evidence.

2. Grabe (Spicil. Patr. 1. pp. 268, 30c) supposes it to be a fragment of a homily forged in Clement's name; and points to a passage in Anastasius Quast. 96 (p. 526 ed. Gretser), who quotes from 'the sacred and apostolic doctor Clement in his first discourse (λόγφ) concerning providence and righteous judgment', as showing that such homilies existed. But against this view several objections may be urged. (1) The quotation in Anastasius is taken not from Clement of Rome, but from Clement of Alexandria, as Hagemann has shown (l. c. p. 514 sq.); and therefore the ground for assuming the existence of such homilies is cut away. (2) The writing bears no traces of forgery. The author does

Digitized by Google

indeed appear to have read Clement and to have borrowed from him (see the notes on §§ 3, 11), but there is no attempt at impersonation: so that its ascription to this early Roman bishop would seem to be the error of a later age. (3) Lastly, this theory fails to account for its being called an epistle to the Corinthians. It should be added also that in ascribing this writing to the middle of the third century after the time of Origen (l. c. p. 269) Grabe has shown a disregard of its characteristic features (see the next paragraph), which require us to assign to it a date not later, or not much later, than the middle of the second century.

- 3. Dodwell (Dissert. in Iren. i. § xxix. p. 53) professed to see in this epistle a resemblance to the style of Clement of Alexandria in the fragments of the Hypotyposeis, and suggested that the two Clements had been confused. This suggestion is thrown out casually among other speculations, and it is not clear what weight its author attached to it, or what inference he intended to draw. At all events the opinion has found no favour, and may be briefly dismissed. Few will be able to trace this resemblance of style; and the quotations from the evangelical history bear testimony to an earlier period, when the four canonical Gospels had not yet established that exclusive authority which they have in the age of the younger Clement. In our epistle the Gospel of the Egyptians is a main source of quotation (see § 11), and is employed in a manner quite foreign to Clement of Alexandria who, though acquainted with this apocryphal book and even quoting from it (though perhaps only at second hand), yet recognises only the four canonical Gospels as authoritative.
- 4. Hilgenfeld (*Proleg.* p. xxxviii sq.) has recently propounded the view, to which casual suggestions of previous writers seemed to lead up, that this is the letter written by the Church of Rome to the Church of Corinth during the episcopate of Soter. Addressing the Romans in reply to this letter Dionysius of Corinth, as quoted by Eusebius (*H. E.* iv. 23; see above, p. 174 note), says that on the day on which he writes, being the Lord's day, the Corinthian brethren had read the Roman letter publicly, and would continue to do so from time to time, as also their former epistle sent through Clement. This hypothesis therefore has two very strong recommendations. (1) It accounts for the fact that our epistle is found appended to a Ms of the New Testament, as being read from time to time in the public services of the Church. (2) An explanation is thus suggested how Clement's name came to be attached to it: for it thus became the second of two letters from the Church of Rome to the Church of Corinth; and,

as Clement was the acknowledged author of the first, so not unnaturally his name would be extended to the second. On the other hand this theory seems to me to be open to one fatal objection. Dionysius speaks distinctly of a letter not from the Roman bishop, but from the Roman church. He does not even mention Soter's name in connexion with the letter (though he had spoken of him just before), but uses the plural in describing its authorship, $\hat{v}\mu\hat{\omega}v \tau\hat{\eta}v \hat{\epsilon}m\sigma \delta\hat{\eta}v$. On the other hand our fragment, whether it be regarded as part of a letter or of a homily, professes to come from *one* person. The writer more than once addresses his hearers as 'my brethren' (§§ 7, 10), and it contains no indication that others were associated with him in the writing. It therefore fails to satisfy the primary test which alone the very brief fragment of Dionysius enables us to apply.

5. Lastly, Wocher (der Brief des Clemens etc. p. 204) suggested that the author is Dionysius of Corinth. This suggestion has the advantage of connecting our epistle with Clement's genuine letter (though not very directly), and it moreover accounts for the local colouring which has been noticed above, p. 178. Beyond this, it has nothing to recommend it. Eusebius was well acquainted with the letters of Dionysius; and there is a presumption that he would in this case have known or detected the authorship of this epistle.

As all theories fail us, we must be content to accept this as an anonymous writing; but it will remain nevertheless an important monument of Christian antiquity, as dating probably before or about the middle of the second century. In the notes on § 12 I have pointed out an indication that it may have emanated from Egypt.

The theological position of the writer has been much canvassed, and some difference of opinion exists. Schwegler (Nachap. Zeit. I. p. 448 sq.) characteristically maintains that the work was written towards the end of the second century by a Roman Ebionite, whose aim it was to reconcile the older and more rigorous Ebionism with the now rapidly developing Catholic doctrine. He assumes it as a recognised fact that the mode of thought in this epistle is Ebionite (p. 450). Yet notwithstanding this boldness of assertion, it is difficult to see how even a prima facie case can be made out from such a perverse view. The writer's avowed position as a Gentile Christian, his uncompromising attack upon the Jews, his lofty conception of the person of Christ, his constant reference to the teaching of our Lord and total silence about the Mosaic ordinances, his habit of appealing to the Prophets and not to the Law, all give a direct negative to this theory. On the other hand, if the writer protests against the defects of Ebionism, he

is equally severe on the errors of Gnosticism. And this double-edged antagonism points to his true position. He belongs to Catholic Christianity, which is equidistant from the one and the other. Yet the form of his teaching differs widely from the definite and systematic type of the post-Nicene age, when the opposition to Arian and Apollinarian heresies had led to a more precise statement of Catholic doctrine, and even falls short of the comparative distinctness which characterises the writers of the third century, when the prevalence of Monarchian and Sabellian views had produced the same effect in a smaller degree. Our Second Epistle is clearly Catholic: but Catholic doctrine is still held in solution; it has not yet coalesced into dogma. At the same time, though Catholic, the teaching is not markedly Pauline in type; for though the writer is obviously acquainted with S. Paul's Epistles and imitates them (e.g. & 2, 7), yet he never adopts the modes of stating Christian doctrine which are characteristic of the Apostle. is substantially the view maintained by Ritschl (Entst. d. Altkath. Kirche p. 286 sq.), Hilgenfeld (Apost. Vät. p. 118 sq.), and others. The remarks of the first mentioned, which still further define the writer's position, may be read as a supplement to what is said here.

4

The following is an analysis of the fragment:

'My brethren, we must look on Christ as God. We must not think mean things of Him who has been so merciful to us, who has given us life and all things (§ 1). In us is fulfilled the saying that the barren woman hath many children. The Gentile Church was once unfruitful, but now has a numerous offspring. We are those sinners whom Christ came especially to save (§ 2). Therefore we owe all recompense to And the return which he asks is that we should confess Him in our deeds. The worship, not of the lips only, but of the heart, must be yielded to Him (§ 3). He has denounced those who, while they obey Him not, yet call Him Lord. He has declared that, though they be gathered into His bosom, He will reject them (§ 4). Let us therefore remember that we are sojourners here, and let us not fear to quit this world. Rather let us call to mind His warning, and fear not those who kill the body but Him who can destroy body and soul together. things earthly we must hold foreign to us (§ 5). On this there must be no wavering. We cannot serve two masters. This world and the other are deadly foes. It must be our choice to do Christ's will. Even Noah, Job, and Daniel could not have rescued their own children from destruction. How shall we then, if we keep not the baptismal seal intact, present ourselves in God's kingdom? (§ 6) The lists are open; the struggle approaches. Let us crowd thither to take our part. Let us fight to win the immortal chaplet. But, so doing, we must observe the laws of the contest, if we would escape chastisement. A horrible fate awaits those who break the seal (§ 7). Now is the time for repentance. Now we can be moulded like clay in the hands of the potter. After death it will be too late. If we keep not small things, how shall we be trusted with great? If we guard not the seal intact, how shall we inherit eternal life? (§ 8).'

'Deny not, that men shall rise in their bodies. As Christ came in the flesh, so also shall we be judged in the flesh. Let us give ourselves to God betimes. He reads our very inmost thoughts. To those who do His will Christ has given the name of brothers (§ 9). This will let us ever obey. If we fear men and choose present comfort, we shall purchase brief pleasure at the price of eternal joy. They who lead others astray herein are doubly guilty (§ 10). We must not falter. The prophetic word denounces the double-minded; it foretels how the course of things is maturing to its consummation, as the vine grows and ripens. God is faithful; and, as He has promised, so will He give joys unspeakable to the righteous (§ 11). The signs, which shall herald the approach of His kingdom, Christ has foretold. The two shall be one in universal peace. The outside shall be as the inside in strict sincerity. The male shall be as the female in

'Be not dismayed at seeing the rich prosperous and the faithful straitened. If our reward were immediate, piety would be changed into merchandise'.....

'Things are not what they seem. Our fondest desires, when granted, often bring grievous calamity'.....

5.

Information respecting the single Ms which contains this epistle has been given already, p. 22 sq.

6.

An account of the literature will be found in the introduction to

the First Epistle p. 27 sq. To the list of works there given should be added (as referring to this epistle alone):

1861 Ueber den zweiten Brief des Clemens von Rom; HAGEMANN. in the Theologische Quartalschrift (XLIII. Hft. 4. p. 509 sq.).

[TPOC KOPINOIOYC B.]

Ι. 'Αδελφοί, ούτως δεῖ ήμᾶς φρονεῖν περὶ 'Ιησοῦ

[προς κορινθιογς B.] The authorities for this title will be found on p. 175. For the designation of this epistle in the MS, where it has no heading, see pp. 22, 23, 173.

I. 'My brethren, we must think of Christ as God, as judge of all men. It is no light crime to have mean views of Him by whom we were called and who suffered for us. What worthy recompense can we pay to Him, who has given us light and life, who has rescued us from the worship of stocks and stones, has scattered the dark cloud that hung over us, has brought back our straying footsteps, and thus has called us into being?'

 'Αδελφοί κ.τ.λ. The opening of the epistle, as far as παθείν ενεκα ήμῶν, is quoted by Severus of Antioch (c. A.D. 515) and by Timotheus of Alexandria († A.D. 535) in extracts preserved in a Syriac translation. By Severus it is given as 'from the Second Epistle to the Corinthians' (Cureton's Corp. Ign. pp. 215, 246); by Timotheus as 'from the beginning of the Third Epistle' (Corp. Ign. pp. 212, 244) immediately after a quotation 'from the First Epistle on Virginity' (see above pp. 17, 22). Of the Syriac MSS containing these extracts, the former may date from the 6th to the 8th century (Corp. Ign. p. 355), and the latter was written not later than A.D. 562 (ib. p. 353). Moreover the opening words 'Αδελφοί...νεκρῶν are found in several Syriac extracts, of which one is given by Cureton (Corp. Ign. p. 365) and another by Cowper (Syriac Miscell: p. 57). Of these Dr Wright of the British Museum sends me the following account:

'There are in the Syriac collection several large volumes ranging from the 7th or 8th cent. to the 10th, and entitled A or Solutions, i.e. extracts from the Fathers to be used in combating various heresies. They are all Monophysite compilations. The extract occurs in several of these volumes. I send the text copied from Add. 17, 214, fol. 77 a, which Ms seems to be of the 7th century'.

مع المارا درور محادد المارد محادد المارد محادد المارد محادد محادد محادد محادد محادد محادد المارد ال

Χριστοῦ, ὡς περὶ Θεοῦ, ὡς περὶ κριτοῦ ζώντων καὶ νεκρῶν. καὶ οὐ δεῖ ἡμᾶς μικρὰ φρονεῖν περὶ τῆς σωτη-ρίας ἡμῶν ἐν τῷ γὰρ φρονεῖν ἡμᾶς μικρὰ περὶ αὐτοῦ, μικρὰ καὶ ἐλπίζομεν λαβεῖν. Καὶ toit ἀκούοντες ὡς περὶ μικρῶν ἀμαρτάνομεν, οὐκ εἰδότες πόθεν ἐκλήθημεν 5

4 ωs περί] Sever., Timoth.; see below. ωσπερ A.

نعسه است محمد ادم کے بداند معلی کمار اسر بعدیا درمد معلی کمار اسر بعدی بندا بسدا ورمدال

After this follows a passage from § 9 καὶ μὴ λεγέτω...τὸν μισθόν.

وال ساطن العد طدن.

وال ساطن العد طدن.

وال ساطن ال مكان وال مولا، بعد حصدا المحدد. وحدا المددد. وحدا المددد المدد المددد المدد المددد المدد المددد المددد

'For Ohman Cowper reads Ohman, ye live, which I find in another MS of the 8th cent., but a 3rd later MS has also Ohman, ye see. Again Cowper's MS has Inc., called us; the other two called you.'

Photius (Bibl. 126) remarks on the opening of this epistle, contrasting it with the First as respects its Christology, ή δὲ δευτέρα καὶ αὐτὴ νουθεσίαν καὶ παραίνεσιν κρείττονος εἰσάγει βίου καὶ ἐν ἀρχῷ Θεὸν τὸν Χριστὸν κηρύσσει: see the notes on §§ 2, 36, 57 of the First Epistle.

I. κριτοῦ κ.τ.λ.] The expression occurs in Acts x. 42 (in a speech of S. Peter): comp. 2 Tim. iv. 1, 1 Pet. iv. 5. See also Barnab. § 7, Polyc. Phil. 2.

2. μικρά φρονείν] 'to have mean views.' The Ebionites, whom the writer of this epistle attacks, were said to have earned the title of 'poor' by their mean and beggarly conception of the Person of Christ; see esp. Origen de Princ. iv. 22 (I. p. 183) οί πτωχοί τη διανοία Έβιωναίοι της πτωχείας της διανοίας επώνυμοι, εβιών [אביון] γὰρ ὁ πτωχὸς παρὰ Ἑβραίοις ονομάζεται, c. Cels. ii. I (I. p. 385), in Matth. t. xvi. § 12 (III. p. 734) $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ 'Εβιωναίφ καὶ πτωχεύοντι περὶ τὴν εἰς 'Ιησοῦν πίστιν, and again in Gen. iii Hom. § 5 (11. p. 68); Euseb. H. E. iii. 27 Ἐβιωναίους τούτους οἰκείως ἐπεφήμιζον οί πρώτοι πτωχώς καὶ ταπεινώς

καὶ ὑπὸ τίνος καὶ εἰς ὃν τόπον, καὶ ὅσα ὑπέμεινεν Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς παθεῖν ἕνεκα ἡμῶν. τίνα οὖν ἡμεῖς αὐτῷ δώσομεν ἀντιμισθίαν; ἢ τίνα καρπὸν ἄξιον οὖ ἡμῖν αὐτὸς ἔδωκεν; πόσα δὲ αὐτῷ ὀφείλομεν ὅσια; τὸ τὸ φῶς γὰρ ἡμῖν ἐχαρίσατο, ὡς πατὴρ υἰοὺς ἡμᾶς προση-

9 δφείλομεν] οφιλομεν Α.

τὰ περὶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ δοξάζοντας, Eccl. Theol. i. 14 οἱ πρωτοκήρυκες Ἐβιωναίους ωνόμαζον Έβραϊκή φωνή πτωχούς την διάνοιαν ἀποκαλοῦντες τοὺς ένα μὲν Θεὸν λέγοντας είδέναι καὶ τοῦ σωτήρος τὸ σώμα μη άρνουμένους την δε του υίου θεότητα μη είδότας, with other passages collected in Schliemann Clement. p. 471 sq. Origen's language perhaps does not necessarily imply that he gives this as a serious account of the term, but only that they were fitly called 'poor'. Eusebius however, mistaking his drift, supposes this name to have been a term of reproach imposed upon these heretics by the orthodox; instead of being, as doubtless it was and as perhaps Origen knew it to be, self-assumed in allusion to their voluntary The idea of a heresiarch poverty. named Ebion, which is found first in Tertullian (de Præscr. 33, and elsewhere), is now generally allowed to be a mistake.

4. + oi+ ἀκούοντες] 'we who hear'. For the article compare Clem. Rom. § 6 al ἀσθενεῖε τῷ σώματι; but the expression is awkward and misplaced. Young suggested καίτοι which others have adopted, but this is not the particle required. The Syriac quotations of Timotheus and Severus have 1. 100 0 'and when we hear', as though the article were absent from their text; but, allowance being made for the license of translation, no stress can be laid on

this fact. Photius (Bibl. 126) remarks on the looseness and inconsequence of expression in this Second Epistle (or rather in the two epistles, but he must be referring especially to the Second), τὰ ἐν αὐταῖς νοήματα ἐρριμμένα πως καὶ οὐ συνεχῆ τὴν ἀκολουθίαν ὑπῆρχε ψυλάττοντα. Several instances of this will be noted below; and this passage, if the text be correct, furnishes another illustration.

8. ἀντιμισθίαν] The word occurs Rom. i. 27, 2 Cor. vi. 13, Theoph. ad Autol. ii. 9. Though apparently not common, it is a favourite word with our author; see just below and §§ 9, 11. The sentiment is taken from Ps. cxvi. 12 τί ἀνταποδώσω τῷ Κυρίφ κ.τ.λ.

9. ooia] 'mercies, kindnesses', as it is used in the LXX Is. lv. 3 (quoted in Acts xiii. 34 δώσω ύμιν τὰ δσια Δαυείδ τὰ πιστά) for חסרים: see Wolf Cur. Philol. p. 1197. In a parallel passage 2 Chron. vi. 42 the LXX has τὰ ἐλέη. In this case οφείλομεν will have a pregnant sense, 'we have received and should repay'. Perhaps however it is simpler to take oou as 'religious duties' (e.g. Eur. Suppl. 368 όσια περί θεούς). The distinction between δσια 'what is due to God' and diraia 'what is due to men' is as old as Plato (Gorg. p. 507 B) and runs through Greek literature: comp. Trench N. T. Syn. 2nd ser. § xxxviii, and Steph. Thes. s. vv. δίκαιος and őσιος. See also below, §§ 5, 6.

10. ως πατήρ κ.τ.λ.] The refer-

γόρευσεν, ἀπολλυμένους ήμας ἔσωσεν. ποῖον οὖν αἶνον αὐτῷ δώσωμεν ἢ μισθὸν ἀντιμισθίας ὧν ἐλάβομεν; πηροὶ ὅντες τῆ διανοία, προσκυνοῦντες λίθους καὶ ξύλα καὶ χρυσὸν καὶ ἄργυρον καὶ χαλκόν, ἔργα ἀνθρώπων· καὶ ὁ βίος ἡμῶν ὅλος ἄλλο οὐδὲν ἦν εἰ μὴ θάνατος. ἀμαύρω-5 σιν οὖν περικείμενοι καὶ τοιαύτης ἀχλύος γέμοντες ἐν τῆ ὁράσει, ἀνεβλέψαμεν ἀποθέμενοι ἐκεῖνο ὁ περικείμεθα νέφος τῆ αὐτοῦ θελήσει. ἤλέησεν γὰρ ἡμᾶς καὶ σπλαγχνισθεὶς ἔσωσεν, θεασάμενος ἐν ἡμῖν πολλὴν πλάνην καὶ ἀπώλειαν, καὶ μηδεμίαν ἐλπίδα ἔχοντας το σωτηρίας, εἰ μὴ τὴν παρ' αὐτοῦ. ἐκάλεσεν γὰρ ἡμᾶς

ι ποίον οὖν] ποιουν Α.

10 έλπίδα έχοντας] ελπιδανεχοντεσ Α.

ence is perhaps to Hosea ii. I καὶ ἔσται ἐν τῷ τόπῳ οὖ ἐρρέθη αὐτοῖς Οὐ λαός μου ὑμεῖς, ἐκεῖ κληθήσονται υἰοὶ Θεοῦ ζῶντος, more especially as applied by S. Paul Rom. ix. 26. See also the quotation in 2 Cor. vi. 18 καθ ἔσομαι ὑμῖν εἰς πατέρα καὶ ὑμεῖς ἔσεσθέ μοι εἰς υἰοὺς καὶ θυγατέρας (a combination of 2 Sam. vii. 14 and Is. xliii. 6), and I Joh. iii. I ἴδετε ποταπὴν ἀγάπην δέδωκεν ἡμῖν ὁ πατὴρ ἵνα τέκνα Θεοῦ κληθῶμεν.

2. δώσωμεν] 'can we give?' The editors tacitly read δώσομεν, though the MS has δωσωμεν, and a conjunctive is more forcible: comp. e.g. Matt. xxiii. 33 πῶς φύγητε, xxvi. 54 πῶς σὖν πληρωθῶσιν αἱ γραφαί; and see Winer § xli. p. 301.

πηροὶ ὅντες κ.τ.λ.] Arist. Eth. Nic. i. 10 τοῖς μὴ πεπηρωμένοις πρὸς ἀρετήν, Ptolemæus ad Flor. (in Epiphan. Ηær. xxxiii. 3 p. 217) μὴ μόνον τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ὅμμα ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ τοῦ σώματος πεπηρωμένων. In the New Testament πηροῦν, πήρωσις, occur occasionally as various readings for πωροῦν, πώρωσις, but are not well supported: see Fritzsche Rom. II. p. 451 sq.

3. προσκυνοῦντες κ.τ.λ.] The writer of this epistle therefore is plainly a Gentile Christian: comp. § 2 ἡ ἐκκλησία ἡμῶν, and the introduction p. 176.

4. ὁ βίος] Their βίος was not ζωὴ but θάνατος: see the note on Ign. Rom.
7. Comp. I Tim. v. 6 ζῶσα τέθνηκεν.

7. ἀνεβλέψαμεν] Comp. § 9. ἀποθέμενοι κ. τ. λ.] The language here, though not the thought, is coloured by Heb. xii. Ι τοσοῦτον ἔχοντες περικείμενον ἡμῖν νέφος μαρτύρων, ὄγκον ἀποθέμενοι πάντα κ.τ.λ. For the construction περικεῖσθαί τι 'to be enveloped in or surrounded by a thing,' see Acts xxviii. 20, Heb. v. 2.

10. ἔχονταs] sc. ἡμᾶs. If this reading be correct it is perhaps governed by θεασάμενος rather than by ἔσωσε, 'and this though we had no hope'. But ἔχοντες may be the right reading after all: in which case a word or words may have fallen out from the text; or this may be one of the awkward expressions to which allusion has been already made (on oi ἀκούοντες).

ούκ όντας καὶ ήθέλησεν έκ μὴ όντος είναι ήμᾶς.

ΙΙ. Εγφράνθητι, ετείρα ή ογ τίκτογεα· βήξον καὶ Βόηςον, ή ογκ ωδίνογεα, ὅτι πολλά τὰ τέκνα της ἐρήμος το μάλλον η της ἐχογεης τὸν ἄνδρα. Ὁ εἶπεν εγφράνθητι ετείρα ή ογ τίκτογεα, ήμας εἶπεν στείρα γαρ ἦν ἡ ἐκκλησία ήμων πρὸ τοῦ δοθηναι αὐτῆ τέκνα. ὁ δὲ εἶπεν Βόηςον ή ογκ ωδίνογεα, τοῦτο λέγει· τὰς προσευχὰς ήμων ἀπλως ἀναφέρειν πρὸς τὸν Θεὸν μή, ως αί 20 ωδίνουσαι, ἐγκακωμεν. ὁ δὲ εἶπεν ὅτι πολλὰ τὰ τέκνα της ἐρήμος μάλλον η της ἐχογεης τὸν ἄνδρα, ἐπεὶ ἔρημος ἐδόκει εἶναι ἀπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ ὁ λαὸς ήμων, νυνὶ δὲ πιστεύσαντες πλείονες ἐγενόμεθα των δοκούντων ἔχειν

11. ἐκάλεσεν γὰρ κ.τ.λ.] Rom. iv. 17 καλοῦντος τὰ μ) ὅντα ὡς ὅντα, Philo de Creat. Princ. 7 (II. p. 367) τὰ γὰρ μὴ ὅντα ἐκάλεσεν εἰς τὸ εἶναι: comp. Hermas Vis. I. I κτίσας ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὅντος τὰ ὅντα, Mand. I ποιήσας ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὅντος εἰς τὸ εἶναι τὰ πάντα, Clem. Hom. iii. 32 τῷ τὰ μὴ ὅντα εἰς τὸ εἶναι συστησαμένω.

II. 'For what is the meaning of the scripture, Rejoice thou barren that bearest not? It has been fulfilled in us—the Gentile Church, which is even now more numerous than the Jewish. In like manner also it is written elsewhere, I came not to call just men but sinners. Such sinners were we.'

13. εὐφράνθητι κ.τ.λ.] From the LXX Is. liv. 1, word for word. See the notes on *Galatians* iv. 27. The same application is also made in Justin *Apol.* i. 53 p. 88 c. Philo also allegorizes this text (quod Omn. Prob. lib. 2, II. p. 449), but in a wholly different way.

16. ἡ ἐκκλησία ἡμῶν] i.e. the Gentile Church, called ὁ λαὸς ἡμῶν below. Our author's application seems so

far to differ from S. Paul's, that he makes the contrast between Gentile and Judaic Christendom, whereas in the Apostle it is between the new and the old dispensation. Justin uses the text in the same way as our Pseudo-Clement.

19. μὴ ὡς κ.τ.λ.] If the order of the words be correct they can only mean 'let us not grow weary, as women in travail grow weary'; but it is strange that the writer should have confused his application of the text by this fanciful account of ἡ οὐκ ὡδίνουσα, of which the natural explanation is so obvious. For ἐγκακῶμεν Cotelier and other editors would substitute ἐκκακῶμεν: but this is a mistake, as authority is against ἐκκακεῖν and for ἐγκακεῖν: see the note on Galatians vi. 9.

22. ἀπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ] For the preposition after ἔρημος comp. Jer. xxxiii (xl). 10 (ἀπὸ ἀνθρώπων καὶ κτηνῶν), xxxiv (xli). 22 (ἀπὸ τῶν κατοικούντων), xliv (li). 2 (ἀπὸ ἐνοίκων). The word involves a secondary idea of severance, and so takes ἀπό.

23. πλείονες] Writing about this

Θεόν. καὶ ἐτέρα δὲ γραφὴ λέγει ὅτι Οἰκ ਜλθον καλές λικλίοις, ἀλλὰ ὁπαρτωλοίς. τοῦτο λέγει, ὅτι δεῖ τοὺς ἀπολλυμένους σώζειν ἐκεῖνο γάρ ἐστιν μέγα καὶ θαυμαστόν, οὐ τὰ ἐστῶτα στηρίζειν ἀλλὰ τὰ πίπτοντα. οὕτως καὶ ὁ Χριστὸς ἤθέλησεν σῶσαι τὰ 5 ἀπολλύμενα, καὶ ἔσωσεν πολλούς, ἐλθων καὶ καλέσας ἡμᾶς ἤδη ἀπολλυμένους.

III. Τοσοῦτον οὖν ἔλεος ποιήσαντος αὐτοῦ εἰς ἡμᾶς πρῶτον μέν, ὅτι ἡμεῖς οἱ ζῶντες τοῖς νεκροῖς θεοῖς οὐ θύομεν καὶ οὐ προσκυνοῦμεν αὐτοῖς, ἀλλὰ το ἔγνωμεν δἰ αὐτοῦ τὸν πατέρα τῆς ἀληθείας τίς ἡ γνῶσις ἡ πρὸς αὐτόν, ἡ τὸ μὴ ἀρνεῖσθαι δι οὖ ἔγνωμεν αὐτόν; λέγει δὲ καὶ αὐτός. Τὸν ὁμολος Ης Αντά με ἐνώ-

8 έλεος] ελαιοσ Α.

12 γνώσις] γνωσεισ Α.

same time, Justin Martyr gives a similar account of the greater numbers of the Gentile Christians: Apol. i. 53 (p. 88 B) πλείονώς τε καὶ ἀληθεστέρους τοὺς ἐξ ἐθνῶν τῶν ἀπὸ Ἰουδαίων καὶ Σαμαρέων Χριστιανοὺς εἰδότες.

τῶν δοκούντων ἔχειν Θεόν] Hilgenfeld quotes from the *Prædicatio Petri* in Clem. Alex. *Strom*. vi. 5 (p. 760) μηδὲ κατὰ Ἰουδαίους σέβεσθε καὶ γὰρ ἐκείνοι, μόνοι οἰόμενοι τὸν Θεὸν γινώσκειν, οὐκ ἐπίστανται (comp. Orig. *in Joann*. xiii. § 17, 1V. p. 226).

I. ἐτέρα δὲ γραφή] Thus the Gospel, treated as a written document, is regarded as Scripture like the Old Testament. Comp. Barnab. § 4, and possibly I Tim. v. 18. See above, the introduction p. 177.

οὐκ ἢλθον κ.τ.λ.] The quotation agrees exactly with S. Mark ii. 17, but might also be taken from S. Matthew ix. 13 οὐ γὰρ ἢλθον κ.τ.λ. On the other hand in S. Luke (v. 32) the form is different, οὐκ ἐλήλυθα κα-

λέσαι δικαίους ἀλλὰ ἀμαρτωλούς εἰς μετάνοιαν. Comp. also Barnab. § 5 οὐκ ἢλθεν καλέσαι δικαίους ἀλλὰ ἁμαρτωλούς (where the words εἰς μετάνοιαν, added in the late MSS, are wanting in κ), and Justin Apol. i. p. 62 C οὐκ ἢλθον κ. δ. ἀ. ἀμ. εἰς μετάνοιαν.

5. σῶσαι κ.τ.λ.] Luke xix. 10 ήλθεν ὁ υίὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου ζητῆσαι καὶ σῶσαι τὸ ἀπολωλός (compare the interpolation in Matt. xviii. 11), 1 Tim. i. 15 'I. Χ. ήλθεν εἰς τὸν κόσμον ἀμαρτωλοὺς σῶσαι.

III. 'Seeing then that He has been so merciful and has brought us to know God, wherein does this knowledge consist but in not denying Him by whom we were brought? If we confess Him, He will confess us before the Father. This we must do, not with lips only but in our lives.'

9. τοις νεκροις θεοις] Wisd. xv. 17 θνητὸς δὲ ὧν νεκρὸν ἐργάζεται χερσὶν ἀνόμοις κρείττων γάρ ἐστι τῶν σεβασμάτων αὐτοῦ, ὧν αὐτὸς μὲν ἔζησεν ἐκείνα δὲ οὐδέποτε. πιον τῶν ἀνθρώπων, ὁνολογήςω αὐτὸν ἐνώπιον τος πατρός 15 κογ. Οὖτος οὖν ἐστὶν ὁ μισθὸς ἡμῶν, ἐὰν οὖν ὁμολολογήσωμεν δι' οὖ ἐσώθημεν. ἐν τίνι δὲ αὐτὸν ὁμολογοῦμεν; ἐν τῷ ποιεῖν ὰ λέγει καὶ μὴ παρακούειν αὐτοῦ τῶν ἐντολῶν, καὶ μὴ μόνον χείλες τη αὐτὸν τιμῶν ἀλλὰ ἐξ ὅλης καρδίας καὶ ἐξ ὅλης τῆς διανοίας. λέγει δὲ καὶ 20 ἐν τῷ Ἡσαΐᾳ· Ὁ λαὸς οὖτος τοῖς χείλες ίν με τιμῷ, ἡ δὲ καρδία αὐτῶν πόρρω ἄπεςτιν ἀπ' ἐκοῦ.

IV. Μη μόνον οὖν αὐτὸν καλῶμεν Κύριον, οὐ γὰρ τοῦτο σώσει ἡμᾶς. λέγει γάρ Οἰ πᾶς ὁ λέςων κοι, Κήριε, Κήριε, ςωθής εται, ἀλλ' ὁ ποιῶν τὴν δικαιος νην. 25 ώστε οὖν, ἀδελφοί, ἐν τοῖς ἔργοις αὐτὸν ὁμολογῶμεν, ἐν τῷ ἀγαπὰν ἑαυτούς, ἐν τῷ μὴ μοιχᾶσθαι μηδὲ

20 6] ō (i.e. ov) A.

25 αὐτόν] αυτων Α.

13. λέγει δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς κ.τ.λ.] Nicon (see above on the First Epistle §§ 14, 15) quotes portions of this passage; καὶ ὁ Κύριος λέγει Τὸν ὁμολογήσαντα... τοῦ πατρός μου· ἐν τίνι δέ...τῶν ἐντολῶν.

τον όμολογήσαντα κ.τ.λ.] A free quotation of Matt. x. 32 (comp. Luke xii. 8).

15. can own if after all, if only. For similar instances of the use of own see Hartung Partikel. II. 11.

19. ἐξ δλης κ.τ.λ.] A reference ultimately to Deut. vi. 5; but as both words διανοίας and καρδίας do not seem to occur in that passage in any one text of the LXX, we must suppose that the writer had in his mind the saying rather as it is quoted in the Gospels, esp. Mark xii. 30 ἐξ δλης τῆς καρδίας σου καὶ ἐξ δλης τῆς ψυχῆς σου καὶ ἐξ δλης τῆς διανοίας σου καὶ ἐξ δλης τῆς ἐσχύος σου (comp. Matt. xxii. 37, Luke x. 27).

20. ὁ λαὸς οὖτος κ.τ.λ.] From Is. xxix. 13, modified by the form in which it is quoted in the Gospels;

see the note on the genuine Epistle of Clement § 15, where again it is quoted in almost exactly the same form as here.

IV. 'It is not enough to call Him Lord. We must confess Him by our works, by love and purity and guilelessness. We must not fear men but God. For Christ Himself has warned us that, though we be His most familiar friends, yet if we do not His commandments, He will reject us.'

23. οὐ πᾶς ὁ λέγων κ.τ.λ.] From Matt. vii. 21 οὐ πᾶς ὁ λέγων μοι, Κύριε, Κύριε, εἰσελεύσεται εἰς τὴν βασίλειαν τῶν οὐρανῶν, ἀλλ' ὁ ποιῶν τὸ θέλημα τοῦ πατρός μου τοῦ ἐν τοῖς οὐρανοῖς (comp. Luke vi. 46 quoted below). Justin (Apol. i. 16, p. 64 A) gives the exact words of S. Matthew (except οὐχὶ for οὐ). Clem. Hom. viii. 7 has τί με λέγεις Κύριε, Κύριε, καὶ οὐ ποιεῖς ὰ λέγω ; which closely resembles Luke vi. 46 τί δὲ με καλείτε, Κύριε, Κύριε, καὶ οὐ ποιεῖτε ὰ λέγω; comp.

καταλαλεῖν ἀλλήλων μηδὲ ζηλοῦν, ἀλλ' ἐγκρατεῖς εἶναι, ἐλεήμονας, ἀγαθούς καὶ συμπάσχειν ἀλλήλοις ὀφείλο[μεν], καὶ μὴ φιλαργυρεῖν. ἐν τ[οιού]τοις ἔργοις ὁμολογῶμεν [αὐτὸν] καὶ μὴ ἐν τοῖς ἐναντίοις καὶ οὐ δεῖ ἡμᾶς φοβεῖσθαι το[ὑς] ἀνθρώπους μᾶλλον ἀλλὰ τὸν 5 Θεόν. δ[ιὰ] τοῦτο, ταῦτα ὑμῶν πρασσόν[των], εἶπεν ὁ Κύριος Ἐὰν ਜτε μετ' ἐμο[γ] ςγνηςμένοι ἐν τῷ κόλπῷ μ[ογ] καὶ μὴ ποιῆτε τὰς ἐντολάς μο[γ], ἀποβαλῶ ἡμᾶς καὶ ἐρῶ

3 δφείλομεν] οφιλομεν Α.

τοιούτοις] Tisch. (prol. p. xix).

Clem. Hom. viii. 5 οὐδὲ ἐν τῷ πιστεύειν διδασκάλοις καὶ κυρίους αὐτοὺς λέγειν ἡ σωτηρία γίνεται.

- 1. μηδέ καταλαλεῖν κ.τ.λ.] James iv. 11 μη καταλαλεῖτε ἀλλήλων. See also Hermas *Mand*. 2 πρώτον μὲν μηδενὸς αταλάλει, with the whole section.
- 2. ἀγαθούs] 'kindly, beneficent', as Tit. ii. 5, I Pet. ii. 18; and so probably I Thess. iii. 6.
- 4. οὐ δεῖ ἡμᾶς κ.τ.λ.] Comp. Acts iv. 19, v. 29.
- 7. ἐὰν ἦτε κ.τ.λ.] Not found in the canonical Gospels, and perhaps taken from the Gospel of the Egyptians, which is quoted below; see §§ 5, 8, 12. The image and expressions are derived from Is. xl. 11 τῷ βραχίονι αὐτοῦ συνάξει ἄρνας καὶ ἐν τῷ κόλπῳ αὐτοῦ βαστάσει. The latter clause, though absent in NAB, is found in several MSS (see Holmes and Parsons), in other Greek Versions, and in the original; and must be supposed to have been known to the writer of the Gospel in question. For the expression συνάγειν έν κόλπω, 'to gather in the lap', see LXX Prov. xxx. 4 (xxiv. 27). The image is carried out in the language of the next chapter, ἔσεσθε ως ἀρνία κ.τ.λ.
 - 9. ὑπάγετε κ.τ.λ.] The parallel

passage in S. Luke xiii. 27 runs καὶ ἐρεῖ, Λέγω ὑμῖν, οὐκ οἶδα [ὑμᾶs] πόθεν ἐστέ ἀπόστητε ἀπ' ἐμοῦ πάντες ἐργάται ἀδικίας. This is much closer than Matt. vii. 23. The denunciation is taken from Ps. vi. 9 ἀπόστητε ἀπ' ἐμοῦ πάντες οἱ ἐργαζόμενοι τὴν ἀνομίαν. Compare the quotations in Justin Apol. i. 16 (p. 64 B) καὶ τότε ἐρῶ αὐτοῖς ᾿Αποχωρεῖτε ἀπ' ἐμοῦ, ἐργάται τῆς ἀνομίας, Dial. 76 (p. 301 D) καὶ ἐρῶ αὐτοῖς ᾿Αναχωρεῖτε ἀπ' ἐμοῦ. See Westcott Canon p. 125 sq. (2nd ed.).

V. 'We must break loose from the ties of this world. The Lord has warned us, that here we shall be as lambs among wolves; that we have cause to fear the perdition of our souls rather than the murder of our bodies. Our life here is brief and transitory; our life in heaven is eternal rest. Therefore should we look upon ourselves as aliens to the world.'

11. την παροικίαν] 'our sojourning in', i.e. 'our dalliance with': see the note on παροικοῦντες in the opening of the First Epistle.

14. ἔσεσθε κ.τ.λ.] This is a close parallel to Luke x. 3 ἀποστέλλω ὑμᾶς ώς ἄρνας ἐν μέσφ λύκων (comp. Matt. x. 16). As however Peter is not mentioned in the context, and as the con-

Υ΄ΜΩΝ ΥπάΓετε ἀπ' ἐΜΟΥ, ΟΥΚ ΟἶΔΑ Υ΄ΜΑς πόθεΝ ἐςτέ, ἐΡΓάται ΙΟ ἀΝΟΜΊΑς.

V. 'Όθεν, άδελφοί, καταλείψαντες τὴν παροικίαν τοῦ κόσμου τούτου ποιήσωμεν τὸ θέλημα τοῦ καλέσαντος ήμας, καὶ μὴ φοβηθωμεν έξελθεῖν ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου τούτου. λέγει γὰρ ὁ Κύριος Έςεςθε ὡς ἀρνία ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου τούτου. λέγει γὰρ ὁ Κύριος ἄς αὐτῷ λέγει 'Εὰκ οἦν διαςπαράξωςιν οἱ λήκοι τὰ ἀρνία; εἶπεν ὁ 'Ιησοῦς τῷ Πέτρφ' Μὰ φοβείςθως αν τὰ ἀρνία τοὰς λήκογς μετὰ τὸ ἀποθανεῖν αἤτά. καὶ ἡμεῖς μὰ φοβείςθε τοὰς ἀποκτέννον-

4 αὐτόν] Tisch. (prol. p. xix).

18 φοβείσθε] φοβεισθαι Α.

tinuation of the quotation is not found in the canonical Gospels, the whole passage was probably taken from some apocryphal source, perhaps the Gospel of the Egyptians: see the note on §§ 4, 8, 12. As the same metaphor of the lambs occurs in the apocryphal quotation just above (§ 4), they were probably taken from the same context. Photius (Bibl. 126) remarks on the number of apocryphal quotations in this Second Epistle, πλην ὅτι ρητά τινα ώς ἀπὸ τῆς θείας γραφής ξενίζοντα παρεισάγει, ών ουδ ή πρώτη ἀπήλλακτο παντελώς. (For apocryphal quotations in the First, which however are chiefly from the Old Testament and therefore not so prominent, see the notes §§ 8, 13, 17, 23, 29, 46).

18. καὶ ὑμεῖς κ.τ.λ.] The apocryphal citation again runs parallel to the canonical Gospels, Matt. x. 28 καὶ μὴ φοβεῖσθε ἀπὸ τῶν ἀποκτευνόντων τὸ σῶμα, τὴν δὲ ψυχὴν μὴ δυναμένων ἀποκτεῖναι φοβήθητε δὲ μᾶλλον τὸν δυνάμενον [καὶ] ψυχὴν καὶ σῶμα ἀπολέσαι ἐν γεέννῃ, Luke xii. 4, 5 μὴ φοβηθῆτε ἀπὸ πῶν ἀποκτευνόντων τὸ σῶμα καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα μὴ ἐχόντων περισσότερόν τι ποιῆσαι ὑποδείξω δὲ ὑμῖν τίνα φοβη-

θητε. Φοβήθητε τὸν μετὰ τὸ ἀποκτείναι έχοντα έξουσίαν έμβαλείν είς την γέενναν ναί, λέγω ύμιν, τοῦτον φοβήθητε. The saying is quoted also in Clem. Hom. xvii. 4 μη φοβηθητε ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀποκτέννοντος τὸ σῶμα τῆ δὲ ψυχῆ μὴ δυναμένου τι ποιησαι φοβήθητε δε τον δυνάμενον καὶ σώμα καὶ ψυχήν είς την γέενναν τοῦ πυρὸς βαλείν, and in Justin Apol. i. 19 (p. 66 B) μη φοβείσθε τούς αναιρούντας ύμας και μετά ταύτα μή δυναμένους τι ποιήσαι, είπε, φοβήθητε δε τον μετά το άποθανείν δυνάμενον καί ψυχήν καὶ σώμα είς γέενναν έμβαλείν. The points of coincidence in the quotations of the Clementine Homilies and Justin with our pseudo-Clement are worthy of notice, but they seem to be accidental. The expression els την γέενναν τοῦ πυρος (in the quotation of the Homilies) might have come from Matt. xviii. 9 (interpolated in the parallel passage Mark ix. 47). For the amount of variation which may arise accidentally, see a parallel instance given by Westcott Canon p. 116; and it is instructive to observe the variations in two quotations of this very saying in Clem. Alex. Exc. Theod. p. 972 φοβήθητε γοῦν, λέγει, τὸν μετὰ θάνατον δυνάμενοκ

CLEM.

τας ήμας καὶ μηδέν ἡμιν δγναμένογς ποιείν, άλλὰ φοβείσθε τόν μετὰ τὸ ἀποθανείν ἡμας ἔχοντα ἔξογείαν ψγχής καὶ εώματος, τος βαλείν εἰς Γέενναν πγρός. Καὶ γινώσκετε, ἀδελφοί, ὅτι ἡ ἐπιδημία ἡ ἐν τῷ κόσμῳ τούτῳ τῆς σαρκὸς ταύτης μικρά ἐστιν καὶ ὀλιγοχρόνιος ἡ δὲ 5 ἐπαγγελία τοῦ Χριστοῦ μεγάλη καὶ θαυμαστή ἐστιν, καὶ ἀνάπαυσις τῆς μελλούσης βασιλείας καὶ ζωῆς αἰωνίου. τί οὖν ἐστὶν ποιήσαντας ἐπιτυχεῖν αὐτῶν, εἰ μὴ τὸ ὁσίως καὶ δικαίως ἀναστρέφεσθαι, καὶ τὰ κοσμικὰ ταῦτα ὡς ἀλλότρια ἡγεῖσθαι καὶ μὴ ἐπιθυμεῖν το αὐτῶν; ἐν γὰρ τῷ ἐπιθυμεῖν ἡμᾶς κτήσασθαι ταῦτα ἀποπίπτομεν τῆς ὁδοῦ τῆς δικαίας.

VI. Λέγει δὲ ὁ Κύριος· Ογδείς οἰκέτης δήναται δυςὶ

Ι φοβείσθε] φοβεισθαι Α.

6 ἐπαγγελία] επαγγελεια Α.

καὶ ψυχὴν καὶ σῶμα εἰς γέενναν βαλεῖν, and p. 981 ὁ σωτὴρ λέγει φοβεῖσθαι δεῖν τὸν δυνάμενον ταύτην τὴν ψυχὴν καὶ τοῦτο τὸ σῶμα τὸ ψυχικὸν ἐν γεέννη ἀπολέσαι: comp. also Iren. iii. 18. 5 'Nolite timere eos qui occidunt corpus, animam autem non possunt occidere; timete autem magis eum qui habet potestatem et corpus et animam mittere in gehennam.'

αποκτέρνοντας] The passages quoted in the last note show that the substitution of ἀποκτείνοντας is quite unnecessary. For the form ἀποκτέννειν see Winer § xv. p. 95 (note), A. Buttmann p. 54.

4. ή ἐπιδημία] 'sojourn': comp. παρεπίδημοι Heb. xi. 13, I Pet. i. I, ii. II. See the note on παροικίαν above, which contains the same idea.

καὶ ἀνάπαυσις] 'namely, rest'.
 For this use of καὶ see the notes on Galatians vi. 16.

8. τί οδν κ.τ.λ.] 'What then is it possible for us to do that we may obtain them, but to walk holily and

righteously'. Thus τῷ, which some would substitute for τό, interferes with the construction. For ὁσίως καὶ δικαίως, implying duties to God and to man respectively, see the note on ὅσια § 1: comp. § 6 ἔχοντες ὅσια καὶ δίκαια.

VI. 'Our Lord has told us that no man can serve two masters. There is a direct antagonism between the world present and the world to come. We cannot keep the friendship of both. Let us then, if we would deliver ourselves from eternal misery, obey the command of Christ and follow after the heavenly life. Even Noah, Job, and Daniel, it is written, could not by their righteous deeds rescue their own children. How then shall we enter the kingdom of God, if we keep not our baptismal vows?

13. οὐδεὶς κ.τ.λ.] Luke xvi. 13 οὐδεὶς οἰκέτης δύναται δυσὶ κυρίοις δουλεύειν...οὐ δύνασθε Θεῷ δουλεύειν καὶ μαμωνῷ. The words are the same in Matt. vi. 24, excepting the omission of οἰκέτης.

κγρίοις δογλεγε[ιη]. ἐἀν ἡμεῖς θέλωμεν καὶ Θεῷ δ[ουλ]15 εὐειν καὶ μαμωνᾶ, ἀσύμφο[ρ]ον ἡμῖν ἐστίν. τὶ τὰρ τὸ όφελος, ἐάν τις τὸν κός κοιν ὅλον κερλής τη τὴν δὲ ψγχὴν
Ζημιωθή; ἔστιν δὲ οὖτος ὁ αἰων καὶ ὁ μέλλων δύο ἐχθροί· οὖτος λέγει μοιχείαν καὶ φθορὰν καὶ φιλαργυρίαν καὶ ἀπάτην, ἐκεῖνος δὲ τούτοις ἀποτάσσεται.
20 οὐ δυνάμεθα οὖν τῶν δύο φίλοι εἶναι· δεῖ δὲ ἡμᾶς τούτῷ ἀποταξαμένους ἐκείνῷ χρᾶσθαι. οἰώμεθα ὅτι βέλτιόν ἐστιν τὰ ἐνθάδε μισῆσαι, ὅτι μικρὰ καὶ ὀλιγοχρόνια καὶ φθαρτά· ἐκεῖνα δὲ ἀγαπῆσαι, τὰ ἀγαθὰ καὶ ἄφθαρτα.
ποιοῦντες γὰρ τὸ θέλημα τοῦ Χριστοῦ εὐρήσομεν ἀνά25 παυσιν· εἰ δὲ μήγε, οὐδὲν ἡμᾶς ρύσεται ἐκ τῆς αἰωνίου κολάσεως, ἐὰν παρακούσωμεν τῶν ἐντολῶν αὐτοῦ.
λέγει δὲ καὶ ἡ γραφὴ ἐν τῷ Ἰεζεκιήλ, ὅτι Ἐλν ἀναςτή

ΙΙ ἐπιθυμεῖν] επιθυμει Α.

21 οιώμεθα] οιομεθα Α.

15. τί γὰρ τὸ ὅφελος κ.τ.λ.] See Matt. xvi. 26, Mark viii. 36, Luke ix. 25. The quotation here may have been derived from either S. Matthew or S. Mark, though it differs slightly from both. The divergence from S. Luke is greater. The saying is quoted also by Justin Apol. i. 15; but Justin's quotation, while combining different features of the three canonical Gospels, does not reproduce the special peculiarity (τί τὸ ὅφελος;) of our pseudo-Clement.

17. ἔστιν δὲ οὖτος ὁ alὼν κ.τ.λ.] See the notes on Galatians i. 4. Compare also Clem. Hom. viii. 21, xx. 2.

18. φθοράν] Either (1) corruptness, profligacy generally, as in 2 Pet.
i. 4, ii. 12, 19; or (2) in a more special
sense, as Plut. Crass. I τὴν αἰτίαν τῆς
φθορᾶς ἀπολυσάμενος, Mor. p. 89 Β
κριθῆναι φθορᾶς. The connexion with
μοιχεία here points to this latter sense;
comp. Barnab. 10 οὐ μὴ γένη μοῖχος
οὐδὲ φθορεύς, Philo de Spec. Leg. 11

(II. p. 310 M) ἀδελφὸν μὲν καὶ συγγενὲς ἀδίκημα μοιχείας φθορά, Epictet. Diss. ii. 22. 28 ἀκρατεῖς καὶ μοιχούς καὶ φθορεῖς, Iren. Hær. i. 28. 1, Clem. Hom. iv. 16, 24.

21. ἀποταξαμένους τούτφ] 'bidding farewell to this'. Act. Paul. et Thecl. 5 οἱ ἀποταξάμενοι τῷ κόσμφ τούτφ, Ign. Philad. 11 ἀποταξάμενος τῷ βίφ. The word is fairly common in the New Testament; see Lobeck Phryn. p. 23.

χρᾶσθαι] 'consort with as a friend', according to a common sense of the word. The editors have substituted χρῆσθαι for the MS reading; but there is sufficient authority for χρᾶσθαι in later writers: see Lobeck Phryn. p.61, Buttmann Ausf. Sprachl. § 105 (I. p. 487), Veitch Irregular Verbs s. v. χράομαι.

25. alωνίου κολάσεως] The expression occurs Matt. xxv. 46.

27. ἐν τῷ Ἰεζεκιήλ] Abridged from Ezek. xiv. 14—20, being taken especially from ver. 14 ἐὰν ὧσιν οἱ τρεῖs

Νῶε καὶ Ἰωβ καὶ Δανιήλ, οἱ ρίτονται τὰ τέκνα αἰτῶν ἐν τῆ αἰχμαλωσία. εἰ δὲ καὶ οἱ τοιοῦτοι δίκαιοι οὐ δύνανται ταῖς αὐτῶν δικαιοσύναις ρύσασθαι τὰ τέκνα αὐτῶν ἡμεῖς, ἐὰν μὴ τηρήσωμεν τὸ βάπτισμα ἀγνὸν καὶ ἀμίαντον, ποία πεποιθήσει εἰσελευσόμεθα εἰς τὸ 5 βασίλειον τοῦ Θεοῦ; ἢ τίς ἡμῶν παράκλητος ἔσται,

2 αίχμαλωσία] αιχμαλωσιά Α.

ανδρες ούτοι έν μέσω αύτης Νώε καί Δανιήλ καὶ Ἰώβ, and ver. 18 οὐ μὴ ρύσονται υίους και θυγατέρας. The words έν τῆ αἰχμαλωσία are the writer's own addition and should not be treated as part of the quotation. It is worth noticing also that the order of the three names, which has given rise to so much speculation among modern critics, is changed by the pseudo-Clement, and a chronological sequence is produced. Chrysostom makes the same change in two passages quoted by Cotelier, Hom. xliii in Gen. (IV. p. 436) and Exp. in Ps. xlviii (V. p. 210).

3. δικαιοσύναις] The plural, as in Deut. ix. 4 (v.l.), 6, 1 Sam. xxvi. 23, Ezek. iii. 20, xxxiii. 13, Ecclus. xliv. 10.

5. τὸ βασίλειον] 'the kingdom,' as in Test. xii Patr. Jud. 17, 22, 23, Orac. Sib. iii. 159, Caius (Hippolytus?) in Euseb. H. E. iii. 28, Hippol. Fragm. 59, 103, 105 (pp. 162, 181, 182, Lagarde), Euseb. H. E. viii. 17, Epiphan. Hær. li. 9 (p. 432). Thus there is ample authority for this sense of βασίλειον. Galland, desirous of retaining the more usual meaning 'a palace,' supposes the writer to refer to the parable of the marriage feast given by the king, Matt. xxii. 11, 12. If so, we might suppose that he explained the wedding garment of baptism, which is mentioned just before. But the reference seems improbable.

6. παράκλητος] 'advocate,' as it should always be translated in the New Testament. This is one coincidence of language in our pseudo-Clement with S. John: see esp. I Joh. ii. I παράκλητον ἔχομεν πρὸς τὸν πατέρα. So above § 3 τὸν πατέρα τῆς ἀληθείας, and see on this subject Westcott Canon p. 157 sq.

7. őora kai dikara] See the notes on §§ 1, 5.

VII. 'Therefore let us prepare for the struggle. In the Isthmian games many enter the lists, but not many are crowned. In this our immortal race we should all strive to win. In the earthly contests he who breaks the rules is scourged. What then shall befall those who in their heavenly course swerve from the right path? Their worm, it is written, dieth not, and their fire is not quenched.'

9. ἐν χερσὶν ὁ ἀγών] 'The contest is at hand,' as Xen. Cyr. ii. 3. 2 "Αν-δρες φίλοι, ὁ μὲν ἀγὼν ἐγγὺς ἡμῖν: comp. Clem. Rom. 7 ὁ αὐτὸς ἡμῖν ἀγὼν ἐπίκειται. The emendation of αΓων for αΙων is doubtless correct, and this is not the only instance of the confusion of the two words: see Hase and Dindorf Steph. Thes. p. 593 s.v. ἀγών, and to the references there given add Æsch. Agam. 495. For ἐν χερσίν, 'at hand,' see Plut. Vit. Cleom. 22 οὐκ ἐλάττονα τῆς ἐν χερσὶ δυστυχίαν, Vit. Brut. 36 ἐν χερσὶν

ἐὰν μὴ εὐρεθῶμεν ἔργα ἔχοντες ὅσια καὶ δίκαια;
 VII. "Ϣστε οὖν, ἀδελφοί μου, ἀγωνισώμεθα, εἰδότες ὅτι ἐν χερσὶν ὁ ἀγών, καὶ ὅτι εἰς τοὺς φθαρ-τοὺς ἀγῶνας καταπλέουσιν πολλοί, ἀλλ' οὐ πάντες στεφανοῦνται, εἰ μὴ οἱ πολλὰ κοπιάσαντες καὶ καλῶς ἀγωνισάμενοι. ἡμεῖς οὖν ἀγωνισώμεθα, ἵνα πάντες στεφανωθῶμεν. ὥστε θέωμεν τὴν ὁδὸν τὴν εὐθεῖαν,

9 dyúr Cotelier. $\alpha_i \omega_r A$. II $\epsilon l = 0$ A. I3 $\theta \epsilon \omega_r \epsilon_r \theta \omega_r A$.

ἔχων τὰς ὑπὲρ τῶν ὅλων πράξεις, etc.: compare ὑπὸ χεῖρα, Hermas Vis. iii. 10 (with the note).

ότι είς τούς φθαρτούς κ.τ.λ.] Απ echo of 1 Cor. ix. 24, 25 πάντες μὲν τρέχουσιν, είς δε λαμβάνει το βραβείον and έκεινοι μέν ουν ίνα φθαρτόν στέφανον λάβωσιν, ήμεις δε άφθαρτον. Comp. Lucian Anachars, 13 είπέ μοι, πάντες αὐτὰ λαμβάνουσιν οἱ ἀγωνισταί; Σ. οὐδαμῶς ἀλλὰ εἶς έξ ἀπάντων ὁ κρατήσας αὐτῶν (a passage of which the context presents several coincidences with S. Paul; see Clark's Peloponnesus p. 50), Seneca Ep. lxxviii. § 16 'Athletæ quantum plagarum ore, quantum toto corpore excipiunt? ferunt tamen omne tormentum gloriæ cupiditate; nec tantum, quia pugnant, ista patiuntur, sed ut pugnent... nos quoque evincamus omnia, quorum præmium non corona nec palma est etc.'

10. καταπλέουσιν] 'resort'; comp. Plut. Mor. p. 81 Ε καταπλείν γὰρ ἔφη τοὺς πολλοὺς ἐπὶ σχολὴν 'Αθήναζε. Compounds of πλείν are sometimes used metaphorically, as ἐκπλείν (Herod. iii. 155 ἐξέπλωσας τῶν φρενῶν), ἀποπλείν (Aristoph. Fr. II. p. 907 Meineke ἀποπλευστέ' οὖν ἐπὶ τὸν νυμφίον), διαπλείν (Plato Phæd. 85 D διαπλείν σαι τὸν βίον). But καταπλείν can hardly be so explained here; and we must therefore suppose that the allu-

sion is to the άλιερκης Ἰσθμοῦ δειράς (Pind. Isthm. i. 10), which would naturally be approached by sea. Livy (xxxiii. 32) describes the Isthmian games as 'propter opportunitatem loci, per duo diversa maria omnium rerum usus ministrantis, humano generi concilium.' In these later days of Greece they seem to have surpassed even the Olympian in importance, or at least in popularity: comp. Aristid. Isthm. p. 45 ἐν τῆ καλλίστη τῶν πανηγύρεων τῆδε καὶ ὀνομαστοτάτη κ.τ.λ. (see Krause Hellen, II. 2. p. 205 sq.). If this epistle or homily (whichever it be) of the so-called Clement were really addressed to the Corinthians (see above p. 178), there would be singular propriety in this image, as in S. Paul's contrast of the perishable and imperishable crown likewise addressed to them, or again in the lessons which Diogenes the Cynic is reported to have taught in this city during the Isthmian games, maintaining the superiority of a moral over an athletic victory (Dion Chrysost. Orat. viii, ix).

11. κοπιάσαντες] A word used especially of training for the contest: see the notes on Ign. Polyc. 6 and Philippians ii. 16. For the connexion here comp. I Tim. iv. 10 καὶ κοπιῶμεν καὶ ἀγωνιζόμεθα (the correct reading).

13. θέωμεν] For the accusative

ἀγῶνα τὸν ἄφθαρτον, καὶ πολλοὶ εἰς αὐτὸν καταπλεύσωμεν καὶ ἀγωνισώμεθα, ἵνα καὶ στεφανωθῶμεν· καὶ εἰ μὴ δυνάμεθα πάντες στεφα[ν]ωθῆναι, κᾶν ἐγγὺς τοῦ στεφάνου γενώμεθα. εἰδέναι ἡμᾶς δεῖ, ὅτι ὁ τὸν φθαρτὸν ἀγῶνα ἀγωνιζόμενος, ἐὰν εὐρεθῆ φθείρων, 5 μαστιγωθεὶς αἴρεται καὶ ἔξω βάλλεται τοῦ σταδίου. τί δοκεῖτε; ὁ τὸν τῆς ἀφθαρσίας ἀγῶνα φθείρας, τί παθεῖται; τῶν γὰρ μὴ τηρησάντων, φησίν, τὴν σφρα-

7 δοκείτε] δοκειται Α.

after this verb see Lobeck Paral. p. 511: comp. also Cic. Off. iii. 10 'stadium currit' (from Chrysippus). The reading of the MS, $\theta \hat{\omega} \mu e \nu$, can hardly stand. It is explained as referring to the $\partial \gamma \omega \nu o \theta \epsilon \sigma i a$; but in this case the $\partial \gamma \omega \nu o \theta \epsilon \tau i a$; but in this case the $\partial \gamma \omega \nu o \theta \epsilon \tau i a$ should be God Himself (see Tertull. ad Mart. 3); and moreover $\theta \hat{\omega} \mu e \nu \tau i \nu o \delta \delta \nu$ is in itself an awkward expression.

2. καὶ εἰ μὴ δυνάμεθα κ.τ.λ.] This seems to point to some public recognition of those who came next after the victor. In the Olympian chariot races there were second, third, and fourth prizes; but in the foot races the notices of any inferior prize or honourable mention are vague and uncertain: see Krause Hellen. II. I. p. 170 sq. This passage is quoted loosely by Dorotheus Doctr. xxiii ὡς λέγει καὶ ὁ ἄγιος Κλήμης, Κἆν μὴ στεφανῶταί τις, ἀλλὰ σπονδάσει μὴ μακρὰν εὐρεθῆναι τῶν στεφανουμένων.

5. φθείρων] 'vitiating'. The word is used of violating the conditions of the contest, e.g. by making a false start or cutting off a corner or tripping up an adversary or taking any underhand advantage: comp. Epiphan. Hæres. lxi. 7 παραφθείρας ἀγῶνα ὁ ἀθλητης μαστιχθείς ἐκβάλλεται τοῦ ἀγῶνος (quoted by Cotelier). The word is specially chosen here for the

sake of the neighbouring φθαρτόν, αφθαρσίας. See Chrysippus in Cic. Off. iii. 10 'Qui stadium currit, eniti et contendere debet, quam maxime possit, ut vincat; supplantare eum quicum certet aut manu depellere nullo modo debet: sic in vita etc.', Lucian Cal. non tem. cred. 12 6 pèr άγαθὸς δρομεὺς...τῷ πλησίον οὐδὲν κακουργεί... δ δε κακός εκείνος καὶ ἄναθλος ἀνταγωνιστής...ἐπὶ τὴν κακοτεχνίαν ἐτράπετο κ.τ.λ. The turn given to the image in φθείρων was perhaps suggested by 2 Tim. ii. 5 οδ στεφανοῦται έὰν μὴ νομίμως ἀθλήση (comp. Epictet. Diss. iii. 10. 8 δός μοι ἀπόδειξιν εί νομίμως ήθλησας).

6. μαστιγωθείς] i.e. by the ραβδοῦτοι or, as they are sometimes called (e. g. Lucian Hermot. 40), μαστιγοφόροι. Pollux (iii. 153) furnishes also a third name, μαστιγονόμοι. Compare Herod. viii. 59 ἐν τοῖς ἀγῶσι οἱ προεξανιστάμενοι ραπίζονται, Thucyd. v. 50 ἐν τῷ ἀγῶνι ὑπὸ τῶν ραβδούχων πληγὰς ελαβεν, Lucian adv. Indoct. 9, Piscat. 33. On these police see Krause Hellen. II. I. pp. 112 sq., 139, 142, 144, II. 2. p. 46 sq.

αίρεται] 'is removed.'

8. την σφραγίδα] By a comparison with § 6 ἐὰν μὴ τηρήσωμεν τὸ βάπτωμα, it appears that baptism is here meant by the seal. So again § 8 τη-

γίδα ὁ CKÚAHΣ ΔΥΤΏΝ ΟΥ ΤΕΛΕΥΤΉCEI ΚΑὶ ΤΟ ΠΎΡ ΔΥΤΏΝ 10 ΟΥ CBECOHCETAI, ΚΑὶ ΕCONTAI ΕἰΟ ΘΡΑCIN ΠΑCH CAPKÍ.

VIII. 'ως οὖν ἐσμὲν ἐπὶ γῆς, μετανοήσωμεν πηλὸς γάρ ἐσμεν εἰς τὴν χεῖρα τοῦ τεχνίτου. ὁν τρόπον γὰρ ὁ κεραμεύς, ἐὰν ποιῆ σκεῦος καὶ ἐν ταῖς χερσὶν αὐτοῦ διαστραφῆ ἢ συντριβῆ, πάλιν αὐτὸ 15 ἀναπλάσσει· ἐὰν δὲ προφθάση εἰς τὴν κάμινον τοῦ πυρὸς αὐτὸ βαλεῖν, οὐκέτι βοηθήσει αὐτῷ· οὕτως καὶ

ρήσατε την σφραγίδα ἄσπιλον. Comp. Hermas Sim. viii. 6 είληφότες την σφραγίδα και τεθλακότες αὐτὴν και μή τηρήσαντες ύγιη κ.τ.λ., Sim. ix. 16 orαν δε λάβη την σφραγίδα...ή σφραγίς οὖν τὸ ΰδωρ ἐστίν κ.τ.λ., also Sim. viii. 2, ix. 17, 31, Clem. Hom. xvi. 19 τὸ σῶμα σφραγίδι μεγίστη διατετυπωμένον (with the context), Act. Paul. et Thecl. 25 μόνον δός μοι την έν Χριστώ σφραγίδα, Hippol. Antichr. 42 (p. 119, Lagarde), Cureton's Ancient Syriac Documents p. 44. Suicer s. v. quotes Clem. Alex. Ouis div. salv. 39 (p. 957), Strom. ii. 3 (p. 434), and other later writers. In like manner Barnabas § 9 speaks of circumcision as a σφραγίε after S. Paul, Rom. iv. 11. But it may be questioned whether S. Paul (σφραγισάμενος 2 Cor. i. 22, comp. Ephes. iv. 30) or S. John (Rev. ix. 4 την σφραγίδα τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐπὶ τῶν μετώπων) used the image with any direct reference to baptism.

9. ὁ σκώληξ κ.τ.λ.] An accurate quotation from the LXX of the last verse of Isaiah (lxvi. 24) ὁ γὰρ σκώληξ αὐτῶν κ.τ.λ. The denunciation is uttered against τῶν ἀνθρώπων τῶν παραβεβηκότων, and the context does not contain any reference to the broken seal.

VIII. 'We are as clay in the hands of the potter. At present, if we are crushed or broken, He can mould us again; but when we have been once thrown into the furnace, nothing will avail us. Therefore let us repent in time. After death repentance is too late. Let us keep the flesh pure now, that we may inherit eternal life hereafter. This is our Lord's meaning, when He says, If ye kept not that which is small, who shall give you that which is great?

ω΄s οὖν] 'While then.' For this sense of ω΄s see § 9 ω΄s ἔχομεν καιρόν, with the note.

12. πηλὸς γάρ ἐσμεν κ.τ.λ.] The image of Jeremiah xviii. 4—6, adopted by S. Paul Rom. ix. 21. The present passage is suggested rather by the prophet than by the Apostle. The image is drawn out in Test. xii Patr. Nepht. 2, and in Athenag. Suppl. 15.

14. συντριβή] Rev. ii. 27 ώς τὰ σκεύη τὰ κεραμικὰ συντρίβεται.

πάλιν αὖτὸ ἀναπλάσσει] Hilgenfeld refers to Theoph. ad Autol. ii. 26 καθάπερ σκεῦός τι, ἐπὰν πλασθὲν αΙτίαν τινα σχῆ, ἀναχωνεύεται ἡ ἀναπλάσσεται εἰς τὸ γενέσθαι καινὸν καὶ δλόκληρον; see the references there given by Otto.

15. ἐὰν δὲ προφθάση κ.τ.λ.] 'When He has once cast it into the fiery furnace, He will no more come to its rescue.' προφθάνειν occurs Matt. xvii. 25 and several times in the LXX.

ήμεις, έως έσμεν έν τούτω τῶ κόσμω, ἐν τῆ σαρκὶ ὰ ἐπράξαμεν πονηρὰ μετανοήσωμεν ἐξ ὅλης τῆς καρ-δίας, ἵνα σωθώμεν ὑπὸ τοῦ Κυρίου, έως ἔχομεν και-ρὸν μετανοίας μετὰ γὰρ τὸ ἐξελθεῖν ἡμᾶς ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου, οὐκέτι δυνάμεθα ἐκεῖ ἐξομολογήσασθαι ἢ με-5 τανοεῖν ἔτι. ώστε, ἀδελφοί, ποιήσαντες τὸ θέλημα τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ τὴν σάρκα ἀγνὴν τηρήσαντες καὶ τὰς ἐντολὰς τοῦ Κυρίου φυλάξαντες ληψόμεθα ζωὴν αἰώ-

7 σάρκα] σαρκαν Α.

τὴν σάρκα άγνὴν κ.τ.λ.] Act.
Paul. et Thecl. 5 μακάριοι οἱ άγνὴν τὴν
σάρκα τηρήσαντες, 12 τὴν σάρκα μὴ
μολύνητε ἀλλὰ τηρήσητε άγνήν.

9. εί τὸ μικρὸν κ.τ.λ.] Probably a quotation fused from Luke xvi. 10 ο πιστος έν έλαχίστω καὶ έν πολλώ πιστός έστιν, καὶ ὁ έν έλαχίστω ἄδικος καὶ έν πολλφ ἄδικός έστιν εἰ οὖν έν τφ άδικω μαμωνά πιστοί ούκ εγένεσθε, τὸ άληθινον τίς ύμιν πιστεύσει; and Matt. ΧΧΥ. 21, 23, ἐπὶ ὀλίγα ἢς πιστός, ἐπὶ πολλών σε καταστήσω. Irenæus (ii. 34. 3) cites it somewhat similarly, 'Si in modico fideles non fuistis, quod magnum est quis dabit vobis?' The quotation of our Clementine writer may perhaps be taken from an apocryphal gospel (see the notes on §§ 4, 5, 12); but the passage of Irenæus, who can hardly have borrowed from an apocryphal source, shows how great divergences are possible in quotations from memory, and lessens the probability of this solution. Hilgenfeld's inference (p. xxxix), 'Irenæus hac epistula quamvis nondum Clementi Romano adscripta usus esse videtur', seems to me quite unwarranted by the coincidence. We have in fact a similar coincidence in Hippol. Har. x. 33 (p. 336) ΐνα ἐπὶ τῷ μικρῷ πιστὸς εύρεθείς και το μέγα πιστευθήναι δυνηθής.

12. ἄρα οὖν] A favourite colloca-

sche on Rom. v. 18. The accentuation ἀρα οὖν is erroneous.

τοῦτο λέγει] 'He means this': as

tion of particles in S. Paul: see Fritz-

τοῦτο λέγει] 'He means this': as in § 2 (twice), § 12. See the note on Galatians iii. 17. The words therefore which follow ought not to be treated as an apocryphal quotation, as they are by several editors and others.

13. ἄσπιλον] For τηρείν ἄσπιλον comp. 1 Tim. vi. 14, James i. 27.

14. ἀπολάβωμεν] 'secure.' The preposition implies that it is already potentially our own, so that we are only recovering a right: see Galatians iv. 5 with the note.

. IX. 'Do not deny the resurrection of the body. As we were called in the flesh, so also shall we be judged in the flesh. As Christ being spirit became flesh for us, so shall we in the flesh receive our recompense. Let us love one another; let us make a return to God for His goodness. What must this return be? Sincere repentance and unceasing praise—the praise not of our lips only, but of our hearts and of our actions.'

15. καὶ μὴ λεγέτω τις κ.τ.λ.] This passage, as far as ἀποληψόμεθα τὸν μισθόν, is quoted in several collections of Syriac fragments, immediately after the opening sentence of this epistle: see the note on the beginning of § 1,

νιον. λέγει γάρ ο Κύριος έν τῷ εὐαγγελίῳ. Εἰ τὸ το μικρόν οἰκ ἐτηρής ατε, τὸ μέτα τίς ἡμιν δώς ει; λέτω τὰρ ἡμιν ὅτι ὁ πις τὸς ἐν ἐλαχίς τῷ καὶ ἐν πολλῷ πις τός ἐςτιν. ἄρα οὖν τοῦτο λέγει. τηρήσατε τὴν σάρκα ἀγνὴν καὶ τὴν σφραγίδα ἄσπιλον, ἵνα τὴν αἰώνιον ζωὴν ἀπολάβωμεν.

15 ΙΧ. Καὶ μὴ λεγέτω τις ὑμῶν, ὅτι αὕτη ἡ σὰρξ οὐ κρίνεται οὐδὲ ἀνίσταται. γνῶτε ἐν τίνι ἐσώθητε,

where the Syriac quotation is given. The sentence εἶs Χριστὸς... ἡμᾶς ἐκάλεσεν is also quoted by Timotheus of Alexandria (preserved in Syriac, Cureton Corp. Ignat. p. 212, 244).

αὖτη ή σὰρξ κ.τ.λ.] Difficulties on this point were very early felt and met by S. Paul, I Cor. xv. 12 sq. A little later the precursors of Gnosticism boldly maintained that the only resurrection was a spiritual resurrection (2 Tim. ii. 18). It afterwards became a settled tenet of the Gnostic sects to deny the resurrection of the body: see Polyc. Phil. 7 os aν μεθοδεύη τὰ λόγια τοῦ Κυρίου πρὸς τὰς ἰδίας επιθυμίας και λέγη μήτε ανάστασιν μήτε κρίσιν είναι, Justin Dial. 80 (p. 306 D) εί γὰρ καὶ συνεβάλετε ύμεῖς τισὶ λεγομένοις Χριστιανοίς...οί και λέγουσι μή είναι νεκρών ανάστασιν αλλ' αμα τώ άποθνήσκειν τὰς ψυχὰς αὐτῶν ἀναλαμβάνεσθαι είς τὸν οὐρανόν, μὴ ὑπολάβητε αὐτοὺς Χριστιανούς κ.τ.λ., Iren. ii. 31. 2 τοσοῦτον δὲ ἀποδέουσι τὸν νεκρὸν evelpar...ut ne quidem credant hoc in totum posse fieri; esse autem resurrectionem a mortuis agnitionem ejus, quæ ab eis dicitur, veritatis' (comp. v. 31. 1, 2), Act. Paul. et Thecl. 14 ήμεις σε διδάξομεν, ην λέγει ούτος ανάστασιν γενέσθαι, ὅτι ήδη γέγονεν ἐφ' οἶς έχομεν τέκνοις, καὶ ἀνιστάμεθα Θεὸν ἐπεγνωκότες ἀληθη, Tertull. de Res. Carn. 19 'Nacti quidam sollemnissimam

eloquii prophetici formam, allegorici et figurati, non tamen semper, resurrectionem quoque mortuorum manifeste annuntiatam in imaginariam significationem distorquent etc.', with the following chapters.

From this doctrine the antinomian Gnostics deduced two consequences; (1) That the defilement of the flesh is a matter of indifference, provided that the spirit has grasped the truth. Against this error is directed the warning Hermas Sim. v. 7 την σάρκα σου ταύτην Φύλασσε καθαράν καὶ ἀμίαντον, ίνα τὸ πνεθμα τὸ κατενοικοθν ἐν αὐτῆ μαρτυρήση αὐτῆ καὶ δικαιωθῆ σου ή σάρξι βλέπε μήποτε αναβή έπλ την καρδίαν σου την σάρκα σου ταύτην φθαρτήν είναι καὶ παραχρήση αὐτῆ ἐν μιασμῷ τινί κ.τ.λ. This practical consequence our writer seems to have distinctly in view §§ 8, 9. (2) That it is legitimate to decline martyrdom and to avoid persecution by a denial of Christ with a mental reservation. Rightly or wrongly this charge is constantly brought against them by their antagonists. Thus Agrippa Castor, writing against Basilides (Euseb. H.E. iv. 7), represented him as teaching άδιαφορείν είδωλοθύτων ἀπογευομένους καὶ έξομνυμένους άπαραφυλάκτως την πίστιν κατά τούς τῶν διωγμῶν καιρούς: and Iren. Hær. iii. 18. 5 'Ad tantam temeritatem proἐν τίνι ἀνεβλέψατε, εἰ μὴ ἐν τῆ σαρκὶ ταύτη ὄντες; δεῖ οὖν ἡμᾶς ὡς ναὸν Θεοῦ Φυλάσσειν τὴν σάρκα ον τρόπον γὰρ ἐν τῆ σαρκὶ ἐκλήθητε, καὶ ἐν τῆ σαρκὶ ἐλεύσεσθε. εἰ Χριστὸς ὁ Κύριος, ὁ σώσας ἡμᾶς, ών μὲν τὸ πρώτον πνεῦμα, ἐγένετο σὰρξ καὶ 5 οὕτως ἡμᾶς ἐκάλεσεν, οὕτως καὶ ἡμεῖς ἐν ταύτη τῆ σαρκὶ ἀποληψόμεθα τὸν μισθόν. ἀγαπώμεν οὖν ἀλλήλους, ὅπως ἔλθωμεν πάντες εἰς τὴν βασιλείαν τοῦ Θεοῦ. ὡς ἔχομεν καιρὸν τοῦ ἰαθῆναι, ἐπιδώμεν ἑαυ-

4 έλεύσεσθε] ελευσεσθαι A. εl] Syr. Fragm. εισ A, Timoth. See below. 7 ἀποληψόμεθα] αποληψομαιθα A.

gressi sunt quidam ut etiam martyres spernant et vituperent eos qui propter Domini confessionem occiduntur etc.'; (comp. i. 24. 6). This is a constant charge in Tertullian. See on this subject Ritschl Altkath. Kirche p. 495 sq. This view again seems to be combated by our writer, §§ 4, 5, 7, 10.

Schwegler Nachap. Zeitalt. I. p. 453 sq. maintained that the expression in our text is directed against docetic Ebionism. He is well refuted by Hilgenfeld Apost. Vät. p. 115 sq.

I. $\epsilon \nu \tau i \nu i$ 'in what', not 'in whom', as the following $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\sigma a \rho \kappa i$ shows.

ἀνεβλέψατε] ' ye recovered your sight'; comp. § Ι τοιαύτης ἀχλύος γέμοντες ἐν τῆ ὁράσει ἀνεβλέψαμεν κ.τ.λ.

2. ως ναὸν Θεοῦ κ.τ.λ.] See Ign. Philad. 7 τὴν σάρκα ὑμῶν ως ναὸν Θεοῦ τηρεῖτε: comp. 1 Cor. iii. 16, 17, vi. 19, 2 Cor. vi. 16, and see Ign. Ephes. 9, 15 (with the notes).

4. εἰ Χριστὸς κ.τ.λ.] The reading of the Syriac fragments (εἰ for εἶς), which seems to have escaped Jacobson and Hilgenfeld, is evidently required by the context. Mill and

others would have read os, which gives the same sense. Editors quote as a parallel Ign. Magn. 7 els coriv' Injooûs Xpiotós, but els is quite out of place here, though appropriate there where the writer is dwelling on unity. It is possible that the reading of the MS EIC arose out of EIIC i.e. el 'Injooûs, or EIOIC i.e. el o 'Injooûs. The confusion would be easier, as the preceding word ends in E. Young read the MS OIC i.e. o 'Injooûs, but this is wrong.

5. ὧν μέν] as though the sentence were intended to be continued in a participial form γενόμενος δέ.

τὸ πρῶτον πνεῦμα] The doctrine of the pre-existence of the Son, as the Logos, is here presented in a somewhat unusual form; comp. however Hermas Sim. v. 6 τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ αγιον, τὸ προόν, τὸ κτίσαν πασαν τὴν κτίσιν, κατφκισεν ὁ Θεὸς είς σάρκα ην έβούλετο, ix. Ι έκείνο γάρ τὸ πνεῦμα ο υίδς τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐστίν, Theoph. ad Autol. ii. 10 ούτος οὖν ῶν πνεῦμα Θεοῦ καὶ άρχη και σοφία και δύναμις ύψίστου κατήρχετο είς τους προφήτας και δι' αὐτῶν ἐλάλει κ.τ.λ., Tertull. adv. Marc. iii. 16 'Spiritus Creatoris qui est Christus', Hippol. c. Noet. 4 (p. 47 Lagarde) λύγος σάρξ ην, πνεῦμα ην,

10 τους τῷ θεραπεύοντι Θεῷ, ἀντιμισθίαν αὐτῷ διδόντες ποίαν; τὸ μετανοῆσαι ἐξ εἰλικρινοῦς καρδίας προγνώστης γάρ ἐστιν τῶν πάντων καὶ εἰδῶς ἡμῶν τὰ ἐν καρδία. δῶμεν οὖν αὐτῷ αἶνον αἰώνιον, μὴ ἀπὸ στόματος μόνον ἀλλὰ καὶ ἀπὸ καρδίας, ἵνα ἡμᾶς 15 προσδέξηται ὡς υἰούς. καὶ γὰρ εἶπεν ὁ Κύριος ᾿Αδελφοί μος οξτοί εἰςιν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὸ θέλημα τοξ πατρός μος.

II είλικρινούς] ιλικρινούσ A. 13 αίνον] om. A. 16 ποιούντες] πουντέσ A.

δύναμις ήν κ.τ.λ. See especially Dorner Lehre von der Person Christi I. p. 205 sq.

9. ώς ἔχομεν καιρόν] 'while we have opportunity': comp. Gal. vi. 10 (with the note), Ign. Smyrn. 9 ώς ἔτι καιρὸν ἔχομεν. Another instance of ώς, 'while', occurs above, § 8.

11. προγνώστης] Justin Apol. i. 44 (p. 82 B), Tatian ad Grac. 19, Theoph. ad Autol. ii. 15.

12. τὰ ἐν καρδία] 2 Chron, xxxii. 31 είδέναι τὰ έν τῆ καρδία αὐτοῦ, Deut. viii. 2 διαγνωσθη τὰ ἐν τῆ καρδία σου, 1 Sam. ix. 19, etc. Hilgenfeld reads τὰ ἐνκάρδια, saying 'ἐνκάρδια (s. ἐγκάρδια) c. cod., Jun., ἐν καρδία ceteri edd.' But, inasmuch as an iota subscript or adscript never appears in MSS of this date, the transcriber could not have written ἐν καρδία otherwise than he has done. Moreover, since èv καρδία and ἐν τῆ καρδία occur numberless times in the LXX, whereas the adjective εγκάρδιος is not once found there, this reading seems to me improbable. In Clem. Al. Pad. i. 3 (p. 103) I should be disposed conversely to read διορών τὰ ἐν καρδία (for ἐγκάρδια) λόγος. The word εγκάρδιος however is legitimate in itself.

13. alvor alώνιον] This is doubtless the right emendation: see above

p. 25 and the note on εύρεῖν below § 9. 16. ἀδελφοί μου κ.τ.λ.] Matt. xii. 49 ίδου ή μήτηρ μου και οι άδελφοί μου δστις γάρ αν ποιήση το θέλημα τοῦ πατρός μου τοῦ ἐν οὐρανοῖς, αὐτός μου αδελφός και αδελφή και μήτηρ έστίν (comp. Mark iii. 35); Luke viii. 21 μήτηρ μου καὶ ἀδελφοί μου οὖτοί εἰσιν, οί τὸν λόγον τοῦ Θεοῦ ἀκούοντες καὶ ποιουντες. Epiphanius, Hær. xxx. 14 (p. 139), gives the saying Οὖτοί εἰσιν οί άδελφοί μου καὶ ή μήτηρ, οί ποιούντες τὰ θελήματα τοῦ πατρός μου, as it is assumed, from an Ebionite gospel (Westcott Canon p. 160, Hilgenfeld Apost. Vät. p. 122); but I do not think his language implies more than that the Ebionites allowed the saying to stand in their recension of the Gospel, and he may be quoting loosely from the canonical Evangelists. A still wider divergence from the canonical passages is in Clem. Alex. Ecl. Proph. 20 (p. 994) ayet our els έλευθερίαν την τοῦ πατρός συγκληρονόμους υίους και φίλους 'Αδελφοί μου γάρ, φησιν ὁ Κύριος, καὶ συγκληρονόμοι οί ποιοῦντες τὸ θέλημα τοῦ πατρός μου, where the context shows that συγκληρονόμοι is deliberately given as part of the quotation. Omitting kal συγκληρονόμοι, it will be seen that this form of the saying agrees exactly Χ. 'Όστε, άδελφοί μου, ποιήσωμεν τὸ θέλημα τοῦ πατρὸς τοῦ καλέσαντος ήμας, ίνα ζήσωμεν, καὶ διώξωμεν μαλλον τὴν ἀρετήν, τὴν δὲ κακίαν καταλείψωμεν ὡς προοδοίπορον τῶν ἀμαρτιῶν ἡμῶν, καὶ ψύγωμεν τὴν ἀσέβειαν, μὴ ἡμᾶς καταλάβη κακά. ἐὰν 5 γὰρ σπουδάσωμεν ἀγαθοποιεῖν, διώξεται ἡμᾶς εἰρήνη. Διὰ ταύτην γὰρ τὴν αἰτίαν οὐκ ἔστιν †εύρεῖν† ἄνθρωπον, οἴτινες παράγουσι φόβους ἄνθρωπίνους, προηρημένοι μᾶλλον τὴν ἐνθάδε ἀπόλαυσιν ἢ τὴν μέλ-

with our pseudo-Clement's quotation.

X. 'Let us therefore fulfil the will of our Father. Let us flee from vice, lest evil overtake us. Let us do good, that peace may pursue us. They who teach the fear of men rather than the fear of God, are duly punished. And, if they themselves alone suffered, it were tolerable. But now they shall have a double condemnation, for they lead others besides themselves into ruin.'

- 2. Γνα ζήσωμεν] to be connected not with τοῦ καλέσαντος ήμας, but with ποιήσωμεν.
- 4. προοδοίπορον] 'a forerunner'; for κακία is the evil disposition, while άμαρτία is the actual sin. On κακία see Trench N. T. Syn. 1st ser. § xi, where he quotes the definition of Calvin (on Ephes. iv. 32) 'Animi pravitas quæ humanitati et æquitati est opposita et malignitas vulgo nuncupata'. The substantive προοδοίπορος seems to be very rare, though the verb προοδοίπορεῖν occurs occasionally.
- 6. ἀγαθοποιεῖν] See the note on the First Epistle § 2 ἀγαθοποιῖαν.
- †εὐρεῖν†] sc. εἰρήνην; 'For this reason a man cannot find peace'. If we take the reading of the MS, no other meaning seems possible; but it

can hardly be correct. Previous editors have supposed the error to lie in ανθρώπον, written ANON in the MS. Accordingly ANΘN (i. e. αν Θεόν) has been suggested by Wotton; OYNON (i. e. οὐρανόν) by Davies; and AINON (alvov) by Hilgenfeld. But in the first correction the av is grammatically inexplicable; and the second and third give unnatural expressions. I believe the mistake is in EYPEIN, and should suggest €IPHNHN€YP€IN or €IPHN€Y€IN, or still better €YHM€-PEIN. If εὐημερεῖν 'to prosper' be adopted, the writer seems to have in mind Ps. xxxiv. 9 sq. φοβήθητε τὸν Κύριον πάντες...ουκ έστιν ύστέρημα τοίς φοβουμένοις αὐτόν...φόβον Κυρίου διδάξω ύμας. τίς έστιν ανθρωπος ό θέλων ζωήν, άγαπῶν ήμέρας ίδειν άγαθάς;...ἔκκλινον ἀπὸ κακοῦ καὶ ποίησον άγαθόν, ζήτησον είρήνην καὶ δίωξον αὐτήν, where the coincidences are striking. The contrast between the fear of men and the fear of God, which underlies this passage, would naturally suggest to our author the words in which the Psalmist emphatically preaches the fear of the Lord. For εὐημερείν, εὐημερία, comp. 2 Macc. v. 6, viii. 8, x. 28, xii. 11, xiii. 16, xiv. 14. For the manner in which our transcriber drops letters (more

10 λουσαν ἐπαγγελίαν. ἀγνοοῦσιν γὰρ ἡλίκην ἔχει βάσανον ἡ ἐνθάδε ἀπόλαυσις, καὶ οἰαν τρυφὴν ἔχει ἡ μέλλουσα ἐπαγγελία. καὶ εἰ μὲν αὐτοὶ μόνοι ταῦτα ἔπρασσον, ἀνεκτὸν ἦν νῦν δὲ ἐπιμένουσιν κακοδιδασκαλοῦντες τὰς ἀναιτίους ψυχάς, οὐκ εἰδότες ὅτι δισσὴν ἕξουσιν 15 τὴν κρίσιν, αὐτοί τε καὶ οἱ ἀκούοντες αὐτῶν.

ΧΙ. Ἡμεῖς οὖν ἐν καθαρᾶ καρδία δουλεύσωμεν τῷ Θεῷ, καὶ ἐσόμεθα δίκαιοι ἐὰν δὲ μὴ δουλεύσωμεν διὰ τοῦ μὴ πιστεύειν ἡμᾶς [τῆ] ἐπαγγελία τοῦ Θεοῦ, ταλαίπωρ[οι] ἐσόμεθα. λέγει γὰρ καὶ ὁ προ-

10 έπαγγελίαν] επαγγελείαν Α. 12 έπαγγελία] επαγγελεία Α. ήλίκην] ηληκην Α.
14 αναιτίους] ανετιουσ Α.

especially where there is a proximity of similar forms) comp. § 9 αιωνιον for αἶνον αἶωνιον, πουντεσ for ποιοῦντες, § 11 ασουκ for α΄ς οὖς οὖκ. See also in the First Epistle § 11 ετερογνωμοσ, § 25 τελευτηκοτοσ, § 32 ημερασ (for ήμετέρας), etc., and (if my conjecture be correct) § 40 the omission of ἐπιμελῶς before ἐπιτελεῖσθαι.

8. οἴτινες] 'men who,' the antecedent being the singular ἄνθρωπον. This grammatical irregularity is not uncommon: see Jelf's Gramm. § 819.

παράγουσι κ.τ.λ.] 'introduce (instil) fears of men': comp. § 4 οὐ δεῖ ήμᾶς φοβεῖσθαι τοὺς ἀνθρώπους μᾶλλον ἀλλὰ τὸν Θεόν. The passages in the lexicons will show that Hilgenfeld's correction παρεισάγουσι for παράγουσι is unnecessary. He rightly explains the words (Apost. Vät. p. 118) to refer to those Gnostics who taught that outward conformity to heathen rites was indifferent and that persecution might thus be rightly escaped: comp. κακοδιδασκαλοῦντες below, and see the note above on § 9 αὖτη ἡ σὰρξ κ.τ.λ.

10. $\epsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda (\alpha \nu)$ i.e. the subject,

the fulfilment, of the promise, as e.g. Acts i. 4, Gal. iii. 14, Heb. vi. 15.

13. ἀνεκτὸν ἢν] For the imperfect see Winer § xlii. p. 321.

κακοδιδασκαλοῦντες] Ign. Philad. 2 κακοδιδασκαλίας. So καλοδιδασκάλους, Tit. ii. 3,

14. δισσήν κ.τ.λ.] For the form of the sentence comp. Gen. xliii. 11 καὶ τὸ ἀργύριον δισσὸν λάβετε.

XI. 'Let us therefore serve God and believe His promise. If we waver, we are lost. Remember how the word of prophecy denounces the distrustful, how it compares the fulfilment of God's purpose to the gradual ripening of the fruit on the vine, how it promises blessings at the last to His people. God is faithful and He will perform. Let us therefore work patiently, and we shall inherit such good things as pass man's understanding.'

16. καθαρᾶ καρδία] I Tim. i. 5, 2 Tim. ii. 22 (comp. Matt. v. 8), Hermas Vis. iii. 9.

 19. ὁ προφητικὸς λόγος] From some apocryphal source, perhaps Eldad and Modad: see the notes on [φη]τικός λόγος Ταλαίπωροί εἰς[ιν] οἱ Δίψιχοι, οἱ Δι
ατάζοντες τ[μ] καρδία, οἱ λέγοντες Ταῆτα πά[ντα] μ
κούςαμεν καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν πατ[έ]ρων μμών, μμεῖς δὲ μμέ
ραν ἐξ μμέρας προςδεχόμενοι οὐδὲν τούτων ἑωρά
καμεν. ᾿Ανόμτοι, ςυμβάλετε ἑαγτούς ξύλω, λάβετε 5

ἄμπελον πρῶτον μέν φυλλοροεῖ, εἶτα βλαςτός γίνεται,

μετὰ ταῆτα ὅμφαξ, εἶτα ςταφυλή παρεςτηκεῖα οἤτως καὶ

δ λαός μου ἀκαταςταςίας καὶ θλίψεις ἔςχεν ἔπειτα ἀπολή
ψεται τὰ ἀγαθά. ৺ωστε, ἀδελφοί μου, μὴ διψυχωμεν,

ἀλλὰ ἐλπίσαντες ὑπομείνωμεν, ἵνα καὶ τὸν μισθὸν 10

8 έπειτα] επιτα Α.

the First Epistle § 23, where also the passage is quoted. The variations from the quotation in the First Epistle are these : (I) τη καρδία] την ψυχὴν (2) πάντα] om. (3) ἡμεῖς δὲ... έωράκαμεν καὶ ίδοθ γεγηράκαμεν καὶ οὐδεν ήμιν τούτων συνβέβηκεν (4) ανό-(5) γίνεται] add. ητοι] ω ἀνόητοι. είτα φύλλον, είτα ἄνθος καί (6) ovτως καὶ κ.τ.λ.] this close of the quotation not given. These variations are sufficient to show that the writer of the Second Epistle cannot have derived the passage solely from the First. At the same time the coincidence of two remarkable quotations in this very chapter (see below on ovs οὖκ ἦκουσεν κ.τ.λ.), which occur also in the First Epistle, besides other resemblances (e.g. § 3), seems to prove that our writer was acquainted with and borrowed from the genuine Clement.

The additions which some editors introduce into the text here (νίοι after ἡμεῖς δέ, and ἔτι after ἐωράκαμεν) are due to a mistake. The traces, which they have wrongly so read, are the reversed impressions of letters on the opposite leaf (now lost).

The photograph shows this clearly.

- 3. ἡμέραν ἐξ ἡμέρας] 'day after day': Num. xxx. 15, 2 Pet. ii. 8. This additional coincidence of the passage quoted with the language of 2 Peter (see the notes on the First Epistle, § 23) is worthy of notice. It seems hardly possible that the two can be wholly independent, though we have no means of determining their relation.
- 9. μη διψυχώμεν] See the note on the First Epistle § 11.
- 11. πιστὸς γάρ κ.τ.λ.] Heb. x. 23 πιστὸς γὰρ ὁ ἐπαγγειλάμενος.
- 12. ἀποδιδόναι ἐκάστφ κ.τ.λ.] Matt. xvi. 27, Rom. ii. 6, Rev. xxii. 12. See also the quotation given in the First Epistle, § 34.
- 14. εἰσήξομεν] 'Vocem εἰσήκειν non agnoscunt Lexica', Jacobson. It occurs as early as Æschylus, and several instances of it are given in Steph. Thes.
- 15. οὖs κ.τ.λ.] See the note on the First Epistle § 34, where the same passage occurs. The ås should not be treated as part of the quotation.
- XII. 'Let us then patiently wait for the kingdom of God. The time

κομισώμεθα. πιστός γάρ έστιν ὁ ἐπαγγειλάμενος τὰς ἀντιμισθίας ἀποδιδόναι ἐκάστφ τῶν ἔργων αὐτοῦ. ἐὰν οὖν ποιήσωμεν τὴν δικαιοσύνην ἐναντίον τοῦ Θεοῦ, εἰσήξομεν εἰς τὴν βασιλείαν αὐτοῦ καὶ ληψόμεθα τὰς ἐπαγγελίας, ὰς οἤς οἤκ ἤκογσεν οἤλὲ ὀφθαλμός εἶλεν, οἤλὲ ἐπὶ καρλίαν ἀνθρώπος ἀνέβη.

XII. 'Εκδεχώμεθα οὖν καθ' ώραν τὴν βασιλείαν τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐν ἀγάπη καὶ δικαιοσύνη, ἐπειδὴ οὐκ οἴ-δαμεν τὴν ἡμέραν τῆς ἐπιφανείας τοῦ Θεοῦ. ἐπερω20 τηθεὶς γὰρ αὐτὸς ὁ Κύριος ὑπό τινος, πότε ἡξει

15 α ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο Α.

19 έπιφανείας] επιφανιασ Α.

of its coming is uncertain. Our Lord's answer to Salome says that it shall be delayed till the two shall be one, and the outward as the inward, and the male with the female, neither male nor female. By this saying He means that mutual harmony must first prevail, that the soul must be manifested in good works, and that...

17. καθ ωραν] 'betimes', 'tempestive', according to its usual meaning; e.g. Job v. 26, Zach. x. 1. It is commonly translated here 'in horas', 'from hour to hour'.

19. ἐπιφανείαs] This word, as a synonyme for the παρουσία, occurs in the New Testament only in the Pastoral Epistles, I Tim. vi. 14, 2 Tim. i. 10, iv. 1, 8, Tit. ii. 13; compare the indirect use in 2 Thess. ii. 8 τῆ ἐπιφανεία τῆς παρουσίας αὐτοῦ.

20. ὑπό τινος] by Salome. This incident was reported in the Gospel of the Egyptians, as we learn from Clem. Alex. Strom. iii. 13, p. 553 (in a passage quoted from Julius Cassianus), where the narrative is given thus: πυνθανομένης τῆς Σαλώμης, πότε γνωσθήσεται τὰ περὶ ὧν ἤρετο, ἔφη ὁ Κύριος, "Οταν οὖν τὸ τῆς αἰσχύνης ἔνδυ-

μα πατήσητε, καὶ ὅταν γένηται τὰ δύο ἐν, καὶ τὸ ἄρρεν μετά της θηλείας ούτε ἄρρεν οὖτε θηλυ. Το this Clement adds έν τοις παραδεδομένοις ήμιν τέτταρσιν εθαγγελίοις οθκ έχομεν το ρητό» άλλ' έν τῷ κατ' Αλγυπτίους. Similar passages from this gospel and apparently from the same context are quoted by Clement previously, Strom. iii. 6 (p. 532) τη Σαλώμη ὁ Κύριος πυνθανομένη μέχρι πότε θάνατος λοχύσει...Μέχρις ἄν, εἶπεν, ὑμεῖς αἱ γυναῖκες τίκτετε, and Strom. iii. 9 (p. 539 sq.) κάκείνα λέγουσι τὰ πρὸς Σαλώμην είρημένα, ών πρότερον έμνήσθημεν (Strom. iii. 6, just quoted) · φέρεται δε, οίμαι, έν τῷ κατ' Αίγυπτίους εὐαγγελίῳ · φασὶ γαρ ότι αὐτὸς εἶπεν ὁ σωτήρ, μλθον καταλύσαι τὰ ἔργα τῆς θηλείας...ὅθεν εικότως περί συντελείας μηνύσαντος τοῦ Λόγου, ή Σαλώμη φησί Μέχρι τίνος οί ανθρωποι αποθανούνται; παρατετηρημένως αποκρίνεται ὁ Κύριος, Μέχρις αν τίκτωσιν αί γυναικες...τί δέ; οὐχὶ καὶ τὰ έξης των πρός Σαλώμην εἰρημένων ἐπιφέρουσιν οἱ πάντα μᾶλλον ή τῷ κατὰ την αλήθειαν ευαγγελικώ στοιχήσαντες κάνονι; φαμένης γάρ αὐτης, Καλώς οὖν έποίησα μη τεκούσα... άμείβεται λέγων ό Κύριος, Πασαν φάγε βοτάνην, την δέ αὐτοῦ ἡ βασιλεία, εἶπεν 'Όταν ἔςται τὰ Δήο ἕν, καὶ τὸ ἔξω ὡς τὸ ἔςω, καὶ τὸ ἄρςεν μετὰ τῆς θηλείας, οἦτε ἄρςεν οἦτε θηλη. Τὰ Δήο δὲ ἕν ἐστιν, ὅταν λαλῶμεν ἐαυτοῖς ἀλήθειαν, καὶ ἐν δυσὶ σώμασιν ἀνυποκρί-

2 θηλείας] θηλιασ Α.

4 έαυτοις] αυτοισ A.

πικρίαν έχουσαν μή φάγης. One of the sayings in the last passage is again referred to in Exc. Theod. 67, p. 985, oran ό σωτήρ πρός Σαλώμην λέγη μέχρι τότε είναι θάνατον ἄχρις αν αί γυναίκες τίκτωσιν. There is nothing in these passages to suggest that Clement himself had read this gospel (unless indeed, as has occurred to me, we should read τί δε οὐχὶ κ.τ.λ.; for τί δε; οὐχὶ κ.τ.λ. in Strom. iii. 9), and the expressions λέγουσι, οίμαι, φασί, seem to imply the contrary; though it is generally assumed that he was acquainted with it. Of the historical value of this narrative we may remark: (1) The mystical colouring of these sayings is quite alien to the character of our Lord's utterances as reported in the authentic Gospels, though entirely in keeping with the tone of Greco-Egyptian speculation. Epiphanius thus describes this apocryphal gospel $(H\alpha r.$ lxii. 2, p. 514) πολλά τοιαῦτα ώς έν παραβύστφ μυστηριωδώς έκ προσώπου τοῦ σωτήρος ἀναφέρεται. (2) The only external fact which can be tested the reference to Salome as childlessis in direct contradiction to the canonical narratives. This contradiction however might be removed by an easy change of reading, καλώς οὖν αν ἐποίησα for καλῶς οὖν ἐποίησα. The Egyptian Gospel was highly esteemed by certain Gnostic sects as the Ophites (Hippol. Har. v. 7, p. 99), by the Encratites (Clem. Alex. Strom. ll. cc.), and by the Sabellians (Epiphan. Hær.l.c.). The Encratites espe-

١

cially valued it, alleging the passages above quoted as discountenancing marriage and thus favouring their own ascetic views. This was possibly the tendency of the Egyptian Gospel, as is maintained by Schneckenburger (Ueber das Evang. des Ægypt. Bern 1834, p. 5 sq.) and M. Nicolas (Evangiles Apocryphes p. 119 sq.); but the inference is at least Clement of Alexandria doubtful. refuses to accept the interpretations of the Encratites; and though his own are sometimes fanciful, still all the passages quoted may reasonably be explained otherwise than in an Encratite sense.

This quotation has a special interest as indicating something of the unknown author of our Second Epistle. As several of his quotations cannot be referred to the canonical Gospels (see §§ 4, 5, 8), it seems not unnatural to assign them to the apocryphal source which in this one instance he is known to have used. This suspicion is borne out by a fact to which I have called attention above. One of our Lord's sayings quoted by him (§ 9) bears a close resemblance to the words as given in the Excerpta Theodoti; and we have just seen that the Gospel of the Egyptians was quoted in this collec-Thus our pseudo-Clement would seem to have employed this apocryphal gospel as a principal authority for the sayings of our Lord. Now this gospel was in character, 5 τως είη μία ψυχή. καὶ τὸ ἔτω ὡς τὸ ἔςω, τοῦτο λέγει τὴν ψυχὴν λέγει τὸ ἔσω, τὸ δὲ ἔξ[ω] τὸ σῶμα μα λέγει. ὃν τρόπον οὖν σου τὸ σῶμα φαίνεται, οὕτως καὶ ἡ ψυχή σου δῆλος ἔστω ἐν τ[οῖς] καλοῖς ἔργοις. καὶ τὸ ἄρςεν μετὰ τὰς θηλείας, οἤτε ἄρςεν οἤτε θ Αλγ, 10 τοῦτο....

as in name, essentially Egyptian; it is known chiefly through Alexandrian writers and its principal circulation was probably in Egypt: and thus a presumption is created that he was not unconnected with this country.

3. τὰ δύο δὲ ἔν] i. e. when peace and harmony shall reign. So the opposite is thus expressed in Seneca de Ira iii. 8 'Non tulit Cælius adsentientem et exclamavit, Dic aliquid contra, ut duo simus'; comp. Plato Symp. 191 D ὁ ἔρως...ἐπιχειρῶν ποιῆσαι ἐν ἐκ δυοῦν καὶ ἰάσασθαι τὴν φύσω τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην (quoted by Lagarde Rel. Jur. Eccl. p. 75).

4. έαντοις] 'to one another', as e.g. Ephes. iv. 32, Col. iii. 13, 16, I Pet. iv. 8, 10. If the reading of the MS be correct, it must be aspirated αὐτοις, and this form is perhaps less unlikely than in the earlier and genuine epistle (see the notes there on §§ 9, 12, 14, etc.). The expression occurs in Ephes. iv. 25 λαλείτε ἀλήθειαν ἔκαστος μετὰ τοῦ πλησίον αὐτοῦ.

5. τὸ ἔξω ως τὸ ἔσω] perhaps meaning originally 'when the outside corresponds with the inside, when men appear as they are, when there is no hypocrisy or deception.' The pseudo-Clement's interpretation is slightly but not essentially different. This clause is omitted in the quotation of Julius Cassianus (Strom. iii. 13, p. 553, quoted above), who thus appears to have connected τὰ δύο ἐν closely with τὸ ἄρρεν μετὰ τῆς θηλείας and interpreted the expression similarly.

See Hippol. *Hær.* v. 18 (p. 173 sq.) καὶ ἔστιν ἀρσενόθηλυς δύναμις καὶ ἐπίτ νοια, δθεν άλλήλοις άντιστοιχοῦσιν... έν οντες... έστιν ουν ουτως καὶ τὸ φανέν ἀπ' αὐτῶν, εν ὄν, δύο εύρίσκεσθαι, ἀρσενόθηλυς έχων την θήλειαν έν έαυτφ, a passage quoted by this father from the Great Announcement of the Simonians. We may perhaps infer from a comparison of Cassianus' quotation with our pseudo-Clement's, that Cassianus strung together detached sentences, omitting all that could not be interpreted to bear on his Encratite views. Compare pseudo-Linus de Pass. Petr. Apost. (Bigne's Magn. Bibl. Patr. I. p. 72 E) 'Unde Dominus in mysterio dixerat: Si non feceritis dextram sicut sinistram et sinistram sicut dextram, et quæ sursum sicut deorsum et quæ ante sicut retro, non cognoscetis regnum Dei', which 'appears to contain another version of this saying' (Westcott Introd. to Gospels p. 427).

8. δῆλος] The lexicons give only one instance of this feminine, Eurip. Med. 1197 δῆλος ἢν κατάστασις. Compare τέλειον in Ign. Philad. 1.

9. καὶ τὸ ἄρσεν κ.τ.λ.] This supposed saying of our Lord was interpreted by Julius Cassianus, as forbidding marriage. Whether this was its true bearing, we cannot judge, as the whole context and the character of this gospel are not sufficiently known. It might have signified no more than that 'in the kingdom of heaven there is neither marrying nor

CLEM.

(i) Μη ταρασσέτω την καρδίαν ύμων, ότι βλέπομεν τους άδικους πλουτούντας, και στενοχωρουμένους τους του Θεου δούλους. οὐδείς γὰρ των δικαίων ταχὺν καρπὸν ἔλαβεν, ἀλλ' ἐκδέχεται αὐτόν. εἰ γὰρ τὸν μισθὸν των δικαίων ὁ Θεὸς εὐθέως ἀπεδίδου, ἐμπο-5

giving in marriage (Matt. xxii. 30)', or that the distinctive moral excellences of each sex shall belong to both equally. Clement of Alexandria, answering Julius Cassianus, gives the following interpretation of the passage: The male represents buyos, the female ἐπιθυμία, according to the well-known Platonic distinction: these veil and hinder the operations of the reason; they produce shame and repentance; they must be stripped off, before the reason can assume its supremacy; then at length ἀποστᾶσα τοῦδε τοῦ σχήματος ῷ διακρίνεται τὸ ἄρρεν καὶ τὸ θηλυ, ψυχή μετατίθεται είς ενωσιν, οὐθέτερον οὖσα. Whether our author's explanation was more closely allied to the interpretation of Cassianus or to that of Clement, it is impossible to say. What has gone before, is a presumption in favour of the latter. Nor is there any sufficient ground independently of this for supposing that his views were Encratite in the matter of marriage. I have shown above (p. 16 sq.) that the statements of Epiphanius and Jerome, who speak of Clement as teaching virginity, do not refer to this epistle, as many suppose. And the references elsewhere in the epistle to the duty of keeping the flesh pure (§§ 6, 8, 9) are as applicable to the purity of wedded as of celibate life. Comp. e. g. Clem. Hom. iii. 26 γάμον νομιτεύει...είς άγνείαν πάντας ἄγει.

This saying of the Egyptian Gospel, if it had any historical basis at all (which may be doubted), was perhaps founded on some utterance

of our Lord similar in meaning to S. Paul's οὐκ ἔνι ἄρσεν καὶ θῆλυ, Gal. iii. 28. It is worth observing that Clement of Alexandria, in explaining the saying of the Egyptian Gospel, refers to these words of S. Paul and explains them similarly of the θυμός and ἐπιθυμία. See also the views of the Ophites on the ἀρσενόθηλυς (Hippol. Hær. v. 6, 7), whence it appears that they also perverted S. Paul's language to their purposes. The name and idea of ἀρσενόθηλυς had their origin in the cosmical speculations embodied in heathen mythology; see Clem. Hom. vi. 5, 12, Clem. Recogn. i. 69, Athenag. Suppl. 21, Hippol. Hær. v. 14 (p. 128).

It is equally questionable whether the other sayings attributed to our Lord in this context of the Egyptian Gospel have any bearing on Encratite views. The words 'so long as women bear children' seem to mean nothing more than 'so long as the human race shall be propagated', and 'I came to abolish the works of the female' may have the same sense. The clinching utterance, πασαν φάγε βοτάνην, την δε πικρίαν έχουσαν μη φάγης, which has been alleged as showing decisively the Encratite tendencies of the gospel, appears to me to admit of a very different interpretation. It would seem to mean very much the same as S. Paul's πάντα μοι έξεστιν άλλ' οὐ πάντα συμφέρει, and to accord with the Apostle's injunctions respecting marriage.

In the Stichometria of Nicephorus

ρίαν ήσκουμεν καὶ οὐκ εὐσέβειαν. ἐδοκουμεν γὰρ εἶναι δίκαιοι, οὐ διὰ τὸ εὐσεβές, ἀλλὰ τὸ κερδάλεον διώκοντες.

(ii) Ο των παρόντων αἰσθητικὸς συνίησιν ως οὔτε ὰ λογίζονταί τινες εἶναι τερπνά, ξένα καὶ μακράν ἐστι

(see Credner zur Gesch. des Kanons p. 122) the Epistles of Clement are described as Κλήμεντος α΄. β΄. στίχοι $\beta \chi'$. Though other copies read $\lambda \beta'$ for a'. β' . (a reading which is reproduced in some MSS of the Latin version by Anastasius Bibliothecarius; Credner ib. p. 126, Westcott Canon p. 504, ed. 2), and some critics have busied themselves with conjecturing what these 32 books of Clement can have been, there can be no reasonable doubt that the other is the correct text and that the two Epistles to the Corinthians are meant. Thus. as Nicephorus assigns exactly the same number of lines, 2600, to the Gospel of St Luke (Credner ib. p. 119), on a rough estimate we may suppose that our two epistles together were about as long as this Gospel. Now in our MS (A) this Gospel occupies 22 leaves and the existing portion of the two Clementine epistles only 12 (including the one which has been accidentally lost between fol. 167 and fol. 168; see p. 23), so that the missing end of the Second Epistle must have taken up about 10 leaves, while the extant portion comprises only 13. Thus it would appear that about \$ths of the whole epistle have been lost. Of this lost ending two fragments are preserved.

(i) 'Be not dismayed at the prosperity of the unrighteous and the affliction of the saints. The fruits of righteousness are not reaped at once. If it were so, then the pursuit of it would be a matter of traffic and not of piety'.

This fragment is given by Joannes Damascenus Sacr. Par. (MS Rupef.) II. p. 783 (Le Quien) with the heading τοῦ ἀγίου Κλήμεντος ἐπισκόπου Ῥώμης ἐκ τῆς β΄ πρὸς Κορινθίους ἐπιστολῆς. As it is closely connected in subject with the topics at which our MS breaks off, it probably followed at no long interval.

- μὴ ταρασσέτω] John xiv. 1, 27,
 μὴ ταρασσέσθω ὑμῶν ἡ καρδία κ.τ.λ.
 ὅτι βλέπομεν κ.τ.λ.] Ps. xlix. 18.
- 5. έμπορίαν κ.τ.λ.] Compare I Tim. vi. 5 νομιζόντων πορισμόν εἶναι τὴν εὐσεβειαν. For the imperfects ἢσκοῦμεν, ἐδοκοῦμεν, without ἄν, see Winer § xlii. p. 320 sq.
- (ii) 'Far-sighted men know that apparent goods are very far from being really such. Even health and wealth sometimes are more baneful than their opposites. The most eager wishes fulfilled often lead to the greatest calamity'.

This fragment again, which in subject is allied to the former, is preserved in the same Joannes Damascenus Sac. Par. (MS Rupef.) II. p. 787 (Le Quien), with the heading τοῦ ἀγίου Κλήμεντος ἐκ τῆς πρὸς Κορινθίους β΄.

- 8. ὁ αἰσθητικός] 'one who is quick at apprehending': see a similar use of the word in Prov. xiv. 10, 30.
- oυτε] If the reading be correct, the construction is irregular. See the note on § 1.

14--2

τῶν ἀπεχθῶν, ἀλλὰ καὶ πλοῦτος πολλάκις μᾶλλον πενίας ἔθλιψε, καὶ ὑγεία πλέον ἠνίασε νόσου. καὶ καθόλου τῶν λυπηρῶν καὶ φευκτῶν πάντων ὑπόθεσις καὶ ὑλη ἡ τῶν ἀσπαστῶν καὶ κατ' εὐχὴν περιβολὴ γίνεται.

2. ἡνιάσε] ἀνιάω, ἀνιάζω, are not found either in the LXX or in the New Testament.

καὶ καθόλου κ.τ.λ.] 'and, speaking generally, acquisition of things desirable and eagerly sought after turns out to be the foundation and material of everything that is painful and to be avoided.' The expression κατ' εὐχην is common in Aristotle, e.g. Polit. ii. 6, iv. 1, 20, vii. 4, 5,

where it stands for ideal perfection. Περιβολή must mean 'the surrounding or investiture with', and so here 'the acquisition of'; comp. Xen. Hell. vii. I. 40 (τῆς ἀρχῆς), Polyb. xvi. 20. 9, Porphyr. Vit. Pyth. 54 τῆ τε τῶν φίλων περιβολῆ καὶ τῆ τοῦ πλούτου δυνάμει, Aristid. Or. I4. (I. 208) περιβολῆ τε ἀρχῆς καὶ ὅγκῳ πραγμάτων; and the translation 'affluentia' (as if ὑπερβολή) appears to be wrong.

5

On some Clementine Fragments.

BESIDES the fragments which are distinctly quoted as belonging to the First or Second Epistle to the Corinthians or may with high probability be assigned to either, and which in this edition are printed in their proper places (pp. 167 sq., 210 sq.), other assumed quotations from Clementine Epistles have been included in the collections of previous editors, and will now deserve consideration.

I.

A passage has been already noticed (pp. 21, 124) as cited by Leontius and John Sacr. Rer. Lib. ii (Mai Script. Vet. Nov. Coll. VII. p. 84), with the heading τοῦ ἀγίου Κλήμεντος ἐκ τῆς θ' ἐπιστολῆς.

Ινα καὶ γενώμεθα βουληθέντος αὐτοῦ, οὐκ ὄντες πρὶν γενέσθαι, καὶ γενόμενοι ἀπολαύσωμεν τῶν δι ἡμᾶς γενομένων. διὰ τοῦτό ἐσμεν ἄνθρωποι καὶ Φρόνησιν ἔχομεν καὶ λόγον, παρ' αὐτοῦ λαβόντες.

The resemblance of these words to a passage in the genuine epistle has been pointed out already (see the note on § 38). I have hazarded the conjecture that for Θ we should read Θ (see p. 21). In this case the five epistles in the collection referred to might have been (1) the Epistle to James, (2), (3) the Two Epistles to Virgins, (4), (5) the Two Epistles to the Corinthians, so that the fragment may have been taken from the lost end of our Second Epistle. A second hypothesis would be, that it is intended for the passage in the First Epistle (§ 38) which it resembles, especially as we are told (see above pp. 21, 109) that these

same writers just before have quoted a fragment from the First Epistle (§ 33) with very considerable variations from our existing text. so, the quotation is very loose indeed; and moreover the form of the heading seems to show that it was taken from a different epistle from the preceding passage. Another and very obvious alternative is that other spurious Clementine epistles were known to the ancients, which have not come down to us.

2.

Several quotations are included by preceding editors, which really belong to some recension of the Petro-Clementine writings (i. e. the Homilies or Recognitions with the letters prefixed). I have here placed them side by side with the parallel passages in these writings, that the resemblance may be seen.

(i)

Καὶ ὁ μέγας ἀπόστολος Κλήμης παρά τοῦ άγίου καὶ πρωτοκορυφαίου Πέτρου.

Cù μὲν δήσεις ά δεῖ, φησίν, δεθηναι καὶ λύσεις α δεί λυθήναι ού δήσεις τὸν τον παρανομοῦντα καὶ μὴ στέργοντα αὐτούς.

BIBL. VINDOB. MSS Jurid. Græc. vii, fol. 225 a.

'Επιστολή Κλήμεντος πρός 'Ιάκωβον.

Πέτρος ... ἔφη ... Κλήμεντα τοῦτον ἐπίσκοπον ύμιν χειροτονώ . . . δήσει γάρ δ δεῖ δεθηναι πταίσαντα, άλλ' ὃν δεῖ λύσει ὃ δεῖ λυθῆναι, ώς κατά τους κανόνας ήμων, τον της έκκλησίας είδως κανόνα (§ 2). σύ δὲ δήσεις ά δει δεθήναι και λύσεις ά δει λυθήναι (ξ 9).

Clem. Hom. Ep. Clem. ad Jac.

This passage was first published by Jacobson from a Vienna Ms (described in Nessel's Catalogue P. 2, p. 18). Its source was pointed out by Nolte Patrist. Miscell. in the Theolog. Quartalschr. XLI. p. 277 (1859).

(ii)

Τοῦ ἀγίου Κλήμεντος ἐπισκόπου 'Ρώμης.

Αὐτάρκης εἰς σωτηρίαν η εἰς Θεὸν ἀνθρώπου ἀγάπη. εὐγνωμοσύνης γάρ
ἐστι τὸ πρὸς τὸν τοῦ εἶναι ἡμᾶς αἴτιον ἀποσώζειν
στοργήν, ὑφ' ης καὶ εἰς
δεύτερον καὶ ἀγήρω αἰῶνα
διασωζόμεθα.

Αὐτάρκης οὖν εἰς σωτηρίαν η εἰς Θεὸν ἀνθρώπων στόργη (§ 8). δι εὐγνωμοσύνην οὐ θελήσουσι κατὰ τοῦ τὰ πάντα κτίσαντος Θεοῦ κ.τ.λ.(§ 4). τοσοῦτον ὁ Θεὸς ὑπὲρ πάντας εὐεργέτηκεν τὸν ἄνθρωπον ἵνα εἰς τὸ πληθος τῶν εὐεργεσιῶν τὸν εὐεργέτην ἀγαπήσας ὑπὸ αὐτης ἀγάπης καὶ εἰς δεύτερον αἰῶνα διασωθηναι δυνηθῆ (§ 7).

Clem. Hom. iii. 7, 8.

τοῦ αὐτοῦ.

' Επείρασεν ὁ Θεὸς τὸν ' Αβραάμ, οὐκ ἀγνοῶν τίς ἢν, ἀλλ' ἵνα τοῖς μετὰ ταῦτα δείξη καὶ μὴ 'κρύψη τὸν τοιοῦτον καὶ διεγείρη εἰς μίμησιν τῆς ἐκείνου πίστεως καὶ ὑπομονῆς, καὶ πείση καὶ τέκνων στοργῆς ἀμελεῖν πρὸς ἐκπλήρωσιν θείου προστάγματος ὅθεν ἔγγραφον περὶ αὐτοῦ ἱστορίαν γενέσθαι ϣκονόμησεν.

JOANN. DAMASC. Sacr. Par. a. 49 (II. p. 752).

ό Cίμων .. ἔφη ...
τὸ δὲ πειράζειν, ὡς γέγραπται καὶ ἐπείρας καὶ
ριος τὸ κ'ΑΒραάμ, κακοῦ καὶ
τὸ τέλος τῆς ὑπομονῆς ἀγ-

νοοῦντος (§ 39).

καὶ ὁ Πέτρος ... ψεῦδός ἐστι τὸ γέγραφθαι κ.τ.λ. ... ἔτι μὴν καὶ εἰ ἐπείραζεν ΚΥριος τὸν ᾿ΑΒραάμ, ἵνα γνῷ εἰ ὑπομενεῖ (§ 43).... τὰς ἀποδείξεις ἐγγράφους ἔχει παρασχεῖν (§ 10).

Clem. Hom. iii. 10, 39, 43.

The source of the quotations is pointed out in part by Nolte l. c. p. 276, though he has not put the case as strongly as he might have done. Hilgenfeld however twice denounces Nolte's reference as 'rash' (pp. 61, 90), and himself throws these fragments into the lacuna after § 57 of the First Epistle. Taking Hilgenfeld's text, I had without due consideration, yet not without misgiving, placed them there in my analysis of the genuine epistle (p. 8); but I am now convinced that this is wrong. The following facts will explain both the coincidences with and the variations from the extant text of the Homilies. seems quite clear that an orthodox recension of the Clementine writings was in common use when these collections of extracts were made. For instance Nicephorus (Hist. Eccl. iii. 18) hesitates about identifying the Clementines which were known to him, and which he describes as τη εκκλησία και εύπαράδεκτα, with the Dialogue of Peter and Apion mentioned by Eusebius, because the latter is described as heretical in its tendencies; and a scholiast on Eusebius (H. E. iii. 38; see Valois' note) protests indignantly against this historian's depreciation of a work whose merits were well known to the orthodox (ὅσον τὸ ὅφελος, οἱ ὀρθοδόξως καὶ εἰλικρινώς έντετυχηκότες σαφώς ἴσασιν). Thus it is plain that these writers knew the Clementines only in their orthodox dress. On this subject see Schliemann Clement. p. 338 sq., Uhlhorn die Hom. u. Recogn. p. 51 sq. (2) The quotations show that this orthodox recension followed the Homilies rather than the Recognitions. (3) Nevertheless, where the Homilies are distinctly heretical, very considerable changes would be necessary. This is especially the case in the passage before us where St Peter maintains in reply to Simon Magus that all the parts of the Old Testament which use objectionable language in speaking of God, and among them the passage which represents Him as tempting Abraham, are spurious interpolations, and that it is the duty of the faithful to discriminate between the genuine and the counterfeit. This idea occurs again and again in the Homilies. The orthodox redactor therefore would have to remodel all such passages in the Homilies, answering the objections of Simon in a wholly different way so as to preserve the integrity of the Scriptures. (3) We have other evidence that he did so alter them. Thus in Clem. Hom. ii. 50 St Peter is made to say to Clement ωμολογημένου ήμιν ότι ὁ Θεὸς πάντα προγινώσκει ανάγκη πάσα τὰς λεγούσας αὐτὸν γραφὰς άγνοεῖν ψεύδεσθαι, τὰς δὲ γινώσκειν αὐτὸν λεγούσας άληθεύειν...εἰ οὖν τῶν γραφων α μέν έστιν αληθή α δε ψευδή, εὐλόγως ο διδάσκαλος ήμων έλεγεν Γίνεσθε τραπεζίται δόκιμοι, ώς των έν ταις γραφαίς τινων μέν δοκίμων όντων λόγων τινών δε κιβδήλων κ.τ.λ.; but the same passage (for a lengthy context shows it to be the same) is differently quoted

in the Sacr. Par. bearing the name of Joannes Damascenus (as given by Cotelier on the Clem. Hom. l. c.) εἰ οὖν ὁ Θεὸς μόνος πάντα, ὡς ἀποδέδεικται, προγινώσκει, ανάγκη πασα τας λεγούσας αυτον γραφας αγνοείν τι μη νοείσθαι παρά τινων, πώς ταθτα είρηται περί Θεού του διδάσκοντος ἄνθρωπον γνώσιν. The manipulation of the work is just the same in both cases. The orthodox recension interprets the passages, which the original Ebionite writing rejects. (4) Where the Homilies were not heretical, the orthodox reviser seems to have kept close to his original, as will appear from the fragments which follow.

(iii)

Κλήμεντος 'Ρώμης.

Διαφορά τυγχάνει ληθείας καὶ συνηθείας. μεν γαραλήθεια γνησίως (ηέθος, όποιον αν παραληφθη, ακρίτως ύφ' ξαυτοῦ κρατύνεται. Έν αίς γαρ έκαστος έκ παιδόθεν έθίζεται, ταύταις έμμένειν ήδεται. "Ο γάρ μισεῖ τις διὰ τὴν έπιοῦσαν τῆ ἡλικία σύνεσιν, τοῦτο διὰ τὴν πολυχρόνιον τῶν κακῶν συνήθειαν πράττειν συναναγκάζεται, δεινην σύνοικον την άμαρτίαν παρειληφώς. Μηδαμώς την Φύσιν αιτιώμεθα πάντα γαρ βίον ήδυν (ήδυ MS) ή απδη ή συνήθεια ποιεί.

BIBL. BODL. MSS Barocc. 143, fol. 136 b.

Πολλή τις, ὦ ἄνδρες Έλληνες, ή διαφορά τυγχάνει άληθείας τε καὶ συνηθείας. ή μεν γαρ αλήθεια γνησίως ζητουμένη ευρίσκεται το δε | τουμένη ευρίσκεται το δε έθος, ὅποιον ἀν παραληΦθη, είτε αληθές είτε ψευδές, είτε αληθές είτε ψευδές. άκρίτως ύφ' έαυτοῦ κρατύνεται (§ 11). 'Εν οίς γάρ έκαστος έκ παίδων έθίζεται, τούτοις έμμένειν ήδεται (§ 18).

Clem. Hom. iv. 11, 18.

This passage is taken from a Bodleian Ms containing a collection

of sentences from the Fathers and others, and occurs in a chapter περὶ συνηθείας καὶ εθους. It was first published by Grabe Spicil. Patr. 1. 289. Nolte (l. c. p. 276), who first pointed out the source, remarks that the fragment is found also in a Paris MS 'Cod. Reg. 923 f. 368 vers. sec. col.', but with many variations. Grabe unaccountably stops short at παρειληφώς, and in this he is followed by all the editors of Clement. I collated the Bodleian MS and added the final words μηδαμώς κ.τ.λ. The sentence, δ γὰρ μισεί...παρειληφώς, is quoted also as Κλήμεντος Ῥώμης by Maximus Serm. lxii (p. 673). I do not understand what Jacobson means by 'a Maximo incerta jam habebatur'. The words, δ μισεί...συνήθεια ποιεί, appear not to occur in the extant Homilies; but may possibly have been inserted by the reviser who produced the orthodox recension. The poetic character in both the language and the rhythm should be noticed; e.g. δεινήν σύνοικον τὴν ἀμαρτίαν.

(iv)

Κλήμεντος.

"Ανθρωπος κατ' εἰκόνα θείαν καὶ καθ' ὁμοίωσιν γεγονώς ἄρχειν καὶ κυριεύειν κατεστάθη: ότε μέντοι δίκαιος ἐτύγχανε, πάντων παθημάτων ἀνώτερος ήν. καὶ άθάνατος σώματι κατά θείαν μεγαλοδωρεάν τοῦ κτίσαντος, τοῦ ἀλγεῖν πεῖραν λαβεῖν μὴ δυνάμενος. ὅτε δὲ ήμαρτεν, ώς δοῦλος γεγονώς άμαρτίας πασιν ύπέπεσε τοῖς παθήμασι, πάντων καλών δικαία κρίσει στερηθείς. οὐ γὰρ εὔλογον ήν, τοῦ δεδωκότος έγκαταλειφθέντος, τὰ δοθέντα παραμένειν τοῖς ἀγνώμοσι.

> BIBL. BODL. MSS Canon. Gr. 56 fol. 187.

'Ο ἄνθρωπος κατ' εἰκόνα καὶ καθ' ὁμοίωσιν γεγονώς άρχειν τε καὶ κυριεύειν κατεστάθη (§ 3)... ὅτε μέντοι δίκαιος ἐτύγχανεν, καὶ πάντων παθημάτων άνώτατος ην, ώς άθανάτω σώματι τοῦ άλγεῖν πεῖραν λαβεῖν μή δυνάμενος ότε δὲ ήμαρτεν (ώς έχθες και τη προ αὐτης έδεί ξαμεν) ώς δούλος γεγονως άμαρτίας πασιν ύπέπεσεν τοῖς παθήμασιν, πάντων καλών δικαία κρίσει στερηθείς. οὐ γὰρ εὔλογον ην, τοῦ δεδωκότος έγκαταλειφθέντος τὰ δοθέντα παραμένειν τοῖς ἀγνώμοσιν(§4).

Clem. Hom. x. 3, 4.

The whole of this extract is published now, I believe, for the first time. Previous editors (following Grabe Spic. Patr. 1. 288) have included among the Clementine fragments the last sentence only, and this in the form οὐ δίκαιόν ἐστι κ.τ.λ. for οὐ γαρ εὖλογον ἢν κ.τ.λ., as it is found in Maximus Serm. viii (II. p. 556, ed. Combefis), and also in another Bodleian MS, Barocc. 143 fol. 29 a, in both which places it is designated Κλήμεντος Ῥώμης. I believe also that I am the first to point out whence it is taken. Nolte (l. c. p. 276) remarks that the quotation has points of accord (Anklänge) with several places in the Homilies, and Hilgenfeld writes 'confero Clem. Recogn. iv. 12 variasque hujus libri recensiones exstitisse moneo': but neither has noticed the passage in the Homilies from which it is taken word for word. I have little doubt however (considering where it is found) that it came through the medium of the orthodox recension, which here kept close to the extant Ebionite Homilies.

3.

A fragment of another stamp is included in Bp. Jacobson's collection (no. VIII). It was first published by Cotelier in his notes to Clem. Recogn. i. 24, from a Paris MS, Bibl. Reg. 1026.

Τοῦ ἀγίου Κλήμεντος ἐπισκόπου Ῥώμης μετὰ τὸν ἀπόστολον Πέτρον τοῦ ἀποστολικοῦ θρόνου ἡγησαμένου, εἰς τὸ ἄγιον πνεῦμα.

Μακάριος ὁ κεκλεισμένους ὀφθαλμοὺς ἀνοίξας καὶ διωκόμενον ἄσθματι πνεῦμα διὰ τοῦ ἐγεῖραι ἀναλαβών. τὸ χαμαὶ κεῖσθαι τοῦτο ἦν, καὶ τὸ ἐπὶ ποδῶν μὴ ἐστάναι, τὸ τὴν ἀλήθειαν οὐκ ἔχειν. ἀνάστασις δέ ἐστι πατρὸς ἡ ἐπίγνωσις καὶ ἐπιφάνεια τοῦ υἰοῦ, ἢ τὰς αἰσθήσεις ἐφανέρωσε. μακάριος ἀνὴρ ὁ γινώσκων τὴν τοῦ πατρὸς δόσιν δι ἐκπορεύσεως τοῦ παναγίου πνεύματος. μακάριος ὁ γινώσκων καὶ λαβών, ὅτι τὸ ἄγιον πνεῦμά ἐστιν ἡ δόσις αὐτοῦ. καὶ τοῦτο ἐν τύπῳ περιστερᾶς παρέσχε. τὸ γὰρ ζῷον ἀκακίαν ἔχει καὶ ἄχολόν ἐστιν, ἄκακος δὲ ὁ πατὴρ πνεῦμα ἔδωκεν ἄκακον, ἀόργητον, ἀπίκραντον, τέλειον, ἀμίαντον, ἀπὸ σπλάγ-

χνων ίδίων προϊέμενος, ίνα ρυθμήση τους αίωνας και του αοράτου δώ την έπίγνωσιν. ἔστιν οὖν τοῦτο άγιον και εὐθές, τὸ ἀπ' αὐτοῦ προελθόν, και δύναμις αὐτοῦ και θέλημα αὐτοῦ, εἰς πλήρωμα δόξης αὐτοῦ φανερωθέν. τοῦτο οἱ λαβόντες τυποῦνται ἀληθείας τύπω, χάριτος τελείας.

Hilgenfeld justly rejects the pretensions of this fragment to belong to our Clementine letters. I am disposed myself to believe that an officious transcriber has wrongly defined the Clement who wrote these words, and that the fragment belongs not to the Roman but to the Alexandrian. The converse error of ascribing passages of the Roman Clement to the Alexandrian has been made more than once (see Hilgenfeld p. 75), nor is this less likely to have occurred, and indeed we have already had an instance of it above (p. 179). In an extant writing Strom. v. 13 (p. 699) Clement of Alexandria promises to consider the subject elsewhere, ὅ τι ποτέ ἐστι τὸ ἄγιον πνεῦμα, ἐν τοῖς περὶ προφητείας κὰν τοῖς περὶ ψυχῆς ἐπιδειχθήσεται ἡμῦν; and the fragment before us may have been taken from one or other of the two works there mentioned. It accords entirely with his tone of thought, and even resembles extant passages where he speaks on this subject.

16, Bedford Street, Covent Garden, London. January, 1870.

MACMILLAN & Co.'s GENERAL CATALOGUE of Works in the Departments of History, Biography, Travels, Poetry, and Belles Lettres. With some short Account or Critical Notice concerning each Book.

SECTION I.

HISTORY, BIOGRAPHY, and TRAVELS.

Baker (Sir Samuel W.).—THE NILE TRIBUTARIES OF ABYSSINIA, and the Sword Hunters of the Hamran Arabs. By Sir Samuel W. Baker, M.A., F.R.G.S. With Portraits, Maps, and Illustrations. Third Edition, 8vo. 21s.

Sir Samuel Baker here describes twelve months' exploration, during which he examined the rivers that are tributary to the Nile from Abyssinia, including the Atbara, Settite, Royan, Salaam, Angrab, Rahad, Dinder, and the Blue Nile. The interest attached to these portions of Africa differs entirely from that of the White Nile regions, as the whole of Upper Egypt and Abyssinia is capable of development, and is inhabited by races having some degree of civilization; while Central Africa is peopled by a race of savages, whose future is more problematical.

THE ALBERT N'YANZA Great Basin of the Nile, and Exploration of the Nile Sources. New and cheaper Edition, with Portraits, Maps, and Illustrations. Two vols. crown 8vo. 16s.

"Bruce won the source of the Blue Nile; Speke and Grant won the Victoria source of the great White Nile; and I have been permitted to succeed in completing the Nile Sources by the discovery of the great reservoir of the equatorial waters, the Albert N'yanza, from which the river issues as the entire White Nile."—PREFACE.

NEW AND CHEAP EDITION OF THE ALBERT N'YANZA.

1 vol. crown 8vo. With Maps and Illustrations. 7s. 6d.

-

Baker (Sir Samuel W.) (continued)—

- CAST UP BY THE SEA; or, The Adventures of NED GREY. By SIR SAMUEL W. BAKER, M.A., F.R.G.S. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. cloth gilt, 7s. 6d.
- "A story of adventure by sea and land in the good old style. It appears to us to be the best book of the kind since 'Masterman Ready,' and it runs that established favourite very close."—PALL MALL GAZETTE.
- "No book written for boys has for a long time created so much interest, or been so successful. Every parent ought to provide his boy with a copy."

 Daily Telegraph.
- Barker (Lady).—STATION LIFE IN NEW ZEALAND. By Lady Barker. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- "These letters are the exact account of a lady's experience of the brighter and less practical side of colonization. They record the expeditions, adventures, and emergencies diversifying the daily life of the wife of a New Zealand sheep-farmer; and, as each was written while the novelty and excitement of the scenes it describes were fresh upon her, they may succeed in giving here in England an adequate impression of the delight and freedom of an existence so far removed from our own highly-wrought civilization."—PREFACE.
- Baxter (R. Dudley, M.A.).—THE TAXATION OF THE UNITED KINGDOM. By R. Dudley Baxter, M.A. 8vo. cloth, 4s. 6d.

The First Part of this work, originally read before the Statistical Society of London, deals with the Amount of Taxation; the Second Part, which now constitutes the main portion of the work, is almost entirely new, and embraces the important questions of Rating, of the relative Taxation of Land, Personalty, and Industry, and of the direct effect of Taxes upon Prices. The author trusts that the body of facts here collected may be of permanent value as a record of the past progress and present condition of the population of the United Kingdom, inaependently of the transitory circumstances of its present Taxation.

Baxter (R. Dudley, M.A.) (continued)-

NATIONAL INCOME. With Coloured Diagrams. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

PART I.—Classification of the Population, Upper, Middle, and Labour Classes. II.—Income of the United Kingdom.

"A painstaking and certainly most interesting inquiry."—PALL MALL GAZETTE.

Bernard.—FOUR LECTURES ON SUBJECTS CONNECTED WITH DIPLOMACY. By Mountague Bernard, M.A., Chichele Professor of International Law and Diplomacy, Oxford. 8vo. 9s.

Four Lectures, dealing with (1) The Congress of Westphalia; (2) Systems of Policy; (3) Diplomacy, Past and Present; (4) The Obligations of Treaties.

Blake.—THE LIFE OF WILLIAM BLAKE, THE ARTIST.

By ALEXANDER GILCHRIST. With numerous Illustrations from Blake's designs, and Fac-similes of his studies of the "Book of Job." Two vols. medium 8vo. 32s.

These volumes contain a Life of Blake; Selections from his Writings, including Poems; Letters; Annotated Catalogue of Pictures and Drawings; List, with occasional notes, of Blake's Engravings and Writings. There are appended Engraved Designs by Blake: (1) The Book of Job, twenty-one photo-lithographs from the originals; (2) Songs of Innocence and Experience, sixteen of the original Plates.

Bright (John, M.P.).—SPEECHES ON QUESTIONS OF PUBLIC POLICY. By John Bright, M. P. Edited by Professor Thorold Rogers. Two Vols. 8vo. 25s. Second Edition, with Portrait.

"I have divided the Speeches contained in these volumes into groups. The materials for selection are so abundant, that I have been constrained to omit many a speech which is worthy of careful perusal. I have

naturally given prominence to those subjects with which Mr. Bright has been especially identified, as, for example, India, America, Ireland, and Parliamentary Reform. But nearly every topic of great public interest on which Mr. Bright has spoken is represented in these volumes."

EDITOR'S PREFACE.

AUTHOR'S POPULAR EDITION. Extra fcap. 8vo. cloth. Second Edition. 3s. 6d.

Bryce.—THE HOLY ROMAN EMPIRE. By JAMES BRYCE, B.C.L., Fellow of Oriel College, Oxford. [Reprinting.

CAMBRIDGE CHARACTERISTICS. See MULLINGER.

CHATTERTON: A Biographical Study. By Daniel Wilson, LL.D., Professor of History and English in University College, Toronto. Crown 8vo. 6s. 6d.

The Author here regards Chatterton as a Poet, not as a mere "resetter and defacer of stolen literary treasures." Reviewed in this light, he has found much in the old materials capable of being turned to new account; and to these materials research in various directions has enabled him to make some additions.

Clay.—THE PRISON CHAPLAIN. A Memoir of the Rev. John Clay, B.D., late Chaplain of the Preston Gaol. With Selections from his Reports and Correspondence, and a Sketch of Prison Discipline in England. By his Son, the Rev. W. L. Clay, M.A. 8vo. 15s.

"Few books have appeared of late years better entitled to an attentive perusal. . . . It presents a complete narrative of all that has been done and attempted by various philanthropists for the amelioration of the condition and the improvement of the morals of the criminal classes in the British dominious."—LONDON REVIEW.

Cooper.—ATHENÆ CANTABRIGIENSES. By CHARLES HENRY COOPER, F.S.A., and THOMPSON COOPER, F.S.A. Vol. I. 8vo., 1500—85, 18s. Vol. II., 1586—1609, 18s.

This elaborate work, which is dedicated by permission to Lord Macaulay, contains lives of the eminent men sent forth by Cambridge, after the fashion of Anthony à Wood, in his famous "Athenæ Oxonienses."

Dilke.—GREATER BRITAIN. A Record of Travel in Englishspeaking Countries during 1866-7. (America, Australia, India.) By Sir CHARLES WENTWORTH DILKE, M.P. Fourth and Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

"Mr. Dilke has written a book which is probably as well worth reading as any book of the same aims and character that ever was written. Its merits are that it is written in a lively and agreeable style, that it implies a great deal of physical pluck, that no page of it fails to show an acute and highly intelligent observer, that it stimulates the imagination as well as the judgment of the reader, and that it is on perhaps the most interesting subject that can attract an Englishman who cares about his country."

SATURDAY REVIEW.

Dürer (Albrecht).—HISTORY OF THE LIFE OF AL-BRECHT DÜRER, of Nürnberg. With a Translation of his Letters and Journal, and some account of his works. By Mrs. CHARLES HEATON. Royal 8vo. bevelled boards, extra gilt. 31s. 6d.

This work contains about Thirty Illustrations, ten of which are productions by the Autotype (carbon) process, and are printed in permanent tints by Messrs. Cundall and Fleming, under license from the Autotype Company, Limited; the rest are Photographs and Woodcuts.

EARLY EGYPTIAN HISTORY FOR THE YOUNG. See "JUVENILE SECTION."

- Elliott.—LIFE OF HENRY VENN ELLIOTT, of Brighton. By Josiah Bateman, M.A., Author of "Life of Daniel Wilson, Bishop of Calcutta," &c. With Portrait, engraved by Jeens. Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d. Second Edition, with Appendix.
- "A very charming piece of religious biography; no one can read it without both pleasure and profit."—BRITISH QUARTERLY REVIEW.
- Forbes.—LIFE OF PROFESSOR EDWARD FORBES, F.R.S. By George Wilson, M.D., F.R.S.E., and Archibald Geikie, F.R.S. 8vo. with Portrait, 14s.
- "From the first page to the last the book claims careful reading, as being a full but not overcrowded rehearsal of a most instructive life, and the true picture of a mind that was rare in strength and beauty."—EXAMINER.
- Freeman.—HISTORY OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, from the Foundation of the Achaian League to the Disruption of the United States. By EDWARD A. FREEMAN, M.A. Vol. I. General Introduction. History of the Greek Federations. 8vo. 21s.
- "The task Mr. Freeman has undertaken is one of great magnitude and importance. It is also a task of an almost entirely novel character. No other work professing to give the history of a political principle occurs to us, except the slight contributions to the history of representative government that is contained in a course of M. Guizot's lectures The history of the development of a principle is at least as important as the history of a dynasty, or of a race.'—SATURDAY REVIEW.
- OLD ENGLISH HISTORY FOR CHILDREN. By EDWARD A. FREEMAN, M.A., late Fellow of Trinity College, Oxford. With Five Coloured Maps. Extra fcap. 8vo., half-bound. 6s.
- "Its object is to show that clear, accurate, and scientific views of history, or indeed of any subject, may be easily given to children from the very first... I have, I hope, shown that it is perfectly easy to teach children, from

the very first, to distinguish true history alike from legend and from wilful invention, and also to understand the nature of historical authorities, and to weigh one statement against another. I have throughout striven to connect the history of England with the general history of civilized Europe, and I have especially tried to make the book serve as an incentive to a more accurate study of historical geography."—PREFACE.

French (George Russell). — SHAKSPEAREANA GENEALOGICA. 8vo. cloth extra, 15s. Uniform with the "Cambridge Shakespeare."

Part I.—Identification of the dramatis personæ in the historical plays, from King John to King Henry VIII.; Notes on Characters in Macbeth and Hamlet; Persons and Places belonging to Warwickshire alluded to. Part II.—The Shakspeare and Arden families and their connexions, with Tables of descent. The present is the first attempt to give a detailed description, in consecutive order, of each of the dramatis personæ in Shakspeare's immortal chronicle-histories, and some of the characters have been, it is believed, herein identified for the first time. A clue is furnished which, followed up with ordinary diligence, may enable any one, with a taste for the pursuit, to trace a distinguished Shakspearean worthy to his lineal representative in the present day.

Galileo.—THE PRIVATE LIFE OF GALILEO. Compiled principally from his Correspondence and that of his eldest daughter, Sister Maria Celeste, Nun in the Franciscan Convent of S. Matthew, in Arcetri. With Portrait. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

It has been the endeavour of the compiler to place before the reader a plain, ungarbled statement of facts; and as a means to this end, to allow Galileo, his friends, and his judges to speak for themselves as far as possible.

Gladstone (Right. Hon. W. E., M.P.).—JUVENTUS MUNDI. The Gods and Men of the Heroic Age. Crown 8vo. cloth extra. With Map. 10s. 6d. Second Edition.

This new work of Mr. Gladstone deals especially with the historic element in Homer, expounding that element, and furnishing by its aid a

full account of the Homeric men and the Homeric religion. It starts, after the introductory chapter, with a discussion of the several races then existing in Hellas, including the influence of the Phanicians and Egyptians. It contains chapters on the Olympian system, with its several deities; on the Ethics and the Polity of the Heroic age; on the geography of Homer; on the characters of the Poems; presenting, in fine, a view of primitive life and primitive society as found in the poems of Homer.

"GLOBE" ATLAS OF EUROPE. Uniform in size with Macmillan's Globe Series, containing 45 Coloured Maps, on a uniform scale and projection; with Plans of London and Paris, and a copious Index. Strongly bound in half-morocco, with flexible back, 9s.

This Atlas includes all the countries of Europe in a series of 48 Maps, drawn on the same scale, with an Alphabetical Index to the situation of more than ten thousand places, and the relation of the various maps and countries to each other is defined in a general Key-map. All the maps being on a uniform scale facilitates the comparison of extent and distance, and conveys a just impression of the relative magnitude of different countries. The size suffices to show the provincial divisions, the railways and main roads, the principal rivers and mountain ranges. "This atlas," writes the British Quarterly, "will be an invaluable boon for the school, the desk, or the traveller's portmanteau."

Guizot.—(Author of "John Halifax, Gentleman.")—M. DE BARANTE, A Memoir, Biographical and Autobiographical. By M. Guizot. Translated by the Author of "John Halifax, Gentleman." Crown 8vo. 6s. 6d.

"The highest purposes of both history and biography are answered by a memoir so lifelike, so faithful, and so philosophical."

BRITISH QUARTERLY REVIEW.

Digitized by Google

HISTÒRICAL SELECTIONS. Readings from the best Authorities on English and European History. Selected and arranged by E. M. SEWELL and C. M. YONGE. Crown 8vo. 6s.

When young children have acquired the outlines of history from abridgements and catechisms, and it becomes desirable to give a more enlarged view of the subject, in order to render it really useful and interesting, a difficulty often arises as to the choice of books. Two courses are open, either to take a general and consequently dry history of facts, such as Russell's Modern Europe, or to choose some work treating of a particular period or subject, such as the works of Macaulay and Froude. The former course usually renders history uninteresting; the latter is unsatisfactory, because it is not sufficiently comprehensive. To remedy this difficulty, selections, continuous and chronological, have in the present volume been taken from the larger works of Freeman, Milman, Palgrave, and others, which may serve as distinct landmarks of historical reading. "We know of scarcely anything," says the Guardian, of this volume, which is so likely to raise to a higher level the average standard of English education."

Hole.—A GENEALOGICAL STEMMA OF THE KINGS OF ENGLAND AND FRANCE. By the Rev. C. Hole, M.A., Trinity College, Cambridge. On Sheet, 15.

The different families are printed in distinguishing colours, thus facilitating reference.

A BRIEF BIOGRAPHICAL DICTIONARY. Compiled and Arranged by the Rev. Charles Hole, M.A. Second Edition. 18mo. neatly and strongly bound in cloth, 4s. 6d.

One of the most comprehensive and accurate Biographical Dictionaries in the world, containing more than 18,000 persons of all countries, with dates of birth and death, and what they were distinguished for. Extreme care has been bestowed on the verification of the dates; and thus numerous errors, current in previous works, have been corrected. Its size adapts it for the desk, portmanteau, or pocket.

"An invaluable addition to our manuals of reference, and, from its moderate price, cannot fail to become as popular as it is useful."—TIMES.

Hozier.—THE SEVEN WEEKS' WAR; Its Antecedents and its Incidents. By. H. M. Hozier. With Maps and Plans. Two vols. 8vo. 28s.

This work is based upon letters reprinted by permission from "The Times." For the most part it is a product of a personal eye-witness of some of the most interesting incidents of a war which, for rapidity and decisive results, may claim an almost unrivalled position in history.

THE BRITISH EXPEDITION TO ABYSSINIA. Compiled from Authentic Documents. By CAPTAIN HENRY M. HOZIER, late Assistant Military Secretary to Lord Napier of Magdala. 8vo. 9s.

"Several accounts of the British Expedition have been published.....
They have, however, been written by those who have not had access to those authentic documents, which cannot be collected directly after the termination of a campaign..... The endeavour of the author of this sketch has been to present to readers a succinct and impartial account of an enterprise which has rarely been equalled in the annals of war."—PREFACE.

Irving.—THE ANNALS OF OUR TIME. A Diurnal of Events, Social and Political, which have happened in or had relation to the Kingdom of Great Britain, from the Accession of Queen Victoria to the Opening of the present Parliament. By JOSEPH IRVING. 8vo. half-bound. 18s.

"We have before us a trusty and ready guide to the events of the past thirty years, available equally for the statesman, the politician, the public writer, and the general reader. If Mr. Irving's object has been to bring before the reader all the most noteworthy occurrences which have happened since the beginning of Her Majesty's reign, he may justly claim the credit of having done so most briefly, succinctly, and simply, and in such a manner, too, as to furnish him with the details necessary in each case to comprehend the event of which he is in search in an intelligent manner. Reflection will serve to show the great value of such a work as this to the journalist and statesman, and indeed to every one who feels an interest in the progress of the age; and we may add that its value is considerably increased by the addition of that most important of all appendices, an accurate and instructive index."—TIMES.

Kingsley (Canon).—ON THE ANCIEN REGIME as it Existed on the Continent before the French Revolution. Three Lectures delivered at the Royal Institution. By the Rev. C. Kingsley, M.A., formerly Professor of Modern History in the University of Cambridge. Crown 8vo. 6s.

These three lectures discuss severally (1) Caste, (2) Centralization, (3) The Explosive Forces by which the Revolution was superinduced. The Preface deals at some length with certain political questions of the present day.

THE ROMAN AND THE TEUTON. A Series of Lectures delivered before the University of Cambridge. By Rev. C Kingsley, M.A. 8vo. 125.

CONTENTS:—Inaugural Lecture; The Forest Children; The Dying Empire; The Human Deluge; The Gothic Civilizer; Dietrich's End; The Nemesis of the Goths; Paulus Diaconus; The Clergy and the Heathen: The Monk a Civilizer; The Lombard Laws; The Popes and the Lombards; The Strategy of Providence.

Kingsley (Henry, F.R.G.S.).—TALES OF OLD TRAVEL. Re-narrated by HENRY KINGSLEY, F.R.G.S. With Eight Illustrations by HUARD. Crown 8vo. 6s.

CONTENTS: — Marco Polo; The Shipwreck of Pelsart; The Wonderful Adventures of Andrew Battel; The Wanderings of a Capuchin; Peter Carder; The Preservation of the "Terra Nova;" Spitzbergen; D'Ermenonville's Acclimatization Adventure; The Old Slave Trade; Miles Philips; The Sufferings of Robert Everard; John Fox; Alvaro Nunez; The Foundation of an Empire.

Latham.—BLACK AND WHITE: A Journal of a Three Months'
Tour in the United States. By HENRY LATHAM, M.A., Barristerat-Law. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

"The spirit in which Mr. Latham has written about our brethren in America is commendable in high degree."—ATHENÆUM.

Law.—THE ALPS OF HANNIBAL. By WILLIAM JOHN LAW, M.A., formerly Student of Christ Church, Oxford. Two vols. 8vo. 21s.

"No one can read the work and not acquire a conviction that, in addition to a thorough grasp of a particular topic, its writer has at command a large store of reading and thought upon many cognate points of ancient history and geography."—QUARTERLY REVIEW.

Liverpool.—THE LIFE AND ADMINISTRATION OF ROBERT BANKS, SECOND EARL OF LIVERPOOL, K.G. Compiled from Original Family Documents by Charles Duke Yonge, Regius Professor of History and English Literature in Queen's College, Belfast; and Author of "The History of the British Navy," "The History of France under the Bourbons," etc. Three vols. 8vo. 42s.

Since the time of Lord Burleigh no one, except the second Pitt, ever enjoyed so long a tenure of power; with the same exception, no one ever held office at so critical a time . . . Lord Liverpool is the very last minister who has been able fully to carry out his own political views; who has been so strong that in matters of general policy the Opposition could extort no concessions from him which were not sanctioned by his own deliberate judgment. The present work is founded almost entirely on the correspondence left behind him by Lord Liverpool, and now in the possession of Colonel and Lady Catherine Harcourt.

"Full of information and instruction."—FORTNIGHTLY REVIEW.

Maclear .- See Section, "Ecclesiastical History."

Macmillan (Rev. Hugh).—HOLIDAYS ON HIGH LANDS; or, Rambles and Incidents in search of Alpine Plants. By the Rev. Hugh Macmillan, Author of "Bible Teachings in Nature," etc. Crown 8vo. cloth. 6s.

"Botanical knowledge is blended with a love of nature, a pious enthusiasm, and a rich felicity of diction not to be met with in any works of kindred character, if we except those of Hugh Miller."—Daily Telegraph.

Macmillan (Rev. Hugh), (continued)-

FOOT-NOTES FROM THE PAGE OF NATURE. With numerous Illustrations. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

"Those who have derived pleasure and profit from the study of flowers and ferns—subjects, it is pleasing to find, now everywhere popular—by descending lower into the arcana of the vegetable kingdom, will find a still more interesting and delightful field of research in the objects brought under review in the following pages."—PREFACE.

BIBLE TEACHINGS IN NATURE. Fourth Edition. Fcap 8vo. 6s.—See also "Scientific Section."

Martin (Frederick).—THE STATESMAN'S YEAR-BOOK:
A Statistical and Historical Account of the States of the Civilised
World. Manual for Politician and Merchants for the year 1870.
By Frederick Martin. Seventh Annual Publication. Crown
8vo. 10s. 6d.

he new issue has been entirely re-written, revised, and corrected, on the basis of official reports received direct from the heads of the leading Governments of the World, in reply to letters sent to them by the Editor.

"Everybody who knows this work is aware that it is a book that is indispensable to writers, financiers, politicians, statesmen, and all who are directly or indirectly interested in the political, social, industrial, commercial, and financial condition of their fellow-creatures at home and abroad. Mr. Martin deserves warm commendation for the care he takes in making 'The Statesman's Year Book' complete and correct."

STANDARD.

Martineau.—BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES, 1852—1868.

By HARRIET MARTINEAU. Third Edition, with New Preface.

Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d.

A Collection of Memoirs under these several sections:—(1) Royal, (2) Politicians, (3) Professional, (4) Scientific, (5) Social, (6) Literary. These Memoirs appeared originally in the columns of the "Daily News."

Masson (Professor).—ESSAYS, BIOGRAPHICAL AND CRITICAL. See Section headed "POETRY AND BELLES LETTRES."

LIFE OF JOHN MILTON. Narrated in connexion with the Political, Ecclesiastical, and Literary History of his Time. By DAVID MASSON, M.A., LL.D., Professor of Rhetoric at Edinburgh. Vol. I. with Portraits. 8vo. 18s. Vol. II. in the Press.

It is intended to exhibit Milton's life in its connexions with all the more notable phenomena of the period of British history in which it was cast—its state politics, its ecclesiastical variations, its literature and speculative thought. Commencing in 1608, the Life of Milton proceeds through the last sixteen years of the reign of James I., includes the whole of the reign of Charles I. and the subsequent years of the Commonwealth and the Protectorate, and then, passing the Restoration, extends itself to 1674, or through fourteen years of the new state of things under Charles II. The first volume deals with the life of Milton as extending from 1608 to 1640, which was the period of his education and of his minor poems.

Morison.—THE LIFE AND TIMES OF SAINT BERNARD, Abbot of Clairvaux. By James Cotter Morison, M.A. New Edition, revised. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

"One of the best contributions in our literature towards a vivid, intelligent, and worthy knowledge of European interests and thoughts and feelings during the twelfth century. A delightful and instructive volume, and one of the best products of the modern historic spirit."

PALL MALL GAZETTE.

Morley (John).—EDMUND BURKE, a Historical Study. By JOHN MORLEY, B.A. Oxon. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

"The style is terse and incisive, and brilliant with epigram and point. It contains pithy aphoristic sentences which Burke himself would not have disowned. But these are not its best features: its sustained power of reasoning, its wide sweep of observation and reflection, its elevated ethical and social tone, stamp it as a work of high excellence, and as such we cordially recommend it to our readers."—SATURDAY REVIEW.

- Mullinger.—CAMBRIDGE CHARACTERISTICS IN THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY. By J. B. Mullinger, B.A. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.
 - "It is a very entertaining and readable book,"—SATURDAY REVIEW.
- "The chapters on the Cartesian Philosophy and the Cambridge Platonists are admirable."—ATHENÆUM.
- Palgrave.—HISTORY OF NORMANDY AND OF ENG-LAND. By Sir Francis Palgrave, Deputy Keeper of Her Majesty's Public Records. Completing the History to the Death of William Rufus. Four vols. 8vo. £4 4s.
- Volume I. General Relations of Mediæval Europe—The Carlovingian Empire—The Danish Expeditions in the Gauls—And the Establishment of Rollo. Volume II. The Three First Dukes of Normandy; Rollo, Guillaume Longue-Épée, and Richard Sans-Peur—The Carlovingian line supplanted by the Capets. Volume III. Richard Sans-Peur—Richard Le-Bon—Richard III.—Robert Le Diable—William the Conqueror. Volume IV. William Rufus—Accession of Henry Beauclerc.
- Palgrave (W. G.).—A NARRATIVE OF A YEAR'S JOURNEY THROUGH CENTRAL AND EASTERN ARABIA, 1862-3. By WILLIAM GIFFORD PALGRAVE, late of the Eighth Regiment Bombay N. I. Fifth and cheaper Edition. With Maps, Plans, and Portrait of Author, engraved on steel by Jeens. Crown 8vo. 6s.
- "Considering the extent of our previous ignorance, the amount of his achievements, and the importance of his contributions to our knowledge, we cannot say less of him than was once said of a far greater discoverer. Mr. Palgrave has indeed given a new world to Europe."—PALL MALL GAZETTE.

Parkes (Henry).—AUSTRALIAN VIEWS OF ENGLAND. By HENRY PARKES. Crown 8vo. cloth. 3s. 6d.

"The following letters were written during a residence in England, in the years 1861 and 1862, and were published in the Sydney Morning Herald on the arrival of the monthly mails . . . On re-perusal, these letters appear to contain views of English life and impressions of English notabilities which, as the views and impressions of an Englishman on his return to his native country after an absence of twenty years, may not be without interest to the English reader. The writer had opportunities of mixing with different classes of the British people, and of hearing opinions on passing events from opposite standpoints of observation."—AUTHOR'S PREFACE.

Prichard.—THE ADMINISTRATION OF INDIA. From 1859 to 1868. The First Ten Years of Administration under the Crown. By ILTUDUS THOMAS PRICHARD, Barrister-at-Law. Two vols. Demy 8vo. With Map. 215.

In these volumes the author has aimed to supply a full, impartial, and independent account of British India between 1859 and 1868—which is in many respects the most important epoch in the history of that country which the present century has seen.

Ralegh.—THE LIFE OF SIR WALTER RALEGH, based upon Contemporary Documents. By EDWARD EDWARDS. Together with Ralegh's Letters, now first collected. With Portrait. Two vols. 8vo. 32s.

"Mr. Edwards has certainly written the Life of Ralegh from fuller information than any previous biographer. He is intelligent, industrious, sympathetic: and the world has in his two volumes larger means afforded it of knowing Ralegh than it ever possessed before. The new letters and the newly-edited old letters are in themselves a boon."—PALL MALL GAZETTE.

Robinson (Crabb).—DIARY, REMINISCENCES, AND CORRESPONDENCE OF CRABB ROBINSON. Selected and Edited by Dr. SADLER. With Portrait. Second Edition. Three vols. 8vo.cloth. 36s.

Mr. Crabb Robinson's Diary extends over the greater part of threequarters of a century. It contains personal reminis ences of some of the most distinguished characters of that period, including Goethe, Wieland, De Quincey, Wordsworth (with whom Mr. Crabb Robinson was on terms of great intimacy), Madame de Staël, Lafayette, Coleridge, Lamb, Milman, &c. &c.: and includes a vast variety of subjects, political, literary, occlesiastical, and miscellaneous.

Rogers (James E. Thorold).—HISTORICAL GLEAN-INGS: A Series of Sketches. Montague, Walpole, Adam Smith, Cobbett. By Rev. J. E. T. ROGERS. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

Professor Rogers's object in the following sketches is to present a set of historical facts, grouped round a principal figure. The essays are in the form of lectures.

Smith (Professor Goldwin).—THREE ENGLISH
STATESMEN: PYM, CROMWELL, PITT. A Course of
Lectures on the Political History of England. By GOLDWIN
SMITH, M.A. Extra fcap. 8vo. New and Cheaper Edition. 5s.

"A work which neither historian nor politician can safely afford to neglect,"—SATURDAY REVIEW.

Tacitus.—THE HISTORY OF TACITUS, translated into English. By A. J. CHURCH, M.A. and W. J. BRODRIBB, M.A. With a Map and Notes. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

The translators have endeavoured to adhere as closely to the original as was thought consistent with a proper observance of English idiom. At the same time it has been their aim to reproduce the precise expressions of the author. This work is characterised by the Spectator as "a scholarly and faithful translation."

B

THE AGRICOLA AND GERMANIA. Translated into English by A. J. Church, M.A. and W. J. Brodribb, M.A. With Maps and Notes. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

The translators have sought to produce such a version as may satisfy scholars who demand a faithful rendering of the original, and English readers who are offended by the baldness and frigidity which commonly disfigure translations. The treatises are accompanied by introductions, notes, maps, and a chronological summary. The Athenæum says of this work that it is "a version at once readable and exact, which may be perused with pleasure by all, and consulted with advantage by the classical student."

- Taylor (Rev. Isaac).—WORDS AND PLACES; or Etymological Illustrations of History, Etymology, and Geography. By the Rev. ISAAC TAYLOR. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- "Mr. Taylor has produced a really useful book, and one which stands alone in our language."—SATURDAY REVIEW.
- Trench (Archbishop).—GUSTAVUS ADOLPHUS: Social Aspects of the Thirty Years' War. By R. CHENEVIX TRENCH, D.D., Archbishop of Dublin, Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- "Clear and lucid in style, these lectures will be a treasure to many to whom the subject is unfamiliar."—Dublin Evening Mail.
- Trench (Mrs. R.).—Edited by Archbishop Trench. Remains of the late Mrs. RICHARD TRENCH. Being Selections from her Journals, Letters, and other Papers. New and Cheaper Issue, with Portrait, 8vo. 6s.

Contains notices and anecdotes illustrating the social life of the period—extending over a quarter of a century (1799—1827). It includes also poems and other miscellaneous pieces by Mrs. Trench.

Trench (Capt. F., F.R.G.S.).—THE RUSSO-INDIAN QUESTION, Historically, Strategically, and Politically considered. By Capt. TRENCH, F.R.G.S. With a Sketch of Central Asiatic Politics and Map of Central Asia. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

"The Russo-Indian, or Central Asian question has for several obvious reasons been attracting much public attention in England, in Russia, and also on the Continent, within the last year or two. . . . I have thought that the present volume, giving a short sketch of the history of this question from its earliest origin, and condensing much of the most recent and interesting information on the subject, and on its collateral phases, might perhaps be acceptable to those who take an interest in it."—AUTHOR'S PREFACE.

Trevelyan (G.O., M.P.).—CAWNPORE. Illustrated with Plan. By G. O. TREVELYAN, M.P., Author of "The Competition Wallah." Second Edition. Crown 8vo. 6c.

"In this book we are not spared one fact of the sad story; but our feelings are not harrowed by the recital of imaginary outrages. It is good for us at home that we have one who tells his tale so well as does Mr. Trevelyan."—PALL MALL GAZETTE.

THE COMPETITION WALLAH. New Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

"The earlier letters are especially interesting for their racy descriptions of European life in India. Those that follow are of more serious import, seeking to tell the truth about the Hindoo character and English influences, good and bad, upon it, as well as to suggest some better course of treatment than that hitherto adopted."—EXAMINER.

Vaughan (late Rev. Dr. Robert, of the British Quarterly).—MEMOIR OF ROBERT A. VAUGHAN. Author of "Hours with the Mystics." By ROBERT VAUGHAN, D.D. Second Edition, revised and enlarged. Extra fcap. 8vo. 5s.

"It deserves a place on the same shelf with Stanley's 'Life of Arnold,' and Carlyle's 'Stirling.' Dr. Vaughan has performed his painful but not all unpleasing task with exquisite good taste and feeling."—Nonconformist.

B 2

- Wagner.—MEMOIR OF THE REV. GEORGE WAGNER, M.A., late Incumbent of St. Stephen's Church, Brighton. By the Rev. J. N. SIMPKINSON, M.A. Third and cheaper Edition, corrected and abridged. 5s.
 - "A more edifying biography we have rarely met with."

 LITERARY CHURCHMAN.
- Wallace.—THE MALAY ARCHIPELAGO: the Land of the Orang Utan and the Bird of Paradise. A Narrative of Travels with Studies of Man and Nature. By ALFRED RUSSEL WALLACE. With Maps and Illustrations. Second Edition. Two vols. crown 8vo. 24s.
- "A carefully and deliberately composed narrative. . . . We advise our readers to do as we have done, read his book through."—TIMES.
- Ward (Professor).—THE HOUSE OF AUSTRIA IN THE THIRTY YEARS' WAR. Two Lectures, with Notes and Illustrations. By Adolphus W. Ward, M.A., Professor of History in Owens College, Manchester. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
 - "Very compact and instructive."-FORTNIGHTLY REVIEW.
- Warren.—AN ESSAY ON GREEK FEDERAL COINAGE. By the Hon. J. Leicester Warren, M.A. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- "The present essay is an attempt to illustrate Mr. Freeman's Federal Government by evidence deduced from the coinage of the times and countries therein treated of."—PREFACE,
- Wilson.—A MEMOIR OF GEORGE WILSON, M.D., F.R.S.E., Regius Professor of Technology in the University of Edinburgh. By his SISTER. New Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

 "An exquisite and touching portrait of a rare and beautiful spirit."

 GUARDIAN.

Wilson (Daniel, LL.D.).—PREHISTORIC ANNALS
OF SCOTLAND. By DANIEL WILSON, LL.D., Professor of
History and English Literature in University College, Toronto.
New Edition, with numerous Illustrations. Two vols. demy
8vo. 36s.

This elaborate and learned work is divided into four Parts. Part I. deals with The Primeval or Stone Period: Aboriginal Traces, Sepulchral Memorials, Dwellings, and Catacombs, Temples, Weapons, &c. &c.; Part II., The Bronze Period: The Metallurgic Transition, Primitive Bronze, Personal Ornaments, Religion, Arts, and Domestic Habits, with other topics: Part III., The Iron Period: The Introduction of Iron, The Roman Invasion, Strongholds, &c. &c.; Part IV., The Christian Period: Historical Data, the Norrie's Law Relics, Primitive and Mediaval Ecclesiology, Ecclesiastical and Miscellaneous Antiquities. The work is furnished with an elaborate Index.

PREHISTORIC MAN. New Edition, revised and partly re-written, with numerous Illustrations. One vol. 8vo. 21s.

This work, which carries out the principle of the preceding one, but with a wider scope, aims to "view Man, as far as possible, unaffected by those modifying influences which accompany the development of nations and the maturity of a true historic period, in order thereby to ascertain the sources from whence such development and maturity proceed." It contains, for example, chapters on the Primeval Transition; Speech; Metals; the Mound-Builders; Primitive Architecture; the American Type; the Red Blood of the West, &c. &c.

SECTION II.

POETRY AND BELLES LETTRES.

Allingham.—LAURENCE BLOOMFIELD IN IRELAND; or, the New Landlord. By WILLIAM ALLINGHAM. New and cheaper issue, with a Preface. Fcap. 8vo. cloth, 4s. 6d.

In the new Preface, the state of Ireland, with special reference to the Church measure, is discussed.

"It is vital with the national character. . . . It has something of Pope's point and Goldsmith's simplicity, touched to a more modern issue."—ATHENÆUM.

Arnold (Matthew).—POEMS. By MATTHEW ARNOLD.

Two vols. Extra fcap. 8vo. cloth. 12s. Also sold separately at 6s. each.

Volume I. contains Narrative and Elegiac Poems; Volume II. Dramatic and Lyric Poems. The two volumes comprehend the First and Second Series of the Poems, and the New Poems.

NEW POEMS. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s. 6a.

In this volume will be found "Empedocles on Etna:" "Thyrsis" (written in commemoration of the late Professor Clough); "Epilogue to Lessing's Laocoön;" "Heine's Grave;" "Obermann once more." All these poems are also included in the Edition (two vols.) above-mentioned.

Arnold (Matthew), (continued) -

ESSAYS IN CRITICISM. New Edition, with Additions. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s.

CONTENTS:—Preface; The Function of Criticism at the present time; The Literary Influence of Academies; Maurice de Guerin; Eugenie de Guerin; Heinrich Heine; Pagan and Mediæval Religious Sentiment; Joubert; Spinoza and the Bible; Marcus Aurelius.

ASPROMONTE, AND OTHER POEMS. Fcap. 8vo. cloth extra. 4s. 6d.

CONTENTS :- Poems for Italy; Dramatic Lyrics; Miscellaneous.

Barnes (Rev. W.).—POEMS OF RURAL LIFE IN COM-MON ENGLISH. By the Rev. W. BARNES, Author of "Poems of Rural Life in the Dorset Dialect." Fcap. 8vo. 6s.

"In a high degree pleasant and novel. The book is by no means one which the lovers of descriptive poetry can afford to lose."—ATHENÆUM.

Bell.—ROMANCES AND MINOR POEMS. By HENRY GLASSFORD BELL. Fcap. 8vo. 6s.

"Full of life and genius."—Court Circular.

Besant.—STUDIES IN EARLY FRENCH POETRY. By WALTER BESANT, M.A. Crown. 8vo. 8s. 6d.

A sort of impression rests on most minds that French literature begins with the "siècle de Louis Quatorze;" any previous literature being for the most part unknown or ignored. Few know anything of the enormous literary activity that began in the thirteenth century, was carried on by Rulebeuf, Marie de France, Gaston de Foix, Thibault de Champagne, and Lorris; was fostered by Charles of Orleans, by Margaret of Valois; by Francis the First; that gave a crowd of versifiers to France, enriched, strengthened, developed, and fixed the French language, and prepared the way for Corneille and for Racine. The present work aims to afford

information and direction touching the early efforts of France in poetical literature.

"In one moderately sized volume he has contrived to introduce us to the very best, if not to all of the early French poets."—ATHEN EUM.

Bradshaw.—AN ATTEMPT TO ASCERTAIN THE STATE
OF CHAUCER'S WORKS, AS THEY WERE LEFT AT
HIS DEATH. With some Notes of their Subsequent History.
By HENRY BRADSHAW, of King's College, and the University
Library, Cambridge.

[In the Press.

Brimley.—ESSAYS BY THE LATE GEORGE BRIMLEY, M.A. Edited by the Rev. W. G. CLARK, M.A. With Portrait. Cheaper Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Essays on literary topics, such as Tennyson's "Poems," Carlyle's "Life of Stirling," "Bleak House," &c., reprinted from Fraser, the Spectator, and like periodicals.

Poem. By Frederick Napier Broome. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

Founded on the Greek legend of Danae and Perseus.

Clough (Arthur Hugh).—THE POEMS AND PROSE REMAINS OF ARTHUR HUGH CLOUGH. With a Selection from his Letters and a Memoir. Edited by his Wife. With Portrait. Two vols. crown 8vo. 21s. Or Poems separately, as below.

The late Professor Clough is well known as a graceful, tender poet, and as the scholarly translator of Plutarch. The letters possess high interest, not biographical only, but literary—discussing, as they do, the most important questions of the time, always in a genial spirit. The "Remains" include papers on "Retrenchment at Oxford;" on Professor F. W. Newman's book "The Soul;" on Wordsworth; on the Formation of Classical English; on some Modern Poems (Matthew Arnold and the late Alexander Smith), &c. &c.

Clough (Arthur Hugh), (continued) -

THE POEMS OF ARTHUR HUGH CLOUGH, sometime Fellow of Oriel College, Oxford. With a Memoir by F. T. PALGRAVE. Second Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 6s.

"From the higher mind of cultivated, all-questioning, but still conservative England, in this our puzzled generation, we do not know of any utterance in literature so characteristic as the poems of Arthur Hugh Clough."—FRASER'S MAGAZINE.

Dante.—DANTE'S COMEDY, THE HELL. Translated by W. M. Rossetti. Fcap. 8vo. cloth. 5s.

"The aim of this translation of Dante may be summed up in one word—Literality. . . . To follow Dante sentence for sentence, line for line, word for word—neither more nor less—has been my strenuous endeavour."—AUTHOR'S PREFACE.

De Vere.—THE INFANT BRIDAL, and other Poems. By AUBREY DE VERE. Fcap. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

"Mr. De Vere has taken his place among the poets of the day. Pure and tender feeling, and that polished restraint of style which is called classical, are the charms of the volume."—SPECTATOR.

Doyle (Sir F. H.).—Works by Sir Francis Hastings Doyle, Professor of Poetry in the University of Oxford:—

THE RETURN OF THE GUARDS, AND OTHER POEMS. Fcap. 8vo. 7s.

"Good wine needs no bush, nor good verse a preface; and Sir Francis Doyle's verses run bright and clear, and smack of a classic vintage. . . . His chief characteristic, as it is his greatest charm, is the simple manliness which gives force to all he writes. It is a characteristic in these days rare enough."—EXAMINER.

Doyle (Sir F. H.), (continued)-

LECTURES ON POETRY, delivered before the University of Oxford in 1868. Extra crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

THREE LECTURES:—(1) Inaugural; (2) Provincial Poetry; (3) Dr. Newman's "Dream of Gerontius."

"Full of thoughtful discrimination and fine insight: the lecture on 'Provincial Poetry' seems to us singularly true, eloquent, and instructive."

SPECTATOR.

Evans.—BROTHER FABIAN'S MANUSCRIPT, AND OTHER POEMS. By SEBASTIAN EVANS. Fcap. 8vo. cloth. 6s.

"In this volume we have full assurance that he has 'the vision and the faculty divine.' . . . Clever and full of kindly humour."—GLOBE.

Furnivall.—LE MORTE D'ARTHUR. Edited from the *Harleian* M.S. 2252, in the British Museum. By F. J. Furnivall, M.A. With Essay by the late HERBERT COLERIDGE. Fcap. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Looking to the interest shown by so many thousands in Mr. Tennyson's Arthurian poems, the editor and publishers have thought that the old version would possess considerable interest. It is a reprint of the celebrated Harleian copy; and is accompanied by index and glossary.

Garnett.—IDYLLS AND EPIGRAMS. Chiefly from the Greek Anthology. By RICHARD GARNETT. Fcap. 8vo. 2s, 6d,

"A charming tittle book. For English readers, Mr. Garnett's translalations will open a new world of thought."—WESTMINSTER REVIEW.

GUESSES AT TRUTH. By Two Brothers. With Vignette, Title, and Frontispiece. New Edition, with Memoir. Fcap. 8vo. 6c.

"The following year was memorable for the commencement of the Guesses at Truth.' He and his Oxford brother, living as they did in constant and free interchange of thought on questions of philosophy and

literature and art; delighting, each of them, in the epigrammatic terescuess which is the charm of the 'Pensles' of Pascal, and the 'Caractères' of La Bruyère—agreed to utter themselves in this form, and the book appeared, anonymously, in two volumes, in 1827."—MEMOIR.

Hamerton.—A PAINTER'S CAMP. By PHILIP GILBERT HAMERTON. Second Edition, revised. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s.

BOOK I. In England; BOOK II. In Scotland; BOOK III. In France. This is the story of an Artist's encampments and adventures. The headings of a few chapters may serve to convey a notion of the character of the book: A Walk on the Lancashire Moors; the Author his own Housekeeper and Cook; Tents and Boats for the Highlands; The Author encamps on an uninhabited Island; A Lake Voyage; A Gipsy Journey to Glen Coe; Concerning Moonlight and Old Castles; A little French City; A Farm in the Autunois, &c. &c.

"His pages sparkle with happy turns of expression, not a few well-told anecdotes, and many observations which are the fruit of attentive study and wise reflection on the complicated phenomena of human life, as well as of unconscious nature."—Westminster Review.

ETCHING AND ETCHERS. A Treatise Critical and Practical. By P. G. HAMERTON. With Original Plates by REMBRANDT, CALLOT, DUJARDIN, PAUL POTTER, &c. Royal 8vo. Half morocco. 31s. 6d.

"It is a work of which author, printer, and publisher may alike feel proud. It is a work, too, of which none but a genuine artist could by possibility have been the author."—SATURDAY REVIEW.

Helps.—REALMAH. By ARTHUR HELPS. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Of this work, by the Author of "Friends in Council," the Saturday Review says: "Underneath the form (that of dialogue) is so much shrewdness, fancy, and above all, so much wise kindliness, that we should think all the better of a man or woman who likes the book." Herschel.—THE ILIAD OF HOMER. Translated into English Hexameters. By Sir John Herschel, Bart. 8vo. 18v.

A version of the Iliad in English Hexameters. The question of Homeric translation is fully discussed in the Preface.

"It is admirable, not only for many intrinsic merits, but as a great man's tribute to Genius."—ILLUSTRATED LONDON NEWS.

HIATUS: the Void in Modern Education. Its Cause and Antidote. By OUTIS. 8vo. 8s. 6d.

The main object of this Essay is to point out how the emotional element which underlies the Fine Arts is disregarded and undeveloped at this time so far as (despite a pretence at filling it up) to constitute an Educational Hiatus.

HYMNI ECCLESIÆ. See "THEOLOGICAL SECTION."

Kennedy.—LEGENDARY FICTIONS OF THE IRISH CELTS. Collected and Narrated by PATRICK KENNEDY. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

"A very admirable popular selection of the Irish fairy stories and legends, in which those who are familiar with Mr. Croker's, and other selections of the same kind, will find much that is fresh, and full of the peculiar vivacity and humour, and sometimes even of the ideal beauty, of the true Celtic Legend."—SPECTATOR.

- Kingsley (Canon).—See also "Historic Section," "Works of Fiction," and "Philosophy;" also "Juvenile Books," and "Theology."
- THE SAINTS' TRAGEDY: or, The True Story of Elizabeth of Hungary. By the Rev. CHARLES KINGSLEY. With a Preface by the Rev. F. D. MAURICE. Third Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.
- ANDROMEDA, AND OTHER POEMS. Third Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

Kingsley (Canon), (continued)-

PHAETHON; or, Loose Thoughts for Loose Thinkers. Third Edition. Crown 8vo. 2s.

Kingsley (Henry).—See "Works of Fiction."

Lowell.—UNDER THE WILLOWS, AND OTHER POEMS By JAMES RUSSELL LOWELL. Fcap. 8vo. 6s.

"Under the Willows is one of the most admirable bits of idyllic work, short as it is, or perhaps because it is short, that have been done in our generation."—SATURDAY REVIEW.

- Masson (Professor).—ESSAYS, BIOGRAPHICAL AND CRITICAL. Chiefly on the British Poets. By DAVID MASSON, LL.D., Professor of Rhetoric in the University of Edinburgh. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- "Distinguished by a remarkable power of analysis, a clear statement of the actual facts on which speculation is based, and an appropriate beauty of Language. These essays should be popular with serious men."

 ATHENÆUM.
- BRITISH NOVELISTS AND THEIR STYLES. Being a Critical Sketch of the History of British Prose Fiction. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- "Valuable for its lucid analysis of fundamental principles, its breadth of view, and sustained animation of style."—SPECTATOR.
- MRS. JERNINGHAM'S JOURNAL. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d. A Poem of the boudoir or domestic class, purporting to be the journal of a newly-married lady.
- "One quality in the piece, sufficient of itself to claim a moment's attention, is that it is unique—original, indeed, is not too strong a word—in the manner of its conception and execution."—PALL MALL GAZETTE.

- Mistral (F.).—MIRELLE: a Pastoral Epic of Provence. Translated by H. CRICHTON. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s.
- "This is a capital translation of the elegant and richly-coloured pastoral epic poem of M. Mistral which, in 1859, he dedicated in enthusiastic terms to Lamartine. It would be hard to overpraise the sweetness and pleasing freshness of this charming epic."—ATHENÆUM.
- Myers (Ernest).—THE PURITANS. By ERNEST MYERS. Extra fcap. 8vo. cloth. 2s. 6d.
- "It is not too much to call it a really grand poem, stately and dignified, and showing not only a high poetic mind, but also great power over poetic expression."—LITERARY CHURCHMAN.
- Myers (F. W. H.)—ST. PAUL. A Poem. By F. W. H. MYERS. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- "It breathes throughout the spirit of St. Paul, and with a singular stately melody of verse."—FORTNIGHTLY REVIEW.
- Nettleship. ESSAYS ON ROBERT BROWNING'S POETRY. By John T. Nettleship. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- Noel.—BEATRICE, AND OTHER POEMS. By the Hon. RODEN NOEL. Fcap. 8vo. 6v.
- "Beatrice is in many respects a noble poem; it displays a splendour of landscape painting, a strong definite precision of highly-coloured description, which has not often been surpassed."—PALL MALL GAZETTE.
- Norton.—THE LADY OF LA GARAYE. By the Hon. Mrs Norton. With Vignette and Frontispiece. Sixth Edition Fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- "There is no lack of vigour, no faltering of power, plenty of passion, much bright description, much musical verse. . . . Full of thoughts well-expressed, and may be classed among her best works."—TIMES.

- Orwell.—THE BISHOP'S WALK AND THE BISHOP'S TIMES. Poems on the days of Archbishop Leighton and the Scottish Covenant. By ORWELL. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.
- "Pure taste and faultless precision of language, the fruits of deep thought, snsight into human nature, and lively sympathy."—NONCONFORMIST.
- Palgrave (Francis T.).—ESSAYS ON ART. By Francis TURNER PALGRAVE, M.A., late Fellow of Exeter College, Oxford. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s.

Mulready—Dyce—Holman Hunt—Herbert—Poetry, Prose, and Sensationalism in Art—Sculpture in England—The Albert Cross, &c.

- SHAKESPEARE'S SONNETS AND SONGS. Edited by F. T. PALGRAVE. Gem Edition. With Vignette Title by JEENS. 3s. 6d.
- "For minute elegance no volume could possibly excel the 'Gem Edition."—SCOTSMAN.

Patmore. -- Works by Coventry Patmore :-

THE ANGEL IN THE HOUSE.

- BOOK I. The Betrothal; BOOK II. The Espousals; BOOK III. Faithful for Ever. With Tamerton Church Tower. Two vols. fcap. 8vo. 12s.
- ** A New and Cheap Edition in one vol. 18mo., beautifully printed on toned paper, price 2s. 6d.

THE VICTORIES OF LOVE. Fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

The intrinsic merit of his poem will secure it a permanent place in literature. . . . Mr. Patmore has fully earned a place in the catalogue of poets by the finished idealization of domestic life."—SATURDAY REVIEW.

Rossetti.-Works by Christina Rossetti:-

GOBLIN MARKET, AND OTHER POEMS. With two Designs by D. G. Rossettl. Second Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

"She handles her little marvel with that rare poetic discrimination which neither exhausts it of its simple wonders by pushing symbolism too far, nor keeps those wonders in the merely fabulous and capricious stage. In fact she has produced a true children's poem, which is far more delightful to the mature than to children, though it would be delightful to all."—SPECTATOR.

THE PRINCE'S PROGRESS, AND OTHER POEMS. With two Designs by D. G. ROSSETTL. Fcap. 8vo. 6s.

"Miss Rossetti's poems are of the kind which recalls Shelley's definition of Poetry as the record of the best and happiest moments of the best and happiest minds. . . . They are like the piping of a bird on the spray in the sunshine, or the quaint singing with which a child amuses itself when it forgets that anybody is listening."—SATURDAY REVIEW.

Rossetti (W. M.).—DANTE'S HELL. See "DANTE."

FINE ART, chiefly Contemporary. By WILLIAM M. ROSSETTL. Crown 8vo. 10r. 6d.

This volume consists of Criticism on Contemporary Art, reprinted from Fraser, The Saturday Review, The Pall Mall Gazette, and other publications.

Roby.—STORY OF A HOUSEHOLD, AND OTHER POEMS. By Mary K. Roby. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

Shairp (Principal).—KILMAHOE, a Highland Pastoral, with other Poems. By John Campbell Shairp. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

"Kilmahoe is a Highland Pastoral, redolent of the warm soft air of the Western Lochs and Moors, sketched out with remarkable grace and picturesqueness."—SATURDAY REVIEW. Smith.—Works by ALEXANDER SMITH :-

A LIFE DRAMA, AND OTHER POEMS. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d. CITY POEMS. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

EDWIN OF DEIRA. Second Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

"A poem which is marked by the strength, sustained sweetness, and compact texture of real life."—North British Review.

Smith.—POEMS. By CATHERINE BARNARD SMITH. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

"Wealthy in feeling, meaning, finish, and grace; not without passion, which is suppressed, but the keener for that."—ATHENÆUM.

Smith (Rev. Walter).—HYMNS OF CHRIST AND THE CHRISTIAN LIFE. By the Rev. Walter C. Smith, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 6s.

"These are among the sweetest sacred poems we have read for a long time. With no profuse imagery, expressing a range of feeling and expression by no means uncommon, they are true and elevated, and their pathos is profound and simple."—NONCONFORMIST.

Stratford de Redcliffe (Viscount).—SHADOWS OF THE PAST, in Verse. By Viscount Stratford de Redcliffe. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

"The vigorous words of one who has acted vigorously. They combine the fervour of politician and poet."—GUARDIAN.

Trench.—Works by R. CHENEVIX TRENCH, D.D., Archbishop of Dublin. See also Sections "Philosophy," "Theology," &c.

POEMS. Collected and arranged anew. Fcap. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

ELEGIAC POEMS. Third Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

C

Trench (Archbishop), (continued)-

- CALDERON'S LIFE'S A DREAM: The Great Theatre of the World. With an Essay on his Life and Genius. Fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- HOUSEHOLD BOOK OF ENGLISH POETRY. Selected and arranged, with Notes, by R. C. Trench, D.D., Archbishop of Dublin. Extra fcap. 8vo. 5s. 6d.

This volume is called a "Household Book," by this name implying that it is a book for all—that there is nothing in it to prevent it from being confidently placed in the hands of every member of the household. Specimens of all classes of poetry are given, including selections from living authors. The Editor has aimed to produce a book "which the emigrant, finding room for little not absolutely necessary, might yet find room for in his trunk, and the traveller in his knapsack, and that on some narrow shelves where there are few books this might be one."

- "The Archbishop has conferred in this delightful volume an important gift on the whole English-speaking population of the world,"—PALL MALL GAZETTE.
- SACRED LATIN POETRY, Chiefly Lyrical. Selected and arranged for Use. Second Edition, Corrected and Improved. Fcap. 8vo. 7s.
- "The aim of the present volume is to offer to members of our English Church a collection of the best sacred Latin poetry, such as they shall be able entirely and heartily to accept and approve—a collection, that is, in which they shall not be evermore liable to be offended, and to have the current of their sympathies checked, by coming upon that which, however beautiful as poetry, out of higher respects they must reject and condemn—in which, too, they shall not fear that snares are being laid for them, to entangle them unawares in admiration for ought which is inconsistent with their faith and fealty to their own spiritual mother."—PREFACE.

Turner.—SONNETS. By the Rev. CHARLES TENNYSON TURNER. Dedicated to his brother, the Poet Laureate. Fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

"The Sonnets are dedicated to Mr. Tennyson by his brother, and have, independently of their merits, an interest of association. They both love to write in simple expressive Saxon; both love to touch their imagery in epithets rather than in formal similes; both have a delicate perception of rythmical movement, and thus Mr. Turner has occasional lines which, for phrase and music, might be ascribed to his brother. . . He knows the haunts of the wild rose, the shady nooks where light quivers through the leaves, the ruralities, in short, of the land of imagination."—ATHENÆUM.

SMALL TABLEAUX. Fcap. 8vo. 4s. 6d.

"These brief poems have not only a peculiar kind of interest for the student of English poetry, but are intrinsically delightful, and will reward a careful and frequent perusal. Full of naïveté, piety, love, and knowledge of natural objects, and each expressing a single and generally a simple subject by means of minute and original pictorial touches, these sonnets have a place of their own."—PALL MALL GAZETTE.

Vittoria Colonna.—LIFE AND POEMS. By Mrs. HENRY Roscoe. Crown 8vo. 9s.

The life of Vittoria Colonna, the celebrated Marchesa di Pescara, has received but cursory notice from any English writer, though in every history of Italy her name is mentioned with great honour among the poets of the sixteenth century. "In three hundred and fifty years," says her biographer Visconti, "there has been no other Italian lady who can be compared to her."

"It is written with good taste, with quick and intelligent sympathy, occasionally with a real freshness and charm of style."—PALL MALL GAZETTE.

Webster.—Works by Augusta Webster:—

DRAMATIC STUDIES. Extra fcap. 8vo. 5s.

"A volume as strongly marked by perfect taste as by poetic power."

NONCONFORMIST.

PROMETHEUS BOUND OF ÆSCHYLUS. Literally translated into English Verse. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

"Closeness and simplicity combined with literary skill."—ATHENÆUM.

MEDEA OF EURIPIDES. Literally translated into English Verse. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

"Mrs. Webster's translation surpasses our utmost expectations. It is a photograph of the original without any of that harshness which so often accompanies a photograph."—WESTMINSTER REVIEW.

A WOMAN SOLD, AND OTHER POEMS. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

"Mrs. Webster has shown us that she is able to draw admirably from the life; that she can observe with subtlety, and render her observations with delicacy; that she can impersonate complex conceptions, and venture into which few living writers can follow her."—GUARDIAN.

Woolner.—MY BEAUTIFUL LADY. By THOMAS WOOLNER. With a Vignette by ARTHUR HUGHES. *Third Edition*. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

"It is clearly the product of no idle hour, but a highly-conceived and faithfully-executed task, self-imposed, and prompted by that inward yearning to utter great thoughts, and a wealth of passionate feeling which is poetic genius. No man can read this poem without being struck by the fitness and finish of the workmanship, so to speak, as well as by the chastened and unpretending loftiness of thought which pervades the whole."

GLOBE.

WORDS FROM THE POETS. Selected by the Editor of "Rays of Sunlight." With a Vignette and Frontispiece. 18mo. Extra cloth gilt. 2s. 6d. Cheaper Edition, 18mo. limp., 1s.

GLOBE EDITIONS.

Under the title GLOBE EDITIONS, the Publishers are issuing a uniform Series of Standard English Authors, carefully edited, clearly and elegantly printed on toned paper, strongly bound, and at a small cost. The names of the Editors whom they have been fortunate enough to secure constitute an indisputable guarantee as to the character of the Series. The greatest care has been taken to ensure accuracy of text; adequate notes, elucidating historical, literary, and philological points, have been supplied; and, to the older Authors, glossaries are appended. The series is especially adapted to Students of our national Literature; while the small price places good editions of certain books, hitherto popularly inaccessible, within the reach of all.

Shakespeare.—THE COMPLETE WORKS OF WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE. Edited by W. G. CLARK and W. ALDIS WRIGHT. Ninety-first Thousand. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.

"A marvel of beauty, cheapness, and compactness. The whole works—plays, poems, and sonnets—are contained in one small volume: yet the page is perfectly clear and readable. . . . For the busy man, above all for the working Student, the Globe Edition is the best of all existing Shakespeare books."—ATHENÆUM.

- Morte D'Arthur.—SIR THOMAS MALORY'S BOOK OF KING ARTHUR AND OF HIS NOBLE KNIGHTS OF THE ROUND TABLE. The Edition of CAXTON, revised for Modern Use. With an Introduction by SIR EDWARD STRACHEY, Bart. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d. Third Edition.
- "It is with the most perfect confidence that we recommend this edition of the old romance to every class of readers."—PALL MALL GAZETTE.
- Scott.—THE POETICAL WORKS OF SIR WALTER SCOTT. With Biographical Essay, by F. T. PALGRAVE. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d. New Edition.
- "As a popular edition it leaves nothing to be desired. The want of such an one has long been felt, combining real excellence with cheapness."

 SPECTATOR.
- Burns.—THE POETICAL WORKS AND LETTERS OF ROBERT BURNS. Edited, with Life, by ALEXANDER SMITH. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d. Second Edition.
- "The works of the bard have never been offered in such a complete form in a single volume."—GLASGOW DAILY HERALD.
 - "Admirable in all respects."—SPECTATOR.
- Robinson Crusoe.—THE ADVENTURES OF ROBINSON CRUSOE. By Defoe. Edited, from the Original Edition, by J. W. Clark, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. With Introduction by Henry Kingsley. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- "The Globe Edition of Robinson Crusoe is a book to have and to keep. It is printed after the original editions, with the quaint old spelling, and is published in admirable style as regards type, paper, and binding. A well-written and genial biographical introduction, by Mr. Henry Kingsley, is likewise an attractive feature of this edition."—MORNING STAR.

Goldsmith.—GOLDSMITH'S MISCELLANEOUS WORKS. With Biographical Essay by Professor Masson. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.

This edition includes the whole of Goldsmith's Miscellaneous Works—the Vicar of Wakefield, Plays, Poems, &c. Of the memoir the SCOTSMAN newspaper writes: "Such an admirable compendium of the facts of Goldsmith's life, and so careful and minute a delineation of the mixed traits of his peculiar character, as to be a very model of a literary biography."

- Pope.—THE POETICAL WORKS OF ALEXANDER POPE. Edited, with Memoir and Notes, by Professor WARD. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- "The book is handsome and handy... The notes are many, and the matter of them is rich in interest."—ATHENÆUM.
- Spenser. THE COMPLETE WORKS OF EDMUND SPENSER. Edited from the Original Editions and Manuscripts, by R. Morris, Member of the Council of the Philological Society. With a Memoir by J. W. Hales, M.A., late Fellow of Christ's College, Cambridge, Member of the Council of the Philological Society. Globe 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- "A complete and clearly printed edition of the whole works of Spenser, carefully collated with the originals, with copious glossary, worthy—and higher praise it needs not—of the beautiful Globe Series. The work is edited with all the care so noble a poet deserves."—Daily News.
 - *.* Other Standard Works are in the Press.
- *.* The Volumes of this Series may also be had in a variety of morocco and calf bindings at very moderate Prices.

GOLDEN TREASURY SERIES.

Uniformly printed in 18mo., with Vignette Titles by SIR NOEL PATON, T. WOOLNER, W. HOLMAN HUNT, J. E. MILLAIS, ARTHUR HUGHES, &c. Engraved on Steel by JEENS. Bound in extra cloth, 4s. 6d. each volume. Also kept in morocco.

- "Messrs. Macmillan have, in their Golden Treasury Series especially, provided editions of standard works, volumes of selected poetry, and original compositions, which entitle this series to be called classical. Nothing can be better than the literary execution, nothing more elegant than the material workmanship."—BRITISH QUARTERLY REVIEW.
- THE GOLDEN TREASURY OF THE BEST SONGS AND LYRICAL POEMS IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE. Selected and arranged, with Notes, by Francis Turner Palgrave.
- "This delightful little volume, the Golden Treasury, which contains many of the best original lyrical pieces and songs in our language, grouped with care and skill, so as to illustrate each other like the pictures in a well-arranged gallery."—QUARTERLY REVIEW.
- THE CHILDREN'S GARLAND FROM THE BEST POETS. Selected and arranged by COVENTRY PATMORE.
- "It includes specimens of all the great masters in the art of poetry, selected with the matured judgment of a man concentrated on obtaining insight into the feelings and tastes of childhood, and desirous to awaken its finest impulses, to cultivate its keenest sensibilities."—MORNING POST.



- THE BOOK OF PRAISE. From the Best English Hymn Writers. Selected and arranged by SIR ROUNDELL PALMER. A New and Enlarged Edition.
- "All previous compilations of this kind must undeniably for the present give place to the Book of Praise. . . . The selection has been made throughout with sound judgment and critical taste. The pains involved in this compilation must have been immense, embracing, as it does, every writer of note in this special province of English literature, and ranging over the most widely divergent tracts of religious thought."—SATURDAY REVIEW.
- THE FAIRY BOOK; the Best Popular Fairy Stories. Selected and rendered anew by the Author of "JOHN HALIFAX, GENTLEMAN."
- "A delightful selection, in a delightful external form; full of the physical splendour and vast opulence of proper fairy tales."—Spectator.
- THE BALLAD BOOK. A Selection of the Choicest British Ballads. Edited by WILLIAM ALLINGHAM.
- "His taste as a judge of old poetry will be found, by all acquainted with the various readings of old English ballads, true enough to justify his undertaking so critical a task."—SATURDAY REVIEW.
- THE JEST BOOK. The Choicest Anecdotes and Sayings. Selected and arranged by MARK LEMON.
- "The fullest and best jest book that has yet appeared."—SATURDAY REVIEW.
- BACON'S ESSAYS AND COLOURS OF GOOD AND EVIL. With Notes and Glossarial Index. By W. ALDIS WRIGHT, M.A.
- "The beautiful little edition of Bacon's Essays, now before us, does credit to the taste and scholarship of Mr. Aldis Wright. . . . It puts the reader in possession of all the essential literary facts and chronology necessary for reading the Essays in connexion with Bacon's life and times."—Spectator.
- "By far the most complete as well as the most elegant edition we possess:"—Westminster Review.

•

- THE PILGRIM'S PROGRESS from this World to that which is to come. By John Bunyan.
 - "A beautiful and scholarly reprint."—SPECTATOR.
- THE SUNDAY BOOK OF POETRY FOR THE YOUNG. Selected and arranged by C. F. ALEXANDER.
 - "A well-selected volume of sacred poetry."-SPECTATOR.
- A BOOK OF GOLDEN DEEDS of all Times and all Countries.

 Gathered and narrated anew. By the Author of "THE HEIR OF REDCLYFFE."
- "... To the young, for whom it is especially intended, as a most interesting collection of thrilling tales well told; and to their elders, as a useful handbook of reference, and a pleasant one to take up when their wish is to while away a weary half-hour. We have seen no prettier gift-book for a long time."—ATHENÆUM.
- THE POETICAL WORKS OF ROBERT BURNS. Edited, with Biographical Memoir, Notes, and Glossary, by ALEXANDER SMITH. Two Vols.
- "Beyond all question this is the most beautiful edition of Burns yet out."—Edinburgh Daily Review.
- THE ADVENTURES OF ROBINSON CRUSOE. Edited from the Original Edition by J. W. CLARK, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge.
- "Mutilated and modified editions of this English classic are so much the rule, that a cheap and pretty copy of it, rigidly exact to the original, will be a prize to many book-buyers."—Examiner.
- THE REPUBLIC OF PLATO. TRANSLATED into ENGLISH, with Notes, by J. Ll. DAVIES, M.A. and D. J. VAUGHAN, M.A.
 - "A dainty and cheap little edition."—EXAMINER.

THE SONG BOOK. Words and Tunes from the best Poets and Musicians. Selected and arranged by JOHN HULLAH, Professor of Vocal Music in King's College, London.

"A choice collection of the sterling songs of England, Scotland, and Ireland, with the music of each prefixed to the words. How much true wholesome pleasure such a book can diffuse, and will diffuse, we trust, through many thousand families."—EXAMINER.

LA LYRE FRANCAISE. Selected and arranged, with Notes, by GUSTAVE MASSON, French Master in Harrow School.

A selection of the best French songs and lyrical pieces.

TOM BROWN'S SCHOOL DAYS. By an OLD Boy.

"A perfect gem of a book. The best and most healthy book about boys for boys that ever was written."—ILLUSTRATED TIMES.

A BOOK OF WORTHIES. Gathered from the Old Histories and written anew by the Author of "THE HEIR OF REDCLYFFE." With Vignette.

"An admirable edition to an admirable series."

WESTMINSTER REVIEW.







